

Project 1 Report

Paul Chon

September 2020

Note:

I mainly used IntelliJ to code, but I used Eclipse for the JUnit testing and exporting the project. Also, the instructions recommended to use `System.currentTimeMillis()`, but for Part B it kept on returning 0 ms, so, instead, I used `System.nanoTime()`, which records in nano seconds or ns.

Part A: Data Shuffling

OBJECTIVE:

The objective of Part A is to shuffle a dataset of Erdos Numbers using the Fisher-Yates algorithm, which is shown in Figure 1 and has a running time of $O(n)$ and a space complexity of $O(1)$. Also, pseudo-random numbers have to be created in $O(1)$ running time when you generate random numbers for the algorithm.

```
-- To shuffle an array a of n elements (indexes 0..n-1)
for i=n-1 downto 1 //index starts from 0
    j = random integer within 1 <= j <= i
    exchange a[j] and a[i]
```

Figure 1: Fisher-Yates Algorithm Pseudocode

IMPLEMENTATION:

The Big-O Notation of this program is probably $O(n)$ because I use for loops, but there are no nested for loops. The actual dataset is in a txt file called `ErdosCA.txt` and it has two columns (the left column is like an ID number for each individual person and the right column is their Erdos Number) and 7,516 rows, but the first line is just metadata, so just 7,515 rows of data. Looking at the Fisher-Yates Algorithm from before, n would equal the numbers of rows, 7,515, but we also need to generate random numbers, so there is a random

number generator using a seed of 20 and I used nextInt. Now the algorithm can be implemented, which is seen in Figure 2.

```
Random r = new Random( seed: 20);
time = System.nanoTime();

for (int i = data.length - 1; i > 0; i--) {
    int j = r.nextInt(i);
    String temp = data[j];
    data[j] = data[i];
    data[i] = temp;
}
```

Figure 2: Fisher-Yates Algorithm Implemented

To be able to use the algorithm at all, I created a constructor, as seen in Figure 3, that gets input from a file, which would be ErdosCA.txt, using BufferedReader and outputs it into a String array without the first line (I did this by doing a readLine() without saving it to any variables), since the first line is just metadata. Also, since the constructor is using BufferedReader, I made it "throw IOException" to handle that exception.

```
public DataShuffling(File file) throws IOException {
    time = System.nanoTime();
    data = new String[7515];
    BufferedReader br = new BufferedReader(new FileReader( fileName: "ErdosCA.txt"));
    br.readLine();
    for (int i = 0; i < data.length; i++) {
        data[i] = br.readLine();
    }
    br.close();
    time = System.nanoTime() - time;
    System.out.println("Time to read from file (in ns): " + time);
}
```

Figure 3: Input of ErdosCA.txt implemented in constructor

To output the shuffled dataset, I used PrintWriter, instead of just BufferedReader because with PrintWriter you can use the print() and println() functions, which is much more convenient than readLine() from BufferedReader. Also, when creating the FileWriter, I set the append to true, but because of that I created a PrintWriter, as seen in Figure 4, which clears the file or else the output keeps on getting added each time it's run. Figure 4 shows the implementation.

```

PrintWriter cClear = new PrintWriter( fileName: "ChonPaulShuffled.txt");
PrintWriter pw = new PrintWriter(new BufferedWriter(
    new FileWriter( fileName: "ChonPaulShuffled.txt", append: true)));

for (String s : data) {
    pw.println(s);
}

time = System.nanoTime() - time;
System.out.println("Time to write to file (in ns): " + time);
pw.close();

```

Figure 4: Implementing Output

TESTING:

To test the program, I first used `@BeforeEach` to create a new `DataShuffling` class that inputs the `ErdosCA.txt` file before each run of the test, which can be seen in Figure 5. Then, in the actual `@Test`, I call the program, `shuffle()`, and I compared the output of the program to the expected output using `BufferedReader`, which is `Target2.txt`, since I used `nextInt()` to generate random numbers.

```

@BeforeEach
public void setUp() throws IOException {
    ds = new DataShuffling(new File( pathname: "ErdosCA.txt"));
}

```

Figure 5: Before each test, create a new `DataShuffling` class that inputs the `ErdosCA.txt` file

```

@Test
public void testShuffle() throws IOException {
    ds.shuffle();
    String expectedLine;
    BufferedReader In = new BufferedReader(new FileReader( fileName: "Target2.txt"));
    BufferedReader Out = new BufferedReader(
        new FileReader( fileName: "ChonPaulShuffled.txt"));

    while ((expectedLine = In.readLine()) != null) {
        String actualLine = Out.readLine();
        assertEquals(expectedLine, actualLine);
    }

    Out.close();
    In.close();
}

```

Figure 6: Comparing the output of the program to the expected output

TIMING:

As I said previously, I used `nanoTime()` rather than `currentTimeMillis()`, so I got much larger numbers rather than just using `currentTimeMillis()`. The times of 10 different runs, and the averages can be seen in Figure 7.

Time to read from file (in ns)	
5012000	
7200100	
5285400	
1.21299E7	
7412000	
5169900	
9309500	
6052400	
8802400	
5158000	
Time to shuffle (in ns)	
1745500	
1147900	
1518900	
2376200	
1658500	
2606800	
1874600	
1042300	
1526800	
1724100	
Time to write to file (in ns)	
1.02484E7	
1.08817E7	
1.03447E7	
1.32449E7	
1.1166E7	
1.06927E7	
1.00733E7	
8415600	
1.12886E7	
1.21951E7	
Average (in ns)	
Time to read from file (in ns)	7153160
Time to shuffle (in ns)	1722160
Time to write to file (in ns)	10855100

Figure 7: Time to read from file, shuffle, and write to file

Part B: Prisoner Game

OBJECTIVE:

The objective of Part B is to use a circular singly-linked list to recreate a prisoner game where prisoners, every certain number of steps are eliminated until one prisoner is remaining, who is given freedom, and an example of this can be seen in Figure 8.

For example, if there were six prisoners, the elimination process would proceed as follows (with step k=2):

1->2->3->4->5->6 Initial list of prisoners; start counting from 1.
1->2->4->5->6 Prisoner 3 eliminated; continue counting from 4.
1->2->4->5 Prisoner 6 eliminated; continue counting from 1.
1->2->5 Prisoner 4 eliminated; continue counting from 5.
1->5 Prisoner 2 eliminated; continue counting from 5.
1 Prisoner 5 eliminated; 1 is the lucky winner.

Figure 8: Example game with 6 prisoners and eliminating every other two prisoners

IMPLEMENTATION:

The Big-O Notation of this program is probably $O(n^2)$ because I use the `freedom()` method in Figure 11 which has a for loop inside of a while loop. First, I created a `Node` class, a `CircularLinkedList` class, and a `CircularLinkedListGame` class to create the linked list class and run the game. As shown in Figure 9, the constructor in `CircularLinkedListGame` initializes private instance variables and also creates a new and empty linked list.

```
public CircularLinkedListGame(int prisoners, int step) {  
    this.prisoners = prisoners;  
    this.step = step;  
    this.prisonerGame = new CircularLinkedList();  
}
```

Figure 9: Constructor that creates a new and empty linked list

In addition, in the `CircularLinkedListGame` class, I created a method, `insertPrisoners()`, as seen in Figure 10, that adds number of prisoners specified by the user into the linked list. To find the winner, I created a method, `freedom()`, as seen in Figure 11, that will find the winner by removing prisoners every certain number of steps until the head of the linked list equals the tail of the linked list, which means the size of the linked list is 1, and the winner is found. Also, the `remove` method in the `CircularLinkedList` class that was used to remove prisoners can be seen in Figure 12.

```

public void insertPrisoners() {
    time = System.nanoTime();
    //
    for (int i = 0; i < prisoners; i++) {
        prisonerGame.add(new Node( data: i + 1));
    }
    time = System.nanoTime() - time;
    System.out.println("Time to create list (in ns): " + time);
}

```

Figure 10: Adds nodes into the linked list matching the number of prisoners

```

public int freedom() {
    Node eliminatedPrisoner = prisonerGame.getHead();
    int removeCounter = 0;
    time = System.nanoTime();
    while (prisonerGame.getHead() != prisonerGame.getTail()) {
        for (int i = 1; i <= step; i++) {
            eliminatedPrisoner = eliminatedPrisoner.getNext();
        }

        time = System.nanoTime();
        prisonerGame.remove(eliminatedPrisoner);
        if (removeCounter == 0) {
            time = System.nanoTime() - time;
            System.out.println("Time to delete one node (in ns): " + time);
        }
        removeCounter++;
        eliminatedPrisoner = eliminatedPrisoner.getNext();
    }
    time = System.nanoTime() - time;
    System.out.println("Time to find winner (in ns): " + time);

    return prisonerGame.getHead().getData();
}

```

Figure 11: Finds the winner of the prisoner game

```

public void remove(Node removeMe) {
    Node prev = tail;

    while (prev.getNext().getData() != removeMe.getData()) {
        prev = prev.getNext();
    }

    if (prev.getNext() == tail) {
        prev.setNext(head);
        tail = prev;
    } else if (prev.getNext() == head) {
        head = head.getNext();
        tail.setNext(head);
    } else {
        prev.setNext(prev.getNext().getNext());
    }
}

```

Figure 12: Removes a specific node from the linked list

TESTING:

To test the program, five test cases were given: $n = 6$ and $k = 2$, $n = 1$ and $k = 9$, $n = 7$ and $k = 7$, $n = 12$ and $k = 8$, and $n = 5$ and $k = 1$. I put the n 's and k 's in separate integer arrays and used a for loop to go through each n and k pair, which is one test case. As Figure 13 shows, in the for loop, I tested that after creating the CircularLinkedListGame class, that the CircularLinkedList was empty, I tested that after adding the prisoners that the CircularLinkedList was not empty, and that after finding the winner with the freedom() method that the size of the CircularLinkedList was 1 and that the winner was correct.

```

@Test
public void playGame() {
    int[] n = new int[]{6, 1, 7, 12, 5};
    int[] k = new int[]{2, 9, 7, 8, 1};
    int[] output = new int[]{1, 1, 4, 2, 3};

    for (int i = 0; i < n.length; i++) {
        game = new CircularLinkedListGame(n[i], k[i]);
        assertTrue(game.isEmpty());
        assertEquals("expected: 0, game.getCircularLinkedList().getSize()",
            0, game.getCircularLinkedList().getSize());

        game.insertPrisoners();
        assertFalse(game.isEmpty());
        assertEquals(n[i], game.getCircularLinkedList().getSize());

        game.freedom();
        assertEquals("expected: 1, game.getCircularLinkedList().getSize()",
            1, game.getCircularLinkedList().getSize());
        assertEquals(output[i], game.getCircularLinkedList().getHead().getData());
    }
}

```

Figure 13: Tests the program with five test cases

As a sidenote, I didn't call `prisonerGame`, which was the `CircularLinkedList`, for some of the assertions because the `isEmpty()` (shown in Figure 14), which is in the `CircularLinkedListGame` class, calls the `getSize()` method of the `CircularLinkedList` class (shown in Figure 15) and checks whether it is equal to 0. Now, if I wanted to directly call the `getSize()` method I implemented a method, `getCircularLinkedList()`, that returns the linked list, so I could call the `getSize()` method

```
public boolean isEmpty() {  
    return prisonerGame.getSize() == 0;  
}
```

Figure 14: Checks that the linked list has a size of 0

```
public int getSize() {  
    size = 0;  
  
    if (head == null || tail == null) {  
        return 0;  
    } else if (head == tail) {  
        return 1;  
    } else {  
        Node temp = head;  
        //TODO  
        do {  
            temp = temp.getNext();  
            size++;  
        }  
        while (temp != head);  
        return size;  
    }  
}
```

Figure 15: Function in `CircularLinkedList` that gets the size of the linked list

TIMING:

As I said previously, I used `nanoTime()` rather than `currentTimeMillis()`, so I got much larger numbers rather than just using `currentTimeMillis()`. Unlike in Part A, for Part B, I only ran the test twice because the test runs the program five times, so running the test twice would get 10 numbers, except for the time to delete one node because one of the test cases only has one node, so that one only has 8 numbers. The times of 2 different runs, and the averages can be seen in Figure 16.

Time to create list (in ns)	
524800	
1500	
8000	
4500	
4300	
531800	
1100	
2000	
3700	
2400	

Time to delete one node (in ns)	
3400	
1200	
2200	
1100	
2600	
900	
2400	
1100	

Time to find a winner (in ns)	
800	
500	
2900	
1300	
700	
600	
300	
2400	
800	
800	

	Average (in ns)
Time to create list (in ns)	108410
Time to delete one node (in ns)	1862.5
Time to find winner (in ns)	1110

Figure 16: Time to create list, delete one node, and find a winner