

Anton Buguev BS19-RO-01

Date of birth: 21.02.2001

The final examination on Digital Signal Processing  
Innopolis University, Spring semester 2022

## 1 Task 1

### 1.1 Problem

Let us define the following binary operation  $(\cdot|_)$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  for any two vectors  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  and  $w = (w_1, w_2)$ . Let  $(v|w) = (-1)^{\text{day month} \cdot v_1 w_1^* + \text{year} \cdot v_2 w_2^*}$ . Is this operation a dot-product? If yes then prove, otherwise explain what dot-product axioms do hold and what do fail.

### 1.2 Solution

First, let us substitute numbers into our defined operations and we get:

$$\begin{aligned}(v|w) &= (-1)^{21 \cdot 2 \cdot v_1 w_1^* + 2001 \cdot v_2 w_2^*} = \\ &= -2 \cdot v_1 w_1^* + 2001 \cdot v_2 w_2^*\end{aligned}$$

In order to check if this operation is dot-product we need to consider axioms of inner product (or dot-product). These properties for all vectors  $u, v, w \in \mathbf{C}$  (complex vector space) and all scalars  $a, b \in F$  (field of numbers) are:

- Conjugate symmetry:  $(u|v) = (v|u)^*$ , where  $*$  is a conjugate operation on scalars.
- Linearity in the first argument:  $(au + bv|w) = a(u|w) + b(v|w)$ .
- Positive-definiteness:  $(u|u) > 0$  assuming  $u \neq 0$  and  $(0|0) = 0$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 1, Slide 32, [Link](#)

Let us proceed and consider these properties separately:

- Conjugate symmetry:

First, we write  $(w|v)^*$  by definition of our operation:

$$(w|v)^* = (-2 \cdot w_1 v_1^* + 2001 \cdot w_2 v_2^*)^*$$

Second, due to the properties of conjugates we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}(w|v)^* &= (-2 \cdot w_1 v_1^*)^* + (2001 \cdot w_2 v_2^*)^* = \\ &= -2 \cdot w_1^* (v_1^*)^* + 2001 \cdot w_2^* (v_2^*)^* = \\ &= -2 \cdot w_1^* v_1 + 2001 \cdot w_2^* v_2\end{aligned}$$

Applying commutative law for multiplication we finally get:

$$(w|v)^* = -2 \cdot v_1 w_1^* + 2001 \cdot v_2 w_2^*$$

Now we can see that

$$(v|w) = (w|v)^*, \text{ thus } \mathbf{Conjugate\ symmetry\ axiom\ holds.}$$

- Linearity in the first argument:

Let us take scalars  $a$  and  $b \in F$  and vector  $u \in \mathbf{C}$  and consider expression  $(av + bw|u)$ :

$$(av + bw|u) = -2(av_1 + bw_1)u_1^* + 2001(av_2 + bw_2)u_2^*$$

Using distributivity of multiplication over addition we can write

$$(av + bw|u) = a(-2v_1u_1^* + 2001v_2u_2^*) + b(-2w_1u_1^* + 2001w_2u_2^*)$$

We can notice that first term is in fact  $a(v|u)$  and second is  $b(w|u)$ , therefore we can write

$$(av + bw|u) = a(v|u) + b(w|u), \text{ thus } \mathbf{Linearity in the first argument axiom holds}$$

- Positive-definiteness:

First, let us write expression for  $(v|v)$ :

$$(v|v) = -2v_1v_1^* + 2001v_2v_2^*$$

Since  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are complex numbers, therefore we write them in form

$$v_1 = x_1 + iy_1, \quad v_2 = x_2 + iy_2$$

where  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $i$  - imaginary unit, which means

$$v_kv_k^* = (x_k + iy_k)(x_k - iy_k) = x_k^2 + y_k^2 = |v_k|^2$$

where  $|v_k|$  argument of complex number  $v_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using this property we can write:

$$(v|v) = -2|v_1|^2 + 2001|v_2|^2$$

As we can see, the expression above is not always positive-definite because it contains negative number, which means it can be negative for some values of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . For example, if  $v_1 = 100$  and  $v_2 = 1$  we get

$$(v|v) = -20000 + 2001 = -17999 < 0, \text{ thus } \mathbf{Positive-definiteness axiom fails}$$

## 1.3 Conclusion

One of of dot-product axioms fails, hence we can say that our defined operation is **not a dot-product**.

## 2 Task 3

### 2.1 Problem

Starting with the definitions, compute cross-correlation, convolution, and circular convolution of the signals  $a$  and  $b$ .

### 2.2 Solution

In our case signals  $a$  and  $b$  are:

$$a = (\mathbf{2} \ 1 \ 0 \ 2), \quad b = (\mathbf{2} \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)$$

- Cross-correlation:

By definition: The deterministic cross-correlation of two signals  $x$  and  $y$  is another sequence  $c_{x,y} = (\dots, c_{-2}, c_{-1}, \mathbf{c_0}, c_1, c_2, \dots)$  such that  $c_n = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} x_k y_{k-n}^*$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 10, [Link](#)

First, let us adapt our sequences. In order to compute circular convolution we need to extend sequences to infinite sequences with *finite support*, therefore let us add zeros in the beginning and the end of sequences  $a$  and  $b$ :

$$a = (\dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{2} \ 1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots)$$

$$b = (\dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{2} \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots)$$

Since elements  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  where  $i < 0$  and  $i > 3$  are zeros, therefore product between these elements and any other element will always lead to 0. Hence, during calculations let us consider only such elements  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  where  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ . And since sequences do not contain complex numbers, conjugate operation can be omitted.

Now let us compute cross-correlation:

$$\begin{aligned}
- c_{-3} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{k+1} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_3 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 1 = 2} \\
- c_{-2} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{k+2} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_2 + a_1 \cdot b_3 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 = 1} \\
- c_{-1} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{k+1} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_1 + a_1 \cdot b_2 + a_2 \cdot b_3 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 1 = 0} \\
- c_0 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_k = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot \mathbf{b_0} + a_1 \cdot b_1 + a_2 \cdot b_2 + a_3 \cdot b_3 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 1 = 6} \\
- c_1 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{k-1} = a_1 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} + a_2 \cdot b_1 + a_3 \cdot b_2 = 1 \cdot \mathbf{2} + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 0 = 2 \\
- c_2 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{k-2} = a_2 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} + a_3 \cdot b_1 = 0 \cdot \mathbf{2} + 2 \cdot 0 = 0 \\
- c_3 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{k-3} = a_3 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{2} = 2
\end{aligned}$$

We can notice that for  $i \leq -4$  and  $i \geq 4$  value of  $c_i$  will always be 0, because corresponding  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are 0, hence cross-correlation of  $a$  and  $b$  is:

$$c_{a,b} = (\dots 0 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ \mathbf{6} \ 2 \ 0 \ 4 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots)$$

- Convolution:

By definition: The convolution  $(h * x)$  between signals  $h$  and  $x$  is a sequence such that

$$(h * x)_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{n-k} x_k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{n-k} h_k.$$

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 31, [Link](#)

As well as before, let us extend sequences  $a$  and  $b$  to infinite sequences with *finite support* and fill them with zeros. Moreover, product of elements  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  where  $i < -3$  and  $i > 3$  by any other element also leads to 0, hence we will not consider them. Therefore, the convolution is:

$$\begin{aligned}
- (a * b)_0 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{-k} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot \mathbf{b_0} = \mathbf{2 \cdot 2 = 4} \\
- (a * b)_1 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{1-k} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_1 + a_1 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} = \mathbf{2 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 2 = 2} \\
- (a * b)_2 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{2-k} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_2 + a_1 \cdot b_1 + a_2 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} = \mathbf{2 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 2 = 0} \\
- (a * b)_3 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{3-k} = \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_3 + a_1 \cdot b_2 + a_2 \cdot b_1 + a_3 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} = \mathbf{2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 2 = 6} \\
- (a * b)_4 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{4-k} = a_1 \cdot b_3 + a_2 \cdot b_2 + a_3 \cdot b_1 = 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 0 = 1 \\
- (a * b)_5 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{5-k} = a_2 \cdot b_3 + a_3 \cdot b_2 = 0 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 0 = 0 \\
- (a * b)_6 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a_k, b_{6-k} = a_3 \cdot b_3 = 2 \cdot 1 = 2
\end{aligned}$$

Here we can notice that for  $n \leq -1$  and  $n \geq 7$  elements of convolution are 0, hence convolution of signals  $a$  and  $b$  is:

$$(a * b) = (\dots 0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{4} \ 2 \ 0 \ 6 \ 1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots)$$

- Circular convolution:

By definition: The circular convolution  $(h^{(*)}x)$  between two finite sequences  $h$  and  $x$  of some fixed length  $m > 0$  is the following sequence of the same length  $m$ :

$$(h^{(*)}x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{k=m-1} h_k x_{(n-k) \bmod m} = \sum_{k=0}^{k=m-1} h_{(n-k) \bmod m} x_k.$$

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 4, Slide 43, [Link](#)

Our signals have length 4, i.e.  $m = 4$ , therefore formula of circular convolution for signals  $a$  and  $b$  is:

$$(a^{(*)}b)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{k=3} a_{(n-k) \bmod 4} b_k = \sum_{k=0}^{k=3} b_{(n-k) \bmod 4} a_k$$

Now let us compute circular convolution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a^{(*)}b)_0 &= \mathbf{a_0} \cdot \mathbf{b_0} + a_1 \cdot b_3 + a_2 \cdot b_2 + a_3 \cdot b_1 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 2} + 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 0 = \mathbf{5} \\
 (a^{(*)}b)_1 &= \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_1 + a_1 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} + a_2 \cdot b_3 + a_3 \cdot b_2 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 0} + 1 \cdot \mathbf{2} + 0 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 0 = 2 \\
 (a^{(*)}b)_2 &= \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_2 + a_1 \cdot b_1 + a_2 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} + a_3 \cdot b_3 = \mathbf{2 \cdot 0} + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot \mathbf{2} + 2 \cdot 1 = 2 \\
 (a^{(*)}b)_3 &= \mathbf{a_0} \cdot b_3 + a_1 \cdot b_2 + a_2 \cdot b_1 + a_3 \cdot \mathbf{b_0} = \mathbf{2 \cdot 1} + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{2} = 6
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result sequence is:

$$(a^{(*)}b) = (\mathbf{5} \ 2 \ 2 \ 6)$$

## 2.3 Answer

Cross-correlation:

$$c_{a,b} = (\dots 0 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ \mathbf{6} \ 2 \ 0 \ 4 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots)$$

Convolution:

$$(a * b) = (\dots 0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{4} \ 2 \ 0 \ 6 \ 1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots)$$

Circular convolution:

$$(a^{(*)}b) = (\mathbf{5} \ 2 \ 2 \ 6)$$

## 3 Task 4

### 3.1 Problem

Consider a moving-average system (operator) that maps any input signal  $x = (x_n)$  output signal  $(y_n) = \left( \frac{(\text{month})x_{n-1} + (\text{day})x_{n+1}}{(\text{year})} \right)$ . Examine whether this system is memoryless, causal, shift-invariant, BIBO-stable, linear. If the system is linear then draw its infinitary matrix.

### 3.2 Solution

First, let us substitute numbers into formula for output signal and we get

$$(y_n) = \left( \frac{2x_{n-1} + 21x_{n+1}}{2001} \right)$$

Now let us consider properties of the properties of this system.

- Linear:

By definition: A linear system enjoys additivity and scaling that together are known in engineering *as the superposition principle*:  $T(ax + bu) = aT(x) + bT(u)$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 13, [Link](#)

Let us consider equation for  $T(ax + bu)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(ax + bu) &= \frac{2(ax_{n-1} + bu_{n-1}) + 21(ax_{n+1} + bu_{n+1})}{2001} = \\
 &= \frac{a(2x_{n-1} + 21x_{n+1})}{2001} + \frac{b(2u_{n-1} + 21u_{n+1})}{2001} = aT(x) + bT(u)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can conclude that this system is **linear**.

The infinite matrix of this system is:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 \dots & 0 & \frac{21}{2001} & 0 & \dots \\
 \dots & \frac{2}{2001} & 0 & \frac{21}{2001} & \dots \\
 \dots & 0 & \frac{2}{2001} & 0 & \dots \\
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots
 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Memoryless:

By definition: A system  $T$  is *memoryless* if for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all input signals  $x$  and  $x'$  the following implication holds:  $x_k = x'_k$  implies  $(Tx)_k = (Tx')_k$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 15, [Link](#)

Let us write equations for  $(Tx)_k$  and  $(Tx')_k$ :

$$(Tx)_k = \left( \frac{2x_{k-1} + 21x_{k+1}}{2001} \right), \quad (Tx')_k = \left( \frac{2x'_{k-1} + 21x'_{k+1}}{2001} \right)$$

Since formulas for  $(Tx)_k$  and  $(Tx')_k$  contain elements  $x_{k-1}$ ,  $x'_{k-1}$ ,  $x_{k+1}$  and  $x'_{k+1}$  which we do not have information about whether they are equal to each other as well, therefore we cannot say that our system is memoryless. Hence, this system is **not memoryless**.

- Causal:

By definition: A system  $T$  is called *causal* if for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all input signals  $x$  and  $x'$  the following implication holds:  $x_{(-\infty, k]} = x'_{(-\infty, k]}$  implies  $(Tx)_{(-\infty, k]} = (Tx')_{(-\infty, k]}$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 15, [Link](#)

Similar to the previous case, equations for  $(Tx)_k$  and  $(Tx')_k$  contain elements  $x_{k+1}$  and  $x'_{k+1}$  which do not belong to  $x_{(-\infty, k]}$  and  $x'_{(-\infty, k]}$  respectively, therefore we cannot definitely say that these elements are equal as well. Hence we conclude that this system is **not causal**.

- Shift-invariant:

By definition: A linear system  $T$  is *shift-invariant* (LSI thereafter) if for every input signal  $T$  (shifted  $x$ ) is shifted  $Tx$ , i.e. if  $((Tx)_{n-k}) = T(x_{n-k})$  for every input signal  $x$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 16, [Link](#)

Let us consider equations for  $((Tx)_{n-k})$  and  $T(x_{n-k})$ :

$$((Tx)_{n-k}) = (y)_{n-k} = \frac{2x_{n-k-1} + 21x_{n-k+1}}{2001}$$

$$T(x_{n-k}) = \frac{2x_{n-k-1} + 21x_{n-k+1}}{2001}$$

As we can see, these equations are equal to each other, therefore  $((Tx)_{n-k}) = T(x_{n-k})$ , hence we can conclude that this system is **shift-invariant**.

- BIBO-stable:

By definition: A system  $T$  is called *bounded-input, bounded-output stable* (BIBO-stable) if a bounded input always produces bounded output:  $Tx \in l^\infty$  for all  $x \in l^\infty$ .

Source: Lecture notes, Topic 3, Slide 18, [Link](#)

According to the theorem from the same lecture notes the LSI system is BIBO-stable iff its impulse response is absolutely summable. The impulse response of this system is:

$$(\dots, 0, 0, \frac{21}{2001}, 0, \frac{2}{2001}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Therefore the sum of the response can be computed:

$$\frac{21}{2001} + \frac{2}{2001} = \frac{23}{2001}$$

As we can see the impulse response is summable, hence we can conclude that this system is **BIBO-stable**.

### 3.3 Answer

This system is linear, shift-invariant and BIBO-stable, however, it is not memoryless and not causal.

## 4 Task 5

### 4.1 Problem

Firstly, starting your answer with definition of DTFT, compute  $A(\omega)$ – DTFT of the signal  $a$  Then (also starting with definition of IDTFT) compute IDTFT for  $A(\omega)$  and validate that in this case IDTFT is the inverse for DTFT indeed.

### 4.2 Solution

1. Definition: **The Discrete-Time Fourier Transform (DTFT)** maps each filter  $x$  (i.e., two-side infinite absolutely summable sequence) to the frequency response *spectrum* function  $X(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-j\omega k} x_k$  of real argument  $\omega$ .

*Source:* Lecture notes, Topic 4, Slide 9, [Link](#)

In our case we have sequence  $a$ :

$$a = (\mathbf{2} \ 1 \ 0 \ 2)$$

Now let us compute DTFT:

$$A(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{k=3} e^{-j\omega k} a_k = 2e^{-j\omega \cdot 0} + e^{-j\omega \cdot 1} + 0e^{-j\omega \cdot 2} + 2e^{-j\omega \cdot 3}$$

Hence we have

$$A(\omega) = 2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}$$

2. Definition: **The Inverse-DTFT** of  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f(\omega)$  of the real argument is the following (two-side infinite) sequence  $x = (\dots x_{-2} \ x_{-1} \ x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots)$  where  $x_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Source:* Lecture notes, Topic 4, Slide 10, [Link](#)

Let us compute inverse-DTFT for  $0 \leq n \leq 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \ a_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}) e^{j\omega \cdot 0} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}) d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 2\omega - \frac{e^{-j\omega}}{j} - \frac{2e^{-3j\omega}}{3j} \right) \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (2(\pi + \pi) - \frac{1}{j}(e^{-j\pi} - e^{j\pi}) - \frac{2}{3j}(e^{-3j\pi} - e^{3j\pi})) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Euler formula  $e^{j\phi} = \cos \phi + j \sin \phi$  we get:

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} (4\pi - \frac{1}{j}(\cos \pi - j \sin \pi - \cos \pi - j \sin \pi) - \frac{2}{3j}(\cos 3\pi - j \sin 3\pi - \cos 3\pi - j \sin 3\pi)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 4\pi = \mathbf{2}$$

Let us apply the same procedure for the rest values of  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \ a_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}) e^{j\omega \cdot 1} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2e^{j\omega} + 1 + 2e^{-2j\omega}) d\omega = 1 \\ \bullet \ a_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}) e^{j\omega \cdot 2} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2e^{2j\omega} + e^{j\omega} + 2e^{-j\omega}) d\omega = 0 \\ \bullet \ a_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}) e^{j\omega \cdot 3} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2e^{3j\omega} + e^{2j\omega} + 2) d\omega = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore result sequence of inverse-DTFT is:

$$a = (\mathbf{2} \ 1 \ 0 \ 2)$$

3. Comparison:

As we can see, the result of inverse-DTFT is indeed corresponds to original sequence  $a$ . Hence, we can say that DTFT and inverse-DTFT are correct.

### 4.3 Conclusion

DTFT:

$$A(\omega) = 2 + e^{-j\omega} + 2e^{-3j\omega}$$

Inverse-DTFT:

$$a = (\mathbf{2} \ 1 \ 0 \ 2)$$

Inverse-DTFT sequence is equal to the original sequence.