Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Waikato District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 221 Herbert Road Rotongaro, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design services in respect of the Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building	
ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Busin Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	ness, Innovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawings title 185-1505714 and Rev-1 dated 02/04/2024 together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to Featured Report Dated 04/04/2024 and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accon NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Waikato District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	have not been checked
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and opposited or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the pundertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitors.	resons who have
∠ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: BE.C	ivil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 04/04/2024	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising the statement accrues to the Design Firm only.	from this statement and all other statements

Note: I his statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement accrues to the Design Firm only.

 $This \ form \ is \ to \ accompany \ Form \ 2 \ of \ the \ Building (Forms) \ Regulations \ 2004 \ for \ the \ application \ of \ a \ Building \ Consent$

Date: 04/04/2024 BWhite
Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 221 HERBERT ROAD ROTONGARO, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.6 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	40.75 m/s
Wind Pressure	1 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

 Job No.:
 185-1505714
 Address:
 221 Herbert Road Rotongaro, New Zealand
 Date:
 04/04/2024

 Latitude:
 -37.508456
 Longitude:
 175.067874
 Elevation:
 18.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.6 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	40.75 m/s
Wind Pressure	1 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Open

For roof Cp, i = 0.6773

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 1.65 m Cpe = -0.94 pe = -0.78 KPa pnet = -1.46 KPa

For roof CP,e from 1.65 m To 3.30 m Cpe = -0.88 pe = -0.73 KPa pnet = -1.41 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = 0.6773 side Wall Cp, i = -0.6078

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 7.2 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.63 KPa pnet = 1.29 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.30 m Cpe = pe = -0.58 KPa pnet = 0.08 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.46 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.75 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.29 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.08 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 3450 mm Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \\$

K8 Upward =0.58 S1 Downward =11.27 S1 Upward =21.91

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	0.45 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	495.56 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.41 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	210.64 %
$M_{0.9 D\text{-W} n Up}$	-1.65 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.16 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	130.91 %
V1.35D	0.52 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1855.77 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.63 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	788.96 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-1.92 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	837.50 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 4.21 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 6.14 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.17 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 34.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.63 kn Maximum upward = -1.92 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 3600 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 5850 mm

Try Rafter 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =6.81 S1 Upward =6.81

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	3.25 Kn-m	Capacity	10.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	310.15 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	6.01 Kn-m	Capacity	13.44 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	223.63 %
$M_{0.9\mathrm{D-WnUp}}$	10.51 Kn-m	Capacity	-16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	159.85 %
V _{1.35D}	3.13 Kn	Capacity	28.94 Kn	Passing Percentage	924.60 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	5.80 Kn	Capacity	38.6 Kn	Passing Percentage	665.52 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	14.03 Kn	Capacity	-48.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	343.83 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 9 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 21.5 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 25.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 60.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 5.8 kn Maximum upward = 14.03 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > 14.03 Kn

Prop on Sides = 2 2/SG815050Dry 1000mm Reaction Prop = 10.16 Kn down 19.13 Kn Up

Prop Combined axial and bending ratios (My/Phi x Mny)+(Nc/Phi x Ncy) should be less than or equal to 1

For Short Term Load = 0.93 < 1 OK

For Medium Term Load = 0.62 < 1 OK

For Long Term Load = 0.45 < 1 OK

Prop Connection check

Effective width of Pole used in Calculations = 175 mm - 20mm (Margin for chamfer)

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Angle of prop = 45 degree

Prop Connection Capacity under Short term loads: 24.85 Kn > 19.13 Kn OK

Prop Connection Capacity under Medium term loads: 19.88 Kn > 10.16 Kn OK

Prop Connection Capacity under Long term loads: 14.91 Kn > 5.53 Kn OK

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 3000 mm Intermediate Span = 3150 mm Try Intermediate 2x150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =0.57

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Mwind+Snow 2.40 Kn-m Capacity 4.2 Kn-m Passing Percentage 175.00 % $V_{0.9D\text{-WnUp}}$ 3.05 Kn Capacity 24.12 Kn Passing Percentage 790.82 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 32.645 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 31.50 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 3.05 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.95 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =13.62

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 Mwind+Snow
 1.88 Kn-m
 Capacity
 1.99 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 105.85 %

 V0.9D-WnUp
 2.09 Kn
 Capacity
 12.06 Kn
 Passing Percentage
 577.03 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 26.95 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.00 mm

Sag during installation = 10.18 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.09 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm Girt's Span = 3000 mm Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.79 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =17.59

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

MWind+Snow 1.31 Kn-m Capacity 1.65 Kn-m Passing Percentage 125.95 % $V_{0.9D\text{-WnUp}}$ 1.74 Kn Capacity 12.06 Kn Passing Percentage 693.10 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 13.00 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 30.00 mm

Sag during installation =4.91 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.74 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

Dry Use 175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level) Height 3300 mm Area 27598 mm2 As 20698.2421875 mm2 60639381 mm4 Zx 646820 mm3 Ix 60639381 mm4 646820 mm3 Iy Zx

Lateral Restraint 1300 mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 10.8 m2

Dead 3.76 Kn Live 2.76 Kn Wind Down 8.29 Kn Snow 0.00 Kn Moment wind 4.29 Kn-m Phi 0.8 K8 1.00 K1 snow 0.8 K1 Dead 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

PeelingSteamingNormalDry Use $\mathbf{b} =$ 36.3 MPa $\mathbf{fs} =$ 2.96 MPa $\mathbf{fc} =$ 18 MPa $\mathbf{fp} =$ 7.2 MPa $\mathbf{ft} =$ 22 MPa $\mathbf{E} =$ 9257 MPa

Capacities

 PhiNcx Wind
 397.41 Kn
 PhiMnx Wind
 18.78 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Wind
 49.01 Kn

 PhiNcx Dead
 238.44 Kn
 PhiMnx Dead
 11.27 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Dead
 29.41 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.69 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.46 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 28.02 mm < 33.00 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1400 mm Pile embedment length

fl = 2700 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 4.29 Kn-m Shear Wind = 3.49 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.96 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.63 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.59 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3300 mm
Area	20729 mm2	As	15546.6796875 mm2
Ix	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Iy	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 10.8 m²

Dead	2.70 Kn	Live	2.70 Kn
Wind Down	8.10 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn

Moment Wind 4.71 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.66

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	195.59 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	8.01 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	117.35 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	4.81 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.66 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.41 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 27.03 mm < 35.91 mm

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & 1400 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \\ \end{array}$

f1 = 2700 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 10.8 m2

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.96 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.63 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = $0.49 \le 1$ OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1400 mm Pile embedment length fl = 2700 mm Distance at which the shear

f1 = 2700 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 4.71 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.75 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.96 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.63 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.49 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1400) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1400)

Skin Friction = 15.83 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 19.92 Kn

8/9

Uplift on one Pile = 13.34 Kn

Uplift is ok