| Job Number: | BWhite |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Issue: | Consulting Ltd |
| PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN | |
| ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White) | |
| TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Auckland District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed | |
| AT: 1242 State Highway 16, Waimauku, New Zealand | |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION | |
| We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design services in respect of the Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building | |
| ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections | |
| The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Busine Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4 | ess, Innovation & |
| The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawings title Peter Dyer Buildo A116 REV-1 dated 28/03/2024 together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attach Design Featured Report Dated 02/04/2024 and numbered "Second Page" | |
| On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to: | |
| Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in acco NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Auckland District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements | have not been checked |
| I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and o provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the prundertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring | resons who have |
| CM1 CM2 CM3 CM4 CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above) | |
| I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: BE.Ci | vil |
| BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000. | |
| Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 02/04/2024 | |
| Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786 | |
| Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising for provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000. | om this statement and all other statements |

First Page

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 02/04/2024

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 1242 STATE HIGHWAY 16, WAIMAUKU, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

| Roof Live Load | 0.25 KPa | Roof Dead Load | 0.25 KPa | Roof Live Point Load | 1.1 Kn |
|------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Snow Zone | N0 | Ground Snow Load | 0 KPa | Roof Snow Load | 0 KPa |
| Earthquake Zone | 1 | Subsoil Category | D | Exposure Zone | C |
| Importance Level | 1 | Ultimate wind & EQ ARI | 100 Years | Max Height | 6 m |
| Wind Region | NZ1 | Terrain Category | 2.0 | Design Wind Speed | 43.35 m/s |
| Wind Pressure | 1.13 KPa | Lee Zone | NO | Ultimate Snow ARI | 50 Years |

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.:Peter Dyer BuildersAddress:1242 State Highway 16, Waimauku, New ZealandDate:02/04/2024Latitude:-36.755336Longitude:174.465246Elevation:24.5 m

General Input

| Roof Live Load | 0.25 KPa | Roof Dead Load | 0.25 KPa | Roof Live Point Load | 1.1 Kn |
|------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Snow Zone | N0 | Ground Snow Load | 0 KPa | Roof Snow Load | 0 KPa |
| Earthquake Zone | 1 | Subsoil Category | D | Exposure Zone | C |
| Importance Level | 1 | Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI | 100 Years | Max Height | 6 m |
| Wind Region | NZ1 | Terrain Category | 2.0 | Design Wind Speed | 43.35 m/s |
| Wind Pressure | 1.13 KPa | Lee Zone | NO | Ultimate Snow ARI | 50 Years |
| Wind Category | High | Earthquake ARI | 100 | | |

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Gable Open

For roof Cp, i = 0.4549

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 5.50 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.89 KPa pnet = -1.39 KPa

For roof CP,e from 5.50 m To 11 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.49 KPa pnet = -0.99 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = 0.4549 side Wall Cp, i = -0.5569

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 15 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.71 KPa pnet = 1.34 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 5.50 m Cpe = pe = -0.66 KPa pnet = -0.03 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.39 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.48 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.34 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.24 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 3850 mm Try Purlin 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.78 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =17.77

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

| M1.35D | 0.56 Kn-m | Capacity | 1.79 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 319.64 % |
|------------------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------------|-----------|
| M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn | 1.56 Kn-m | Capacity | 2.38 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 152.56 % |
| $M_{0.9D	ext{-W}nUp}$ | -1.94 Kn-m | Capacity | -2.36 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 121.65 % |
| V _{1.35D} | 0.58 Kn | Capacity | 8.25 Kn | Passing Percentage | 1422.41 % |
| V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn | 1.35 Kn | Capacity | 11.00 Kn | Passing Percentage | 814.81 % |
| $V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$ | -2.02 Kn | Capacity | -13.75 Kn | Passing Percentage | 680.69 % |

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 8.51 mmDeflection under Dead and Service Wind = 10.49 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 15.83 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 38.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.35 kn Maximum upward = -2.02 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4000 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 14850 mm

Try Rafter 2x610x45 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \; Long$

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =11.05 S1 Upward =11.05

Shear Capacity of timber = 5 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

| M _{1.35D} | 37.21 Kn-m | Capacity | 90.18 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 242.35 % |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------------|----------|
| M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn | 86.00 Kn-m | Capacity | 120.24 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 139.81 % |
| $M_{0.9D	ext{-W}nUp}$ | -128.45 Kn-m | Capacity | -150.28 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 116.99 % |
| V1.35D | 10.02 Kn | Capacity | 88.28 Kn | Passing Percentage | 881.04 % |
| V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn | 23.17 Kn | Capacity | 117.7 Kn | Passing Percentage | 507.98 % |
| $ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$ | -34.60 Kn | Capacity | -147.14 Kn | Passing Percentage | 425.26 % |

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 42.24 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 57.885 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 62.50 mmLimit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 150.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 23.17 kn Maximum upward = -34.60 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M16 Number of Bolts = 4

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 76.25 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 90 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 91.53 Kn > -34.60 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2000 mm

External Rafter Span = 7878 mm

Try Rafter 300x45 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.88

K8 Upward =0.88 S1 Downward =15.50 S1 Upward =15.50

Shear Capacity of timber = 5 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

| M _{1.35D} | 5.24 Kn-m | Capacity | 10.84 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 206.87 % |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------------|----------|
| M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn | 12.10 Kn-m | Capacity | 14.45 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 119.42 % |
| $M_{0.9\mathrm{D-WnUp}}$ | -18.08 Kn-m | Capacity | -18.07 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 99.94 % |
| V _{1.35D} | 2.66 Kn | Capacity | 21.71 Kn | Passing Percentage | 816.17 % |
| V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn | 6.14 Kn | Capacity | 28.94 Kn | Passing Percentage | 471.34 % |
| $ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$ | -9.18 Kn | Capacity | -36.18 Kn | Passing Percentage | 394.12 % |

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 24.66 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 30.41 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 31.25 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 75.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward =6.14 kn Maximum upward = -9.18 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 45 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

 $K11 = 2.0 \ \text{fcj} = 36.1 \ \text{Mpa}$ for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

 $V = phi \times k1 \times k4 \times k5 \times fs \times b \times ds \dots (Eq 4.12) = -37.80 \text{ kn} > -9.18 \text{ Kn}$

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -14.56 Kn \geq -9.18 Kn

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 3750 mm Intermediate Span = 4349 mm

Try Intermediate 2x190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =0.85

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

| Mwind+Snow | 5.94 Kn-m | Capacity | 6.06 Kn-m | Passing Percentage | 102.02 % |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|----------|
| $V_{0.9\mathrm{D-WnUp}}$ | 5.46 Kn | Capacity | 27.5 Kn | Passing Percentage | 503.66 % |

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 84.285 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 43.49 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 5.46 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 850 mm Girt's Span = 4000 mm Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.76 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =18.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 Mwind+Snow
 2.28 Kn-m
 Capacity
 2.30 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 100.88 %

 V0.9D-WnUp
 2.28 Kn
 Capacity
 13.75 Kn
 Passing Percentage
 603.07 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 22.03 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 40.00 mm

Sag during installation = 19.16 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.28 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 850 mm Girt's Span = 3750 mm Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward =0.98

K8 Upward =0.78 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =17.65

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

MWind+Snow 2.00 Kn-m Capacity 2.38 Kn-m Passing Percentage 119.00 % $V_{0.9D\text{-W}\text{nUp}}$ 2.14 Kn Capacity 13.75 Kn Passing Percentage 642.52 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 17.02 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 37.50 mm

Sag during installation =14.80 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.14 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

225 SED H5 (Minimum 250 dia. at Floor Level) Dry Use Height 3700 mm Area 44279 mm2 As 33209.1796875 mm2 156100441 mm4 1314530 mm3 Ix Zx 156100441 mm4 7x 1314530 mm3 Iy

Lateral Restraint 3700 mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 30 m2

 Dead
 7.50 Kn
 Live
 7.50 Kn

 Wind Down
 14.40 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

Moment wind 33.40 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.88

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Steaming Normal Dry Use Peeling fb = 36.3 MPa fs =2.96 MPa fc = 18 MPa 7.2 MPa fp = ft =22 MPa E =9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind559.30 KnPhiMnx Wind33.48 Kn-mPhiVnx Wind78.64 KnPhiNcx Dead335.58 KnPhiMnx Dead20.09 Kn-mPhiVnx Dead47.18 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 1.05 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 1.05 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 72.09 mm < 37.00 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & 2000 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$

f1 = 4500 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Moment Wind} = & & 33.40 \text{ Kn-m} \\ & \text{Shear Wind} = & & 7.42 \text{ Kn} \end{aligned}$

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

 $Hu = 10.97 \; Kn \qquad \qquad Ultimate \; Lateral \; Strength \; of the \; Pile, \; Short \; pile$

Mu = 29.06 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 1.15 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

225 SED H5 (Minimum 250 dia. at Floor Level) Dry Use Height 5800 mm

Area 44279 mm2 As 33209.1796875 mm2

7/9

| Ix | 156100441 mm4 | Zx | 1314530 mm3 |
|----|---------------|----|-------------|
| Iy | 156100441 mm4 | Zx | 1314530 mm3 |

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 15 m2

 Dead
 3.75 Kn
 Live
 3.75 Kn

 Wind Down
 7.20 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

Moment Wind 11.13 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.48

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Peeling Steaming Normal Dry Use fb = 36.3 MPa 2.96 MPa fs =18 MPa 7.2 MPa fc = fp =ft =22 MPa E =9257 MPa

Capacities

 PhiNcx Wind
 305.22 Kn
 PhiMnx Wind
 18.27 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Wind
 78.64 Kn

 PhiNcx Dead
 183.13 Kn
 PhiMnx Dead
 10.96 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Dead
 47.18 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.66 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.42 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 38.87 mm < 59.85 mm

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & 1300 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$

f1 = 4500 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 15 m2

 $\label{eq:Moment Wind = 11.13 Kn-m} \begin{tabular}{ll} Moment Wind = 11.13 Kn-m \\ Shear Wind = 2.47 Kn \end{tabular}$

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 3.38 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.68 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = $1.28 \le 1$ OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

 $D_S = 0.6 \text{ mm}$ Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 4500 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

 $\label{eq:Moment Wind = 11.13 Kn-m}$ Shear Wind = 2.47 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 3.38 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.68 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 1.28 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

 $Formula \ to \ calculate \ Skin \ Friction = Safecty \ factor \ (0.55) \ x \ Density \ of \ Soil (18) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Ks (1.5) \ x \ 0.5 \ x \ tan (30) \ x \ Pi \ x \ Dia \ of \ Pile (0.6) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Ks (1.5) \ x \ 0.5 \ x \ tan (30) \ x \ Pi \ x \ Dia \ of \ Pile (0.6) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (2000) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile (20$

Skin Friction = 32.31 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 36.89 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 34.95 Kn

Uplift is ok