Job Number:	<i>BWhite</i>
Issue:	BWhite Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Waimakariri District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 1819 Cust Road, Cust, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design ser requirements of Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this building work.	-
☐ ALL	connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issue Innovation & Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	d by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on ITM drawings title J A101-A112 REV-1 dated 10/12/2023 together with the following specification, and other documents attached to this statement: Design Featured Report Dated 10/11/2023 and numbered "Second Page"	set out in the schedule
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressu with NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS36 been checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Waimakariri District Council. As BWhite Coundertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from to All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	604 and NZS4229 have not
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommendate the construction monitoring/observation:	e Building Code and that b),
☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following	qualification: BECivil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200	,000.
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 10/11/2023	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

 $This \ form \ is \ to \ accompany \ Form \ 2 \ of \ the \ Building (Forms) \ Regulations \ 2004 \ for \ the \ application \ of \ a \ Building \ Consent$

Date: 10/11/2023

BWhite

18B Jules Crescent,

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 1819 CUST ROAD, CUST, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N4	Ground Snow Load	0.9 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.63 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	2.77 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	38.22 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.88 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Second page

Job No.: John Clark Address: 1819 Cust Road, Cust, New Zealand Date: 10/11/2023

Latitude: -43.314267 Longitude: 172.364092 Elevation: 138.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N4	Ground Snow Load	0.9 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.63 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	2.77 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	38.22 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.88 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Open

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0.00 m To 2.44 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.71 KPa pnet = -0.71 KPa

For roof CP,e from 2.44 m To 4.88 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.39 KPa pnet = -0.39 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0.0 m To 5.4 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.55 KPa pnet = 0.81 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0.0 m To 2.44 m Cpe = pe = -0.51 KPa pnet = -0.51 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.71 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.42 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.81 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.94 KPa

Design Summary

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm Girt's Span = 1880 mm Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	0.00 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 18.80 mm Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm Girt's Span = 2700 mm Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

MWind+Snow	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	0.00 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 27.00 mm Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	2420 mm
Area	20729 mm2	As	15546.6796875 mm2
Ix	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Iy	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Lateral Restraint	1300 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 10.152 m^2

Dead	2.54 Kn	Live	2.54 Kn
Wind Down	4.26 Kn	Snow	6.40 Kn
Moment wind	5.07 Kn-m	Moment snow	2.34 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	1.00
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	298.50 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	12.23 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	179.10 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	7.34 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	238.80 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	9.78 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	29.45 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.46 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.22 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 15.09 mm < 24.20 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

 $D_S = 0.6 \text{ mm}$ Pile Diameter

L= 1500 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2078 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 5.07 Kn-m Moment Snow = Kn-m Shear Wind = 2.44 Kn Shear Snow = 2.34 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 8.41 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 10.89 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.47 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use Her	ght 2620 mm

Area 20729 mm2 As 15546.6796875 mm2

Ix 34210793 mm4 Zx 421056 mm3 Iy 34210793 mm4 Zx 421056 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 10.152 m^2

Dead	2.54 Kn	Live	2.54 Kn
Wind Down	4.26 Kn	Snow	6.40 Kn
Moment Wind	2.54 Kn-m	Moment snow	1.17 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	0.85
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	255.07 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	10.45 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNex Dead	153.04 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	6.27 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	204.05 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	8.36 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	29.45 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.29 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.11 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 8.61 mm < 27.63 mm

$D_S =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1500 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	2078 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 10.152 m^2

Moment Wind =	2.54 Kn-m	Moment Snow =	1.17 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	1.22 Kn	Shear Snow =	1.17 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 8.41 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 10.89 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.23 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$ $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1500 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2078 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 2.54 Kn-m Moment Snow = 1.17 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.22 Kn Shear Snow = 1.17 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 8.41 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 10.89 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.23 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m³

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between

both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1500) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1500)

Skin Friction = 18.17 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 23.08 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 4.92 Kn

Uplift is ok