

# Arrays and strings

Dmitry Boulytchev

November 13, 2019

An array can be represented as a pair: the length of the array and a mapping from indices to elements. If we denote  $\mathcal{E}$  the set of elements then the set of all arrays  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E})$  can be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E})$$

For an array  $(n, f)$  we assume  $\text{dom } f = [0..n-1]$ . An element selection function:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet[\bullet] : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \\ (n, f)[i] &= \begin{cases} f\ i & , \quad i < n \\ \perp & , \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We represent arrays by references. Thus, we introduce a (linearly) ordered set of locations

$$\mathcal{L} = \{l_0, l_1, \dots\}$$

Now, the set of all values the programs operate on can be described as follows:

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{Z} \uplus \mathcal{L}$$

Here, every value is either an integer, or a reference (some location). The disjoint union “ $\uplus$ ” makes it possible to unambiguously discriminate between the shapes of each value. To access arrays, we introduce an abstraction of memory:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V})$$

We now add two more components to the configurations: a memory function  $\mu$  and the first free memory location  $l_m$ , and define the following primitive:

$$\mathbf{mem} \langle s, \mu, l_m, i, o, v \rangle = \mu$$

which gives a memory function from a configuration.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{e}_{\mathcal{E}} c' \quad \Phi \vdash c' \xrightarrow{j}_{\mathcal{E}} c'' \quad \begin{array}{l} l = \mathbf{val} \ c' \\ l \in \mathcal{L} \\ (n, f) = \mathbf{mem} \ l \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} j = \mathbf{val} \ c'' \\ j \in \mathbb{N} \\ j < n \end{array}}{\Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{e[j]_{\mathcal{E}}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{ret} \ c''(f \ j)} \quad [\text{ArrayElement}] \\
\\
\frac{\Phi \vdash c_j \xrightarrow{e_j}_{\mathcal{E}} c_{j+1}, j \in [0..k] \quad \langle s, \mu, l_m, i, o, \_ \rangle = c_{k+1}}{\Phi \vdash c_0 \xrightarrow{[e_0, e_1, \dots, e_k]_{\mathcal{E}}}_{\mathcal{E}} \langle s, \mu[l_m \leftarrow (k+1, \lambda n. \mathbf{val} \ c_n)], l_{m+1}, i, o, l_m \rangle} \quad [\text{Array}] \\
\\
\frac{\Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{e}_{\mathcal{E}} c' \quad \begin{array}{l} l = \mathbf{val} \ c' \\ l \in \mathcal{L} \\ (n, f) = (\mathbf{mem} \ c') \ l \end{array}}{\Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{e.\text{length}}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{return} \ c' \ n} \quad [\text{ArrayLength}]
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Big-step Operational Semantics for Array Expressions

### 0.1 Adding arrays on expression level

On expression level, abstractly/concretely:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{E} + = & \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}] & (a[e]) \quad \text{taking an element} \\
& | \quad [\mathcal{E}^*] & ([e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k]) \quad \text{creating an array} \\
& | \quad \mathcal{E}.\text{length} & (e.\text{length}) \quad \text{taking the length}
\end{array}$$

The semantics of enriched expressions is modified as follows. First, we add two additional premises to the rule for binary operators:

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{A}_{\mathcal{E}} c' \quad \Phi \vdash c' \xrightarrow{B}_{\mathcal{E}} c'' \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{val} \ c' \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbf{val} \ c'' \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array}}{\Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{A \otimes B}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{ret} \ c'' (\mathbf{val} \ c' \oplus \mathbf{val} \ c'')} \quad [\text{Binop}]$$

These two premises ensure that both operand expressions are evaluated into integer values. Second, we have to add the rules for new kinds of expressions (see Figure 1).

### 0.2 Adding arrays on statement level

On statement level, we add the single construct:

$$\mathcal{S} + = \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}]:= \mathcal{E}$$

This construct is interpreted as an assignment to an element of an array. The semantics of this construct is described by the following rule:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} c' \\ l = \mathbf{val} \ c' \\ l \in \mathcal{L} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Phi \vdash c' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} c'' \\ i = \mathbf{val} \ c'' \\ i \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \quad \Phi \vdash c'' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} \langle s, \mu, l_m, i, o, v \rangle}{\begin{array}{c} (n, f) = \mu l \\ i < n \\ \text{skip}, \Phi \vdash \langle s, \mu[l \leftarrow (n, f[i \leftarrow x])], l_m, i, o, - \rangle \xrightarrow{K} \tilde{c} \\ \hline K, \Phi \vdash c \xrightarrow{e[j]:=g} \tilde{c} \end{array}} \quad [\text{ArrayAssign}]$$

### 0.3 Strings

With arrays in our hands, we can easily add strings as arrays of characters. In fact, on the source language the strings can be introduced as a syntactic extension:

1. we add a character constants 'c' as a shortcut for their integer codes;
2. we add a string literals "abcd ..." as a shortcut for arrays ['a', 'b', 'c', 'd', ...] .

Nothing else has to be done — now we have mutable reference-representable strings.