

Job Number:

Issue:

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN

ISSUED BY: **BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)**

TO BE SUPPLIED TO: **Opotiki District Council** IN RESPECT OF: **Proposed NEW Farm Shed**

AT: **2651 SH2 Opotiki, Opotiki, New Zealand**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

We have been engaged by **Ezequote Pty Ltd** to provide **Specific Structural Engineering Design** services in respect of the requirements of Clause(s) **B1** of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building work.

☐ ALL ☒ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections

The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment Clauses **B1/VM1 and B1/VM4**

The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on **Ezequote** drawings title **Paul Brown** and numbered **A101 - A116 Rev-1** dated **17/06/2025** together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: **Design Featured Report Dated 19/06/2025 and numbered "Second Page"**

On behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd**, and subject to:

1. Site verification of the following design assumptions: **an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accordance with NZS3604:2011**
2. **The building has a design life of 50 years and an Importance Level 1**
3. **Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to Non-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 have not been checked by this practice**
4. **This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness**
5. **Inspections of the building to be completed by Opotiki District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not undertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review**
6. **This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue**
7. All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements

I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring/observation:

☒ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (**stated above**)

I, **Bevan White** am CPEng **108276** I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: **BE Civil** and holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000

Signed by **Bevan White** on behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd** Dated: **19/06/2025**

Email: **bwhitecpeng@gmail.com** Phone: **0211-979786**

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise (including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 19/06/2025

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand

File No:

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 2651 SH2 OPOTIKI, OPOTIKI, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	B
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	38.22 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.88 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Job No.: Paul Brown **Address:** 2651 SH2 Opotiki, Opotiki, New Zealand **Date:** 19/06/2025
Latitude: -38.078955 **Longitude:** 177.289003 **Elevation:** 18 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	B
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	38.22 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.88 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressures

Shed Type = Mono Open

For roof $C_{p,i} = 0.6521$

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 2.30 m $C_{p,e} = -0.9089$ $p_e = -0.57$ KPa $p_{net} = -1.06$ KPa

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 2.30 m To 4.60 m $C_{p,e} = -0.8956$ $p_e = -0.56$ KPa $p_{net} = -1.05$ KPa

For wall Windward $C_{p,i} = 0.6521$ side Wall $C_{p,i} = -0.5609$

For wall Windward and Leeward $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 16.8 m $C_{p,e} = 0.7$ $p_e = 0.55$ KPa $p_{net} = 1.08$ KPa

For side wall $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 4.60 m $C_{p,e} =$ $p_e = -0.51$ KPa $p_{net} = 0.02$ KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.06 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.69 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.08 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.94 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 700 mm Purlin Span = 4050 mm Try Purlin 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet

condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward = 0.76 S1 Downward = 12.23 S1 Upward = 18.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	0.48 Kn-m	Capacity	1.79 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	372.92 %
M _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+S_n 1.2D+W_nD_n}	2.1 Kn-m	Capacity	2.38 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	113.33 %
M _{0.9D-W_nUp}	-1.2 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.30 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	191.67 %
V _{1.35D}	0.48 Kn	Capacity	8.25 Kn	Passing Percentage	1718.75 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+S_n 1.2D+W_nD_n}	1.40 Kn	Capacity	11.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	785.71 %
V _{0.9D-W_nUp}	-1.18 Kn	Capacity	-13.75 Kn	Passing Percentage	1165.25 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 15.28 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 16.67 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 11.44 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 40.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.40 kn Maximum upward = -1.18 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4200 mm Internal Rafter Span = 4350 mm Try Rafter 2x290x45 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 7.47 S1 Upward = 7.47

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

M _{1.35D}	3.35 Kn-m	Capacity	8.48 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	253.13 %
M _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	9.83 Kn-m	Capacity	11.3 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	114.95 %
M _{0.9D-WnUp}	-8.30 Kn-m	Capacity	-14.12 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	170.12 %
V _{1.35D}	3.08 Kn	Capacity	25.18 Kn	Passing Percentage	817.53 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	9.04 Kn	Capacity	33.58 Kn	Passing Percentage	371.46 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-7.63 Kn	Capacity	-41.96 Kn	Passing Percentage	549.93 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 6.13 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 18.75 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 9.59 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 9.04 kn Maximum upward = -7.63 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K₁₁ = 14.9 f_{pj} = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 90 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K₁₁ = 2.0 f_{cj} = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 19.50 Kn > -7.63 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2100 mm External Rafter Span = 4318 mm Try Rafter 290x45 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.89

K8 Upward = 0.89 S1 Downward = 15.23 S1 Upward = 15.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	1.65 Kn-m	Capacity	3.78 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	229.09 %
M _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+S_n 1.2D+W_nD_n}	4.85 Kn-m	Capacity	5.04 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	103.92 %
M _{0.9D-W_nUp}	-4.09 Kn-m	Capacity	-6.29 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	153.79 %
V _{1.35D}	1.53 Kn	Capacity	12.59 Kn	Passing Percentage	822.88 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+S_n 1.2D+W_nD_n}	4.49 Kn	Capacity	16.79 Kn	Passing Percentage	373.94 %
V _{0.9D-W_nUp}	-3.79 Kn	Capacity	-20.98 Kn	Passing Percentage	553.56 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 6.81 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 18.75 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 9.59 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 4.49 kn Maximum upward = -3.79 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K₁₁ = 14.9 f_{pj} = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 45 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K₁₁ = 2.0 f_{cj} = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

V = $\phi \times k_1 \times k_4 \times k_5 \times f_s \times b \times d_s$ (Eq 4.12) = -21.73 kn > -3.79 Kn

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -9.75 Kn > -3.79 Kn

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 2250 mm Intermediate Span = 3650 mm Try Intermediate 2x190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 12.23 S1 Upward = 0.78

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{Wind+Snow}	2.02 Kn-m	Capacity	6.06 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	300.00 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	2.22 Kn	Capacity	27.5 Kn	Passing Percentage	1238.74 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 20.215 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.50 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.22 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 600 mm Girt's Span = 4200 mm Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 0.97 S1 Downward = 10.36 S1 Upward = 12.92

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{Wind+Snow}	1.43 Kn-m	Capacity	1.59 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	111.19 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	1.36 Kn	Capacity	10.13 Kn	Passing Percentage	744.85 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 38.08 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 42.00 mm

Sag during installation = 23.29 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.36 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 1200 mm

Girt's Span = 2250 mm

Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 =1 K5 =1 K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =0.84 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =16.38

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.82 Kn-m	Capacity	1.39 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	169.51 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	1.46 Kn	Capacity	10.13 Kn	Passing Percentage	693.84 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 6.27 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 22.50 mm

Sag during installation =1.92 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.46 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3710 mm
Area	27598 mm ²	As	20698.2421875 mm ²

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Ix	60639381 mm ⁴	Zx	646820 mm ³
Iy	60639381 mm ⁴	Zy	646820 mm ³
Lateral Restraint	1300 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 18.9 m²

Dead	4.72 Kn	Live	4.72 Kn
Wind Down	13.04 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	7.88 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	1.00
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	fs =	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	397.41 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	18.78 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	49.01 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	238.44 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	11.27 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn

Checks

$$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx}) + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.48 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

$$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx})^2 + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.23 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

$$\text{Deflection at top under service lateral loads} = 29.26 \text{ mm} < 37.10 \text{ mm}$$

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m ³	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m ³
K0 =	$(1 - \sin(30)) / (1 + \sin(30))$				
Kp =	$(1 + \sin(30)) / (1 - \sin(30))$				

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	3000 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =	7.88 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	2.63 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory	0.55	
Hu =	5.56 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
Mu =	9.86 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.80 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3800 mm
Area	20729 mm ²	As	15546.6796875 mm ²
Ix	34210793 mm ⁴	Zx	421056 mm ³
Iy	34210793 mm ⁴	Zx	421056 mm ³
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 4.725 m²

Dead	1.18 Kn	Live	1.18 Kn
Wind Down	3.26 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment Wind	3.94 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.52
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

Material

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
$f_b =$	36.3 MPa	$f_s =$	2.96 MPa
$f_c =$	18 MPa	$f_p =$	7.2 MPa
$f_t =$	22 MPa	$E =$	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	154.24 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	6.32 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	92.55 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	3.79 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn

Checks

$$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx}) + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.66 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

$$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx})^2 + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.42 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

$$\text{Deflection at top under service lateral loads} = 27.89 \text{ mm} < 39.90 \text{ mm}$$

$D_s =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
$L =$	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
$f_l =$	3000 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
$f_2 =$	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

$$\text{Total Area over Pole} = 4.725 \text{ m}^2$$

Moment Wind =	3.94 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	1.31 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory	0.55	
$H_u =$	5.56 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
$M_u =$	9.86 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

$$\text{Applied Forces/Capacities} = 0.40 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m ³	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m ³
-------	----------------------	----------------	--------	----------	---------------------

$$K_0 = (1 - \sin(30)) / (1 + \sin(30))$$

$$K_p = (1 + \sin(30)) / (1 - \sin(30))$$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	3000 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

$$\text{Moment Wind} = 3.94 \text{ Kn-m}$$

$$\text{Shear Wind} = 1.31 \text{ Kn}$$

Pile Properties

Safety Factor	0.55	
Hu =	5.56 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
Mu =	9.86 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

$$\text{Applied Forces/Capacities} = 0.40 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

Uplift Check

$$\text{Density of Concrete} = 24 \text{ Kn/m}^3$$

$$\text{Density of Timber Pole} = 5 \text{ Kn/m}^3$$

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

$$K_s (\text{Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient}) \text{ for cast into place concrete piles} = 1.5$$

$$\text{Formula to calculate Skin Friction} = \text{Safety factor (0.55)} \times \text{Density of Soil (18)} \times \text{Height of Pile (1400)} \times K_s (1.5) \times 0.5 \times \tan(30) \times \pi \times \text{Dia of Pile (0.6)} \times \text{Height of Pile (1400)}$$

$$\text{Skin Friction} = 15.83 \text{ Kn}$$

$$\text{Weight of Pile} + \text{Pile Skin Friction} = 19.92 \text{ Kn}$$

$$\text{Uplift on one Pile} = 15.78 \text{ Kn}$$

Uplift is ok