

# NCBITaxonomy.jl - rapid biological names finding and reconciliation

Timothée Poisot

0000-0002-0735-5184

Université de Montréal, Département de Sciences Biologiques, Montréal QC, Canada  
Québec Centre for Biodiversity Science, Montréal, QC, Canada

Rory Gibb

0000-0002-0965-1649

Centre on Climate Change and Planetary Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK  
Centre for Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK  
Current address: Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, University College London, London, UK

Sadie J. Ryan

0000-0002-4308-6321

Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States of America  
School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa  
Department of Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States of America

Colin J. Carlson

0000-0001-6960-8434

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C., United States of America  
Center for Global Health Science and Security, Georgetown University Medical Center, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C., United States of America

## Abstract:

NCBITaxonomy.jl is a Julia package designed to address the complex challenges of taxonomic name reconciliation using a local copy of the NCBI taxonomic backbone [1, 2]. The package provides advanced name matching capabilities that handle common issues in taxonomic data, including synonyms, homonyms, vernacular names, nomenclatural changes, and typographical errors. Core functionalities include case-insensitive search, customizable fuzzy string matching, and taxonomically-restricted searches. The package implements a robust exception system that explicitly handles ambiguous matches without interrupting workflow execution, enabling automated processing of large datasets. NCBITaxonomy.jl works with Julia 1.6 and up, uses Apache Arrow format for efficient local storage. It provides lineage navigation and taxonomic distance functions. The package has been successfully deployed in large-scale projects for automated name reconciliation and cleaning, demonstrating its effectiveness for high-throughput name reconciliation across heterogeneous biological datasets. The design prioritizes programmatic access over command-line usage, making it well-suited for integration into bioinformatics pipelines requiring reliable taxonomic standardization.

## Background

Unambiguously identifying species names in text is a far more challenging task than it may appear. There are a vast number of reasons for this. Different databases keep different taxonomic “backbones”, *i.e.* different data structures in which names are mapped to species, and organised in a hierarchy. Not all names are unique identifiers to groups. For example, *Io* can either refer to a genus of plants from the aster family, or to a genus of molluscs; the genus *Mus* (of which the house mouse *Mus musculus* is a species), contains a sub-genus *also* named *Mus* (within which *Mus musculus* is located). Conversely, the same species can have several names, which are valid synonyms: for example, the domestic cow *Bos taurus* admits *Bos primigenius taurus* as a valid synonym. In addition to binomial names, the same species can be known by many vernacular (common) names, which are language or even region-specific: *Ovis aries*, for example, has valid English vernaculars including lamb, sheep, wild sheep, and domestic sheep.

In addition, taxonomic nomenclature changes regularly, with groups being split, merged, or moved to a new position in the tree of life; often, taxonomic revisions lead to these events occurring simultaneously. This is, notably, a common occurrence with viral taxonomy, each subsequent version of which can differ markedly from the last; compare, *e.g.* [3] to [4], where entire viral sub-trees were split, re-organized, and created within just two years. As a consequence any mapping of names to other biological entities can become outdated, and therefore invalid. These taxonomic changes have profound implications for the way we perceive biodiversity at global scales [5], to the point where taxonomic revisions should sometimes be actively conducted to improve *e.g.* conservation outcomes [6].

None of these issues, were they to happen in isolation, would be very difficult to deal with. Indeed, performing the lookup for any text string in any database is a trivial operation. But to add to the complexity, one must also consider that most taxa names are at some point manually typed, which has the potential to introduce additional sources of variation in raw data; it is likely to expect that such mistakes may arise when attempting to write down the (perfectly valid) names of the bacterial isolate known as *Myxococcus*

*llanfairpwllgwyngyllgogerychwyrndrobwlllantysiliogogochensis*, or of the crowned slaty flycatcher *Griseotyrannus aurantioatrocristatus*. These mistakes are more likely when dealing with hyper-diverse samples (demanding to memorize more names), like plant census [7–9]; when dealing with multiple investigators with different knowledge of the taxonomy; and as a result of the estimated error in any data entry exercise,

which other fields estimate at up to about 5% [10]. As a result, the first question one needs to ask when confronted with a string of characters that purportedly points to a node in the tree of life is not “to which entry in the taxonomy database is it associated?”, but “is there a mistake in this name that is likely to render a simple lookup invalid?”.

All these considerations become important when matching species names both within and across datasets. Let us consider the hypothetical species survey of riverine fishes: European chub, *Cyprinus cephalus*, *Leuciscus cephalus*, *Squalius cephalus*. All are the same species (*S. cephalus*), referred to as one of the vernacular (European chub) and two formerly accepted names now classified as synonyms (but still present in the literature). A simple estimate of diversity based on the user-supplied names would give  $n = 4$  species, when there is in fact only one. Some cases can be more difficult to catch; for example, the species *Isoetes minima* is frequently mentioned as *Isœtes minima*, because text processing use the “œ” grapheme to mark the “oe” diphthong. When the size of biodiversity datasets increases, and notably when the taxonomic scope of these datasets explodes, including organisms for which “names” are a fuzzier concept (for example, *Influenza A virus (A/Sydney/05/97-like(H3N2))* is a valid name for a common influenza strain, although one that lacks a taxonomic rank), the feasibility of manual curation decreases.

In this manuscript, we describe `NCBITaxonomy.jl`, a Julia package that provides advanced name matching and error handling capacities for the reconciliation of taxonomic names to the NCBI database. This package works by downloading a local copy of the taxonomy database, so that queries can be made rapidly, and that subsequent queries will return the same results. The package offers functionalities to automatically prompt users to update the local copy of the taxononmy database if it becomes outdated. This package was used to facilitate the development of the *CLOVER* [11] database of host-virus associations, by reconciling the names of viruses and mammals from four different sources, where all of the issues described above were present. More recently, it has become part of the automated curation of data for the *VIRION* [12] database, which automatically curates an up-to-date, authoritative virome network from dozens of heterogeneous sources. We describe the core capacities of this package, and highlight how it enables safe, high-performance name reconciliation.

## Implementation

Based on the author's experience reconciling lists of thousands of biological names, `NCBITaxonomy.jl` is built around a series of features that allow (i) maximum flexibility when handling names without a direct match, (ii) a bespoke exception system to handle failures to match automatically, and (iii) limits to the pool of potential names in order to achieve orders-of-magnitude speedups when the broad classification of the name to match is known. Adhering to these design principles led to a number of choices. A comparison of the features of different packages, as inferred from their public documentation, is presented in Tab. 1.

First, we specifically target programmatic (as opposed to command-line) based approaches, so that the functionalities of the package can be accessed as part of a larger pipeline. Second, to speed up the queries, we work from a local version of the database, the installation of which is handled at build time by the package itself; each project using the package can use its own version of the taxonomy by specifying a folder where it is stored through an environmental variable. Third, because we *cannot* trust that the names as presented in the original data are correct, we offer case-insensitive search (at no time cost) and fuzzy-matching (at a significant time cost). Either of these strategies can be called only after a case-sensitive, non-fuzzy search yields an exception about the lack of a direct match. Finally, in order to achieve a good performance even when relying on fuzzy matching, we offer the ability to limit the search to specific parts of the taxonomy database. An example of the impact of this feature on the performance of the package is presented in Table 1.

An up-to-date version of the documentation for `NCBITaxonomy.jl` can be found in the package's *GitHub* repository (`PoisotLab/NCBITaxonomy.jl`), including examples and in-line documentation of every method. The package is released under the MIT license. Contributions can be made in the form of issues (bug reports, questions, features suggestions) and pull requests, all of which can be consulted publicly. Alternatively, the package can be downloaded from its Zenodo page (ID 7698661, along with a versioned DOI).

## Local file storage

In order to achieve good performance, the package will first retrieve the latest (as validated by its checksum) NCBI taxonomy database, store it locally, and pre-process it as a set of Julia data tables. By default, the taxonomy will be downloaded to the user's home directory, which is not an ideal solution, and therefore we recommend that users set an environment variable to specify where the data will be loaded from (this path will be created if it doesn't exist):

```
106 ENV["NCBITAXONOMY_PATH"] = joinpath(homedir(), "data", "NCBITaxonomy.jl")
```

107 Note that this location can be different for different projects, as the package is able to  
108 update the taxonomic backbone (and will indeed prompt the user to do so if the  
109 taxonomy is more than 90 days old, as inferred from looking at the raw files creation  
110 timestamp). The package can then be checked out and installed anonymously from the  
111 central Julia repository:

```
112 using Pkg  
113 Pkg.add("NCBITaxonomy")
```

114 As long as the package is not re-built, the local set of tables downloaded from NCBI  
115 will not change; this way, users can re-run an analysis with a guarantee that the  
116 underlying taxonomic backbone has not changed, which is not the case when relying  
117 on API queries. In order to update the taxonomic backbone, users can call the `build`  
118 function of Julia's package manager (`build`  
119 `NCBITaxonomy`), which will download the most recent version of all files.

120 This software note describes version `v0.3.0` of the package (we follow semantic  
121 versioning), which works on Julia 1.5 upwards. The dependencies are all resolved by  
122 the package manager at installation, and (on the user-facing side) include the  
123 `StringDistances.jl` package, allowing users to experiment with different string  
124 matching methods. As is best practices for Julia packages, a `Project.toml` file  
125 specifying compatible dependencies versions is distributed with the package. The  
126 code is covered by unit-tests (with about 98% coverage), as well as integration tests  
127 as part of the documentation (specifically, a use-case detailing how to clean data from  
128 a biodiversity survey, and a use-case aiming to reconstruct a taxonomic tree for the  
129 Lemuriformes).

### 130 Improved name matching

131 Name finding, *i.e.* the matching of an arbitrary string to a taxonomic identifier, is  
132 primarily done through the `taxon` function, which admits either a unique NCBI identifier  
133 (*e.g.* `taxon(36219)` for the boogie *Boops boops*), a string (`taxon("Boops boops")`), or a data  
134 frame with a restricted list of names in order to create a name finder function (see the  
135 next section). The `taxon` method has additional arguments to perform fuzzy matching in  
136 order to catch possible typos (`taxon("Boops bops"; strict=false)`), to perform a  
137 lowercase search (useful when alphanumeric codes are part of the taxon name, like for  
138 some viruses), and to restrict the search to a specific taxonomic rank. The `taxon`  
139 function also accepts a `preferscientificname` keyword, to prevent matching vernacular

140 names; the use of this keyword ought to be informed by knowledge about how the data  
141 were entered.

142 The lowercase search can be a preferable alternative to fuzzy string matching.

143 Consider the string Adeno-associated virus 3b - it has three names with equal distance  
144 (under the Levensthein string distance function):

```
145 julia> similarnames("Adeno-associated virus 3b"; threshold=0.95)
146 3-element Vector{Pair{NCBITaxon, Float64}}:
147   Adeno-associated virus - 3 (ncbi:46350) => 0.96
148   Adeno-associated virus 3B (ncbi:68742) => 0.96
149   Adeno-associated virus 3A (ncbi:1406223) => 0.96
```

150 Depending on the operating system (and specifically whether it is case-sensitive),  
151 either of these three names can be returned; compare to the output of a case  
152 insensitive name search:

```
153 julia> taxon("Adeno-associated virus 3b"; casesensitive=false)
154 Adeno-associated virus 3B (ncbi:68742)
```

155 This returns the correct name.

## 156 Name matching output and error handling

157 When it succeeds, `taxon` will return a `NCBITaxon` object (made of a `name` string field, and  
158 an `id` numerical field). That being said, the package is designed under the assumption  
159 that ambiguities should yield an error for the user to handle. There are two such errors:  
160 `NameHasNoDirectMatch` (with instructions about how to possibly solve it, using the  
161 `similarnames` function), or a `NameHasMultipleMatches` (listing the possible valid matches,  
162 and suggesting to use `alternativetaxa` to find the correct one). Therefore, the common  
163 way to work with the `taxon` function would be to wrap it in a `try/catch` statement:

```
164 try
165     taxon(name)
166     # Additional operations with the matched name
167 catch err
168     if isa(err, NameHasNoDirectMatch)
169         # What to do if no match is found
170     elseif isa(err, NameHasMultipleMatches)
171         # What to do if there are multiple matches
172     else
173         # What to do in case of another error that is not NCBITaxonomy specific
174     end
175 end
```

These functions will not demand any user input in the form of key presses (though they can be wrapped in additional code to allow it), as they are intended to run on clusters or virtual machines without supervision. The `taxon` function has good scaling using multiple threads. For convenience in rapidly getting a taxon for demonstration purposes, we also provide a string macro, whereby e.g. `ncbi"Procyon lotor"` will return the taxon object for the raccoon.

## **Name filtering functions**

As the full NCBI names table holds over 3 million entries at the time of writing, we have provided a number of functions to restrict the scope of names that are searched.

These are driven by the NCBI *divisions*. For example `nf = mammalfilter(true)` will return a data frame containing the names of mammals, inclusive of rodents and primates, and can be used with e.g. `taxon(nf, "Pan")`. This has the dual advantage of making queries faster, but also of avoiding matching on names that are shared by another taxonomic group (which is not an issue with *Pan*, but is an issue with e.g. *Io* as mentioned in the introduction, or with the common name *Lizard*, which fuzzy-matches on the hemipteran genus *Lisarda* rather than the class *Lepidosauria*).

Note that the use of a restricted list of names can have significant performance consequences. This is illustrated in Tab. 2. When possible, the optimal search strategy is to (i) rely on name filters to ensure that searches are conducted within the appropriate NCBI division, and (ii) only rely on fuzzy matching when the strict or lowercase match fails to return a name, as fuzzy matching can result in order of magnitude more run time and memory footprint.

## **Quality of life functions**

In order to facilitate working with names, we provide the `authority` function (gives the full taxonomic authority for a name), `synonyms` (to get alternative valid names), `vernacular` (for English common names), and `rank` (for the taxonomic rank). These functions are not used in name matching, but are often useful in the post-processing of results.

## **Taxonomic lineages navigation**

The `children` function will return all nodes that are directly descended from a taxon; the `descendants` function will recursively apply this function to all descendants of these nodes, until only terminal leaves are reached. The `parent` function is an "upwards" equivalent, giving the taxon from which a taxon descends; the `lineage` function chains calls to `parent` until either `taxon(1)` (the taxonomy root) or an arbitrary ancestor is reached.

The `taxonomicdistance` function (and its in-place equivalent, `taxonomicdistance!`, which uses memory-efficient re-allocation if the user needs to change the distance between taxonomic ranks) uses the [13] approach to reconstruct a matrix of distances based on taxonomy, which can serve as a rough proxy when no phylogenies are available. This allows coarse estimations of taxonomic diversity based on species lists. The default distance between taxonomic levels is as in [13] (*i.e.* species have a distance of 0, genus of 1, family of 2, sub-classes of 3, and everything else 4), but specific scores can be passed for *any* taxonomic level known to the NCBI name table.

## Conclusion

`NCBITaxonomy.jl` enables rapid, taxonomically-restricted, adaptive matching for taxonomic names. By implementing various combinations of search strategies, it allows users to (i) optimize the speed of their queries and (ii) avoid usual caveats of simple string matching. Through explicit exceptions, it allows to write code that will handle the possible edge cases that cannot be solved automatically in a way that does not interrupt execution, or requires manual input by the user. Given the breadth of the NCBI taxonomy database, `NCBITaxonomy.jl` is particularly suited to the name cleaning of large datasets of names.

**Acknowledgements:** This work was supported by funding to the Viral Emergence Research Initiative (VERENA) consortium including NSF BII 2021909 and 2213854 and a grant from Institut de Valorisation des Données (IVADO), by the NSERC Discovery Grants and Discovery Acceleration Supplement programs, and by a donation from the Courtois Foundation. Benchmarking of this package on distributed systems was enabled by support provided by Calcul Québec ([www.calculquebec.ca](http://www.calculquebec.ca)) and Compute Canada ([www.computeCanada.ca](http://www.computeCanada.ca)). TP wrote the initial code, TP and CJC contributed to API design, and all authors contributed to functionalities and usability testing.



## Bibliography

1. Federhen S. The NCBI Taxonomy Database. *Nucleic acids research*. 2012;40:D136–43.
2. Schoch CL, Ciufo S, Domrachev M, Hottel CL, Kannan S, Khovanskaya R, et al. NCBI Taxonomy: A Comprehensive Update on Curation, Resources and Tools. *Database*. 2020;2020.
3. Lefkowitz EJ, Dempsey DM, Hendrickson RC, Orton RJ, Siddell SG, Smith DB. Virus Taxonomy: The Database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2018;46:D708–17.
4. Walker PJ, Siddell SG, Lefkowitz EJ, Mushegian AR, Adriaenssens EM, Dempsey DM, et al. Changes to Virus Taxonomy and the Statutes Ratified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (2020). *Archives of Virology*. 2020;165:2737–48.
5. Dikow T, Meier R, Vaidya GG, Londt JG. Biodiversity Research Based on Taxonomic Revisions - A Tale of Unrealized Opportunities. *Diptera Diversity: Status, Challenges and Tools*. 2009;:323–46.
6. Melville J, Chapple DG, Keogh JS, Sumner J, Amey A, Bowles P, et al. A Return-on-Investment Approach for Prioritization of Rigorous Taxonomic Research Needed to Inform Responses to the Biodiversity Crisis. *PLOS Biology*. 2021;19:e3001210.
7. Dauncey EA, Irving J, Allkin R, Robinson N. Common Mistakes When Using Plant Names and How to Avoid Them. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*. 2016;8:597–601.
8. Wagner V. A Review of Software Tools for Spell-Checking Taxon Names in Vegetation Databases. *Journal of Vegetation Science*. 2016;27:1323–7.
9. Conti M, Nimis PL, Martellos S. Match Algorithms for Scientific Names in FlorItaly, the Portal to the Flora of Italy. *Plants*. 2021;10:974.
10. Barchard K, Pace L. Preventing Human Error: The Impact of Data Entry Methods on Data Accuracy and Statistical Results. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2011;27:1834–9.
11. Gibb R, Albery GF, Becker DJ, Brierley L, Connor R, Dallas TA, et al. Data Proliferation, Reconciliation, and Synthesis in Viral Ecology. *BioScience*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biab080>.

- 267 12. Carlson CJ, Gibb RJ, Albery GF, Brierley L, Connor RP, Dallas TA, et al. The Global  
268 Virome in One Network (VIRION): An Atlas of Vertebrate-Virus Associations. *mBio*.  
269 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02985-21>.
- 270 13. Shimatani K. On the Measurement of Species Diversity Incorporating Species  
271 Differences. *Oikos*. 2001;93:135–47.
- 272 14. Norman KEA, Chamberlain S, Boettiger C. Taxadb: A High-Performance Local  
273 Taxonomic Database Interface. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 2020;11:1153–9.
- 274 15. Camargo A, Kuhn M, Beber ME, Borry M. apcamargo/taxopy: v0.14.0. 2025. [https://](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14799274)  
275 [doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14799274](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14799274).
- 276 16. Winter D, Chamberlain S, Guangchun H. Rentrez 1.0.0. 2015. [https://doi.org/10.5281/](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.32420)  
277 [zenodo.32420](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.32420).
- 278 17. Shen W, Ren H. TaxonKit: A practical and efficient NCBI taxonomy toolkit. *Yi chuan*  
279 *xue bao* [Journal of genetics and genomics]. 2021;48:844–50.
- 280 18. Buchmann JP, Holmes EC. Collecting and managing taxonomic data with NCBI-  
281 taxonomist. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England). 2021;36:5548–50.

Table 1: Comparison of core features of packages offering access to the NCBI taxonomic backbone. "Library": ability to be called from code. "CLI": ability to work as a command-line tool. "Local DB": ability to store a copy of the database locally. "Fuzzy": ability to perform fuzzy matching on inputs. "Case": ability to perform case-insensitive search. "Subsets": ability to limit the search to a subset of the raw database. "Ranks": ability to limit the search to specific taxonomic ranks. The features of the various packages have been determined from reading their documentation.

Tool	Lang.	Library	CLI	Local DB	Fuzzy	Case	Subsets	Ranks	Reference
NCBITaxonomy.jl	Julia	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	This paper
taxadb	R	✓		✓			✓	✓	[14]
taxopy	Python	✓		✓		✓			[15]
rentrez	R	✓						✓	[16]
TaxonKit	Python		✓	✓					[17]
NCBI-taxonomist	Python		✓	✓					[18]

Table 2: Time and performance of different search strategies for the string "chimpanzees". These numbers were obtained on a single Intel i7-8665U CPU (1.90GHz). Using "Pan" as the search string (for which "chimpanzees" is a recognized vernacular) gave qualitatively similar results, suggesting that there is no performance cost associated with working with synonyms or vernacular input data.

Names list	Fuzzy matching	Time (ms)	Allocations	Memory footprint
all	no	23	34	2 KiB
	yes	105	2580	25 MiB
mammalfilter(true)	no	0.55	32	2 KiB
	yes	1.9	551	286 KiB
primatefilter(true)	no	0.15	33	2 KiB
	yes	0.3	92	27 KiB