Job Number:	RWhite
Issue:	BWhite Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: Lot 1 95 Ariels Lane, Paparoa, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design so requirements of Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to the building work.	_
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and al	l connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code is su Innovation & Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	ned by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on ITM drawings title Paparoa and numbered dated together with the following specification, and other documents set ou statement: Design Featured Report Dated 9/14/2023 and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing press with NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS been checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	3604 and NZS4229 have not s d are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also reconstruction monitoring/observation:	the Building Code and that b),
☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above	ve)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following	g qualification: BECivil
BW hite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$20	00,000.
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 9/14/2023	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 9/14/2023

BWhite

18B Jules Crescent,

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED LOT 1 95 ARIELS LANE, PAPAROA, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3200 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	42.46 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.08 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Second page

Date: 9/14/2023

Council: District Council

BWhite Consulting Ltd

Subject: B2 compliance in respect of Proposed shed at Lot 1 95 Ariels Lane, Paparoa, New Zealand

District Council typically requests a Producer Statement/Other means of compliance for Design for Clause B2 of the Building Code-Durability

We are not able to provide a Producer Statement for durability because compliance needs to be shown on material-by-material basis using a variety of compliance methods, and not all materials used have a clear compliance path.

We can confirm that for the structural elements shown in our documentation under Clause B1:

Timber

Timber treatment has been selected to meet or exceed the requirements of table 1A of B2/AS1 and NZS3602

Steel fixing

Steel fixings are protected against weather as per table 4.1 and 4.2 of NZS3604-2011

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan Whiite

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com

Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Kerr - Lot 1 95 Ariels Address: Lot 1 95 Ariels Lane, Paparoa, New Date: 9/14/2023

Lane Paparoa Zealand

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3200 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	42.46 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.08 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp,i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0.00 m To 3.00 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.87 KPa pnet = -0.87 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3.00 m To 6.00 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.48 KPa pnet = -0.48 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward $\,$ CP,e $\,$ from 0 m $\,$ To 7.0 m $\,$ Cpe = 0.7 $\,$ pe = 0.68 KPa $\,$ pnet = 0.68 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0.00 m To 3.00 m Cpe = pe = -0.63 KPa pnet = -0.63 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = -0.88 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.51 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.00 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.16 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 3450 mm Try Purlin 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after

installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.73 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =18.72

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M1.35D	0.45 Kn-m	Capacity	1.26 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	280.00 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.35 Kn-m	Capacity	1.68 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	124.44 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	1.48 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.54 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	104.05 %
V _{1.35D}	0.52 Kn	Capacity	7.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	1392.31 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.26 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	765.87 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	1.72 Kn	Capacity	-12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	701.16 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 9.97 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.17 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 12.55 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 34.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.26 kn Maximum upward = 1.72 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 3600 mm Internal Rafter Span = 3350 mm Try Rafter 2x250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 6.13 S1 Upward = 6.13

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M1.35D	1.70 Kn-m	Capacity	7 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	411.76 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	4.09 Kn-m	Capacity	9.34 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	228.36 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	5.58 Kn-m	Capacity	-11.66 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	208.96 %
V _{1.35D}	2.04 Kn	Capacity	24.12 Kn	Passing Percentage	1182.35 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	4.88 Kn	Capacity	32.16 Kn	Passing Percentage	659.02 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	6.66 Kn	Capacity	-40.2 Kn	Passing Percentage	603.60 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 2.7 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.58 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 3.775 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 4.88 kn Maximum upward = 6.66 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > 6.66 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 1800 mm External Rafter Span = 3306 mm Try Rafter 250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.97

K8 Upward =0.97 S1 Downward =12.68 S1 Upward =12.68

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	0.83 Kn-m	Capacity	3.40 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	409.64 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.99 Kn-m	Capacity	4.53 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	227.64 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}n\text{Up}}$	2.72 Kn-m	Capacity	-5.67 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	208.46 %
V _{1.35D}	1.00 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	1206.00 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	2.41 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	667.22 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	3.29 Kn	Capacity	-20.10 Kn	Passing Percentage	610.94 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 3.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 14.58 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 3.78 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 2.41 kn Maximum upward = 3.29 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 50 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

V = phi x k1 x k4 x k5 x fs x b x ds (Eq 4.12) = -19.95 kn > 3.29 Kn

7/9

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -10.84 Kn > 3.29 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm

Girt's Span = 3600 mm

Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1

K4 = 1

K5 = 1

K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN

S1 Downward =NaN

S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa

Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

MWind+Snow

0.00 Kn-m

Capacity

NaN Kn-m

Passing Percentage

NaN %

 $V_{0.9D\text{-WnUp}}$

0.00 Kn-m

Capacity

0.00 Kn-m

Passing Percentage

NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.00 mm

Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm

Girt's Span = 3500 mm

Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1

K4 = 1

K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN

S1 Downward =NaN

S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

MWind+Snow

 $0.00 \, \text{Kn-m}$

Capacity

NaN Kn-m

Passing Percentage

NaN %

8/9

V_{0.9D-WnUp} 0.00 Kn-m Capacity 0.00 Kn-m Passing Percentage NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile() x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x $\tan(30)$ x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile()

Skin Friction = 0.00 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 0.00 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = -13.92 Kn

Uplift is ok