ChatGPT

# Applying Open Knowledge Principles to Syria's Post-War Reconstruction

## Introduction

Syria's post-war reconstruction will be an immense, complex undertaking requiring coordination among architects, urban planners, engineers, humanitarian technologists, policymakers, and local communities. Past post-conflict efforts have shown that failure is often "not due to lack of funding or resources, but rather stemmed from poor coordination among stakeholders, limited community engagement, and the absence of effective mechanisms to connect implementing and funding entities" [1]. To avoid these pitfalls, **open knowledge principles** – specifically citation systems, peer review mechanisms, and open-source licensing models – can be adapted to foster transparent knowledge sharing, rigorous design validation, and proper credit attribution in Syria's reconstruction. This report analyzes how digital platforms incorporating these principles (drawing on precedents like GitHub, Creative Commons, and academic peer review) could accelerate and improve rebuilding efforts across architecture, urban planning, and humanitarian technology initiatives. We also assess how Syria's cultural, legal, and technological context might affect the implementation of such collaborative models.

## The Need for Collaborative, Transparent Rebuilding

Reconstructing Syria is not just a physical engineering challenge; it is equally a knowledge and coordination challenge. Decades of accumulated local knowledge (e.g. vernacular building techniques, social dynamics of neighborhoods) and international expertise (e.g. modern engineering standards, innovative shelter designs) must be brought together. Currently, much information is fragmented or inaccessible – a "context of limited information, but high anxiety, about current and upcoming urban policy developments" [2]. Syrian architects have noted the urgency of creating open spaces for exchanging factual knowledge and accurate resources "rather than rumour" [2]. In practice, some groundwork is being laid: for example, the **Syrbanism** initiative, founded by Syrian architects in 2017, built a network of architects, lawyers, anthropologists, and others to share urban data and educate citizens on their reconstruction rights [3] [4]. As its founders explain, *"Open source research is vital for our projects and philosophy"*, complementing on-the-ground data gathering and policy analysis [5]. This ethos of open, evidence-based collaboration needs to be scaled up. By applying robust citation practices, peer review processes, and open licensing, a digital reconstruction platform could become a **central knowledge hub** where best practices are documented, plans are scrutinized by peers, and contributions from all parties are acknowledged. Such an approach would promote trust, reduce duplication, and improve the quality of reconstruction projects.

## Citation Systems for Transparent Knowledge Sharing

**Implementing citation systems** in a reconstruction knowledge platform would mirror the way academic and wiki communities maintain transparency. In essence, any data point, design rationale, or policy recommendation should be accompanied by a reference to its source. This practice serves two purposes:

**verifiability** (others can check the original source) and **credit attribution** (recognizing the originator of the idea or data). For example, urban planners proposing a new housing layout for Aleppo could cite prior research on local social habits or seismic safety standards, and engineers recommending a water system could reference relevant technical studies or past successful models. By requiring such citations, the platform ensures decisions are *evidence-based* and contributors receive credit for intellectual inputs. This is analogous to Wikipedia's model of citing reliable sources for every factual claim, which has proven effective in aggregating knowledge from diverse contributors without sacrificing credibility.

In practice, a digital platform could integrate tools (similar to reference managers or wiki citation templates) so that users can easily link to scholarly articles, case studies, or official reports when uploading content. For instance, a rehabilitation plan for Homs' Old City might include citations to UNESCO restoration charters and local historical surveys, providing transparency about where guidelines are coming from. Likewise, community proposals might cite testimonies or survey data from residents. The goal is to build a **shared repository of knowledge** where each piece of information in the reconstruction puzzle – whether engineering facts, cultural heritage guidelines, demographic stats, or humanitarian standards – is traceable. This not only builds trust but also accelerates learning: future project teams can follow the citation trail to find detailed source material, avoiding the need to reinvent the wheel.

Crucially, a citation system also formalizes **credit attribution** for knowledge. Academic citation is partly about giving credit, and the same applies here: Syrian researchers, international experts, and local knowledge-holders should be acknowledged when their insights inform a project. For example, if a housing design uses a technique drawn from vernacular architecture, the local mason or traditional builder who originated it could be cited in documentation (perhaps via an interview transcript or ethnographic study). This approach respects *intellectual property of ideas* even when those ideas are freely shared – aligning with cultural norms of respect and encouraging more experts to contribute knowledge knowing they will be credited. It's worth noting that even in professional architecture, proper attribution is a critical ethical issue: the American Institute of Architects' code of ethics emphasizes that failing to give appropriate credit for design work is one of the most frequent violations in practice [6]. A collaborative Syria reconstruction platform should embed this principle in its design, making attribution an architectural feature of the system.

From a technological standpoint, such a platform might generate automatic bibliographies for reports or use **DOIs (Digital Object Identifiers)** for datasets and design documents to make citing and referencing easier. It could also link to external open data portals. For example, the UN's Humanitarian Data Exchange (HDX) already hosts open datasets on Syria (e.g. maps of damage, population needs) under open licenses, and these can be cited and reused [7]. Each time a user incorporates an HDX dataset or a statistic from a UN report, the platform can prompt them to credit that source. By **preserving citation trails**, the Syrian reconstruction knowledge base becomes self-documenting and transparent, allowing anyone (from local community groups to international donors) to audit where information is coming from.

## Peer Review Mechanisms for Design Validation

Just as scholarly work undergoes peer review to ensure rigor, **reconstruction plans and designs** can benefit from peer review mechanisms to ensure they are safe, context-appropriate, and effective. In an academic journal, experts in the field anonymously critique a paper before publication; in a collaborative rebuilding context, a similar process could involve multi-disciplinary panels reviewing project proposals, or an open-comment period where registered experts and community stakeholders provide feedback on

plans. The aim is to create a culture of **design validation** and continuous improvement, rather than top-down approval only.

**Digital platforms enabling peer review** might include features like issue tracking, discussion threads, or formal "review request" workflows akin to code reviews on GitHub. For example, when an engineer uploads the structural design for a bridge or a multi-story building in Damascus, the system could automatically flag it for review by other civil engineers in the community, or notify a relevant technical working group. Reviewers could then comment, ask questions, or suggest modifications publicly. This echoes the practice on GitHub where contributors submit a "pull request" and peers comment on the code before it's merged – a process that has proven to catch errors and improve quality in open-source software development. In architecture and planning, a comparable model might be an **open design review board**: a mix of professionals (architects, urban planners, heritage conservationists, etc.) and local representatives who evaluate submissions. Since the platform is online, these reviews could happen asynchronously and transparently, with all comments archived. Over time, this builds a knowledge base of critiques and solutions. A designer in Raqqa could see how a similar school design was refined after peer feedback in another region, learning from that exchange.

There are already precedents for collaborative review in humanitarian work. Within Syria's humanitarian coordination structure, for instance, the Shelter Cluster (which brings together NGOs and UN agencies working on shelter/housing) has **Technical Working Groups** that develop guidance and review technical plans collectively [8] [9]. For example, the cluster's *Dignified Shelter* working group produced standards for camp shelters, and members jointly vetted designs to ensure they met minimum requirements [9]. This is essentially a peer review mechanism under another name – practitioners sharing designs and checking each other's work against agreed standards. A digital platform could formalize and broaden this: not only aid agencies but also independent engineers, diaspora experts, and academic researchers could weigh in on designs. Imagine a scenario where a proposed water supply network for a town is posted online; a water engineer from Aleppo, a professor from a European university, and a local Syrian plumber could all review it, each bringing unique perspectives (technical standards, latest research, and ground-level practicality, respectively).

To be effective, peer review in reconstruction must be **constructive and culturally sensitive**. Reviewers should be encouraged to follow guidelines (perhaps a code of conduct similar to journal peer review ethics) focusing on the merits of the design, safety, and contextual fit. Open reviewing also means any criticism or concerns are out in the open, which fosters accountability. A notable global example is how **open-access science platforms** publish peer review reports alongside articles; this transparency has improved trust in findings. Likewise, publishing the feedback and revisions history of a reconstruction project can improve trust among Syrians that projects have been thoroughly vetted, thereby increasing public buy-in. For instance, if a neighborhood plan in Homs is publicly reviewed and revised to address residents' concerns (e.g. adding more community spaces or adjusting to preserve a historical fabric), those residents can see their input or an expert's input was taken into account.

Peer review mechanisms can also incorporate **local knowledge as a form of review**. In post-war contexts, international experts may propose solutions drawn from other countries, but these should be "reviewed" by locals who understand cultural and social nuances. A 2022 study on post-conflict housing in Syria highlighted that international NGOs had technical knowledge from other disaster responses, but local NGOs had "a wealth of experience in the local construction sector and vernacular architecture" [10]. The study noted a best practice: **have Syrian (NNGO) experts train or inform INGOs about local building**

**methods and materials** [10]. This two-way knowledge sharing effectively peer-reviews external ideas against indigenous wisdom. The same study recommended creating open project databases (through bodies like the Global Shelter Cluster) to share lessons learned and technical guidelines across organizations [11]. Such databases, if integrated with a platform, could allow anyone planning a project in Syria to check if similar designs were tried elsewhere and what peer feedback they received. In sum, peer review in this context means *baking technical due diligence and community consultation into the design process*, using digital tools to do it at scale.

## Open-Source Licensing Models for Collaboration and Credit Attribution

**Open-source licensing** provides the legal framework to enable broad collaboration and reuse of materials – be it software code, data, or architectural designs – while protecting creators' rights and ensuring they receive attribution. Applying open-source or open-content licenses in Syria's reconstruction efforts can tear down knowledge silos and drastically increase participation. There are several dimensions to consider:

- **Open content for designs and plans:** Architectural drawings, urban master plans, housing prototypes, and technical schematics can be shared under licenses like Creative Commons (CC) or similar. This would legally permit anyone to study, use, modify, and re-share the plans, provided they credit the original designers (depending on the specific CC license chosen). A powerful real-world precedent came in 2016 when Pritzker Prize-winning architect Alejandro Aravena released four of his low-cost housing designs into the public domain, explicitly so that other communities worldwide could adapt and build upon them [12]. This "open-source architecture" move was unprecedented in the elite architecture world and *"allowed people to use and adapt [his firm's] ideas for other contexts around the world"* [13]. It exemplifies how open licensing can spur innovation transfer: designs that worked in Chile might inspire solutions in Syria's devastated cities, saving time and resources. Another example is the **WikiHouse** project, which provides an online library of open-source house designs and a toolkit so that anyone with a CNC machine can fabricate the parts locally [14]. WikiHouse uses open licenses to let a global community iterate on its blueprints – essentially a "Wikipedia for stuff" as its founders envisioned [15]. For Syria, embracing such models means that a shelter design perfected by an NGO in one region (say a low-cost insulated shelter for winter) could be openly published for any other group or local builder to replicate, without waiting for formal hand-offs or worrying about intellectual property restrictions.

- **Open-source software and data:** Humanitarian tech initiatives often rely on software tools (for mapping, project management, communication, etc.) and data (damage assessments, maps, statistics). Using open-source software (with licenses like MIT, GPL, Apache 2.0) ensures that Syrian developers and organizations can deploy and customize these tools without legal or financial barriers. We have seen how critical this can be: during the February 2023 Türkiye–Syria earthquake response, *open-source platforms and open data were linchpins of the humanitarian efforts*. Over 9,000 volunteers collaborated to update **OpenStreetMap (OSM)** with road networks, building collapses, and relief sites, using open-licensed satellite imagery and tools like HOT's Tasking Manager [7]. The resulting maps – shared openly on OSM and the Humanitarian Data Exchange – gave responders crucial information to "navigate disaster areas, coordinate response, and conduct damage assessments" [16]. Because OSM's data is open (under the Open Database License), anyone could integrate it into their planning for recovery. In the reconstruction phase, similar open data mapping

will be invaluable: e.g. mapping which neighborhoods have intact infrastructure, which heritage sites need restoration, etc., all in a freely accessible way. Additionally, many humanitarian software tools are already open-source (for instance, **KoBoToolbox** for surveys, **Ushahidi** for crisis mapping, or the **IFRC GO** platform for disaster info, which builds on open-source components [17]). Adopting these in Syria – or encouraging new tools developed by Syrian tech talents – under open licenses fosters self-reliance (no vendor lock-in) and community-driven improvement of the software. Notably, even **GitHub**, the code-sharing platform, has become broadly available to Syrian developers after sanctions were relaxed [18], allowing Syrian projects to be hosted and collaborated on openly.

- **Open hardware and appropriate technology:** Reconstructing communities isn't only about buildings; it also involves deploying technologies like solar panels, water filters, medical devices, etc. **Open-source hardware** licenses (such as CERN OHL or TAPR) can be used to share designs for these physical technologies so they can be locally manufactured and repaired. For example, an open-source design for a low-cost prosthetic or a DIY solar water heater can be copied and built by Syrian workshops without needing to import expensive proprietary products. The Humanitarian Technology community increasingly embraces this: sharing blueprints for everything from sensors to shelters to be produced with local materials [19] [20]. A poignant architectural example is the **Open Architecture Network** (OAN) launched by Architecture for Humanity. It was *"the first open source system for supporting sustainable and humanitarian design"*, combining a project database, file sharing, and collaborative tools [21]. All blueprints on OAN were offered under Creative Commons licenses, which **"ensure intellectual property rights are protected from commercial exploitation"** while remaining free for communities to use [22]. On this platform, a flood-proof house design invented in Bangladesh was made freely available and ended up being replicated by architects for 100 houses in Colombia [23] [24]. Such cross-pollination of ideas is only possible with open licensing: one community's innovation becomes a global public good. For Syria, where certain building solutions (like cost-effective earthquake-resistant techniques or recycled-rubble bricks) might emerge, open-source licenses would allow those solutions to spread to all affected cities rapidly.

Open licensing also directly addresses **credit attribution**. Most open licenses (e.g. CC BY) require attribution – meaning any reuse must credit the original creator. In a collaborative reconstruction context, this is key to ensuring that Syrian professionals and communities get recognition for their innovations, even as others build on them. It creates an incentive to share: architects are more willing to upload their design if they know their name will stay attached in derivative works. Additionally, open platforms often have built-in version histories and contributor lists (like Git logs or wiki history), which transparently show *who contributed what*. This attribution mechanism can mitigate one cultural concern: in traditional hierarchies, big institutions or firms might appropriate credit for work done by smaller local partners. With open collaboration, every contributor's name can be logged. As Peter Williams of ARCHIVE (Architecture for Health in Vulnerable Environments) noted regarding open-source architecture, what's needed is *"a framework that ensures people are building with the right materials under a correctly specified system"*, along with **"rating scales and additional measures for attribution, responsibility and accountability"** for designs [25]. In other words, open licensing coupled with clear attribution and review processes gives confidence that designs are both **accessible and trustworthy**.

## Global Precedents and Platforms to Draw From

To implement the above ideas, Syria's reconstruction effort can draw on successful precedents and digital platforms from around the world:

- **GitHub and Version Control for Reconstruction:** GitHub is synonymous with open-source software development, but its core ideas – version control, transparent collaboration, and issue tracking – can be extended to architecture and planning. For example, a *"GitHub for urban plans"* could allow planners to upload CAD files or GIS data for a city master plan, then manage revisions as collaborators propose changes. Each change is tracked, and previous versions are preserved (useful for auditing decisions). GitHub's model also includes branching (trying alternate proposals without affecting the main plan) and merging changes after review, which could be a powerful way to handle community contributions. While urban plans aren't text code, there are now tools for versioning other formats, and GitHub is already used to host datasets and documentation for humanitarian projects [7]. In fact, during the earthquake response, the HOT Tasking Manager – a tool to coordinate crowd mapping – is an open-source project on GitHub, and volunteers used it to divide mapping work among thousands of contributors [26]. The same approach could be used to crowdsource the mapping of war damage in Syrian cities or to maintain an open registry of reconstruction projects with contributions from multiple organizations. Emulating GitHub means also emulating its culture of **openness and meritocracy**: contributions are judged by their quality (peer-reviewed via comments) rather than the author's status, and anyone can propose improvements. This could empower young Syrian professionals and students globally to contribute ideas directly to rebuilding plans, much as a student developer can contribute code to a major open-source software project.

- **Creative Commons and Open Data Licenses:** Creative Commons (CC) licenses have become the global standard for sharing creative works and research openly. Humanitarian and development organizations increasingly publish content under CC licenses to encourage reuse – for instance, the World Bank's reports and many UN publications are under CC BY. In the Syrian context, adopting CC for documentation (assessments, policy papers, training manuals) and design work would legally affirm that this knowledge is a **commons** for all Syrians to build upon. As noted, Architecture for Humanity pioneered using CC for architectural plans, becoming *"the first organization in the world to utilize [the] Creative Commons licensing system on a physical structure"* back in 2005 [27]. We can take inspiration from their model: they chose an open license to maximize dissemination of life-saving designs (schools, shelters, clinics) while safeguarding them from being exclusively commercialized. Another relevant license is the **Open Database License (ODbL)**, used by OpenStreetMap, which ensures that data remains open and that users credit OSM contributors. This kind of license could be applied to any shared datasets in Syria's reconstruction (e.g. a database of structural assessments or a GIS map of utilities) so that data provided by one agency can freely be used by others in their planning, as long as the source is credited. The **Humanitarian Data Exchange (HDX)** already follows this principle: it's a UN OCHA platform where datasets (like Syria damage assessments or refugee statistics) are shared under open terms and widely downloaded for analysis [28] [29]. By tying into such systems and mandating open licenses on new data produced (for example, requiring any donor-funded assessment or survey in Syria to be released openly after a short interval), reconstruction actors can avoid information hoarding and accelerate collective insight.

- **Academic Open Access and Peer Review Reforms:** The academic sector's practices can guide how we manage knowledge for Syria. **Open-access journals and repositories** ensure that research about post-war rebuilding is available to all – critical for Syrian universities and practitioners who might not afford journal subscriptions. Journals like *Syria Studies* (St. Andrews University) are peer-reviewed and open access, contributing contemporary Syria-related research freely [30]. Moreover, innovative publishing platforms (e.g. F1000Research or SpringerOpen) use *post-publication peer review* where reviewer reports are public and transparent. This is analogous to the kind of open peer feedback we envision for design proposals. By partnering with universities and think tanks, a reconstruction platform might host an *open peer-reviewed archive* of reconstruction research and case studies, where Syrian and international experts publish findings (with DOIs and citations), and these get openly reviewed and commented on by peers. This would blend with the practical side – research could directly inform practice on the same platform.

- **Success Stories in Crowdsourced Planning:** Beyond technology, there are participatory design precedents to emulate. For example, in planning post-earthquake Christchurch (New Zealand) and post-war Kabul (Afghanistan), online forums and mapping tools were used to gather citizen input on reconstruction plans. Those processes were not fully open-source, but they indicate that people are eager to contribute local knowledge when given a transparent platform. Another example: **OpenStreetMap** and the Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team (HOT) have shown that with training and community engagement, even conflict-affected communities can contribute to critical infrastructure data. In Syria, HOT has worked with local partners to carefully expand mapping in conflict zones, balancing openness with "do no harm" principles [31]. This demonstrates that open collaboration can be adapted to sensitive contexts by adding safeguards (like anonymizing certain data or limiting detail on security-sensitive features).

- **Open Innovation Challenges:** The global tech and design community often organizes hackathons or competitions to spur innovation, many of which require open-source outputs. An example in the architecture realm is the **Open Source Housing** challenges, or initiatives by groups like Architecture Sans Frontières. These events encourage diverse teams to co-create solutions for housing or schools, typically sharing their designs openly at the end. Syria could benefit from similar open challenges – for instance, an international "Rebuild Syria Open Challenge" where teams submit designs for clinics or public spaces under CC licenses, with peer-jury review, and winning solutions then available for any municipality to use. The *Tamayouz Excellence Award* itself (which recognized Syrbanism) has run design competitions focused on Iraq and Syria's reconstruction, bringing forward ideas from young architects globally. Ensuring all submissions in such competitions are open access would populate a library of ideas that Syrian planners can tap into.

## Adapting to Syria's Cultural, Legal, and Technological Context

While the benefits of citation, peer review, and open licensing are clear, applying these models in Syria must account for local realities:

- **Cultural Considerations:** Syria's professional culture in architecture and engineering has historically been more top-down, with government agencies and large firms dominating planning, and with less tradition of public participation. Introducing open peer review and broad knowledge-sharing may require a cultural shift towards valuing *collaborative deliberation* and *critique*. However, there are supportive cultural elements to leverage: Syria has a rich tradition of scholarship and pride in

cultural heritage – framing citation and peer review as extensions of that scholarly pride could resonate. Moreover, the Syrian diaspora is highly educated; many displaced Syrian professionals are eager to contribute to rebuilding. An open platform could bridge inside and outside: diaspora experts providing input and mentorship through peer review, while local voices ensure cultural appropriateness. It will be important to provide content in Arabic (and possibly bilingual Arabic-English) so that knowledge is not restricted by language. Community engagement tools should be sensitive to local customs – for instance, facilitating face-to-face workshops in communities to complement online discussions can help those less comfortable with digital forums to have their say, and later those inputs can be entered into the online system with proper attribution.

- **Legal Factors:** Syria's legal environment under the Assad regime was not conducive to free information flow – there were strict controls on media and NGOs, and intellectual property rights enforcement was inconsistent. In a future scenario where reconstruction is collaborative, legal reforms might be needed to protect open collaboration. This could include adopting laws or policies that encourage open data (so that government datasets relevant to reconstruction are released), or at least removing any legal ambiguities around sharing government-authored plans and codes under open licenses. There may also be intellectual property questions: for example, will Syrian government contracts allow architects to openly license their designs, or will they claim ownership? Advocating for contract clauses that permit open licensing of publicly funded works would be crucial. Additionally, international sanctions and trade controls have impacted Syria's access to technology – until recently, platforms like GitHub had to block Syrian users from certain services due to U.S. sanctions [32] [33]. As sanctions evolve with political developments, ensuring Syrians have full access to global collaboration platforms is vital. Encouragingly, GitHub announced in 2021 that it secured U.S. government permission to expand services in Syria, reactivating access for developers [18]. This kind of advocacy (treating open source development as a humanitarian good exempt from sanctions) should continue so that Syrian reconstruction efforts are not isolated. On the flip side, Syria's legal framework must also guard against misuse of "open" models – for instance, by establishing guidelines on open data that protect privacy (especially important if community mapping collects personal data) and by preventing the exploitation of open-source contributions (e.g. a company taking an open design, building it without credit or compensation to authors – a robust open license like CC BY-SA, which forces sharing under same terms, can help here).

- **Technological Infrastructure:** War has damaged Syria's infrastructure, including power grids and internet connectivity. A fancy digital platform means little if people on the ground cannot reliably access it. Therefore, any open collaboration system should be optimized for low-bandwidth use and mobile accessibility (given mobile phones are more widespread than computers in many areas). It might need offline features – for example, the ability to download data or plans when online and use them offline in the field, syncing later. Additionally, tech capacity building is needed: training Syrian officials, young professionals, and community members in the use of tools like QGIS (for open mapping), project management software, or simply how to navigate and contribute to the reconstruction knowledge portal. The payoff is not just better reconstruction outcomes but also **digital empowerment**. As one technology policy analyst noted, *"a secure digital transition is essential... for transparency, public trust, and institutional resilience"* in Syria's recovery [34]. Rebuilding the *digital infrastructure* – including open data platforms and collaborative tools – should be seen as on par with rebuilding roads and bridges. Encouragingly, Syria has tech talent and a growing IT sector (including open-source enthusiasts) despite the conflict. By involving them in creating and maintaining the collaboration platform (perhaps even hosting it in Syria eventually), we ensure

sustainability. Open-source software can be audited and modified locally, giving Syrians digital sovereignty. For example, if GitHub is not fully reliable due to external control, an alternative could be setting up a self-hosted GitLab server in-country for critical files. All content should be backed up and mirrored to prevent data loss in case of outages.

- **Trust and Buy-In:** Ultimately, the success of these open models hinges on trust from stakeholders: the Syrian government (current or transitional), local authorities, professionals, and communities must trust the platform as a neutral, beneficial space. This may require involving respected Syrian institutions (like the Syndicate of Engineers or public universities) and international bodies (UN-Habitat, UNESCO, etc.) as conveners or guarantors of the platform's mission. Showcasing quick wins could help – for instance, if an open-source design competition produces a great result that gets built in a community and is well-received, it will build confidence in the approach. It's also important to address concerns of quality: some may worry that "open" means unvetted or low-quality inputs. We must communicate that **open does not mean lacking standards** – in fact, open peer review and citation bring *more* rigor. The platform could prominently feature a **validation system** (as Architecture for Humanity planned with OAN) where exemplary designs or methods are tagged as "peer-reviewed" or "field-tested" after evaluation [35]. Think of it as an analog to how Stack Exchange (Q&A site) highlights best answers: the community and experts can upvote or certify certain contributions, giving users guidance on what to trust.

## Potential Impact on Syria's Reconstruction

If implemented thoughtfully, adapting citation systems, peer review, and open licensing to Syria's reconstruction could have far-reaching positive impacts:

- **Faster Learning and Innovation:** Transparent knowledge sharing means that as soon as one project discovers a successful solution (say a cost-effective earthquake-resistant foundation, or an efficient workflow for debris recycling), that knowledge propagates to all other projects. This network effect can significantly speed up innovation. Post-conflict contexts often suffer from each agency "learning by doing" in parallel – an open platform breaks those silos. For example, Reparametrize Studio's **"Recoding Post-War Syria"** project, an open-data urban planning platform for Damascus, uses advanced 3D scanning to devise reconstruction plans that reuse existing structures and rubble [36] [37]. If its data and methodology are openly published, any Syrian city could replicate that approach, adapting it to their local terrain and damage patterns. Over time, a **collective intelligence** emerges, comprising both modern techniques and traditional knowledge. This is crucial in Syria where vernacular architecture (like Aleppo's stone masonry or vernacular courtyard houses) holds climate-smart and culturally significant solutions – openly documenting and reviewing how to blend old and new will result in more sustainable designs.

- **Enhanced Quality and Safety:** With peer review and open vetting, substandard or unsafe plans are more likely to be caught before execution. The collapse of a poorly built structure in the future can be averted today if peers flag that the design didn't account for seismic loads. Moreover, open peer review can incorporate **risk contextualization** – e.g., experts can comment if a proposed project might inadvertently exacerbate social tensions (perhaps by unequal resource distribution) or if it overlooks gender or disability inclusivity. By addressing these issues in the design phase, the resulting projects are more holistically sound. The approach essentially adds layers of quality control: technical (engineers review engineering, etc.), social (community feedback), and ethical (human

rights and inclusion experts, for instance, could review plans for any conflict-sensitivity issues). In a country emerging from conflict, this kind of scrutiny can also guard against corruption and abuse. Plans and budgets shared openly allow watchdogs to see if resources are being allocated fairly and if standards are met. This may deter the kind of corruption that often plagues reconstruction funds, as Transparency International has warned regarding Syria (corruption in contracting, aid diversion, etc., thrive in opacity).

- **Local Empowerment and Inclusivity:** Adopting open models can shift reconstruction from something done *to* communities to something done *with* communities. When knowledge is openly accessible, local entrepreneurs, builders, and officials can take initiative rather than waiting on external consultants for every decision. Open-source licensing means a municipality or a community cooperative can implement a design without needing expensive permissions. Peer review involving local stakeholders means their voices count in evaluating what gets built. All this contributes to **capacity building**: Syrian professionals collaboratively developing world-class knowledge will strengthen local institutions (e.g., municipal planning departments can become more competent by continuous peer learning online). It also helps reconnect the fragmented Syrian expert diaspora with home: a Syrian structural engineer in Europe could consistently contribute to reviews of buildings in her hometown, transferring skills and guiding younger engineers there, effectively acting as a remote mentor via the platform. Such inclusive processes also rebuild social trust – critical in a society divided by war. If former adversary communities see they can cooperatively plan a shared market or school via a transparent process, it fosters reconciliation. Indeed, reconstruction is not just physical recovery but social healing, and an open collaborative approach signals that everyone's knowledge and rights are respected in the new Syria.

- **Global Support and Resources:** Making Syria's reconstruction open and transparent can galvanize global support. Researchers worldwide would be more inclined to contribute data or analyses if they know it will be openly available and impactful. Open designs could attract material support too – for example, a global community might crowdfund to build an open-source clinic design in a Syrian town, precisely because the plans are public and vetted (so donors trust where their money is going). Additionally, by licensing content openly, Syrian projects can legally integrate international open resources: they can use existing open-source tech (from mapping software to 3D printing designs for prosthetics) without cost, and adapt them locally. This reduces dependency on foreign contractors selling proprietary solutions. On a policy level, demonstrating a transparent reconstruction process may also unlock conditional funding from entities like the EU or World Bank, who have emphasized governance and transparency. If Syria's planners can show an inclusive, open system (with cited evidence, community input, and anti-corruption measures via open contracting data), international donors might be more willing to invest, seeing lower risk of funds mismanagement.

Of course, challenges will remain – open collaboration is not a panacea for political disagreements or security issues on the ground. Yet, even navigating disagreements can be aided by a common knowledge base. As one Carnegie Endowment report phrased it, Syria's rebuilding is an opportunity not just to repair buildings but to *"establish a new social contract"* [38]. An open, peer-driven reconstruction platform exemplifies that contract: it says the Syrian people have a right to partake in and know about how their country is being rebuilt.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, applying citation systems, peer review mechanisms, and open-source licensing to Syria's collaborative reconstruction is a forward-thinking strategy to ensure that rebuilding is **transparent, inclusive, and knowledge-driven**. By mandating citations and open data, we create a living library of Syria's reconstruction knowledge where every lesson and resource is preserved for reuse. By instituting peer review and open design critique, we put quality and accountability at the heart of rebuilding – much needed in a context where mistakes can cost lives or entrench injustices. And by embracing open-source licensing, we unlock massive collaborative potential, both within Syria and globally, while giving credit where it's due and empowering local innovators.

Global precedents from humanitarian tech, open-source architecture, and scholarly communities show that these approaches are not utopian; they have worked in various contexts – from the crowdsourced mapping of earthquake damage used in real time by responders [28], to the Open Architecture Network's sharing of designs that got built across continents [23] [24], to academic networks that freely disseminate research on peacebuilding. Adapting them to Syria will require creativity and commitment, but the payoff is a reconstruction process that not only rebuilds infrastructure but also rebuilds **trust, capacity, and hope**. In a country that has seen information manipulated and voices silenced during conflict, an open reconstruction model symbolizes a break from the past – a reconstruction done in the light of day, with pens and keyboards as important as bricks and mortar. It turns the very process of rebuilding into a foundation for a more cooperative, well-informed, and vibrant Syrian society.

**Sources:**


- Raeburn-Gibson, T. (2022). *Supporting self-recovery in post-conflict situations: a case study of Syria*. *Journal of International Humanitarian Action, 7*(1). (Open access) [10] [11].
- Thomson Reuters Foundation (2013). *Open-source opens up architecture for the poor* [22] [25].
- ArchDaily (2016). *5 Initiatives That Show the Rise of Open Source Architecture* [12] [39].
- Tamayouz Excellence Award (2019). *Meet Our Finalists: Syrbanism (Mohamed Makiya Prize)* [5] [2].
- Rebuilding Syria Platform – Maher Daboul (2018). *Mission & Vision* [1].
- Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team (2023). *Using OSM Data in the Turkey and Syria Earthquake Response* [28].
- Opensource.com (Red Hat) (2023). *Open source maps and open data help humanitarian response* [7] [40].
- Wikipedia – *Architecture for Humanity* [27] [21].
- Shelter Cluster Syria (2023). *North-West Syria Shelter Cluster – Technical Working Groups* [8] [9].
- TechPolicy Press (2025). *Syria's Recovery Depends on Rebuilding Its Digital Infrastructure* [34].

1 About Syria Rebuilding Platform | Mission & Vision 2025
https://www.rebuilding-syria.com/about-us

2 3 4 5 MEET OUR FINALISTS: MOHAMED MAKIYA PRIZE 2019 - SYRBANISM - Tamayouz Excellence Award
https://tamayouz-award.com/meet-our-finalists-mohamed-makiya-prize-2019-syrbanism/

6 AIA Attribution of credit
https://www.aia.org/about-aia/professional-standards/attribution-of-credit

7 16 17 26 31 40 Open source maps and open data help humanitarian response | Opensource.com
https://opensource.com/article/23/3/open-source-open-data-humanitarian-response

8 North-West Syria Hub | Shelter Cluster
https://sheltercluster.org/response/North-West%20Syria%20Hub

9 Dignified Shelters Technical Guidance
https://sheltercluster.org/north-west-syria-hub/documents/dignified-shelters-technical-guidance

10 11 Supporting self-recovery in post-conflict situations: a case study of Syria | Journal of International Humanitarian Action
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s41018-022-00125-y

12 13 14 15 39 5 Initiatives That Show the Rise of Open Source Architecture | ArchDaily
https://www.archdaily.com/795959/5-initiatives-that-show-the-rise-of-open-source-architecture

18 GitHub is enabling broader access for developers in Syria following ...
https://github.blog/company/github-is-enabling-broader-access-for-developers-in-syria-following-new-government-trade-rules/

19 20 22 23 24 25 35 Open-source opens up architecture for the poor
https://news.trust.org/item/20130812112809-osm19/

21 27 Architecture for Humanity - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Architecture_for_Humanity

28 29 Using OSM Data in the Turkey and Syria Earthquake Response
https://www.hotosm.org/en/news/using-osm-data-in-the-turkey-and-syria-earthquake-response/

30 About the Journal | Syria Studies
https://ojs.st-andrews.ac.uk/index.php/syria/about

32 GitHub blocks partial access for developers in Syria, Iran and other ...
https://www.menabytes.com/github-blocks-access-syria-iran/

33 What is GitHub, the platform that has reactivated its services in Syria?
https://english.enabbaladi.net/archives/2025/09/what-is-github-the-platform-that-has-reactivated-its-services-in-syria/

34 Syria’s Recovery Depends on Rebuilding Its Digital Infrastructure | TechPolicy.Press
https://www.techpolicy.press/syrias-recovery-depends-on-rebuilding-its-digital-infrastructure/

36 37 Recoding Post-War Syria – Designing Peace
https://exhibitions.cooperhewitt.org/designing-peace/recoding-post-war-syria/

38 Rebuilding the Syrian Nation: Impressions from the Ground
https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2025/04/rebuilding-the-syrian-nation-impressions-from-the-ground?lang=en