Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Ruapehu District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 136 Matapuna Road, Lot 12 Horopito, Horopito, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by <b>Ezequote Pty Ltd</b> to provide <b>Specific Structural Engineering Design</b> so requirements of Clause(s) <b>B1</b> of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to the building work.	
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all	connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issu Innovation & Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	ed by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on <b>Ezequote</b> drawings <b>Horopito</b> and numbered <b>A101-A114 REV-1</b> dated <b>11/21/2023</b> together with the following specificat out in the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 11/22/2023 and num</b>	tion, and other documents set
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
<ol> <li>Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing press with NZS3604:2011</li> <li>The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1</li> <li>Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS2 been checked by this practice</li> <li>This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness</li> <li>Inspections of the building to be completed by Ruapehu District Council. As BWhite Constinspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.</li> <li>This Producer Statement-Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from</li> <li>All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements</li> </ol>	3604 and NZS4229 have not sulting Ltd are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also record construction monitoring/observation:	the Building Code and that b),
☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above	ve)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following	g qualification: <b>BE.Civil</b>
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$20	00,000.
Signed by <b>Bevan White</b> on behalf of <b>BWhite Consulting Ltd</b> Dated: 11/22/2023	
Email: bwhitecneng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	

whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work,

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 11/22/2023

BWhite

18B Jules Crescent,

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

# DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 136 MATAPUNA ROAD, LOT 12 HOROPITO, HOROPITO, NEW ZEALAND

# **Site Specific Loads**

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	1.26 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.88 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.5 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	44.53 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.19 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

### Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

#### **BWhite CONSULTING LTD**

#### **Bevan White**

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Second page

Job No.: 136 Matapuna Road, Address: 136 Matapuna Road, Lot 12 Horopito, Date: 11/22/2023

Lot 12 Horopito Horopito, New Zealand

**Latitude:** -39.341936 **Longitude:** 175.389039 **Elevation:** 776.5 m

## **General Input**

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	1.26 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.88 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.5 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	44.53 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.19 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	Very High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

#### **Pressure Coefficients and Pressues**

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 4 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.96 KPa pnet = -0.96 KPa

For roof CP,e from 4 m To 8 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.54 KPa pnet = -0.54 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 12 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.75 KPa pnet = 1.11 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 4 m Cpe = pe = -0.70 KPa pnet = -0.70 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.96 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.47 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.11 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.21 KPa

# **Design Summary**

# **Purlin Design**

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 3850 mm Try Purlin 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.46 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =25.13

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# **Capacity Checks**

$M_{1.35D}$	0.56 Kn-m	Capacity	1.79 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	319.64 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.97 Kn-m	Capacity	2.38 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	120.81 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}n\text{Up}}$	-1.23 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.39 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	113.01 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	0.58 Kn	Capacity	8.25 Kn	Passing Percentage	1422.41 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	2.04 Kn	Capacity	11.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	539.22 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-1.27 Kn	Capacity	-13.75 Kn	Passing Percentage	1082.68 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 8.51 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 15.83 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 10.42 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 38.00 mm

# Reactions

Maximum downward = 2.04 kn Maximum upward = -1.27 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

# Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4000 mm Internal Rafter Span = 4850 mm Try Rafter 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 6.81 S1 Upward = 6.81

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## **Capacity Checks**

M1.35D	3.97 Kn-m	Capacity	10.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	253.90 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	13.88 Kn-m	Capacity	13.44 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	96.83 %

$M_{0.9D ext{-W}nUp}$	-8.64 Kn-m	Capacity -	16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	194.44 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	3.27 Kn	Capacity 2	28.94 Kn	Passing Percentage	885.02 %
V <sub>1.2D+1.5L</sub> 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	11.45 Kn	Capacity	38.6 Kn	Passing Percentage	337.12 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-7.13 Kn	Capacity -	48.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	676.58 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 7.235 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 20.83 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 9.845 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 50.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 11.45 kn Maximum upward = -7.13 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > -7.13 Kn

# **Intermediate Design Sides**

Intermediate Spacing = 2500 mm Intermediate Span = 4100 mm Try Intermediate 2x240x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 13.82 S1 Upward = 0.93

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# **Capacity Checks**

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	3.31 Kn-m	Capacity	9.68 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	292.45 %
$ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	3.23 Kn-m	Capacity	34.74 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	1075.54 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 38.92 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 41.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 3.23 kn

# **Girt Design Front and Back**

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm Girt's Span = 4000 mm Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.76 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =18.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

#### **Capacity Checks**

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	2.00 Kn-m	Capacity	2.30 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	115.00 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-}WnUp}$	2.00 Kn-m	Capacity	13.75 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	687.50 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 34.64 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 40.00 mm Sag during installation = 19.16 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 2.00 kn

# **Girt Design Sides**

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm Girt's Span = 2500 mm Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.65 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =20.38

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

#### **Capacity Checks**

Mwind+Snow 0.78 Kn-m Capacity 1.98 Kn-m Passing Percentage 253.85 % V<sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub> 1.25 Kn-m Capacity 13.75 Kn-m Passing Percentage 1100.00 %

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 5.29 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 25.00 mm Sag during installation = 2.92 mm

# Reactions

Maximum = 1.25 kn

# Middle Pole Design

### Geometry

200 SED H5 (Minimum 225 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	4200 mm
Area	35448 mm2	As	26585.7421875 mm2
Ix	100042702 mm4	Zx	941578 mm3
Iy	100042702 mm4	Zx	941578 mm3
Lateral Restraint	4200 mm c/c		

#### Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $20 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	5.00 Kn	Live	5.00 Kn
Wind Down	9.40 Kn	Snow	17.60 Kn
Moment wind	12.22 Kn-m	Moment snow	3.77 Kn-m

Phi	0.8	K8	0.68
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

#### Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

#### Capacities

PhiNex Wind	347.89 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	18.64 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	62.96 Kn
PhiNex Dead	208.74 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	11.18 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	37.77 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	278.32 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	14.91 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	50.36 Kn

#### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.75 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.52 < 1 OK$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 35.04 mm < 42.00 mm

# Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

# **Assumed Soil Properties**

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

# Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1550 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3375 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

#### Loads

Moment Wind = 12.22 Kn-m Moment Snow = 12.22 Kn-m Shear Wind = 12.22 Kn-m Shear Snow = 12.22 Kn-m Snow = 12.22 Kn-m Snow = 12.22 Kn-m Shear Snow = 12.22 Kn-m Sno

# **Pile Properties**

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.74 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 13.43 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.91 < 1 OK

# **End Pole Design**

# **Geometry For End Bay Pole**

# Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	4200 mm
Area	27598 mm2	As	20698.2421875 mm2
Ix	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Iy	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

#### Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $10 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	2.50 Kn	Live	2.50 Kn
Wind Down	4.70 Kn	Snow	8.80 Kn
Moment Wind	6.11 Kn-m	Moment snow	1.89 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	0.56
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

#### Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

# Capacities

PhiNcx Wind 221.65 Kn PhiMnx Wind 10.48 Kn-m PhiVnx Wind 49.01 Kn

PhiNcx Dead	132.99 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	6.29 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	177.32 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	8.38 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	39.21 Kn

#### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.66 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.41 < 1 \text{ OK}$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 30.89 mm < 44.89 mm

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3375 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

#### Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $10 \text{ m}^2$ 

Moment Wind = 6.11 Kn-m Moment Snow = 1.89 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.81 Kn Shear Snow = 1.89 Kn

# **Pile Properties**

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.19 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.23 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.74 < 1 OK

# Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

# **Assumed Soil Properties**

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$  $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

# **Geometry For End Bay Pole**

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3375 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

#### Loads

Moment Wind = 6.11 Kn-m Moment Snow = 1.89 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.81 Kn Shear Snow = 1.89 Kn

#### Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.19 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.23 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.74 < 1 OK

# **Uplift Check**

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1550) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1550)

Skin Friction = 19.40 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 23.43 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 14.70 Kn

Uplift is ok