Job Number:	RW/hita
Issue:	BWhite Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	8
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Manawatu District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 93 Kaimatarau Road, Rongotea, New Zealand	
LEGAL DES CRIPTION	
We have been engaged by <b>Ezequote Pty Ltd</b> to provide <b>Specific Structural Engineering Design</b> requirements of Clause(s) <b>B1</b> of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to building work.	*
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and	all connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code is Innovation & Employment Clauses <b>B1/VM1</b> and <b>B1/VM4</b>	sued by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on <b>Ezequote</b> drawing 4773530 and numbered A101 - A111 Rev-1 dated 28/04/2025 together with the following specific out in the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 28/04/2025 and numbered Report Dated 28/04/2025</b> and numbered A101 - A111 Rev-1 dated 28/04/2025 and numbered A101 - A111 Rev-1 dated 28/04/2025 together with the following specific out in the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 28/04/2025</b> and numbered A101 - A111 Rev-1 dated 28/04/2025 together with the following specific out in the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 28/04/2025</b> and numbered A101 - A111 Rev-1 dated 28/04/2025 together with the following specific out in the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 28/04/2025</b> and numbered A101 - A111 Rev-1 dated 28/04/2025 together with the following specific out in the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 28/04/2025</b> and <b>Design Feat</b>	ication, and other documents set
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
<ol> <li>Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing prewith NZS3604:2011</li> <li>The building has a design life of 50 years and an Importance Level 1</li> <li>Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to Non-Specific codes such as NZS checked by this practice</li> <li>This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightne</li> <li>Inspections of the building to be completed by Manawatu District Council. As BWhite Coinspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.</li> <li>This Producer Statement-Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements</li> </ol>	33604 and NZS4229 have not beeness ss onsulting Ltd are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawin documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also reconstruction monitoring/observation:	of the Building Code and that b),
✓ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	oove)
I, <b>Bevan White</b> am CPEng <b>108276</b> I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the follow holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000	ing qualification: BECivil and
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 28/04/2025	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Au	

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

Date: 28/04/2025

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

### DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 93 KAIMATARAU ROAD, RONGOTEA, NEW ZEALAND

#### Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.05 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	38.22 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.88 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

#### Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

# **BWhite CONSULTING LTD**

### **Bevan White**

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Lindsay Pedley 188- Address: 93 Kaimatarau Road, Rongotea, New Date: 28/04/2025

4773530 Zealand

**Latitude:** -40.300812 **Longitude:** 175.371006 **Elevation:** 33 m

# **General Input**

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.05 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	38.22 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.88 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

# **Pressure Coefficients and Pressues**

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = 0.6408

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 4.05 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.56 KPa pnet = -1.04 KPa

For roof CP,e from 4.05 m To 8.10 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.31 KPa pnet = -0.79 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = 0.6408 side Wall Cp, i = -0.54

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 9.0 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.55 KPa pnet = 1.01 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 4.05 m Cpe = pe = -0.51 KPa pnet = -0.05 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.04 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.67 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.06 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.94 KPa

# **Design Summary**

### **Purlin Design**

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 4350 mm Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.47 S1 Downward =11.27 S1 Upward =24.64

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

### **Capacity Checks**

M1.35D	0.72 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	309.72 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	2.43 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	122.22 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-1.73 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.76 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	101.73 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	0.66 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1462.12 %
V <sub>1.2D+1.5L</sub> 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.90 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	676.84 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-1.60 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1005.00 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 17.13 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 17.92 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 14.98 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 43.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.90 kn Maximum upward = -1.60 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

### Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4500 mm Internal Rafter Span = 4350 mm Try Rafter 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 6.81 S1 Upward = 6.81

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# **Capacity Checks**

M1.35D	3.59 Kn-m	Capacity	10.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	280.78 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	10.32 Kn-m	Capacity	13.44 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	130.23 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-8.67 Kn-m	Capacity	-16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	193.77 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	3.30 Kn	Capacity	28.94 Kn	Passing Percentage	876.97 %
V <sub>1.2D+1.5L</sub> 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	9.49 Kn	Capacity	38.6 Kn	Passing Percentage	406.74 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-7.98 Kn	Capacity	-48.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	604.51 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 5.34 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 18.75 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 8.255 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 9.49 kn Maximum upward = -7.98 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > -7.98 Kn

# Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2250 mm External Rafter Span = 4323 mm Try Rafter 300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward =0.94 S1 Downward =13.93 S1 Upward =13.93

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# **Capacity Checks**

M1.35D	1.77 Kn-m	Capacity	4.72 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	266.67 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	5.10 Kn-m	Capacity	6.30 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	123.53 %
M <sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub>	-4.28 Kn-m	Capacity	-7.87 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	183.88 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	1.64 Kn	Capacity	14.47 Kn	Passing Percentage	882.32 %
V <sub>1.2D+1.5L</sub> 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	4.72 Kn	Capacity	19.30 Kn	Passing Percentage	408.90 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-3.96 Kn	Capacity	-24.12 Kn	Passing Percentage	609.09 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 5.93 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 18.75 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 8.26 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

### Reactions

Maximum downward = 4.72 kn Maximum upward = -3.96 kn

# Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 50 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

V = phi x k1 x k4 x k5 x fs x b x ds ...... (Eq 4.12) = -25.20 kn > -3.96 Kn

6/12

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -10.84 Kn > -3.96 Kn

# **Girt Design Front and Back**

Girt's Spacing = 650 mm

Girt's Span = 4500 mm

Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1

K4 = 1

K5 = 1

K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 0.89

S1 Downward = 9.63

S1 Upward =15.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa

Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

### **Capacity Checks**

MWind+Snow

1.74 Kn-m

Capacity

1.87 Kn-m

Passing Percentage

107.47 %

 $V_{0.9D\text{-WnUp}}$ 

1.55 Kn

Capacity

12.06 Kn

Passing Percentage

778.06 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 39.05 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

Sag during installation = 24.86 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 1.55 kn

# **Girt Design Sides**

Girt's Spacing = 650 mm

Girt's Span = 4500 mm

Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1

K4 = 1

K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 0.89

S1 Downward = 9.63

S1 Upward =15.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

### **Capacity Checks**

MWind+Snow

1.74 Kn-m

Capacity

1.87 Kn-m

Passing Percentage

107.47 %

7/12

V<sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub> 1.55 Kn Capacity 12.06 Kn Passing Percentage 778.06 %

### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 39.05 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm Sag during installation = 24.86 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 1.55 kn

# Middle Pole Design

# Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	4200 mm
Area	27598 mm2	As	20698.2421875 mm2
Ix	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Iy	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Lateral Restraint	1300 mm c/c		

# Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $20.25 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	5.06 Kn	Live	5.06 Kn
Wind Down	13.57 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	8.65 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	1.00
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

### Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

# Capacities

PhiNcx Wind 397.41 Kn PhiMnx Wind 18.78 Kn-m PhiVnx Wind 49.01 Kn

PhiNcx Dead 238.44 Kn PhiMnx Dead 11.27 Kn-m PhiVnx Dead 29.41 Kn

#### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.52 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.27 < 1 \text{ OK}$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 36.83 mm < 42.00 mm

# Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

### **Assumed Soil Properties**

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

### Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1400 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3037 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

#### Loads

Moment Wind = 8.65 Kn-m Shear Wind = 2.85 Kn

### Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.51 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.89 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.87 < 1 OK

### **End Pole Design**

### **Geometry For End Bay Pole**

# Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3750 mm
Area	20729 mm2	As	15546.6796875 mm2
Ix	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Iy	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

# Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $10.125 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	2.53 Kn	Live	2.53 Kn
Wind Down	6.78 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment Wind	4.33 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.53
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

# Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

# Capacities

PhiNex Wind	157.93 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	6.47 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	94.76 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	3.88 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn

### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.74 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.52 < 1 OK$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 31.40 mm < 40.40 mm

$D_S =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L=	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	3037 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

### Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $10.125 \text{ m}^2$ 

Moment Wind = 4.33 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.42 Kn

### **Pile Properties**

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.51 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.89 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.44 < 1 OK

# Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

#### **Assumed Soil Properties**

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

### **Geometry For End Bay Pole**

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1400 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3037 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

### Loads

Moment Wind = 4.33 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.42 Kn

### **Pile Properties**

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.51 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.89 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.44 < 1 OK

# **Uplift Check**

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m<sup>3</sup>

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1400) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1400)

Skin Friction = 15.83 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 19.92 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 16.50 Kn

Uplift is ok