Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022	
Job Number:	BWhite Consulting Ltd
Issue:	
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Grey District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 8 Fox Street, Cobden, Greymouth 7802, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design services in respect of the required Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building work	
ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Business, In Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	nnovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawings title Peter Clarke and number Rev-01 dated 09/12/2024 together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this reatured Report Dated 11/12/2024 and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accordance NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 have not this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Grey District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not undertaking cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	been checked by
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and other or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the presons who have design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring/observation:	
CM1 CM2 CM3 CM4 CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: BE.Civil an policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000	nd holds a current
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 11/12/2024	

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise/including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building(Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

BWhite

Date: 11/12/2024 Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 8 FOX STREET, COBDEN, GREYMOUTH 7802, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N2	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	D
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.28	Design Wind Speed	37.29 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.83 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Peter Clarke Address: 8 Fox Street, Cobden, Greymouth 7802, New Zealand Date: 11/12/2024

 $\textbf{Latitude:} \ -42.437049 \hspace{1.5cm} \textbf{Longitude:} \ 171.205656 \hspace{1.5cm} \textbf{Elevation:} \ 2.5 \ m$

General Input

Roof Live Load 0.25 KPa Roof Dead Load 0.25 KPa Roof Live Point Load 1.1 Kn Snow Zone N2 Ground Snow Load 0 KPa Roof Snow Load 0 KPa Earthquake Zone 3 Subsoil Category D Exposure Zone D Importance Level Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI 100 Years Max Height 3 m 37.29 m/s Wind Region NZ2 Terrain Category 2.28 Design Wind Speed 0.83 KPa NO Ultimate Snow ARI Wind Pressure Lee Zone 50 Years Wind Category High Earthquake ARI 100

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 2.7 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.68 KPa pnet = -0.68 KPa

For roof CP,e from 2.7 m To 5.4 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.38 KPa pnet = -0.38 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward $\,$ CP,e $\,$ from 0 m $\,$ To 9 m $\,$ Cpe = 0.7 $\,$ pe = 0.53 KPa $\,$ pnet = 0.78 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 2.7 m Cpe = pe = -0.49 KPa pnet = -0.49 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.68 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.40 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.78 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.91 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 4350 mm Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

 $Moisture\ Condition = Dry\ (Moisture\ in\ timber\ is\ less\ than\ 16\%\ and\ timber\ does\ not\ remain\ in\ continuous\ wet\ condition\ after\ installation)$

 $K1~Short~term = 1 \\ K1~Medium~term = 0.8 \\ K1~Long~term = 0.6 \\ K4 = 1 \\ K5 = 1 \\ K8~Downward = 1.00 \\$

K8 Upward =0.47 S1 Downward =11.27 S1 Upward =24.64

 $Shear\ Capacity\ of\ timber\ = 3\ MPa \qquad Bending\ Capacity\ of\ timber\ = 14\ MPa\ NZS3603\ Amt\ 4,\ table\ 2.3$

Capacity Checks

M1.35D	0.72 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	309.72 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.83 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	162.30 %
Mo.9D-WnUp	-0.97 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.76 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	181.44 %
V _{1.35D}	0.66 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1462.12 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.37 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	938.69 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-0.89 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1806.74 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 10.76 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 17.92 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 12.56 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 43.00 mm

Reaction

 $Maximum\ downward\ = 1.37\ kn\ \ Maximum\ upward\ = -0.89\ kn$

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4500 mm Try Rafter 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =6.81 S1 Upward =6.81

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 M1.35D
 6.50 Kn-m
 Capacity
 10.08 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 155.08 %

 M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WaDa
 13.48 Kn-m
 Capacity
 13.44 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 99.70 %

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

M _{0.9D-WnUp}	-8.76 Kn-m	Capacity	-16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	191.78 %
V _{1.35D}	4.44 Kn	Capacity	28.94 Kn	Passing Percentage	651.80 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	9.21 Kn	Capacity	38.6 Kn	Passing Percentage	419.11 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-5.99 Kn	Capacity	-48.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	805.34 %

Deflection

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 16.875 mmDeflection under Dead and Service Wind = 21.875 mm Limit by Wookock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 25.00 mm Limit by Wookock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 60.00 mm

Reactions

 $Maximum\ downward\ =9.21\ kn\quad Maximum\ upward\ =\ -5.99\ kn$

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

 $K11 = 14.9 \; \text{fpj} = 12.9 \; \text{Mpa}$ for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > -5.99 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2250 mm External Rafter Span = 3922 mm Try Rafter 300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward =0.94 S1 Downward =13.93 S1 Upward =13.93

 $Shear\ Capacity\ of\ timber\ = 3\ MPa \qquad Bending\ Capacity\ of\ timber\ = 14\ MPa\ NZS3603\ Amt\ 4,\ table\ 2.3$

Capacity Checks

M1.35D	1.46 Kn-m	Capacity	4.72 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	323.29 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	3.03 Kn-m	Capacity	6.30 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	207.92 %
M0.9D-WnUp	-1.97 Kn-m	Capacity	-7.87 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	399.49 %
V1.35D	1.49 Kn	Capacity	14.47 Kn	Passing Percentage	971.14 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	3.09 Kn	Capacity	19.30 Kn	Passing Percentage	624.60 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-2.01 Kn	Capacity	-24.12 Kn	Passing Percentage	1200.00 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 4.09 mmDeflection under Dead and Service Wind = 4.77 mm Limit by Wookock et al, 1999 Span/240= 17.09 mm Limit by Wookock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 41.01 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 3.09 kn Maximum upward = -2.01 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

 $Bolt\ Size = M12\ Number\ of\ Bolts = 2$

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 50 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

 $K11=2.0\ \mbox{fcj}=36.1\ \mbox{Mpa}$ for Pole with effective thickness = $100\ \mbox{mm}$

Eccentric Load check

V = phi x k1 x k4 x k5 x fs x b x ds (Eq 4.12) = -25.20 kn > -2.01 Kn

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -10.84 $Kn\!>$ -2.01 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm Girt's Span = 4500 mm Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00 K8 Upward = 0.89 S1 Downward = 9.63 S1 Upward = 15.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Mwind+Snow 1.78 Kn-m Capacity 1.87 Kn-m Passing Percentage 105.06 % V0.90-WnUp 1.58 Kn Capacity 12.06 Kn Passing Percentage 763.29 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 39.78 mm Limit by Wo

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

Sag during installation = 24.86 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.58 kn

Girt Design Sides

 $\label{eq:Girls Spacing = 900 mm} \text{Girls Span = 4101 mm} \text{Try Girl 150x50 SG8 Dry}$

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00 K8 Upward = 0.92 S1 Downward = 9.63 S1 Upward = 14.54

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 Mwind+Snow
 1.48 Kn-m
 Capacity
 1.93 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 130.41 %

 V0.9D-WnUp
 1.44 Kn
 Capacity
 12.06 Kn
 Passing Percentage
 837.50 %

Deflections

 $Modulus\ of\ Elasticity = 6700\ MPa\ NZS3603\ Amt\ 4,\ Table\ 2.3$

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 27.45 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 41.01 mm

Sag during installation = 17.15 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.44 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

175 UNI H5 Dry Use Height 2700 mm 24041 mm2 18030.46875 mm2 Area As 46015259 mm4 525889 mm3 7xIx 46015259 mm4 525889 mm3 Iy Zx

Lateral Restraint 2700 mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 13.5 m2

 Dead
 3.38 Kn
 Live
 3.38 Kn

 Wind Down
 5.40 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

Moment wind 6.89 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.88

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

 K1 wind
 1

Material

Shaving Steaming Dry Use Normal 34.325 MPa 2.96 MPa $f_S =$ fb = fc = 18 MPa 7.2 MPa fp = 20.75 MPa 8793 MPa ft = E =

Capacities

 PhiNcx Wind
 305.77 Kn
 PhiMnx Wind
 12.75 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Wind
 42.70 Kn

 PhiNcx Dead
 183.46 Kn
 PhiMnx Dead
 7.65 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Dead
 25.62 Kn

Checks

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx)=0.58 \leq 1 \ OK$

5/7

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.33 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 19.39 mm < 27.00 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

 $\begin{array}{lll} Ds = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ L = & 1400 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$

f1 = 2250 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 6.89 Kn-m Shear Wind = 3.06 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.70 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.21 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.75 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

150 UNI H5 Dry Use Height 2700 mm 17663 mm2 13246.875 mm2 Area As 24837891 mm4 331172 mm3 Ix Zx Iy 24837891 mm4 Zx 331172 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 9.227614490772385 m2

 Dead
 2.31 Kn
 Live
 2.31 Kn

 Wind Down
 3.69 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

 Moment Wind
 2.80 Kn-m

Material

 Shaving
 Steaming
 Normal
 Dry Use

 fb =
 34.325 MPa
 fs =
 2.96 MPa

 fc =
 18 MPa
 fp =
 7.2 MPa

 ff =
 20.75 MPa
 F =
 8793 MPa

Capacities

 PhiNcx Wind
 195.39 Kn
 PhiMnx Wind
 6.99 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Wind
 31.37 Kn

 PhiNcx Dead
 117.24 Kn
 PhiMnx Dead
 4.19 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Dead
 18.82 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.44 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.20 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 16.16 mm $\!<\!29.93$ mm

 $\begin{array}{lll} D_S = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ L = & 1400 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$

fl = 2250 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 9.227614490772385 m2

Moment Wind = 2.80 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.24 Kn

Pile Properties

6/7

0.55 Safety Factory 6.70 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu= 9.21 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.30 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

(1-sin(30)) / (1+sin(30)) K0 = (1+sin(30)) / (1-sin(30)) Kp =

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter Pile embedment length 1400 mm L =

2250 mm f1 = Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 2.80 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.24 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

6.70 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile Hu=

9.21 Kn-m Mu= Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Applied Forces/Capacities = $0.30 \le 1 \ \mathrm{OK}$

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

 $Ks \ (Lateral \ Earth \ Pressure \ Coefficient) for \ cast \ into \ place \ concrete \ piles = 1.5$

 $Formula\ to\ calculate\ Skin\ Friction = Safecty\ factor\ (0.55)\ x\ Density\ of\ Soil(18)\ x\ Height\ of\ Pile(1400)\ x\ Ks(1.5)\ x\ 0.5\ x\ tan(30)\ x\ Pi\ x\ Dia\ of\ Pile(0.6)\ x\ Height\ of\ Pile(1400)\ x\ Height\ of\ Pile(1400)\$

Skin Friction = 15.83 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 20.17 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 6.14 Kn

Uplift is ok