LAB₁

Question 1

```
def sgd_factorise(A: torch.Tensor, rank: int, num_epochs = 1000, lr = 0.01):
    U = torch.rand(A.shape[0], rank)
    V = torch.rand(A.shape[1], rank)

for i in range(num_epochs):
    for r in range(A.shape[0]):
        for c in range(A.shape[1]):
            e = A[r][c] - U[r]@V[c].t()
            U[r] = U[r] + lr*e*V[c]
            V[c] = V[c] + lr*e*U[r]
    return U, V

matrix = torch.tensor([[0.3374, 0.6005, 0.1735], [3.3359, 0.0492, 1.8374], [2.9407, 0.5301, 2.2620]])
    U, V = sgd_factorise(matrix, 2)
    torch.nn.functional.mse_loss(matrix, torch.mm(U, V.t()), reduction = 'sum')

tensor(0.1220)
```

Question 2

```
In [11]:
U, S, V = torch.svd(matrix)
S[-1] = 0
    temp = torch.mm(U, torch.diag(S))
    matrix_const = torch.mm(temp, V.t())
    torch.nn.functional.mse_loss(matrix, matrix_const, reduction = 'sum')
Out[11]: tensor(0.1219)
```

The reconstruction loss for the truncated SVD is similar to the loss produced in question 1. The SVD technique can produce the representation of A as a sum of rank one matrices up to its rank r. A matrix of rank r can be approximated by the matrix with rank k where $k \le r$ according to Eckart Young Mirsky theorem. Since k = 1 and r = 2 and $k \le r$, the reconstructed matrix in question 2 is a good approximation for the original matrix.

Question 3

The error between the original matrix and the estimated matrix is 1.0042. The 2 matrices are not identical; however, rank 2 factorization is able to complete the masked matrix and produce reasonable result similar to the original matrix. Based on the results in question 3, gradient-based approach to matrix factorization is a good algorithm to do matrix completion and produce good approximation of the original matrix.

Question 4

The rating is 3.4869. The sum of squared error of the resultant matrix computed over valid values is 3926469