

Assignment 4 : CS-E4830 Kernel Methods in Machine Learning 2019

The **deadline** for this assignment is **Thursday 04.04.2019 at 4pm**.

If you have **questions** about the assignment, you can ask them in the 'General discussion' section on MyCourses.

We will have a tutorial session regarding the **solutions** of this assignment on 28.03.19 at 4:15 pm in TU1(1017), TUAS, Maarintie 8. The solutions will also be available in MyCourses.

Please follow the **submission instructions** given in MyCourses: <https://mycourses.aalto.fi/course/view.php?id=20602§ion=2>.

Pen & Paper exercise

Question 1: Regularization Requirement in Kernel CCA (2 points)

The kernel CCA optimization problem can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha, \beta} \quad & \langle \mathbf{K}_a \alpha, \mathbf{K}_b \beta \rangle \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \|\mathbf{K}_a \alpha\|_2 = 1 \text{ and } \|\mathbf{K}_b \beta\|_2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ apply the Lagrange multiplier technique to solve the kernel CCA optimization problem.

Solution 1:

$$L = \alpha^\top \mathbf{K}_a^\top \mathbf{K}_b \beta - \frac{\rho_1}{2} (\alpha^\top \mathbf{K}_a^2 \alpha - 1) - \frac{\rho_2}{2} (\beta^\top \mathbf{K}_b^2 \beta - 1) \quad (1)$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 denote the Lagrange multipliers. Differentiating L with respect to α and β gives

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \alpha} = \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_b \beta - \rho_1 \mathbf{K}_a^2 \alpha = \mathbf{0} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \beta} = \mathbf{K}_b \mathbf{K}_a \alpha - \rho_2 \mathbf{K}_b^2 \beta = \mathbf{0} \quad (3)$$

Multiplying (2) from the left by α^\top and (3) from the left by β^\top gives

$$\alpha^\top \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_b \beta - \rho_1 \alpha^\top \mathbf{K}_a^2 \alpha = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\beta^\top \mathbf{K}_b \mathbf{K}_a \alpha - \rho_2 \beta^\top \mathbf{K}_b^2 \beta = 0. \quad (5)$$

Since $\alpha^\top K_a^2 \alpha = 1$ and $\beta^\top K_b^2 \beta = 1$, we obtain that

$$\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho. \quad (6)$$

Substituting (6) into Equation (2) we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathbf{K}_a^{-1} \mathbf{K}_a^{-1} \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_b \beta}{\rho} = \frac{\mathbf{K}_a^{-1} \mathbf{K}_b \beta}{\rho}. \quad (7)$$

Substituting (7) into (3) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{K}_b \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_a^{-1} \mathbf{K}_b \beta - \rho \mathbf{K}_b^2 \beta = 0 \quad (8)$$

which is equivalent to the generalized eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\mathbf{K}_b^2 \beta = \rho^2 \mathbf{K}_b^2 \beta. \quad (9)$$

If \mathbf{K}_b^2 is invertible, the problem reduces to a standard eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\mathbf{I} \beta = \rho^2 \beta. \quad (10)$$

Clearly, in the kernel space, if the Gram matrices are invertible the resulting canonical correlations are all equal to one. Regularization is therefore needed to solve the kernel CCA problem.

Question 2: Kernel CCA is CCA on Hilbert Space Objects (**3 points**)

Let the data matrices \mathbf{X}_a and \mathbf{X}_b , of sizes $n \times p$ and $n \times q$, denote the views a and b respectively. The row vectors $\mathbf{x}_a^k \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{x}_b^k \in \mathbb{R}^q$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ denote the sets of empirical observations of X_a and X_b respectively and the column vectors $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\mathbf{b}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ denote centered variable vectors of the n samples respectively. The empirical covariance matrix \mathbf{C}_{ab} between the variable column vectors in \mathbf{X}_a and \mathbf{X}_b is $\mathbf{C}_{ab} = \mathbf{X}_a^\top \mathbf{X}_b$. The empirical variance matrices between the variables in \mathbf{X}_a and in \mathbf{X}_b are given by $\mathbf{C}_{aa} = \mathbf{X}_a^\top \mathbf{X}_a$ and $\mathbf{C}_{bb} = \mathbf{X}_b^\top \mathbf{X}_b$ respectively. The objective in CCA is to maximize the canonical correlation ρ between the variables in \mathbf{X}_a and \mathbf{X}_b , obtained by transforming \mathbf{X}_a and \mathbf{X}_b by the vectors \mathbf{w}_a and \mathbf{w}_b , respectively, such that the inner product, denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, between the two transformations is maximized. Hence

$$\rho = \max_{\mathbf{w}_a, \mathbf{w}_b} \frac{\mathbf{w}_a^\top \mathbf{C}_{ab} \mathbf{w}_b}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_a^\top \mathbf{C}_{aa} \mathbf{w}_a \cdot \mathbf{w}_b^\top \mathbf{C}_{bb} \mathbf{w}_b}} \quad (11)$$

or equivalently

$$\rho = \max_{\mathbf{w}_a, \mathbf{w}_b} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{w}_a \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_k, \mathbf{w}_b \rangle}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{w}_a \rangle \langle \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{w}_a \rangle} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \mathbf{b}_k, \mathbf{w}_b \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_k, \mathbf{w}_b \rangle}}. \quad (12)$$

In kernel canonical correlation analysis (KCCA), CCA is performed by mapping the original observations $\{\mathbf{x}_a^1, \mathbf{x}_a^2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_a^n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{x}_b^1, \mathbf{x}_b^2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_b^n\}$ to Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces (RKHS) through feature maps $\phi_a : \mathbf{x}_a^i \mapsto \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^i) \in \mathcal{H}_a$ and $\phi_b : \mathbf{x}_b^i \mapsto \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^i) \in \mathcal{H}_b$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The mapping to a Hilbert space ensures that the similarity of the mapped objects can be represented by symmetric positive semi-definite kernel functions $\mathbf{K} : X \times X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to inner products in the respective Hilbert spaces: $\mathbf{K}_a(\mathbf{x}_a^i, \mathbf{x}_a^j) = \langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^i), \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^j) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_a}$ and $\mathbf{K}_b(\mathbf{x}_b^i, \mathbf{x}_b^j) = \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^i), \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^j) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_b}$.

Similarly to (12), for fixed Hilbert space objects $\mathbf{w}_a \in \mathcal{H}_a$ and $\mathbf{w}_b \in \mathcal{H}_b$, the empirical covariance of the transformations in the feature space can be written as

$$\text{cov}(\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a), \mathbf{w}_a \rangle, \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b), \mathbf{w}_b \rangle) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^k), \mathbf{w}_a \rangle \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^k), \mathbf{w}_b \rangle.$$

Now, let S_a and S_b represent the linear spaces spanned by the images of the data points. For any $\mathbf{w}_a \in \mathcal{H}_a$ and $\mathbf{w}_b \in \mathcal{H}_b$, we can write $\mathbf{w}_a = \mathbf{w}_a^\parallel + \mathbf{w}_a^\perp$ where $\mathbf{w}_a^\parallel = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^k) \in S_a$ and \mathbf{w}_a^\perp is orthogonal to all objects $\phi_a \in S_a$, ensuring $\langle \phi_a, \mathbf{w}_a^\perp \rangle = 0$. Similarly, $\mathbf{w}_b = \mathbf{w}_b^\parallel + \mathbf{w}_b^\perp$ where $\mathbf{w}_b^\parallel = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^k) \in S_b$ and \mathbf{w}_b^\perp is orthogonal to every object in S_b .

Show that

$$\text{cov}(\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a), \mathbf{w}_a \rangle, \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b), \mathbf{w}_b \rangle) = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_b \boldsymbol{\beta}. \quad (3 \text{ points})$$

Same holds for the variances $\text{var}(\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a), \mathbf{w}_a \rangle) = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_a \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\text{var}(\langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b), \mathbf{w}_b \rangle) = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{K}_b \mathbf{K}_b \boldsymbol{\beta}$. As a result, we can write the (K)CCA objective in dual form

$$\rho = \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_b \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{K}_a^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{K}_b^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}}}$$

where covariances between variables are replaced with equivalent representations expressed in terms of kernel matrices of the two views.

Solution 2:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov}(\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a), \mathbf{w}_a \rangle, \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b), \mathbf{w}_b \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^k), \mathbf{w}_a^\parallel + \mathbf{w}_a^\perp \rangle \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^k), \mathbf{w}_b^\parallel + \mathbf{w}_b^\perp \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^k), \mathbf{w}_a^\parallel \rangle + \underbrace{\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^k), \mathbf{w}_a^\perp \rangle}_{=0}] [\langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^k), \mathbf{w}_b^\parallel \rangle + \underbrace{\langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^k), \mathbf{w}_b^\perp \rangle}_{=0}] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^k), \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \phi_a(\mathbf{x}_a^i) \rangle \langle \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^k), \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \phi_b(\mathbf{x}_b^j) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{K}_a(\mathbf{x}_a^i, \mathbf{x}_a^k) \mathbf{K}_b(\mathbf{x}_b^j, \mathbf{x}_b^k) \beta_j \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^\top \mathbf{K}_a \mathbf{K}_b \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Computer Exercise

Solve the computer exercise in JupyterHub (<https://jupyter.cs.aalto.fi>). The instructions for that are given in MyCourses: <https://mycourses.aalto.fi/course/view.php?id=20602§ion=3>.