Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	8
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Far North District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 4873 State Highway 10, Lake Ohia 0483, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design strequirements of Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to building work.	-
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and a	ll connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code iss Innovation & Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	ued by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawing A101-A117 REV-1 dated 11/1/2023 together with the following specification, and other document to this statement: Design Featured Report Dated 3/19/2025 and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pres with NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS been checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightnes Inspections of the building to be completed by Far North District Council. As BWhite Coninspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement-Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	s as alting Ltd are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawing documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also reconstruction monitoring/observation:	the Building Code and that b),
✓ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated about the content of the conten	ove)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the followin holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000	ng qualification: BECivil and
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 3/19/2025	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement a maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 3/19/2025

BWhite

18B Jules Crescent,

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 4873 STATE HIGHWAY 10, LAKE OHIA 0483, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.52 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	40.59 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.99 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: 509-91269C **Address:** 4873 State Highway 10, Lake Ohia 0483, **Date:** 3/19/2025

New Zealand

Latitude: -34.989688 **Longitude:** 173.370097 **Elevation:** 7 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.52 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	40.59 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.99 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Gable Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 3.52 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.80 KPa pnet = -0.80 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3.52 m To 7.03 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.44 KPa pnet = -0.44 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 12 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.62 KPa pnet = 0.92 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.52 m Cpe = pe = -0.58 KPa pnet = -0.58 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.80 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.48 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.92 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.89 KPa

Design Summary

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4695 mm Internal Rafter Span = 11850 mm Try Rafter 2x450x63 LVL13

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 6.68 S1 Upward = 6.68

Shear Capacity of timber = 5.3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 48 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	27.81 Kn-m	Capacity	91.56 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	329.23 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	64.28 Kn-m	Capacity	122.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	189.92 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-47.39 Kn-m	Capacity	-152.6 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	322.01 %
V _{1.35D}	9.39 Kn	Capacity	96.64 Kn	Passing Percentage	1029.18 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	21.70 Kn	Capacity	128.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	593.82 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-16.00 Kn	Capacity	-161.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1006.75 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 11000 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 32.52 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 50.00 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 44.565 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 120.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 21.70 kn Maximum upward = -16.00 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 3

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 126 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 43.67 Kn > -16.00 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm

Girt's Span = 2348 mm

Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1

K4 = 1

K5 = 1

K8 Downward =NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN

S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

MWind+Snow

0.00 Kn-m

Capacity

NaN Kn-m

Passing Percentage

NaN %

V_{0.9D-WnUp}

0.00 Kn

Capacity

0.00 Kn

Passing Percentage

NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 23.48 mmDeflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm

Girt's Span = 3000 mm

Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1

K4 = 1

K5 = 1

K8 Downward =NaN

K8 Upward =NaN

S1 Downward =NaN

S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
$ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	0.00 Kn	Capacity	0.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 30.00 mm Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	2900 mm
Area	27598 mm2	As	20698.2421875 mm2
Ix	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Iy	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Lateral Restraint	3400 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 28.17 m^2

Dead	7.04 Kn	Live	7.04 Kn
Wind Down	13.52 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	9.68 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.76
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	$\mathbf{fp} =$	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	302.65 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	14.30 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	49.01 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	181.59 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	8.58 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.77 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.55 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 24.74 mm < 29.00 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1450 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2640 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 9.68 Kn-m Shear Wind = 3.67 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.64 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 10.55 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.92 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3370 mm
Area	27598 mm2	As	20698.2421875 mm2
Ix	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Iy	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 14.085 m^2

Dead	3.52 Kn	Live	3.52 Kn
Wind Down	6.76 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment Wind	3.23 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.77
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	305.81 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	14.45 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	49.01 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	183.49 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	8.67 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.27 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.10 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 9.99 mm < 35.11 mm

$D_S =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L=	1450 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	2640 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 14.085 m^2

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.64 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 10.55 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.31 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$ $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1450 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2640 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 3.23 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.22 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.64 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 10.55 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.31 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1450) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1450)

Skin Friction = 16.98 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 21.22 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 16.20 Kn

Uplift is ok