

Job Number:

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

Issue:

PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN

ISSUED BY: **BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)**

TO BE SUPPLIED TO: **Upper Hutt District Council** IN RESPECT OF: **Proposed NEW Farm Shed**

AT: **41 Thomas Neal, Upper Hutt, New Zealand**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

We have been engaged by **Ezequote Pty Ltd** to provide **Specific Structural Engineering Design** services in respect of the requirements of Clause(s) **B1** of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building work.

☐ ALL ☒ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections

The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment Clauses **B1/VM1 and B1/VM4**

The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on **Ezequote** drawings title **Chetwin 41 Thomas Neal** and numbered **A101-A120 Rev-1** dated **06/06/2024** together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: **Design Featured Report Dated 04/06/2024 and numbered "Second Page"**

On behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd**, and subject to:

1. Site verification of the following design assumptions: **an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accordance with NZS3604:2011**
2. **The building has a design life of 50 years and an Importance Level 2**
3. **Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 have not been checked by this practice**
4. **This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness**
5. **Inspections of the building to be completed by Upper Hutt District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not undertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.**
6. **This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue**
7. All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements

I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring/observation:

☒ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (**stated above**)

I, Bevan White am CPEng **108276** I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: **BE.Civil** and holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000

Signed by **Bevan White** on behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd** Dated: **04/06/2024**

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building(Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 04/06/2024

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand

File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 41 THOMAS NEAL, UPPER HUTT, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	B
Importance Level	2	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	500 Years	Max Height	8 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.56	Design Wind Speed	39.95 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.96 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	150 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions- Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Chetwin 41 Thomas Neal

Address: 41 Thomas Neal, Upper Hutt, New Zealand

Date: 04/06/2024

Latitude: -41.144077

Longitude: 175.052102

Elevation: 54.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	B
Importance Level	2	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	500 Years	Max Height	8 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.56	Design Wind Speed	39.95 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.96 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	150 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	500		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressures

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof $C_{p,i} = -0.3$

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 3.75 m $C_{p,e} = -1.0$ $p_e = -0.86$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.86$ KPa

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 3.75 m To 7.50 m $C_{p,e} = -0.85$ $p_e = -0.73$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.73$ KPa

For wall Windward $C_{p,i} = -0.3$ side Wall $C_{p,i} = -0.3$

For wall Windward and Leeward $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 12 m $C_{p,e} = 0.7$ $p_e = 0.60$ KPa $p_{net} = 0.89$ KPa

For side wall $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 7.50 m $C_{p,e} =$ $p_e = -0.56$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.56$ KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.86 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.29 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.89 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.03 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 600 mm

Purlin Span = 4850 mm

Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 0.43 S1 Downward = 11.27 S1 Upward = 26.03

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	0.6 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	371.67 %
$M_{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+S_n 1.2D+W_nD_n}$	1.86 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	159.68 %
$M_{0.9D-W_nUp}$	-1.12 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.59 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	141.96 %

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

V _{1.35D}	0.49 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1969.39 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	0.98 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	1312.24 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-0.92 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1747.83 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 11.14 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/360 = 13.33 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 11.98 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/250 = 32.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 0.98 kn Maximum upward = -0.92 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 3000 mm

Intermediate Span = 7600 mm

Try Intermediate 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K₁ Short term = 1 K₄ = 1 K₅ = 1 K₈ Downward = 0.94

K₈ Upward = 1.00 S₁ Downward = 13.93 S₁ Upward = 1.28

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{Wind+Snow}	9.64 Kn-m	Capacity	16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	174.27 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	5.07 Kn	Capacity	48.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	951.48 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 95.445 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/250 = 30.40 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 5.07 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 600 mm

Girt's Span = 5000 mm

Try Girt 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K₁ Short term = 1 K₄ = 1 K₅ = 1 K₈ Downward = 1.00

K₈ Upward = 0.73 S₁ Downward = 11.27 S₁ Upward = 18.79

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.67 Kn-m	Capacity	2.72 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	162.87 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	1.33 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1209.02 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 19.46 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/250 = 20.00 mm

Sag during installation = 37.90 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.33 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 1300 mm

Girt's Span = 3000 mm

Try Girt 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 =1 K5 =1 K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =0.64 S1 Downward =11.27 S1 Upward =20.58

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.30 Kn-m	Capacity	2.40 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	184.62 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	1.74 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	924.14 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 5.46 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 12.00 mm

Sag during installation =4.91 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.74 kn

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m³

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m³

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient)for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safety factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1300) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1300)

Skin Friction = 13.65 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 15.08 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 19.05 Kn

Uplift is ok