

The coevolutionary mosaic of betacoronavirus emergence risk

Norma Forero Rocio Munoz^{1,2,‡} Renata L. Muylaert³ Stephanie N. Seifert⁴ Gregory F. Albery⁵

Daniel J. Becker⁶ Colin J. Carlson^{7,8,9,‡} [Timothée Poisot](#)^{1,2,‡}

¹ Université de Montréal ² Québec Centre for Biodiversity Sciences ³ Molecular Epidemiology and Public Health Laboratory, School of Veterinary Science, Massey University, New Zealand ⁴ Paul G. Allen School for Global Health, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, United States ⁵ Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA ⁶ Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA ⁷ Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC,

⁸ Center for Global Health Science and Security, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA ⁹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

[‡] These authors contributed equally to the work

Correspondance to:

Timothée Poisot — timothee.poisot@umontreal.ca

Driven by the need to understand the ecological factors involved in the emergence of *Betacoronavirus* (the genus causing the SARS, MERS, and COVID-19 in human) through bat hosts, we develop an approach to the assessment of spillover risk based on the Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution. In doing so, we provide a global mapping of the spillover risk posed by betacoronaviruses, reflecting the fact that this risk is best understood through the multi-faceted prism of ecological and evolutionary mechanisms. Our framework reveals that host richness alone, although a component of viral hazard, is not a sufficiently integrative predictor of risk. We offer alternative insights based on viral sharing, host compositional uniqueness, and host phylogenetic diversity and phylogeographic regions. By comparing our aggregated measure of risk to a proxy for human density, namely the proportion of each pixel that is covered by urban or built land, we provide a synthetic risk map, allowing the identification of hotspots where the bat-betacoronavirus interaction network may facilitate the emergence of novel viruses and their spillover into human populations.

1 Disease emergence is complex, and is driven not only by animal-human contact, but also by the
2 underlying evolutionary dynamics in viral reservoirs.¹ Although host richness is often used as a superficial
3 proxy for spillover risk,^{2,3} these approaches oversimplify the relevant interspecific heterogeneity in
4 immunology, behavior, and other traits, and therefore overlook unique host pools that allow for the rapid
5 evolution of highly divergent viruses.⁴ In the case of generalist pathogens like betacoronaviruses, there is
6 conceptual and empirical support to the idea that these community-level mechanisms are even more
7 important,⁵ particularly given that cross-species transmission may, as a rule, structure viral evolution
8 more than co-divergence with hosts.⁶ This creates a disconnect between coevolutionary theory (including
9 empirical evidence from virology) and most existing ecological frameworks for mapping spillover risk.

10 The Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution (GMTC) attempts to explicitly connect microevolutionary
11 dynamics to the macroecology and biogeography of symbiotic interactions.⁷ The GMTC posits that
12 coevolutionary processes among pairs⁸ or complexes⁹ of species are structured in space by the rippling
13 effects of abiotic conditions onto evolutionary mechanism, giving rise to fragmented systems with
14 different structure and ecologically dynamics over large spatial extents.¹⁰ The GMTC predicts a spatial
15 fragmentation of coevolutionary dynamics under the joint action of three processes:¹¹ coevolutionary hot-
16 and coldspots, which appear when the intensity of *interaction* (in terms of reciprocal fitness consequences)
17 varies spatially; selection mosaics, wherein the intensity of *selection* varies across space, driven by both the
18 biotic complexity of the community (locally diverse hosts and viruses are more biotically complex) and the
19 local favorability of the environment;¹² and trait remixing, which occurs when coevolutionary dynamics
20 are driven by the arrival (or departure) of *functional traits*, through changes in community composition
21 due to invasions, meta-community dynamics, and dispersal.

22 Here, we apply the GMTC to explore and explain the global biogeography of betacoronaviruses, the group
23 that includes SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. In their bat reservoirs, coronaviruses evolve
24 through a mix of host jumps, recombination among disparate lineages, and, to a lesser degree,
25 co-divergence with their hosts—²a mix of mechanisms that creates a complex and nonlinear relationship
26 between host diversity and viral emergence. Working from a recently published database of bat hosts of
27 betacoronaviruses, we develop the first global maps of both host and virus evolutionary distinctiveness
28 and biogeographic regions for this system. Aiming to explain these patterns, we develop a generalized
29 framework for applying the GMTC to host-virus interactions, with a specific emphasis on the potential to
30 create independent coevolutionary dynamics (and therefore spatial fragmentation in risk) through

31 heterogeneity. We develop a trivariate risk assessment system that connects each GMTC mechanism to a
32 quantifiable aspect of host-virus interactions: (i) viral sharing rates in host communities, representing the
33 strength of potential interaction between viruses and any one host (i.e., places where viruses undergo
34 constant host switching may be coevolutionary coldspots); (ii) the phylogenetic diversity of hosts, as a
35 proxy for variation in the immunological mechanisms that antagonize viruses (i.e., the selection mosaic);
36 and (iii) the local uniqueness of the bat community, representing the potential for viruses to be exposed to
37 novel host traits (e.g., variation in receptor sequences). Together, we argue that these can be used to
38 identify and map the evolutionary drivers that—in conjunction with transmission processes (e.g., viral
39 prevalence in reservoirs and animal-human contact rates)—determine disease emergence risk.

40 Results and Discussion

41 Host richness does not predict virus distinctiveness

42 Bats, the second most diverse group of mammals, are found worldwide and serve as the main animal
43 reservoir for different strains of betacoronaviruses.¹³ This has attracted attention to areas where high
44 diversity of bats, and therefore presumably high diversity of betacoronaviruses, can be an important issue
45 for human health.^{14,15} By overlaying the IUCN range maps for confirmed bat hosts of betacoronaviruses
46 (fig. 1; top), we see that the main hotspots (both in terms of size and higher values) of host richness are
47 primarily South-Eastern Asia, parts of Southern Europe, and to a lesser extent parts of Africa in the -25-0
48 range of latitudes. The description of host richness is an important first step towards understanding risk,
49 as previous research^{2,16} states that locally diverse bat communities could maintain more viruses and
50 hence, a higher probability of having a pathogen that could represent a risk for human health.

51 [Figure 1 about here.]

52 Nevertheless, locally diverse and virus-rich bat communities could represent an increased risk of spillover
53 under climate change through the creation of novel interactions,¹⁷ and therefore the diversity of
54 *Betacoronavirus* strains should similarly be accounted for. In fig. 1 (bottom), we contrast the evolutionary
55 distinctiveness of bats and viruses – this reveals a slightly different portrait than bat richness alone.
56 Chiropterans can be classified, from a macro-evolutionary standpoint, as Yangochiroptera and

57 Yinpterochiroptera elsewhere.^{18,19} Specifically, we would expect that the so-called “New World” group of
58 bats, being more evolutionary distinct, would also have evolutionary distinct viruses. Indeed fig. 1
59 (bottom) reveals it to be the case, and this region harbors a distinct bat-betacoronaviruses complex. This
60 can be explained by the fact that Yangochiroptera, although not limited to the western hemisphere,
61 contain the highly diverse adaptive radiation in the Phyllostomidae,²⁰ which is restricted to the western
62 hemisphere. By contrast, South-Eastern Asia has a lot of non-evolutionary distinct bats, who nevertheless
63 hosted evolutionary-distinct viruses.

64 It is noteworthy that outside of South America, viral evolutionary distinctiveness does not accurately track
65 host diversity, with some areas having over-distinct viruses (eastern China but, oddly, not the rest of
66 southeast Asia). There are a number of likely explanations. First, given the richness of bats in southeast
67 Asia, many betacoronaviruses likely remain to be discovered in this region. Indeed, global predictions
68 highlight that southeast Asia is a likely hotspot of unconfirmed hosts of betacoronaviruses,²¹ which would
69 likely result in additional viral discoveries. This idea is unsurprising given the growing realization,
70 especially since the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, that unique lineages of similar viruses are widespread in
71 bats but still mostly undescribed. The most distinct bats-betacoronaviruses complex is found in South
72 America, a region with a comparatively lower number of hosts; this matches with isolation through host
73 vicariance, and may highlight a different co-evolutionary dynamic. Alternatively, this distinctiveness
74 hotspot may be a product of under-sampling: South-America is one of the places where the fewest
75 *Betacoronavirus* sequences have been discovered,^{2,22,23} resulting in sparser phylogenetic tree, thereby
76 artificially inflating distinctiveness. Adding more viruses would bring the distinctiveness of known
77 sequences down. Finally, South-America is the range of Phyllostomidae, a group of bats that underwent
78 explosive diversification events,²⁰ which may drive the emergence of multiple viral lineages.

79 The phylogeographic regions of hosts and their viruses overlap

80 Despite the difference in evolutionary distinctiveness globally, there are reasons to expect that the
81 phylogeography of bats and betacoronaviruses should show some degree of congruence.^{24,25} In particular,
82 this should be the case if viruses can circulate among hosts and co-evolve with local hosts communities,
83 making their evolutionary process more than a byproduct of host evolution. High density of hosts sharing
84 the same virus (albeit possibly different strains) can drive or result from evolution of the bat antiviral
85 immune system, resulting in spatially distinct immunological responses, as evidenced in several bat

86 species.²⁶ Immune characteristics that allow bats to be better adapted to infection by emerging viruses,^{27,28}
87 in addition to being hardcoded in their genome,²⁹ may be related to a wide variety of diets,^{26,30} themselves
88 likely to be driven by spatial effects, especially at the local scale – bats, indeed, occupy a variety of
89 environments, and therefore display a variety of adaptations to these environments.³¹

90 [Figure 2 about here.]

91 In fig. 2, we show a projection of the phylogeographic signal of bats (top) and viruses (bottom) in space;
92 the distinct groupings (represented by different colors symbolizing positions in the subspace formed by
93 the first two axes of the PCoA) are essentially equivalent between the two groups, and can be coarsely
94 delineated as southeast Asia, Eurasia above a northing of 25, and Africa and south America. These results
95 suggest that, although the evolutionary distinctiveness of the bat-betacoronaviruses complex varies
96 spatially, the system shows an important degree of spatial consistency, with a reduced number of
97 bioregions. Available information describing the spillover of zoonotic betacoronaviruses of bat origin
98 where data was available before and up through the COVID-19 pandemic puts spillover events of
99 SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China; SARS-CoV in Guangdong, China based on the presence of closest known
100 viruses circulating in nature, and a nearby location where serological (antibody) evidence has indicated
101 human exposure to SARS-like viruses;³² MERS-CoV in Saudi Arabia based on index cases available from a
102 recently-published compendium of cases.³³ For the latest event, most if not all index cases are presumed to
103 be camel-to-human transmission, and the precise origin point (if it exists) of MERS-CoV in bats is
104 uncertain. Recent recombinant canine coronavirus spillover events in Haiti³⁴ and Europe³⁵ are not
105 relevant here, as bats' involvement in these cycles of transmission have been supposed to be non-existent.
106 These index cases fall within different phylogeographic bioregions (fig. 2), which further highlight the
107 issue that different host-virus sub-systems may lead to widespread emergence.

108 **Coevolution-informed emergence risk is different in space**

109 As host richness, joint distinctiveness, or phylogeographic structure suggest that the bat-betacoronaviruses
110 complex is globally fragmented enough to give rise to both different levels of risk (as evidenced by the
111 spatial location of spillover events) and different types of co-evolutionary dynamics, we turn to the
112 Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution to provide a measure of risk accounting for multiple processes.
113 In fig. 3, we overlapped three components of spillover risk: viral sharing, *i.e.* the chance that two bats will

114 share viruses overall; Local Contribution to Beta Diversity, *i.e.* the fact that a bat community is
115 compositionally unique compared to the average compositional similarity across the entire system; finally,
116 host phylogenetic diversity, *i.e.* how dispersed the bats in a location are within the tree of life. This
117 approach leads to the definition of broad biogeographic regions of risk, where the same color represents
118 the same type of risk. By way of contrast to figures fig. 1 and fig. 2, these regions do not necessarily
119 overlap with previous spatial partitions of the bat-betacoronaviruses complex.

120 [Figure 3 about here.]

121 From the perspective of spillover risk, the most important combination of factors is a high phylogenetic
122 diversity of hosts with low viral sharing; this, essentially, means that very different betacoronaviruses
123 could co-exist within the same place. This is particularly the case given that betacoronaviruses often
124 evolve and even achieve host shifts through recombination, which requires the co-occurrence of
125 sufficiently distinct viruses to be a major driver of emergence. In fig. 3, this corresponds to yellow to pale
126 green areas, which are essentially limited to South-Eastern Asia, and to some part of Sub-Saharan Africa.
127 Adopting a geographic mosaic theory perspective on risk, other regions of the world are of lesser concern
128 (fig. 4). Our risk decomposition does not account for viral diversity or distinctiveness. The simple
129 rationale behind it is that the acquisition of viral data is rarely disconnected from the acquisition of host
130 data. There are more sources of information on hosts than on viruses, allowing to develop a host-centric
131 perspective on risk (although this estimate would be more accurate with viral traits related to *e.g.* ability to
132 switch hosts or pathogenic potential). Areas with high bat diversity and high turnover *may* facilitate the
133 evolutionary radiation of viruses, matching previous findings that the diversification of bat coronaviruses
134 is driven largely by host shifts (inter-genus or higher levels of cross-species transmission) and, to a lesser
135 degree, cospeciation and sharing, representing intra-genus cross-species transmission.² This
136 diversification is not an actual risk factor for spillover itself, but acts downstream of a spillover event by
137 increasing the random chance of the emergence of a virus with the raw genomic components required for
138 the potential to infect humans.

139 [Figure 4 about here.]

140 From another perspective, areas of high host uniqueness and virus sharing (red-to-pink) could provide
141 hotspots of *Betacoronavirus* risk through mixing of unique viruses (via codivergence) and in turn

142 recombination. Under our framework, such a hotspot was identified in Madagascar, where most bat
143 species are endemic following evolutionary divergence from sister species in both African and Asian
144 continents.³⁶ Recent surveillance³⁷ has identified a novel *Betacoronavirus* (in the subgenus *Nobecovirus*) in
145 Madagascar-endemic pteropid bat species (*Pteropus rufus*, *Rousettus madagascariensis*), emphasizing
146 strong proof of principle in model predictions.

147 **Human occupancy drives different levels of effective risk globally**

148 Based on the previous result, we extracted the risk component from the composite map (see Methods), to
149 provide a single measure of risk varying between 0 and 1. This measure is presented in fig. 4. As this map
150 represents the potential risk, it must be weighed by the potential for contacts with humans. As a proxy for
151 this measure, we used the proportion of build/urban land from the EarthEnv dataset: this is a reasonable
152 proxy for the density of humans per unit area, which increases the probability of pathogen spread more
153 widely.³⁸ Since human activity is required to amplify the frequency of virus encounters and thus create
154 areas of viral amplification, mapping the potential risk against measures of land use is required to generate
155 a more actionable assessment of risk. This map is presented in fig. 5. Most of South America and Europe
156 are at comparatively lower risk, as although densely populated, settlements tend to be in areas with lower
157 potential risk. Regions like Malaysia and the North coast of Australia have a high risk component, but
158 should represent a relatively lower effective risk due to low human density. However, this mapping reveals
159 that South-East Asia, the Indian subcontinent, and parts of sub-Saharan Africa, are at high risk due to the
160 overlap between built areas and bat communities representing more opportunities for cross-species
161 transmission of betacoronaviruses. In looking for the origins of SARS in China,³⁹ present serological
162 evidence that strongest human-animal contact results in higher risk of virus exposure, regardless of the
163 animal species, but that different types of contact had different impacts. Ideally, finer-grained information
164 about human activity (rather than human presence through anthropisation) could allow to partition this
165 risk further, albeit at the cost of more hypotheses required to estimate the amount of risk represented by
166 each activity. Our map of purported high risk/diversitification potential (Madagascar, South-America)
167 overlay with sampling gaps for *Betacoronavirus*,⁴⁰ stressing the need for spatially targeted monitoring and
168 discovery.

169

[Figure 5 about here.]

170 **Conclusion**

171 Bats are important reservoir hosts for different classes of microorganisms, many of which a threat to
172 human health.^{24,25} Chiropterans emerged around 64 million years ago and are one of the most diverse
173 mammalian orders, with an estimated richness of more than 1400 species.^{41,42} They exhibit a broad variety
174 of habitat use, behaviour, and feeding strategies, putting them at key positions in the delivery and
175 provisioning of several ecosystem services, tied to important ecosystem-derived benefits to human.⁴³ For
176 example, bats are an essential component of many seed-dispersal networks.⁴⁴ Over two-thirds of bats are
177 known to be either obligate or facultative insectivores, therefore actively contributing for agricultural pest
178 control,^{45,46} and vectors of pathogens that put a risk on human health.^{47,48} Because bats are globally
179 distributed and have a long evolutionary history, phylogeographic and biogeographic approaches are
180 required to shed light on the contemporary distribution of coevolutionary processes between bats and the
181 pathogens they host. Not all areas in which bats, viruses, and human are co-occurring are facing a risk of
182 spillover towards human populations, and the areas in which this risk exist may not be facing risks of the
183 same nature and magnitude.

184 Here, we propose a simple framework with broad explanatory power that helps contextualize discoveries
185 like highly divergent nobecoviruses in Madagascar and the previously-neglected adaptive radiation of
186 sarbecoviruses outside of southern China and throughout southeast Asia. In doing so, it advances
187 ecological theory beyond the current state of the art for global maps of emergence risk. For example,
188 previous studies that have used host richness as proxy have predicted a high diversity of unsampled bat
189 viruses,²³ bat coronaviruses,² and even specifically betacoronaviruses²¹ in both the Amazon and southeast
190 Asia. While we find that both regions are characterized by highly divergent host and viral communities,
191 our framework identifies key differences between the regions. We find that Latin America is a hotspot of
192 both host and viral distinctiveness, suggesting that this branch of the bat-betacoronavirus complex may be
193 undergoing independent evolutionary dynamics from the rest of the global pool, but with limited potential
194 for viral diversification—a finding that is supported by previous work indicating a higher rate of
195 codivergence in Latin America.² In contrast, in southeast Asia, host richness and viral distinctiveness are
196 high but sharing is low; this suggests a different type of evolutionary dynamics that could generate high
197 local diversity of viruses through host switching and viral recombination (see e.g.,⁴⁹ as well as the
198 discovery of recombinant viruses that share genetic material from both the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2

199 branches of the Sarbecovirus lineage).⁵⁰ Both of these regions are priority areas for sampling, especially
200 given predictions that they contain many bat hosts of undiscovered betacoronaviruses.^{21,40} However, both
201 the evolutionary and ecological aspects of emergence risk are likely higher in southeast Asia—a fact that
202 will only become more relevant, as bats track shifting climates and exchange viruses with other species,
203 creating a hotspot of cross-species transmission unique to the region.¹⁷

204 The diversity and diversification potential of bats responds to anthropogenic factors others than shifting
205 climates.⁵¹ Land use changes could significantly decrease bat suitability, notably through effects on diet
206 and availability of habitats.⁵² As our results establish that the diversification of bats betacoronaviruses
207 happens on top of processes affecting hosts, biogeographic variation in human population density and
208 anthropogenic disturbances may feed into co-evolutionary dynamics. Increase in humans-hosts contacts
209 also increase the risk of emergence of novel diseases,⁵³ so does the changes in landscape connectivity at
210 local/regional scales.⁵⁴ This represents a challenge for both conservation strategies and disease ecology:
211 some areas can a high emergence risk and more potential for the acquisition of zoonotic viruses through
212 bat-human encounters.⁵⁵ In particular, the challenge ahead lies in the need to quantify actual exposure
213 (and risk) accounting for several transmission scenarios, including both direct and indirect bat - human
214 interactions, and feeding back into the provision of ecosystem services by bats.

215 **Acknowledgements:** We acknowledge that this study was conducted on land within the traditional
216 unceded territory of the Saint Lawrence Iroquoian, Anishinabewaki, Mohawk, Huron-Wendat, and
217 Omàmiwininiwak nations. This work was supported by funding to the Viral Emergence Research
218 Initiative (VERENA) consortium including NSF BII 2021909 and a grant from Institut de Valorisation des
219 Données (IVADO). This research was enabled in part by support provided by Calcul Québec
220 (www.calculquebec.ca) and Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca). NF is funded by the NSERC
221 BIOS² CREATE program. TP and NF are funded by the Courtois Foundation. RLM was supported by
222 Bryce Carmine and Anne Carmine (née Percival), through the Massey University Foundation. DJB was
223 supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health
224 (P20GM134973).

225 **Methods**

226 **Known *Betacoronavirus* hosts**

227 We downloaded the data on bats hosts of *Betacoronavirus* from
228 <https://www.viralemergence.org/betacov> on Apr. 2022,²¹ and filtered it to “known” hosts (established
229 before the emergence of SARS-CoV-2) and “novel” hosts (confirmed through sampling and competence
230 assays since the initial data collection). The original database was assembled by a combination of data
231 mining and literature surveys, including automated alerts on the “bats” and “coronavirus” keywords to
232 identify novel empirical evidence of bats-betacoronaviruses associations; this yielded a total of 126 known
233 hosts, 47 of which were novel hosts.

234 **Bat occurrences**

235 We downloaded the rangemap of every current bat species that was classified as an empirically
236 documented host of *Betacoronavirus* from the previous step, according to recent IUCN data.⁵⁶ The range
237 maps were subsequently rasterized using the rasterize function from GDAL⁵⁷ at a resolution of
238 approximately 100kmx100km. For every pixel in the resulting raster where at least one bat host of
239 *Betacoronavirus* was present, we extract the species pool (list of all known bat hosts), which was used to
240 calculate the following risk assessment components: bat phylogenetic diversity, bat compositional
241 uniqueness, and predicted viral sharing risk.

242 **Bat phylogenetic diversity**

243 For every pixel, we measured Faith’s Phylogenetic Diversity⁵⁸ based on a recent synthetic tree with robust
244 time calibration, covering about 6000 mammalian species.⁵⁹ Faith’s PD measures the sum of unique
245 branches from an arbitrary root to a set of tips, and comparatively larger values indicate a more
246 phylogenetic diverse species pool. We measured phylogenetic diversity starting from the root of the entire
247 tree (and not from Chiroptera); this bears no consequences on the resulting values, since all branches
248 leading up to Chiroptera are only counted one per species pool, and (as we explain when describing the
249 assembly of the composite risk map), all individual risk components are ranged in [0,1]. This measure
250 incorporates a richness component, which we chose not to correct for; the interpretation of the

251 phylogenetic diversity is therefore a weighted species richness, that accounts for phylogenetic
252 over/under-dispersal in some places.

253 **Bat compositional uniqueness**

254 For every species pool, we measured its Local Contribution to Beta-Diversity;⁶⁰ LCBD works from a
255 species-data matrix (traditionally noted as \mathbf{Y}), where species are rows and sites are columns, and a value of
256 1 indicates occurrence. We extracted the \mathbf{Y} matrix assuming that every pixel represents a unique location,
257 and following best practices⁶¹ transformed it using Hellinger's distance to account for unequal bat
258 richness at different pixels. The correction of raw community data is particularly important for two
259 reasons: first, it prevents the artifact of richer sites having higher importance; second, it removes the effect
260 of overall species richness, which is already incorporated in the phylogenetic diversity component. High
261 values of LCBD indicate that the pixel has a community that is on average more dissimilar in species
262 composition than what is expected knowing the entire matrix, i.e. a more unique community. Recent
263 results by⁶² shows that LCBD measures are robust with regards to spatial scale, and are therefore
264 applicable at the global scale.

265 **Viral sharing between hosts**

266 For all bat hosts of *Betacoronavirus*, we extracted their predicted viral sharing network, generated from a
267 previously published generalized additive mixed model of virus sharing by a tensor function of
268 phylogenetic distance and geographic range overlap across mammals.⁶³ This network stores pairwise
269 values of viral community similarity. To project viral sharing values into a single value for every pixel, we
270 averaged the pairwise scores. High values of the average sharing propensity means that this specific extant
271 bat assemblage is likely to be proficient at exchanging viruses.

272 **Composite risk map**

273 To visualize the aggregated risk at the global scale, we combine the three individual risk components
274 (phylogenetic diversity, compositional uniqueness, and viral sharing) using an additive color model.⁶⁴ In
275 this approach, every risk component gets assigned a component in the RGB color model (phylogenetic
276 diversity is green, compositional uniqueness is red, and viral sharing is blue). In order to achieve a valid

277 RGB measure, all components are re-scaled to the [0,1] interval, so that a pixel with no sharing, no
278 phylogenetic diversity, and no compositional uniqueness is black, and a pixel with maximal values for
279 each is white. This additive model conveys both the intensity of the overall risk, but also the nature of the
280 risk as colors diverge towards combinations of values for three risk components. Out of the possible
281 combinations, the most risky in terms of rapid diversification and spillover potential is high phylogenetic
282 diversity and low viral sharing,⁶⁵ in that this allows multiple independent host-virus coevolutionary
283 dynamics to take place in the same location. In the colorimetric space, this corresponds to yellow – because
284 the HSV space is more amenable to calculations for feature extraction,⁶⁶ we measured the risk level by
285 calculating the angular distance of the hue of each pixel to a reference value of 60 (yellow), and weighted
286 this risk level by the value component. Specifically, given a pixel with colorimetric coordinates (h, s, v), its
287 ranged weighted risk value is

$$v \times \left[1 - \frac{|\text{atan}(\cos(\text{rad}(h)), \sin(\text{rad}(h))) - X|}{2\pi} \right],$$

288 where X is $\text{atan}(\cos(\text{rad}(60)), \sin(\text{rad}(60)))$, a constant approximately equal to 0.5235.

289 **Viral phyogeography and evolutionary diversification**

290 To next represent phyogeography of betacoronaviruses in bats, we aggregated and analyzed
291 betacoronavirus sequence data. We used the following query to pull all *Betacoronavirus* sequence data
292 from the GenBank Nucleotide database except SARS-CoV-2; (“Betacoronavirus”[Organism] OR
293 betacoronavirus[All Fields]) NOT (“Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2”[Organism] OR
294 sars-cov-2[All Fields]). We added a single representative sequence for SARS-CoV-2 and manually curated
295 to remove sequences without the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) sequence or that contained
296 words indicating recombinant or laboratory strains including “patent”, “mutant”, “GFP”, and
297 “recombinant”. We filtered over-represented taxa including betacoronavirus 1, hCoV-OC43, Middle East
298 respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Murine hepatitis virus, and hCoV-HKU1. Curated betacoronavirus
299 RdRp sequences were then aligned using MAFFT⁶⁷ v1.4.0 (Algorithm FFT-NS-2, Scoring matrix 200PAM /
300 k=2, gap open penalty 1.53m offset value 0.123) and a maximum likelihood tree reconstructed in
301 IQ-TREE⁶⁸ v1.6.12 with ModelFinder⁶⁹ ultrafast bootstrap approximation⁷⁰ with a general time reversible
302 model with empirical base frequencies and the 5-discrete-rate-category FreeRaye model of nucleotide

303 substitution (GTR+F+R5).

304 We first tested the hypothesis that hotspots of viral diversification would track hotspots of bat
305 diversification. To do so, we plotted the number of known bat hosts (specifically only those included in the
306 phylogeny, so there was a 1:1 correspondence between data sources) against the “mean evolutionary
307 distinctiveness” of the associated viruses. To calculate this, we derived the fair proportions evolutionary
308 distinctiveness⁷¹ for each of the viruses in the tree, then averaged these at the bat species level, projected
309 these values onto their geographic distributions, and averaged across every bat found in a given pixel. As
310 such, this can be thought of as a map of the mean evolutionary distinctiveness of the known viral
311 community believed to be associated with a particular subset of bats present.

312 **Co-distribution of hosts and viral hotspots**

313 Subsequently, we tested the hypothesis that the biogeography of bat betacoronaviruses should track the
314 biogeography of their hosts. To test this idea, we loosely adapted a method from,^{72,73} who proposed a
315 phylogenetic method for the delineation of animal biogeographic regions. In their original method, a
316 distance matrix - where each row or column represents a geographic raster’s grid cell, and the dissimilarity
317 values are the “beta diversity similarity” of their community assemble - undergoes non-metric
318 multidimensional scaling (NMDS); the first two axes of the NMDS are projected geographically using a
319 four-color bivariate map. Here, we build on this idea with an entirely novel methodology. First, we
320 measure the phylogenetic distance between the different viruses in the betacoronaviruses tree by using the
321 cophenetic function in ape;⁷⁴ subsequently, we take a principal components analysis of that distance
322 matrix (readily interchangeable for NMDS in this case) to project the viral tree into an n-dimensional
323 space. We then take the first two principal components and, as with the evolutionary distinctiveness
324 analysis, aggregated these to a mean host value and projected them using a four-color bivariate map.

325 **References**

- 326 1.
- 327 Plowright, R. K. *et al.* Pathways to zoonotic spillover. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **15**, 502–510 (2017).
- 328 2.
- 329 Anthony, S. J. *et al.* Global patterns in coronavirus diversity. *Virus Evolution* **3**, (2017).
- 330 3.
- 331 Ruiz-Aravena, M. *et al.* Ecology, evolution and spillover of coronaviruses from bats. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **20**, 299–314 (2022).
- 332 4.
- 333 Agosta, S. J., Janz, N. & Brooks, D. R. How specialists can be generalists: Resolving the ‘parasite paradox’ and implications for emerging infectious disease. *Zoologia (Curitiba)* **27**, 151–162 (2010).
- 334 5.
- 335 Power, A. G. & Mitchell, C. E. Pathogen Spillover in Disease Epidemics. *The American Naturalist* **164**, S79–S89 (2004).
- 336 6.
- 337 Geoghegan, J. L., Duchêne, S. & Holmes, E. C. Comparative analysis estimates the relative frequencies of co-divergence and cross-species transmission within viral families. *PLOS Pathogens* **13**, e1006215 (2017).
- 338 7.
- 339 Thompson, J. N. *The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution*. (University Of Chicago Press, 2005).
- 340 8.
- 341 Thompson, J. N. *The Coevolutionary Process*. (University Of Chicago Press, 1994).
- 342 9.
- 343 Janzen, D. H. When is it Coevolution? *Evolution* **34**, 611–612 (1980).
- 344 10.
- 345 Price, P. W. *Macroevolutionary Theory on Macroecological Patterns*. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
doi:[10.1017/CBO9780511615030](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615030).
- 346 11.
- 347 Gomulkiewicz, R. *et al.* Dos and don’ts of testing the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution. *Heredity* **98**, 249–258 (2007).

- 348 12.
- 349 Thrall, P. H., Hochberg, M. E., Burdon, J. J. & Bever, J. D. Coevolution of symbiotic mutualists and parasites
in a community context. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**, 120–126 (2007).
- 350 13.
- 351 Drexler, J. F., Corman, V. M. & Drosten, C. Ecology, evolution and classification of bat coronaviruses in the
aftermath of SARS. *Antiviral Research* **101**, 45–56 (2014).
- 352 14.
- 353 Calisher, C. H., Childs, J. E., Field, H. E., Holmes, K. V. & Schountz, T. Bats: Important Reservoir Hosts of
Emerging Viruses. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **19**, 531–545 (2006).
- 354 15.
- 355 Moratelli, R. & Calisher, C. H. Bats and zoonotic viruses: Can we confidently link bats with emerging deadly
viruses? *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **110**, 1–22 (2015).
- 356 16.
- 357 Mollentze, N. & Streicker, D. G. Viral zoonotic risk is homogenous among taxonomic orders of mammalian
and avian reservoir hosts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 9423–9430 (2020).
- 358 17.
- 359 Carlson, C. J. *et al.* Climate change increases cross-species viral transmission risk. *Nature* 1–1 (2022)
doi:[10.1038/s41586-022-04788-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04788-w).
- 360 18.
- 361 Springer, M. S. Phylogenetics: Bats United, Microbats Divided. *Current Biology* **23**, R999–R1001 (2013).
- 362 19.
- 363 Teeling, E. C. *et al.* A Molecular Phylogeny for Bats Illuminates Biogeography and the Fossil Record. *Science*
(New York, N.Y.) **307**, 580–584 (2005).
- 364 20.
- 365 Villalobos, F. & Arita, H. T. The diversity field of New World leaf-nosed bats (Phyllostomidae). *Global
Ecology and Biogeography* **19**, 200–211 (2010).
- 366 21.
- 367 Becker, D. J. *et al.* Optimising predictive models to prioritise viral discovery in zoonotic reservoirs. *The
Lancet Microbe* (2022) doi:[10.1016/S2666-5247\(21\)00245-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00245-7).
- 368 22.
- 369 Allen, T. *et al.* Global hotspots and correlates of emerging zoonotic diseases. *Nature Communications* **8**,
(2017).

- 370 23.
- 371 Olival, K. J. *et al.* Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. *Nature* **546**, 646–650 (2017).
- 372 24.
- 373 Van Brussel, K. & Holmes, E. C. Zoonotic disease and virome diversity in bats. *Current Opinion in Virology* **52**, 192–202 (2022).
- 374 25.
- 375 Letko, M., Seifert, S. N., Olival, K. J., Plowright, R. K. & Munster, V. J. Bat-borne virus diversity, spillover and emergence. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **18**, 461–471 (2020).
- 376 26.
- 377 Banerjee, A. *et al.* Novel Insights Into Immune Systems of Bats. *Frontiers in Immunology* **11**, 26 (2020).
- 378 27.
- 379 Gorbunova, V., Seluanov, A. & Kennedy, B. K. The World Goes Bats: Living Longer and Tolerating Viruses. *Cell Metabolism* **32**, 31–43 (2020).
- 380 28.
- 381 Irving, A. T., Ahn, M., Goh, G., Anderson, D. E. & Wang, L.-F. Lessons from the host defences of bats, a unique viral reservoir. *Nature* **589**, 363–370 (2021).
- 382 29.
- 383 Jebb, D. *et al.* Six reference-quality genomes reveal evolution of bat adaptations. *Nature* **583**, 578–584 (2020).
- 384 30.
- 385 Moreno Santillán, D. D. *et al.* Large-scale genome sampling reveals unique immunity and metabolic adaptations in bats. *Molecular Ecology* **mec.16027** (2021) doi:[10.1111/mec.16027](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16027).
- 386 31.
- 387 Muylaert, R. L. *et al.* Present and future distribution of bat hosts of sarbecoviruses: Implications for conservation and public health. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **289**, 20220397 (2022).
- 388 32.
- 389 Wang, N. *et al.* Serological Evidence of Bat SARS-Related Coronavirus Infection in Humans, China. *Virologica Sinica* **33**, 104–107 (2018).
- 390 33.
- 391 Ramshaw, R. E. *et al.* A database of geopositioned Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus occurrences. *Scientific Data* **6**, 318 (2019).
- 392 34.

- 393 Lednicky, J. A. *et al.* Isolation of a Novel Recombinant Canine Coronavirus from a Visitor to Haiti: Further
Evidence of Transmission of Coronaviruses of Zoonotic Origin to Humans. *Clinical Infectious Diseases: An
Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* ciab924 (2021) doi:[10.1093/cid/ciab924](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab924).
- 394 35.
- 395 Vlasova, A. N. *et al.* Animal alphacoronaviruses found in human patients with acute respiratory illness in
different countries. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* **11**, 699–702 (2022).
- 396 36.
- 397 Shi, J. J. *et al.* A Deep Divergence Time between Sister Species of Eidolon (Pteropodidae) with Evidence for
Widespread Panmixia. *Acta Chiropterologica* **16**, 279–292 (2014).
- 398 37.
- 399 Kettenburg, G. *et al.* Full Genome Nobecovirus Sequences From Malagasy Fruit Bats Define a Unique
Evolutionary History for This Coronavirus Clade. *Frontiers in Public Health* **10**, (2022).
- 400 38.
- 401 Hazarie, S., Soriano-Paños, D., Arenas, A., Gómez-Gardeñes, J. & Ghoshal, G. Interplay between population
density and mobility in determining the spread of epidemics in cities. *Communications Physics* **4**, 191 (2021).
- 402 39.
- 403 Xu, R.-H. *et al.* Epidemiologic Clues to SARS Origin in China. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **10**, 1030–1037
(2004).
- 404 40.
- 405 Cohen, L. E., Fagre, A. C., Chen, B., Carlson, C. J. & Becker, D. J. Sampling strategies and pre-pandemic
surveillance gaps for bat coronaviruses. 2022.06.15.496296 (2022) doi:[10.1101/2022.06.15.496296](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.15.496296).
- 406 41.
- 407 Peixoto, F. P., Braga, P. H. P. & Mendes, P. A synthesis of ecological and evolutionary determinants of bat
diversity across spatial scales. *BMC ecology* **18**, 18 (2018).
- 408 42.
- 409 Simmons, N. B. & Cirranello, A. L. Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic database. [https://
//batnames.org/](https://batnames.org/) (2020).
- 410 43.
- 411 Kasso, M. & Balakrishnan, M. Ecological and Economic Importance of Bats (Order Chiroptera). *ISRN
Biodiversity* **2013**, e187415 (2013).
- 412 44.
- 413 Mello, M. A. R. *et al.* The Missing Part of Seed Dispersal Networks: Structure and Robustness of Bat-Fruit
Interactions. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e17395 (2011).

- 414 45.
- 415 *Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World.* (Springer International Publishing, 2016). doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-25220-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25220-9).
- 416 46.
- 417 Williams-Guillén, K., Perfecto, I. & Vandermeer, J. Bats Limit Insects in a Neotropical Agroforestry System. *Science* **320**, 70–70 (2008).
- 418 47.
- 419 Gonsalves, L., Bicknell, B., Law, B., Webb, C. & Monamy, V. Mosquito Consumption by Insectivorous Bats: Does Size Matter? *PLOS ONE* **8**, e77183 (2013).
- 420 48.
- 421 Gonsalves, L., Lamb, S., Webb, C., Law, B. & Monamy, V. Do mosquitoes influence bat activity in coastal habitats? *Wildlife Research* **40**, 10–24 (2013).
- 422 49.
- 423 Latinne, A. *et al.* Origin and cross-species transmission of bat coronaviruses in China. *bioRxiv: The Preprint Server for Biology* 2020.05.31.116061 (2020) doi:[10.1101/2020.05.31.116061](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.31.116061).
- 424 50.
- 425 Wu, Z. *et al.* A comprehensive survey of bat sarbecoviruses across China for the origin tracing of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. (2021) doi:[10.21203/rs.3.rs-885194/v1](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-885194/v1).
- 426 51.
- 427 Alves, D. M. C. C., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., da Silva e Souza, K., Gouveia, S. F. & Villalobos, F. Geographic variation in the relationship between large-scale environmental determinants and bat species richness. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **27**, 1–8 (2018).
- 428 52.
- 429 Treitler, J. T., Heim, O., Tschapka, M. & Jung, K. The effect of local land use and loss of forests on bats and nocturnal insects. *Ecology and Evolution* **6**, 4289–4297 (2016).
- 430 53.
- 431 Johnson, C. K. *et al.* Global shifts in mammalian population trends reveal key predictors of virus spillover risk. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **287**, 20192736 (2020).
- 432 54.
- 433 Gryseels, S. *et al.* When Viruses Don't Go Viral: The Importance of Host Phylogeographic Structure in the Spatial Spread of Arenaviruses. *PLOS Pathogens* **13**, e1006073 (2017).
- 434 55.
- 435 Amman, B. R. *et al.* Investigating the role of bats in emerging zoonoses: Balancing ecology, conservation and public health interest. (FAO, 2011).

- 436 56.
- 437 IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. <https://www.iucnredlist.org> (2021).
- 438 57.
- 439 Rouault, E. et al. *GDAL/OGR Geospatial Data Abstraction software Library*. (Zenodo, 2022).
doi:[10.5281/ZENODO.5884351](https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5884351).
- 440 58.
- 441 Faith, D. P. Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological Conservation* **61**, 1–10 (1992).
- 442 59.
- 443 Upham, N. S., Esselstyn, J. A. & Jetz, W. Inferring the mammal tree: Species-level sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and conservation. *PLOS Biology* **17**, e3000494 (2019).
- 444 60.
- 445 Legendre, P. & De Cáceres, M. Beta diversity as the variance of community data: Dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. *Ecology Letters* **16**, 951–963 (2013).
- 446 61.
- 447 Legendre, P. & Condit, R. Spatial and temporal analysis of beta diversity in the Barro Colorado Island forest dynamics plot, Panama. *Forest Ecosystems* **6**, 7 (2019).
- 448 62.
- 449 Dansereau, G., Legendre, P. & Poisot, T. Evaluating ecological uniqueness over broad spatial extents using species distribution modelling. *Oikos* **n/a**, e09063 (2022).
- 450 63.
- 451 Albery, G. F., Eskew, E. A., Ross, N. & Olival, K. J. Predicting the global mammalian viral sharing network using phylogeography. *Nature Communications* **11**, 2260 (2020).
- 452 64.
- 453 Seekell, D. A., Lapierre, J.-F. & Cheruvilil, K. S. A geography of lake carbon cycling. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters* **3**, 49–56 (2018).
- 454 65.
- 455 Gomulkiewicz, R., Thompson, J. N., Holt, R. D., Nuismer, S. L. & Hochberg, M. E. Hot Spots, Cold Spots, and the Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution. *The American Naturalist* **156**, 156–174 (2000).
- 456 66.
- 457 Keke, S., Peng, Z. & Guohui, L. Study on skin color image segmentation used by Fuzzy-c-means arithmetic. in *2010 Seventh International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery* vol. 2 612–615 (2010).
- 458 67.

- 459 Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in
Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- 460 68.
- 461 Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic
Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**, 268–274
(2015).
- 462 69.
- 463 Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S. ModelFinder: Fast model
selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* **14**, 587–589 (2017).
- 464 70.
- 465 Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast
Bootstrap Approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**, 518–522 (2018).
- 466 71.
- 467 Isaac, N. J. B., Turvey, S. T., Collen, B., Waterman, C. & Baillie, J. E. M. Mammals on the EDGE: Conservation
Priorities Based on Threat and Phylogeny. *PLOS ONE* **2**, e296 (2007).
- 468 72.
- 469 Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. Global patterns and determinants of vascular plant diversity. *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences* **104**, 5925–5930 (2007).
- 470 73.
- 471 Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. A framework for delineating biogeographical regions based on species distributions.
Journal of Biogeography **37**, 2029–2053 (2010).
- 472 74.
- 473 Paradis, E. & Schliep, K. Ape 5.0: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in
R. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 526–528 (2019).




Figure 1: Top panel: relative diversity of known bat hosts of betacoronaviruses. This map shows that the region with the largest number of possible hosts is South-Eastern Asia. Bottom panel: congruence between the evolutionary distinctiveness of the hosts (grey to blue) and the viruses (grey to red). By contrast to the richness map, this reveals that South America has the most evolutionary distinct hosts *and* viruses, whereas South-Eastern Asia and the Rift Valley region have mostly distinct viruses. This is congruent with known results about New World bats being evolutionary distinct, and suggests that they similarly have distinct viruses.



Figure 2: Phylogeographic regions of bats (top) and viruses (bottom) based on the joint analysis of their occurrence and phylogenetic relatedness. The different colors show tendencies to separate alongside the first two components of a PCoA. Note that the PCoA for the bats and viruses are independent, and so cannot be compared directly – that being said, the regions can be compared across maps.




Figure 3: Trivariate additive mapping of the components of risk in the red/green/blue, where high virus sharing is encoded in the blue channel, host phylogenetic diversity in the green channel, and compositional uniqueness in the red channel. A pixel that would maximize all measures (highest possible risk) would be a pure white (specifically $\text{RGB}(1.0, 1.0, 1.0)$), and a pixel with the lowest possible values would be pure black (specifically $\text{RGB}(0.0, 0.0, 0.0)$). Therefore, lighter values (the sum of the three channels gets closer to 3) indicate higher risk, and the color indicates the proportional distribution of the factors making up the total risk.




Figure 4: Extraction of a measure of *Betacoronavirus* spillover risk from bat hosts based on the colorimetric space from fig. 3. The risk is a composite measure of the color value and angular distance to the yellow hue, as defined in the methods, ranged in the unit space. Based on this analyses, regions at high risk of spillover are southeast Asia and Madagascar.




Figure 5: Overlap of the percent of each pixel occupied by urbanized structures, representing the degree of settlement, on the spillover risk map (where the risk comes only from wildlife, and ignores multi-hosts chains of transmissions including non-bats hosts). Darker pixels correspond to more risk, in that the GMTC-derived risk of fig. 4 is high *and* the pixel is densely occupied by human populations. This approach increases the relative risk of several regions in Africa, and highlights the risk in India, southeast China, and the Arabian peninsula where areas of high to moderate risk overlap with areas of denser population.