

Job Number:

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

Issue:

PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN

ISSUED BY: **BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)**

TO BE SUPPLIED TO: **New Plymouth District Council** IN RESPECT OF: **Proposed NEW Farm Shed**

AT: **345 Perth RD, Okato, New Zealand**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

We have been engaged by **Ezequote Pty Ltd** to provide **Specific Structural Engineering Design** services in respect of the requirements of Clause(s) **B1** of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building work.

☐ ALL ☒ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections

The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment Clauses **B1/VM1 and B1/VM4**

The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on **Ezequote** drawings title **Hayden Ryan** and numbered **A101-A113 Rev-1** dated **04/10/2024** together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: **Design Featured Report Dated 07/10/2024 and numbered "Second Page"**

On behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd**, and subject to:

1. Site verification of the following design assumptions: **an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accordance with NZS3604:2011**
2. **The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1**
3. **Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 have not been checked by this practice**
4. **This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness**
5. **Inspections of the building to be completed by New Plymouth District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not undertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.**
6. **This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue**
7. All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements

I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring/observation:

☒ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (**stated above**)

I, Bevan White am CPEng **108276** I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: **BE.Civil** and holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000

Signed by **Bevan White** on behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd** Dated: **07/10/2024**

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise (including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 07/10/2024

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand

File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 345 PERTH RD, OKATO, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.9 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	41.95 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.06 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions- Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Hayden Ryan

Address: 345 Perth RD, Okato, New Zealand

Date: 07/10/2024

Latitude: -39.152386

Longitude: 173.866923

Elevation: 37.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.9 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	41.95 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.06 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressures

Shed Type = Gable Enclosed

For roof $C_{p,i} = -0.3$

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 5.7 m $C_{p,e} = -0.9$ $p_e = -0.86$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.86$ KPa

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 5.7 m To 11.41 m $C_{p,e} = -0.5$ $p_e = -0.48$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.48$ KPa

For wall Windward $C_{p,i} = -0.3$ side Wall $C_{p,i} = -0.3$

For wall Windward and Leeward $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 12 m $C_{p,e} = 0.7$ $p_e = 0.67$ KPa $p_{net} = 0.99$ KPa

For side wall $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 5.7 m $C_{p,e} =$ $p_e = -0.62$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.62$ KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.86 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.51 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.99 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.96 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm

Purlin Span = 4650 mm

Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 0.44 S1 Downward = 11.27 S1 Upward = 25.48

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	0.82 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	271.95 %
M _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+S_n 1.2D+W_{nDn}}	2.01 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	147.76 %
M _{0.9D-W_{nUp}}	-1.54 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.66 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	107.79 %

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

V _{1.35D}	0.71 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1359.15 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	1.69 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	760.95 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-1.33 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1209.02 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 14.10 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 19.17 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 17.74 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 46.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.69 kn Maximum upward = -1.33 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4800 mm Internal Rafter Span = 11850 mm Try Rafter 2x450x63 LVL13

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K₁ Short term = 1 K₁ Medium term = 0.8 K₁ Long term = 0.6 K₄ = 1 K₅ = 1 K₈ Downward = 1.00

K₈ Upward = 1.00 S₁ Downward = 6.68 S₁ Upward = 6.68

Shear Capacity of timber = 5.3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 48 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	28.44 Kn-m	Capacity	91.56 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	321.94 %
M _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	68.25 Kn-m	Capacity	122.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	178.87 %
M _{0.9D-WnUp}	-53.50 Kn-m	Capacity	-152.6 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	285.23 %
V _{1.35D}	9.60 Kn	Capacity	96.64 Kn	Passing Percentage	1006.67 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	23.04 Kn	Capacity	128.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	559.29 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-18.06 Kn	Capacity	-161.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	891.92 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 11000 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 33.245 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 50.00 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 46.485 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 120.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 23.04 kn Maximum upward = -18.06 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 4

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

$K_{11} = 12.6 \text{ f}_{pj} = 22.7 \text{ Mpa}$ for Rafter with effective thickness = 126 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

$K_{11} = 2.0 \text{ f}_{cj} = 36.1 \text{ Mpa}$ for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 58.22 Kn > -18.06 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2400 mm

External Rafter Span = 6012 mm

Try Rafter 300x45 LVL13

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K_1 Short term = 1 K_1 Medium term = 0.8 K_1 Long term = 0.6 $K_4 = 1$ $K_5 = 1$ K_8 Downward = 0.88

K_8 Upward = 0.88 S_1 Downward = 15.50 S_1 Upward = 15.50

Shear Capacity of timber = 5.3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 48 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	3.66 Kn-m	Capacity	13.69 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	374.04 %
$M_{1.2D+1.5L \ 1.2D+S_n \ 1.2D+W_nD_n}$	8.78 Kn-m	Capacity	18.26 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	207.97 %
$M_{0.9D-W_nUp}$	-6.89 Kn-m	Capacity	-22.82 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	331.20 %
$V_{1.35D}$	2.43 Kn	Capacity	23.01 Kn	Passing Percentage	946.91 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L \ 1.2D+S_n \ 1.2D+W_nD_n}$	5.84 Kn	Capacity	30.68 Kn	Passing Percentage	525.34 %
$V_{0.9D-W_nUp}$	-4.58 Kn	Capacity	-38.35 Kn	Passing Percentage	837.34 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 11000 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k_2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 10.91 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 25.00 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 13.73 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 60.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 5.84 kn Maximum upward = -4.58 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

$K_{11} = 12.6 \text{ fpj} = 22.7 \text{ Mpa}$ for Rafter with effective thickness = 45 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

$K_{11} = 2.0 \text{ fcj} = 36.1 \text{ Mpa}$ for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

$V = \phi_i \times k_1 \times k_4 \times k_5 \times f_s \times b \times d_s \dots\dots\dots$ (Eq 4.12) = -40.07 kn > -4.58 Kn

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -14.56 Kn > -4.58 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm

Girt's Span = 4800 mm

Try Girt 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K_1 Short term = 1 $K_4 = 1$ $K_5 = 1$ K_8 Downward = 1.00

K_8 Upward = 0.75 S_1 Downward = 11.27 S_1 Upward = 18.41

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{\text{Wind+Snow}}$	2.57 Kn-m	Capacity	2.79 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	108.56 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	2.14 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	751.40 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 27.58 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 48.00 mm

Sag during installation = 32.19 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.14 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 1300 mm

Girt's Span = 3000 mm

Try Girt 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K_1 Short term = 1 $K_4 = 1$ $K_5 = 1$ K_8 Downward = 1.00

K_8 Upward = 0.64 S_1 Downward = 11.27 S_1 Upward = 20.58

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{\text{Wind+Snow}}$	1.45 Kn-m	Capacity	2.40 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	165.52 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	1.93 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	833.16 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 6.08 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 30.00 mm

Sag during installation = 4.91 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.93 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

250 SED H5 (Minimum 275 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	4450 mm
Area	54091 mm ²	As	40568.5546875 mm ²
Ix	232952248 mm ⁴	Zx	1774874 mm ³
Iy	232952248 mm ⁴	Zx	1774874 mm ³
Lateral Restraint	4450 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 28.8 m²

Dead	7.20 Kn	Live	7.20 Kn
Wind Down	14.69 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	20.69 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.82
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	fs =	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	636.68 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	42.13 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	96.07 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	382.01 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	25.28 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	57.64 Kn

Checks

$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx}) + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.54 < 1$ OK

$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx})^2 + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.29 < 1$ OK

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 29.40 mm < 44.50 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m ³	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m ³
K0 =	$(1 - \sin(30)) / (1 + \sin(30))$				
Kp =	$(1 + \sin(30)) / (1 - \sin(30))$				

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1900 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	3675 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =	20.69 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	5.63 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory	0.55	
Hu =	10.96 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
Mu =	24.08 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.86 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m³

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m³

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safety factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1900) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1900)

Skin Friction = 29.16 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 32.97 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 18.29 Kn

Uplift is ok