HEURISTIC ANALYSIS

Problems Definition & Result Matrix:

- Air Cargo Action Schema:

```
Action(Load(c, p, a),
        PRECOND: At(c, a) ∧ At(p, a) ∧ Cargo(c) ∧ Plane(p) ∧ Airport(a)
        EFFECT: ¬ At(c, a) ∧ In(c, p))
Action(Unload(c, p, a),
        PRECOND: In(c, p) ∧ At(p, a) ∧ Cargo(c) ∧ Plane(p) ∧ Airport(a)
        EFFECT: At(c, a) ∧ ¬ In(c, p))
Action(Fly(p, from, to),
        PRECOND: At(p, from) ∧ Plane(p) ∧ Airport(from) ∧ Airport(to)
        EFFECT: ¬ At(p, from) ∧ At(p, to))
```

- Problem 1 initial state and goal:

```
Init(At(C1, SFO) ∧ At(C2, JFK)
        ∧ At(P1, SFO) ∧ At(P2, JFK)
        ∧ Cargo(C1) ∧ Cargo(C2)
        ∧ Plane(P1) ∧ Plane(P2)
        ∧ Airport(JFK) ∧ Airport(SFO))
Goal(At(C1, JFK) ∧ At(C2, SFO))
```

Optimal Plan:

Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)

Search Method	Optimality	Plane Length	Time Elapsed	New nodes	# Node Expand	Goal Tests
breadth_first_search	Yes	6	0.052	180	43	56
depth_first_graph_search	No	20	0.029	84	21	22
greedy_best_first_graph_search h_1	Yes	6	0.01	28	7	9

In Problem 1, greedy_best_first_graph_search h_1 performs best , highly efficiency and consumed least amount of memory(node expand). BFS optimum result but takes more time and

consume more memory. Depth_first_graph_search didn't optimize result but it consume less time and memory than BFS.

- Problem 2 initial state and goal:
```
*Init(At(C1, SFO) ∧ At(C2, JFK) ∧ At(C3, ATL)*
        *∧ At(P1, SFO) ∧ At(P2, JFK) ∧ At(P3, ATL)*
        *∧ Cargo(C1) ∧ Cargo(C2) ∧ Cargo(C3)*
        *∧ Plane(P1) ∧ Plane(P2) ∧ Plane(P3)*
        *∧ Airport(JFK) ∧ Airport(SFO) ∧ Airport(ATL))*
*Goal(At(C1, JFK) ∧ At(C2, SFO) ∧ At(C3, SFO))*
```

Optimal Plan

Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Load(C3, P3, ATL)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)
Unload(C3, P3, SFO)

Search Method	Optimality	Plane Length	Time Elapsed	New nodes	# Node Expand	Goal Tests
breadth_first_search	Yes	9	11.86	30509	3343	4609
depth_first_graph_search	No	619	4.99	5602	624	625
greedy_best_first_graph_search h_1	No	17	3.40	8910	990	992

The table shows depth_first_graph_search and greedy_best_first_graph_search h_1 have no optimal result, execute quickly and consume less memory. depth_first_graph_search output large plane Length. Breadth_first_search reach optimal solution and the Node expand more than two other algorithms.
```
*- Problem 3 initial state and goal:*
```
Init(At(C1, SFO) ∧ At(C2, JFK) ∧ At(C3, ATL) ∧ At(C4, ORD)
        ∧ At(P1, SFO) ∧ At(P2, JFK)
        ∧ Cargo(C1) ∧ Cargo(C2) ∧ Cargo(C3) ∧ Cargo(C4)
```
```

∧ *Plane(P1)* ∧ *Plane(P2)*
∧ *Airport(JFK)* ∧ *Airport(SFO)* ∧ *Airport(ATL)* ∧ *Airport(ORD))*
Goal(At(C1, JFK) ∧ *At(C3, JFK)* ∧ *At(C2, SFO)* ∧ *At(C4, SFO))*
```

## *Optimal Plan:*

*Load(C1, P1, SFO)*
*Load(C2, P2, JFK)*
*Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)*
*Load(C4, P2, ORD)*
*Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)*
*Load(C3, P1, ATL)*
*Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)*
*Unload(C1, P1, JFK)*
*Unload(C3, P1, JFK)*
*Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)*
*Unload(C2, P2, SFO)*
*Unload(C4, P2, SFO)*

| Search Method | Optimality | Plane Length | Time Elapsed | New nodes | # Node Expand | Goal Tests |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| breadth_first_search | Yes | 12 | 59.71 | 129631 | 14663 | 18098 |
| depth_first_graph_search | No | 392 | 2.47 | 3364 | 408 | 409 |
| greedy_best_first_graph_search h_1 | No | 22 | 25.08 | 49429 | 5614 | 5616 |

*The table shows again depth_first_graph_search and greedy_best_first_graph_search h_1 have no optimal result but execute quickly and consume less memory. depth_first_graph_search output large plane Length. Breadth_first_search reach optimal solution and the Node expand more than two other algorithms.*
```