# Final Project

Hierarchical Normal model for Baby Birthweight data

The birthweight data is from the R package **LearnBayes**. It is a dataframe with 24 observations on the following 3 variables.

- age : gestational age in weeks

- gender : gender of the baby where 0 (1) is male (female)

- weight : birthweight of baby in grams

```
library(LearnBayes)
data("birthweight")
library(lattice)
mygender <- with(birthweight, ifelse(birthweight$gender==0,"Male","Female"))
dotplot(mygender ~ weight, data = birthweight,
        xlab = "Birthweight", ylab = "Gender")
```

Suppose Y_{ij} are the birthweights for gender i, and assume

$$Y_{ij} \overset{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2), \ \ \mu_i \overset{ind}{\sim} N(\eta, \tau^2)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_i$; $n = n_1 + n_2$, and prior

$$\pi(\eta, \tau^2, \sigma) \propto \boxed{Ca^+(\tau; 0, b_\tau) \cdot Ca^+(\sigma; 0, b_\sigma)},$$

where $Ca^+(x; 0, b)$ is the truncated Cauchy distribution with pdf

$$f(x|b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{b[1 + (x/b)^2]} I_{[x>0]}; \ \ b > 0.$$

柯西分布只留>0，機率值*2
定義域(0,正無限)

The Gibbs sampling needs the full conditionals as following:

$$p(\mu_i|\eta, \sigma^2, \tau^2, y) = N\left(\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n_i} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right]\left[\frac{\bar{y}_i}{\sigma^2/n_i} + \frac{\eta}{\tau^2}\right], \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n_i} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right]^{-1}\right)$$

$$p(\eta|\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2, \tau^2, y) = N\left(\bar{\mu}, \tau^2/2\right)$$

$$p(\sigma|\mu_1, \mu_2, \eta, \tau^2, y) \propto IG\left(\sigma^2; n/2, \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i}(y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2/2\right) \cdot \boxed{Ca^+(\sigma; 0, b_\sigma)}$$

$$p(\tau|\mu_1, \mu_2, \eta, \sigma^2, y) \propto IG\left(\tau^2; 1, \sum_{i=1}^{2}(\mu_i - \eta)^2/2\right) \boxed{Ca^+(\tau; 0, b_\tau)},$$

初使參數怎麼設?
b未知

where $\bar{y}_i = (\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij})/n_i$, $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)/2$, and $IG(x; a, b)$ is the inverted Gamma distribution with pdf

$$f(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a e^{-b/x} x^{-(a+1)}}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

定義域 (0,正無限)

The full conditionals for σ and τ are not a known density. Please compare the following three sampling methods to sample from $p(\tau|\mu_1, \mu_2, \eta, \sigma^2, y) \propto IG(\tau^2; a, b) \cdot Ca^+(\tau; 0, b_\tau)$ (or equivalently $p(\sigma|\mu_1, \mu_2, \eta, \tau^2, y)$)

x = 0 時，分母最小，值最大

1. Acceptance-Reject sampling with $(\tau^*)^2 \sim IG(a, b)$ and thus $C_{max} = Ca^+(0; 0, b_\tau)$ and the acceptance probability is $Ca^+(\tau^*; 0, b_\tau)/C_{max}$.

2. Independence Metropolis-Hastings with $(\tau^*)^2 \sim IG(a, b)$ and thus the acceptance probability is $\min\{Ca^+(\tau^*; 0, b_\tau)/Ca^+(\tau^{(t)}; 0, b_\tau), 1\}$. *target 是 Ca 但proposal 不用?* so acceptance probability is

3. Metropolis-Hastings with $\tau^* \sim \chi^2(\cdot|\tau^{(t)})$ and thus the acceptance probabi $\alpha(X_t, Y) = \min\left(1, \frac{f(Y)g(X_t)}{f(X_t)g(Y)}\right) \cdot$

合理，接受機率 = 合融上的概率值/取入值
不過這樣的話我們還是沒有解決unbound 的問題

$$\min\left\{\frac{q(\tau^*) \cdot \chi^2(\tau^{(t)}|\tau^*)}{q(\tau^{(t)}) \cdot \chi^2(\tau^*|\tau^{(t)})}, 1\right\}$$

建第三張剪報 處理掉了

where $q(\tau) = IG(\tau^2; a, b) \cdot Ca^+(\tau; 0, b_\tau).$

不過這樣應該就不只除以C max
還要再除以x的分布機率

Problem to solve

In this study, we use two Markov chain approaches to sample from a target posterior distribution:

- Metropolis-Hastings algorithm
- Gibbs sampling

Objective

- Diagnostic the convergence of each MCMC samples   *gibbs p42,Gelman-Rubin*
- Plot the sampling distributions of $\mu_1, \mu_2, \eta, \sigma^2, \tau^2$.
- Discuss the efficiency of three sampling schemes.