

The coevolutionary mosaic of bat-betacoronaviruses spillover risk

Norma Forero Rocio Munoz^{1,2} Renata L. Muylaert³ Stephanie N. Seifert⁴ Gregory F. Albery⁵ Colin J. Carlson⁵ **Timothée Poisot**^{1,2,‡}

¹ Université de Montréal ² Québec Centre for Biodiversity Sciences ³ Molecular Epidemiology and Public Health Laboratory, Hopkirk Research Institute, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

⁴ Paul G. Allen School for Global Health, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, United States ⁵ ???

[‡] These authors contributed equally to the work

Correspondance to:

Timothée Poisot — timothee.poisot@umontreal.ca

Coming soon

1 Spillover risk is not unidimensional. From the standpoint of an animal community, *i.e.* a pool of suitable
2 hosts, it is driven by a multiplicity of factors (Plowright et al. 2017). The global richness of hosts is one
3 such component commonly mentioned/analysed (see e.g. Anthony et al. 2017 for coronaviruses), but
4 there is an argument to be made that species who are not competent (or know) hosts of a specific virus
5 genus may not factor into this (Plowright et al. 2015), or that species who are assumed to share viruses at
6 different rates should be weighted accordingly (Albery et al. 2020). In mammals, key functional traits (for
7 which phylogeny is a reasonable proxy) are determinants of the spillover potential (Olival et al. 2017);
8 these include, notably, body mass and affinity for urban environments (Albery et al. 2022). Finally,
9 especially when the pool of potential hosts spans the entire globe, there may be local host pools that are
10 highly unique; not having been observed in other locations, these can act on the overall risk either by
11 providing novel contact opportunities, reflecting unique host-environment combinations (Engering et al.
12 2013), or facilitating rapid evolutionary changes in specialism of their pathogens (Agosta et al. 2010). In
13 the specific case of generalist pathogens, there is conceptual and empirical support to the idea that these
14 community- level mechanisms are even more important in driving the overall risk (Power and Mitchell
15 2004).

16 Bats are important reservoir hosts for different classes of microorganisms (Chu 2008, Donaldson 2010, Li
17 2010), some of which can threaten human health. Chiropters emerged around 64 million years ago and
18 are one of the most diverse mammalian orders, with an estimated richness of more than 14000 species
19 (Peixoto et al. 2018, Simmons and Cirranello 2020). They exhibit a broad variety of habitat use, behaviour,
20 and feeding strategies, resulting in their playing an essential role in the delivery of several ecosystem
21 services tied to important ecosystem-derived benefits (Kasso and Balakrishnan 2013). For example, over
22 two-thirds of bats are known to be either obligate or facultative insectivorous mammals, therefore playing
23 an important role in the regulation of insect pests that can affect crops (Williams-Guillén et al. 2008, Voigt
24 and Kingston 2016), and vectors of diseases that put a risk on human health (Gonsalves et al. 2013a, b).
25 Because bats are globally distributed and have a long evolutionary history, phylogeographic and
26 biogeographic approaches are required to shed light on the extant distribution of coevolutionary processes
27 between bats and the pathogens they carry. Not all areas in which bats, viruses, and human are
28 co-occurring are facing a risk of spillover towards human populations, and the areas in which this risk exist
29 may not be facing risks of the same nature and magnitude.

30 In this paper, we examine the biogeographic structure of bats-betacoronaviruses associations, based on a

31 curated dataset of known and recently discovered hosts. This work is important both as a description of
32 the bats-betacoronavirus complex, but also because more broadly, bats are known reservoirs for a variety
33 of emerging viruses and pathogens (Calisher et al. 2006, Melaun et al. 2014), making balancing the needs
34 for bat conservation and disease prevention a potentially difficult act and a source of human-wildlife
35 conflicts, especially in more densely populated areas (Stone et al. 2015, Rego et al. 2015). By drawing on
36 concepts from the Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution (Thompson 2005), we turn these
37 associations into a spatially explicit additive mapping of zoonotic risk components, which reveals extreme
38 heterogeneity of risk at the global scale; furthermore, we identify the Amazon and South-Eastern Asia as
39 hotspots of phylogenetic distinctiveness of betacoronaviruses (Anthony et al. 2017); surprisingly, current
40 data suggest that viral sharing between hosts is high in the Amazon and low in South-Eastern Asia, which
41 has the potential to result in different evolutionary dynamics between these two regions.

42 Methods

43 Known betacoronavirus hosts

44 We downloaded the data on bats hosts of betacoronaviruses assembled by Becker et al. (2022) from
45 <https://www.viralemergence.org/betacov> on Apr. 2022, and filtered it to “known” hosts (established
46 before the emergence of SARS-CoV-2) and “novel” hosts (confirmed through sampling since the
47 emergence of SARS-CoV-2). The original database was assembled by a combination of data mining and
48 literature surveys, including automated alerts on the “bats” and “coronavirus” keywords to identify novel
49 empirical evidence of bats-betacoronaviruses associations.

50 Bats occurrences

51 We downloaded the rangemap of every extant bat species that was either classified as an empirically
52 documented host of beta-coronaviruses from the previous step, according to recent IUCN data (IUCN
53 2021). The range maps were subsequently rasterized using the rasterize function from GDAL (Rouault et
54 al. 2022) at a resolution of approximately **TK TP**. For every pixel in the resulting raster where at least one
55 bat host of betacoronavirus was present, we extract the species pool (list of all bat species), which was used
56 to calculate the following risk assessment components: phylogenetic diversity, bat compositional

57 uniqueness, and predicted viral sharing risk.

58 **Bats phyogeography**

59 For every pixel, we measured Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity (Faith 1992) based on a recent synthetic tree
60 with robust time calibration, covering about 6000 mammalian species (Upham et al. 2019). Faith's PD
61 measures the sum of unique branches from an arbitrary root to a set of tips, and comparatively larger
62 values indicate a more phylogenetic diverse species pool. We measured phylogenetic diversity starting
63 from the root of the entire tree (and not from Chiroptera); this bears no consequences on the resulting
64 values, since all branches leading up to Chiroptera are only counted one per species pool, and (as we
65 explain when describing the assembly of the composite risk map), all individual risk components are
66 ranged in [0,1]. This measure incorporates a richness component, which we chose not to correct for; the
67 interpretation of the phylogenetic diversity is therefore a weighted species richness, that accounts for
68 phylogenetic over/under-dispersal in some places.

69 **Bats compositional uniqueness**

70 For every species pool, we measured its Local Contribution to Beta-Diversity (Legendre and De Cáceres
71 2013); LCBD works from a species-data matrix (traditionally noted as \mathbf{Y}), where species are rows and sites
72 are columns, and a value of 1 indicates occurrence. We extracted the \mathbf{Y} matrix assuming that every pixel
73 represents a unique location, and following best practices (Legendre and Condit 2019) transformed it
74 using Hellinger's distance to account for unequal bat richness at different pixels. The correction of raw
75 community data is particularly important for two reasons: first, it prevents the artifact of richer sites
76 having higher importance; second, it removes the effect of overall species richness, which is already
77 incorporated in the phylogenetic diversity component. High values of LCBD indicate that the pixel has a
78 community that is on average more dissimilar in species composition than what is expected knowing the
79 entire matrix, i.e. a more unique community. Recent results by Dansereau et al. (2022) shows that LCBD
80 measures are robust with regards to spatial scale, and are therefore applicable at the global scale.

81 **Viral sharing between hosts**

82 For all bat hosts of betacoronaviruses, we extracted their predicted viral sharing network (Albery et al.
83 2020). This network stores pairwise values of viral community similarity. To project viral sharing values
84 into a single value for every pixel, we averaged the pairwise scores. High values of the average sharing
85 propensity means that this specific extant bat assemblage is likely to be proficient at exchanging viruses.

86 **Composite risk map**

87 To visualize the aggregated risk at the global scale, we combine the three individual risk components
88 (phylogenetic diversity, compositional uniqueness, and viral sharing) using an additive color model
89 (Seekell et al. 2018). In this approach, every risk component gets assigned a component in the RGB color
90 model (phylogenetic diversity is green, compositional uniqueness is red, and viral sharing is blue). In
91 order to achieve a valid RGB measure, all components are re-scaled to the [0,1] interval, so that a pixel
92 with no sharing, no phylogenetic diversity, and no compositional uniqueness is black, and a pixel with
93 maximal values for each is white. This additive model conveys both the intensity of the overall risk, but
94 also the nature of the risk as colors diverge towards combinations of values for three risk components. Out
95 of the possible combinations, the most risky in terms of rapid diversification and spillover potential is high
96 phylogenetic diversity and low viral sharing (Gomulkiewicz et al. 2000, Cavender-Bares et al. 2009), in
97 that this allows multiple independent host-virus coevolutionary dynamics to take place in the same
98 location. In the colorimetric space, this corresponds to yellow – because the HSV space is more amenable
99 to calculations for feature extraction (see e.g. Keke et al. 2010), we measured the risk level by calculating
100 the angular distance of the hue of each pixel to a reference value of 60, and weighted this risk level by the
101 value component. Specifically, given a pixel with colorimetric coordinates (h, s, v) , its ranged weighted
102 risk value is

$$v \times \left[1 - \frac{|\text{atan}(\cos(\text{rad}(h)), \sin(\text{rad}(h))) - X|}{2\pi} \right],$$

103 where X is $\text{atan}(\cos(\text{rad}(60)), \sin(\text{rad}(60)))$, a constant approximately equal to 0.5235.

104 **Viral phylogeography and evolutionary diversification**

105 We used the following query to pull all betacoronavirus sequence data from the GenBank Nucleotide
106 database except SARS-CoV-2; (“Betacoronavirus”[Organism] OR betacoronavirus[All Fields]) NOT
107 (“Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2”[Organism] OR sars-cov-2[All Fields]). We added a
108 single representative sequence for SARS-CoV-2 and manually curated to remove sequences without the
109 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) sequence or that contained words indicating recombinant or
110 laboratory strains including “patent,” “mutant,” “GFP,” and “recombinant.” We filtered over-represented
111 taxa including betacoronavirus 1, hCoV-OC43, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Murine
112 hepatitis virus, and hCoV-HKU1. Curated betacoronavirus RdRp sequences were then aligned using
113 MAFFT v 1.4.0 (**Katoh and Standley 2013**, parameters in text?) and a maximum likelihood tree
114 reconstructed in IQ-TREE v 1.6.12 (Nguyen et al. 2015) with ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017)
115 ultrafast bootstrap approximation (Hoang et al. 2018) and the following parameters (**STEPH WILL ADD**,
116 parameters in text?).

117 We first tested the hypothesis that hotspots of viral diversification would track hotspots of bat
118 diversification. To do so, we plotted the number of known bat hosts (specifically only those included in the
119 phylogeny, so there was a 1:1 correspondence between data sources) against the “mean evolutionary
120 distinctiveness” of the associated viruses. To calculate this, we derived the fair proportions evolutionary
121 distinctiveness (Isaac et al. 2007) for each of the viruses in the tree, then averaged these at the bat species
122 level, projected these values onto their geographic distributions, and averaged across every bat found in a
123 given pixel. As such, this can be thought of as a map of the mean evolutionary distinctiveness of the
124 known viral community believed to be associated with a particular subset of bats present.

125 **Co-distribution of hosts and viral hotspots**

126 Subsequently, we tested the hypothesis that the biogeography of bat betacoronaviruses should track the
127 biogeography of their hosts. To test this idea, we loosely adapted a method from (Kreft and Jetz 2007,
128 2010), who proposed a phylogenetic method for the delineation of animal biogeographic regions. In their
129 original method, a distance matrix - where each row or column represents a geographic raster’s grid cell,
130 and the dissimilarity values are the “beta diversity similarity” of their community assemble - undergoes
131 non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS); the first two axes of the NMDS are projected

132 geographically using a four-color bivariate map. Here, we build on this idea with an entirely novel
133 methodology. First, we measure the phylogenetic distance between the different viruses in the
134 betacoronavirus tree by using the cophenetic function in ape (Paradis and Schliep 2019); subsequently, we
135 take a principal components analysis of that distance matrix (readily interchangeable for NMDS in this
136 case) to project the viral tree into an n-dimensional space. We then take the first two principal
137 components and, as with the evolutionary distinctiveness analysis, aggregated these to a mean host value
138 and projected them using a four-color bivariate map.

139 Results and discussion

140 Host richness does not predict virus distinctiveness

141 Bats are found worldwide and are both one of the most diverse groups among mammals (**Moratelli &**
142 **Calisher, 2015**), and one of the main animal reservoir for different strains of betacoronaviruses (Drexler et
143 al. 2014). This has attracted attention to areas where high diversity of bats, and therefore presumably high
144 diversity of betacoronaviruses, can be an important issue for human health (Calisher et al. 2006, Moratelli
145 and Calisher 2015). By overlaying the IUCN rangempas for confirmed bat hosts of betacoronaviruses
146 [fig. 1; top], we see that the the main hotspots of host richness are primarily South-Eastern Asia, parts of
147 Southern Europe, and to a lesser extent parts of Africa in the -25-0 range of latitudes. The description of
148 host richness is an important first step towards understanding risk, as previous research (**Anthony et al.,**
149 **2017; Mollentze & Streicker, 2020**) states that locally diverse bat communities could maintain more
150 viruses and hence, a higher probability of having a pathogen that could represent a risk for human health.

151 [Figure 1 about here.]

152 Nevertheless, locally diverse and virus-rich bat communities could represent an increased risk of spillover
153 under climate change through the creation of novel interactions (**Ice ice berg berg**), and therefore the
154 diversity of betacoronavirus strains should similarly be accounted for. In fig. 1 (bottom), we contrast the
155 evolutionary distinctiveness of bats and viruses – this reveals a slightly different portrait than bat richness
156 alone. Chiropterans can be classified, from a macro-evolutionary standpoint, as microchiroptera and
157 macrochiroptera, where macrochiroptera have an older history from an evolutionary perspective

158 compared to macrochiroptera (Teeling et al. 2005, Springer 2013). Specifically, we would expect that the
159 so-called “New World” group of bats, being more evolutionary distinct, would also have evolutionary
160 distinct viruses. Indeed fig. 1 (bottom) reveals it to be the case, and this region harbors a distinct
161 bat-betacoronavirus complex. By contrast, South-Eastern Asia has a lot of non-evolutionary distinct bats,
162 but evolutionary-distinct viruses.

163 It is noteworthy that outside of South America, viral evolutionary distinctiveness does not accurately
164 tracks host diversity, with some areas having over-distinct viruses (southern China but, oddly, not the rest
165 of southeast Asia). There are a number of likely explanations. First, given the richness of bats in southeast
166 Asia, many betacoronaviruses likely remain to be discovered in this region. Indeed, global predictions by
167 (**Becker?**) highlight that southeast Asia is a likely hostpot of unconfirmed hosts of betacoronaviruses,
168 which would likely result in additional viral discoveries. This idea is unsurprising given the growing
169 realization, around the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, that a unique lineage of similar viruses are widespread
170 in bats but still mostly undescribed. The most distinct bats/betacoronavirus complex is found in South
171 America, a region with a comparatively lower number of hosts; this matches with the isolation through
172 variance of the host group, and may highlight a different co-evolutionary dynamic. Alternatively, this
173 distinctiveness hostpot may be a product of under-sampling: South-America is one of the places where the
174 fewest betacoronaviruses have been discovered (Anthony et al. 2017, Olival et al. 2017, Allen et al. 2017),
175 resulting in sparser phylogenetic tree, thereby artificially inflating distinctiveness. Adding more viruses
176 would bring the distinctiveness of known sequences down.

177 **The phylogeographic regions of hosts and their viruses overlap**

178 Despite the difference in evolutionary distinctiveness globally, there are reasons to expect that the
179 phylogeography of bats and betacoronaviruses should show some degree of congruence. High density of
180 hosts sharing the same virus (albeit possibly different strains) can drive or result from evolution of the bat
181 antiviral immune system, resulting in spatially distinct immunological responses, as evidenced in several
182 bat species (Banerjee et al. 2020). Immune characteristics that allow bats to be better adapted to infection
183 by emerging viruses (Gorbunova et al., 2020; Irving et al., 2021) may be related to a wide variety of diets
184 (Jones et al., 2022; Moreno Santillán et al., 2021; Banerjee et al., 2020; Schneeberger et al., 2013),
185 themselves likely to be driven by spatial effect, especially at the local scale – bats, indeed, occupy a variety
186 of environments.

188 In fig. 2, we show a projection of the phylogeographic signal of bats (top) and viruses (bottom) in space;
189 the distinct groupings (represented by different colors symbolizing positions in the subspace formed by
190 the first two axes of the PCoA) are essentially equivalent between the two groups, and can be coarsely
191 delineated as southeast Asia, Eurasia above a northing of 25, and Africa and south America. These results
192 suggest that, although the evolutionary distinctiveness of the bat/betacoronavirus complex varies spatially,
193 the system shows an important degree of spatial consistency, with a reduced number of bioregions.
194 Available information describing the spillover of zoonotic betacoronaviruses of bat origin where data was
195 available before and up through the COVID-19 pandemic puts spillover events of SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan,
196 China; SARS-CoV in XXX based on the presence of closest known viruses circulating in nature, and a
197 nearby location where serological (antibody) evidence has indicated human exposure to SARS-like viruses
198 (Wang et al. 2018 *Virologica Sinica*); MERS-CoV in XXX based on index cases available from a
199 recently-published compendium of cases (Ramshaw et al. 2019). For the latest event, most if not all index
200 cases are presumed to be camel-to-human transmission, and the precise origin point (if it exists) of
201 MERS-CoV in bats is uncertain. Recent recombinant canine coronavirus spillover events in Haiti (**ref**)
202 and Europe (**ref**) are not relevant here, as bats' involvement in these cycles of transmission have been
203 supposed to be non-existent.

204 **Coevolution-informed spillover risk is different in space**

205 As host richness, joint distinctiveness, or phylogeographic structure suggest that the bat/betacoronavirus
206 complex is globally fragmented enough to give rise to both different levels of risk (as evidenced by the
207 spatial location of spillover events) and different types of co-evolutionary dynamics, we turn to the
208 Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution **REF** to provide a measure of risk accounting for multiple
209 processes. In fig. 3, we overlapped three components of spillover risk: viral sharing, *i.e.* the chance that
210 two bats will share viruses overall; Local Contribution to Beta Diversity, *i.e.* the fact that a bat community
211 is compositionally unique compared to the average compositional similarity across the entire system;
212 finally, host phylogenetic diversity, *i.e.* how dispersed the bats in a location are within the tree of life. This
213 approach leads to the definition of broad biogeographic regions of risk, where the same color represents
214 the same type of risk. By way of contrast to figures fig. 1 and fig. 2, these regions do not necessarily

215 overlap with previous spatial partitions of the bat/betacoronavirus complex.

216 [Figure 3 about here.]

217 From the perspective of spillover risk, the most important combination of factors is a high phylogenetic
218 diversity of hosts with low viral sharing; this, essentially, means that very different betacoronavirus could
219 co-exist within the same place. This is particularly the case given that betacoronaviruses often evolve and
220 even achieve host shifts through recombination, which requires the co-occurrence of sufficiently distinct
221 viruses to be a major driver of emergence. In fig. 3, this corresponds to yellow to pale green areas, which
222 are essentially limited to South-Eastern Asia, and to some part of Sub-Saharan Africa. Adopting a
223 geographic mosaic theory perspective on risk, other regions of the world are of lesser concern fig. 4. Our
224 risk decomposition does not account for viral diversity or distinctiveness. The simple rationale behind it is
225 that the acquisition of viral data is rarely disconnected from the acquisition of host data; furthermore,
226 there are more sources of information on hosts than on viruses, allowing to develop a host-centric
227 perspective on risk. Areas with high bat diversity and high turnover *may* facilitate the evolutionary
228 radiation of viruses, matching previous findings that the diversification of bat coronaviruses is driven
229 largely by host shifts (inter-genus or higher levels of cross-species transmission) and, to a lesser degree,
230 cospeciation and sharing (intra-genus cross-species transmission; **Anthony et al. 2017**). This
231 diversification is not an actual risk factor for spillover itself, but acts downstream of a spillover event by
232 increasing the random chance of the emergence of a virus with the raw genomic components required for
233 the potential to infect humans.

234 [Figure 4 about here.]

235 **Human occupancy drives different levels of effective risk globally**

236 Based on the previous result, we extracted the yellow component of the risk map (TP add methods), to
237 provide a single measure of risk varying between 0 and 1. This measure is presented in Fig. xxA. However,
238 this maps the potential risk, which must be weighed by the potential for contacts with humans. As a proxy
239 for this measure, we used the proportion of build/urban land from the EarthEnv dataset: this is a
240 reasonable proxy for the density of humans per unit area, which increases the probability of pathogen
241 spread more widely (Hazarie et al., 2021). Since human activity is required to amplify the frequency of

242 virus encounters and thus create areas of viral amplification, mapping the potential risk against measures
243 of land use is required to generate a more actionable assessment of risk. This map is presented in Fig. xxB.
244 Most of South America and Europe are at low risk, as although densely populated, settlements tend to be
245 in areas with lower potential risk. However, this mapping reveals that South-East Asia, the Indian
246 subcontinent, and parts of sub-Saharan Africa, are at high risk due to the overlap between built areas and
247 bat communities representing more opportunities for cross-species transmission of betacoronaviruses.

248 [Figure 5 about here.]

249 Conclusion

250 Our study focuses largely on the biogeography of hosts. Yet, we know that viruses with high host
251 plasticity, that is, the ability of a given virus to adapt to various taxonomic orders and ecological groups
252 (Kreuder Johnson et al., 2015); are more likely to amplify viral spillover, followed by secondary
253 human-to-human transmission, and geographical spread (Hazarie et al., 2021). High viral host plasticity is
254 an especially important trait for RNA viruses such as betacov (Kreuder Johnson et al., 2015; Haddad et al.,
255 2021). Indeed, our analysis of viral sequences reveals that Latin America is a hotspot of viral
256 distinctiveness, suggesting that this part of the bats-betacov system may be undergoing independent
257 evolutionary dynamics (related species sharing viruses that are different from the rest of the global pool).
258 The other hotspot of viral distinctiveness is S.E. Asia, in which richness is high but sharing is low; this
259 suggests a different type of evolutionary dynamics (unrelated viruses coevolving with evolutionarily
260 distinct hosts, generating high diversity locally).

261 Driven by the need to understand the ecological factors involved in the emergence of viral pathogens, we
262 spatially mapped bat-betacoronavirus interactions worldwide, using (i) a database of known betacov
263 hosts(Becker et al., 2020), and (ii) range maps for the hosts according to IUCN (IUCN 2021). To reflect the
264 fact that the risk posed by viruses has many ecological origins, we quantified the phylogenetic diversity of
265 hosts, their compositional uniqueness, and the expected viral sharing. Because these components of risk
266 matter when contrasted to human density, we compared them to a proxy, namely the proportion of each
267 pixel that is covered by urban or built land. This provides a synthetic risk map, allowing to identifying of
268 hotspots where the bat-betacoronavirus system may originate viruses in humans. SE Asia is one of the

269 regions with the highest risk since, according to our results, several of its conditions could increase the
270 risk of transmission of the virus.

271 Species richness, therefore, is not a sufficient measure of viral risk. This is exemplified in our results,
272 where both South America and South-Eastern Asia have a high species richness of betacov hosts, but only
273 the latter region has a high risk. Specifically, because previous studies propose that Asia is important
274 when it comes to understanding the evolutionary origin of various mammalian taxa (Beard C K, 1988).

275 There are several factors that drive changes in the diversity of bats (Alves et al., 2018), but human
276 activities' effects on the ecosystem (like modifications of land use) could significantly decrease it.

277 Therefore, it can be suggested that changes in the diversity of betacovs in bats are linked to their
278 biogeographic variation, and human population density and other anthropogenic factors are decisive
279 moderators for its implications in public health. With the increase of contact between humans and
280 potential hosts, we also increase the risk of emergence of novel diseases (Johnson et al., 2020), as previous
281 studies on RNA viruses suggest the importance of host phylogeography at the time of virus dispersal
282 (Gryseels et al., 2017).

283 This diversity of hosts and how the exchange of viruses occurs between species, is largely affected by the
284 different environmental changes, as the case of sarbecovirus bats reservoirs (Muylaert et al., 2021) where
285 they are affected by the area of the cave or the alteration of the forest, which could result in modifications
286 of host distribution. Additionally, our results highlight the importance of Asia as a betacov hotspot, which
287 is consistent with recent studies (Muylaert et al., 2021), where projections on this area suggest that new
288 future events of sarbecovirus viral exchange might be easily spread among species or humans.

289 One of these scenarios where interaction between bats and humans can occur can be seed dispersal in
290 tropical agroecosystems. It opens the discussion of whether the fruits thrown by bats not only disperse
291 seeds but could also be a source of indirect interaction between viruses of bat origin and humans
292 (Deshpande et al., 2022). This represents a challenge for conservation strategies and disease ecology since
293 we have areas with potential zoonotic viruses and bat-human interaction. However, it must still be taken
294 into account the quantification of real exposure from several scenarios, where there can be directly or
295 indirectly bat - human interaction.

296 This probability involves multiple factors, among which the relatedness of hosts (which can make the
297 jumps easier (**Longdon et al., 2011; Mollentze et al., 2020; Wolfe et al., 2007**), and the overall tendency

298 of hosts within a locality to share viruses, which may limit viral diversity because of within-host
299 competition (Leeks et al., 2018; Sallinen et al., 2020). All things considered, the richness of known
300 betacoronaviruses hosts is not a sufficient predictor of spillover risk, and we should move towards a more
301 coevolutionary understanding of this system.

302 Considering whether viruses easily adapted to multiple hosts have lower virulence on these hosts, or
303 lower ability to jump to hosts with different immune characteristics, should yield valuable additional
304 predictors for the total risk of spillover.

305 **Acknowledgements:** We acknowledge that this study was conducted on land within the traditional
306 unceded territory of the Saint Lawrence Iroquoian, Anishinabewaki, Mohawk, Huron-Wendat, and
307 Omàmiwininiwak nations. This work was supported by funding to the Viral Emergence Research
308 Initiative (VERENA) consortium including NSF BII 2021909 and a grant from Institut de Valorisation des
309 Données (IVADO). This research was enabled in part by support provided by Calcul Québec
310 (www.calculquebec.ca) and Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca). NF is funded by the NSERC
311 BIOS² CREATE program. TP and NF are funded by the Courtois Foundation. RLM was supported by
312 Bryce Carmine and Anne Carmine (née Percival), through the Massey University Foundation.

313 References

- 314 Agosta, S. J. et al. 2010. How specialists can be generalists: Resolving the “parasite paradox” and
315 implications for emerging infectious disease. - *Zoologia (Curitiba)* 27: 151–162.
- 316 Albery, G. F. et al. 2020. Predicting the global mammalian viral sharing network using phylogeography. -
317 *Nature Communications* 11: 2260.
- 318 Albery, G. F. et al. 2022. Urban-adapted mammal species have more known pathogens. *in press*.
- 319 Allen, T. et al. 2017. Global hotspots and correlates of emerging zoonotic diseases. - *Nature*
320 *Communications* *in press*.
- 321 Anthony, S. J. et al. 2017. Global patterns in coronavirus diversity. - *Virus Evolution* *in press*.
- 322 Banerjee, A. et al. 2020. Novel Insights Into Immune Systems of Bats. - *Frontiers in Immunology* 11: 26.
- 323 Becker, D. J. et al. 2022. Optimising predictive models to prioritise viral discovery in zoonotic reservoirs. -
324 *The Lancet Microbe* *in press*.

- 325 Calisher, C. H. et al. 2006. Bats: Important Reservoir Hosts of Emerging Viruses. - Clinical Microbiology
326 Reviews 19: 531–545.
- 327 Cavender-Bares, J. et al. 2009. The merging of community ecology and phylogenetic biology. - Ecol. Lett.
328 12: 693–715.
- 329 Dansereau, G. et al. 2022. Evaluating ecological uniqueness over broad spatial extents using species
330 distribution modelling. - Oikos n/a: e09063.
- 331 Drexler, J. F. et al. 2014. Ecology, evolution and classification of bat coronaviruses in the aftermath of
332 SARS. - Antiviral Research 101: 45–56.
- 333 Engering, A. et al. 2013. Pathogen–host–environment interplay and disease emergence. - Emerging
334 Microbes & Infections 2: e5.
- 335 Faith, D. P. 1992. Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. - Biological Conservation 61: 1–10.
- 336 Gomulkiewicz, R. et al. 2000. Hot Spots, Cold Spots, and the Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution. -
337 The American Naturalist 156: 156–174.
- 338 Gonsalves, L. et al. 2013a. Do mosquitoes influence bat activity in coastal habitats? - Wildlife Research 40:
339 10–24.
- 340 Gonsalves, L. et al. 2013b. Mosquito Consumption by Insectivorous Bats: Does Size Matter? - PLOS ONE
341 8: e77183.
- 342 Isaac, N. J. B. et al. 2007. Mammals on the EDGE: Conservation Priorities Based on Threat and Phylogeny.
343 - PLOS ONE 2: e296.
- 344 IUCN 2021. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- 345 Kasso, M. and Balakrishnan, M. 2013. Ecological and Economic Importance of Bats (Order Chiroptera). -
346 ISRN Biodiversity 2013: e187415.
- 347 Keke, S. et al. 2010. Study on skin color image segmentation used by Fuzzy-c-means arithmetic. - 2010
348 Seventh International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery 2: 612–615.
- 349 Kreft, H. and Jetz, W. 2007. Global patterns and determinants of vascular plant diversity. - Proceedings of
350 the National Academy of Sciences 104: 5925–5930.

- 351 Kreft, H. and Jetz, W. 2010. A framework for delineating biogeographical regions based on species
352 distributions. - *Journal of Biogeography* 37: 2029–2053.
- 353 Legendre, P. and De Cáceres, M. 2013. Beta diversity as the variance of community data: Dissimilarity
354 coefficients and partitioning (H Morlon, Ed.). - *Ecology Letters* 16: 951–963.
- 355 Legendre, P. and Condit, R. 2019. Spatial and temporal analysis of beta diversity in the Barro Colorado
356 Island forest dynamics plot, Panama. - *Forest Ecosystems* 6: 7.
- 357 Melaun, C. et al. 2014. Bats as Potential Reservoir Hosts for Vector-Borne Diseases. - In: Klimpel, S. and
358 Mehlhorn, H. (eds), *Bats (Chiroptera) as Vectors of Diseases and Parasites: Facts and Myths*.
359 *Parasitology Research Monographs*. Springer, pp. 25–61.
- 360 Moratelli, R. and Calisher, C. H. 2015. Bats and zoonotic viruses: Can we confidently link bats with
361 emerging deadly viruses? - *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 110: 1–22.
- 362 Olival, K. J. et al. 2017. Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. - *Nature* 546:
363 646–650.
- 364 Paradis, E. and Schliep, K. 2019. Ape 5.0: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary
365 analyses in R. - *Bioinformatics* 35: 526–528.
- 366 Peixoto, F. P. et al. 2018. A synthesis of ecological and evolutionary determinants of bat diversity across
367 spatial scales. - *BMC ecology* 18: 18.
- 368 Plowright, R. K. et al. 2015. Ecological dynamics of emerging bat virus spillover. - *Proceedings of the*
369 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 282: 20142124.
- 370 Plowright, R. K. et al. 2017. Pathways to zoonotic spillover. - *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 15: 502–510.
- 371 Power, A. G. and Mitchell, C. E. 2004. Pathogen Spillover in Disease Epidemics. - *The American*
372 *Naturalist* 164: S79–S89.
- 373 Rego, K. M. da C. et al. 2015. Assessing human-bat interactions around a protected area in northeastern
374 Brazil. - *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 11: 80.
- 375 Rouault, E. et al. 2022. GDAL/OGR Geospatial Data Abstraction software Library. - Zenodo.
- 376 Seekell, D. A. et al. 2018. A geography of lake carbon cycling. - *Limnology and Oceanography Letters* 3:
377 49–56.

- 378 Simmons, N. B. and Cirranello, A. L. 2020. Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic
379 database.
- 380 Springer, M. S. 2013. Phylogenetics: Bats United, Microbats Divided. - Current Biology 23: R999–R1001.
- 381 Stone, E. et al. 2015. Managing Conflict between Bats and Humans: The Response of Soprano Pipistrelles
382 (*Pipistrellus pygmaeus*) to Exclusion from Roosts in Houses. - PLoS ONE 10: e0131825.
- 383 Teeling, E. C. et al. 2005. A Molecular Phylogeny for Bats Illuminates Biogeography and the Fossil Record.
384 - Science (New York, N.Y.) 307: 580–584.
- 385 Thompson, J. N. 2005. The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution. - University Of Chicago Press.
- 386 Upham, N. S. et al. 2019. Inferring the mammal tree: Species-level sets of phylogenies for questions in
387 ecology, evolution, and conservation. - PLOS Biology 17: e3000494.
- 388 2016. Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World (CC Voigt and T Kingston,
389 Eds.). - Springer International Publishing.
- 390 Williams-Guillén, K. et al. 2008. Bats Limit Insects in a Neotropical Agroforestry System. - Science 320:
391 70–70.




Figure 1: Top panel: relative diversity of known bat hosts of betacoronaviruses. This map shows that the region with the largest number of possible hosts is South-Eastern Asia. Bottom panel: congruence between the evolutionary distinctiveness of the hosts (grey to blue) and the viruses (grey to red). By contrast to the richness map, this reveals that South America has the most evolutionary distinct hosts *and* viruses, whereas South-Eastern Asia has mostly distinct viruses. This is congruent with known results about New World bats being evolutionary distinct, and suggests that they similarly have distinct viruses.





Figure 2: This is the legend of the figure...




Figure 3: This is the legend of the figure...




Figure 4: This is the legend of the figure...




Figure 5: This is the legend of the figure...