

Job Number:

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

Issue:

PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN

ISSUED BY: **BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)**

TO BE SUPPLIED TO: **Hastings District Council** IN RESPECT OF: **Proposed NEW Farm Shed**

AT: **1000 Valley Rd, Raukawa, Hastings, New Zealand**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

We have been engaged by **Ezequote Pty Ltd** to provide **Specific Structural Engineering Design** services in respect of the requirements of Clause(s) **B1** of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building work.

☐ ALL ☒ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections

The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment Clauses **B1/VM1 and B1/VM4**

The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on **Ezequote** drawings title **Tom Mowat** and numbered **A101-A115 REV-1** dated **15/05/2024** together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: **Design Featured Report Dated 16/05/2024 and numbered "Second Page"**

On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:

1. Site verification of the following design assumptions: **an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accordance with NZS3604:2011**
2. **The building has a design life of 50 years and an Importance Level 1**
3. **Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 have not been checked by this practice**
4. **This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness**
5. **Inspections of the building to be completed by Hastings District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not undertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.**
6. **This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue**
7. All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements

I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the following level of construction monitoring/observation:

☒ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (**stated above**)

I, Bevan White am CPEng **108276** I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: **BE.Civil** and holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000

Signed by **Bevan White** on behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd** Dated: **16/05/2024**

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise (including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 16/05/2024

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand

File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 1000 VALLEY RD, RAUKAWA, HASTINGS, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	B
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.2 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	1.23	Design Wind Speed	40.3 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.97 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions- Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Date: 16/05/2024

Council: **Hastings Council**

**BWhite
Consulting Ltd**

Subject: B2 compliance in respect of Proposed shed at 1000 Valley Rd, Raukawa, Hastings, New Zealand

Hastings Council typically requests a Producer Statement/Other means of compliance for Design for Clause B2 of the Building Code-Durability

We are not able to provide a Producer Statement for durability because compliance needs to be shown on material-by-material basis using a variety of compliance methods, and not all materials used have a clear compliance path.

We can confirm that for the structural elements shown in our documentation under Clause B1:

Timber

Timber treatment has been selected to meet or exceed the requirements of table 1A of B2/AS1 and NZS3602

Steel fixing

Steel fixings are protected against weather as per table 4.1 and 4.2 of NZS3604-2011. Exposure Zone B

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com

Contact: 0211 979 786

Note: This letter shall only be relied on by the Building Consent Authority named in Engineering New Zealand/ACE New Zealand Producer Statement PS1(B1) - Design in relation to the Building Work. Liability under this letter accrues to the Design Review Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this letter and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this Building Work whether in contract, tort or otherwise (including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000

Job No.: Tom Mowat

Address: 1000 Valley Rd, Raukawa, Hastings, New Zealand

Date: 16/05/2024

Latitude: -39.714751

Longitude: 176.636472

Elevation: 72.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	B
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.2 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	1.23	Design Wind Speed	40.3 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.97 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressures

Shed Type = Gable Enclosed

For roof $C_{p,i} = -0.3$

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 3.2 m $C_{p,e} = -0.9$ $p_e = -0.79$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.79$ KPa

For roof $C_{p,e}$ from 3.2 m To 6.4 m $C_{p,e} = -0.5$ $p_e = -0.44$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.44$ KPa

For wall Windward $C_{p,i} = -0.3$ side Wall $C_{p,i} = -0.3$

For wall Windward and Leeward $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 7 m $C_{p,e} = 0.7$ $p_e = 0.61$ KPa $p_{net} = 0.90$ KPa

For side wall $C_{p,e}$ from 0 m To 3.2 m $C_{p,e} =$ $p_e = -0.57$ KPa $p_{net} = -0.57$ KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.79 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.38 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.9 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.89 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm

Purlin Span = 4350 mm

Try Purlin 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward = 0.72 S1 Downward = 12.23 S1 Upward = 18.90

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	0.72 Kn-m	Capacity	1.79 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	248.61 %
$M_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+S_n\ 1.2D+W_nDn}$	1.83 Kn-m	Capacity	2.38 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	130.05 %
$M_{0.9D-W_nUp}$	-1.2 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.20 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	183.33 %

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

V _{1.35D}	0.66 Kn	Capacity	8.25 Kn	Passing Percentage	1250.00 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	1.33 Kn	Capacity	11.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	827.07 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-1.11 Kn	Capacity	-13.75 Kn	Passing Percentage	1238.74 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 13.95 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 17.92 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 16.04 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 43.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.33 kn Maximum upward = -1.11 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4500 mm Internal Rafter Span = 6850 mm Try Rafter 2x300x45 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K₁ Short term = 1 K₁ Medium term = 0.8 K₁ Long term = 0.6 K₄ = 1 K₅ = 1 K₈ Downward = 1.00

K₈ Upward = 1.00 S₁ Downward = 7.61 S₁ Upward = 7.61

Shear Capacity of timber = 5 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	8.91 Kn-m	Capacity	24.62 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	276.32 %
M _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	17.95 Kn-m	Capacity	32.84 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	182.95 %
M _{0.9D-WnUp}	-14.91 Kn-m	Capacity	-41.04 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	275.25 %
V _{1.35D}	5.20 Kn	Capacity	43.42 Kn	Passing Percentage	835.00 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn}	10.48 Kn	Capacity	57.88 Kn	Passing Percentage	552.29 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	-8.71 Kn	Capacity	-72.36 Kn	Passing Percentage	830.77 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k₂ for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 18.945 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 29.17 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 24.21 mm	Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 70.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 10.48 kn Maximum upward = -8.71 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

$K_{11} = 12.6$ $f_{pj} = 22.7$ Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 90 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

$K_{11} = 2.0$ $f_{cj} = 36.1$ Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 29.11 Kn > -8.71 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2250 mm

External Rafter Span = 3440 mm

Try Rafter 240x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K_1 Short term = 1 K_1 Medium term = 0.8 K_1 Long term = 0.6 $K_4 = 1$ $K_5 = 1$ K_8 Downward = 0.94

K_8 Upward = 0.94 S_1 Downward = 13.82 S_1 Upward = 13.82

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	1.12 Kn-m	Capacity	2.73 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	243.75 %
$M_{1.2D+1.5L \ 1.2D+S_n \ 1.2D+W_nD_n}$	2.26 Kn-m	Capacity	3.64 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	161.06 %
$M_{0.9D-W_nUp}$	-1.88 Kn-m	Capacity	-4.55 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	242.02 %
$V_{1.35D}$	1.31 Kn	Capacity	10.42 Kn	Passing Percentage	795.42 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L \ 1.2D+S_n \ 1.2D+W_nD_n}$	2.63 Kn	Capacity	13.89 Kn	Passing Percentage	528.14 %
$V_{0.9D-W_nUp}$	-2.19 Kn	Capacity	-17.37 Kn	Passing Percentage	793.15 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k_2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 4.71 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.58 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 5.42 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 2.63 kn Maximum upward = -2.19 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

$K_{11} = 14.9 \text{ f}_{pj} = 12.9 \text{ Mpa}$ for Rafter with effective thickness = 45 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

$K_{11} = 2.0 \text{ f}_{cj} = 36.1 \text{ Mpa}$ for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

$V = \phi_i \times k_1 \times k_4 \times k_5 \times f_s \times b \times d_s \dots\dots\dots$ (Eq 4.12) = -17.01 kn > -2.19 Kn

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -9.75 Kn > -2.19 Kn

Intermediate Design Front and Back

Intermediate Spacing = 2250 mm

Intermediate Span = 2050 mm

Try Intermediate 2x140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K_1 Short term = 1 $K_4 = 1$ $K_5 = 1$ K_8 Downward = 1.00

K_8 Upward = 1.00 S_1 Downward = 10.36 S_1 Upward = 0.49

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{\text{Wind+Snow}}$	1.06 Kn-m	Capacity	3.3 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	311.32 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	2.08 Kn	Capacity	-20.26 Kn	Passing Percentage	974.04 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 4.19 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 20.50 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.08 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 1300 mm

Girt's Span = 2250 mm

Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K_1 Short term = 1 $K_4 = 1$ $K_5 = 1$ K_8 Downward = 1.00

K_8 Upward = 0.84 S_1 Downward = 10.36 S_1 Upward = 16.38

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{\text{Wind+Snow}}$	0.74 Kn-m	Capacity	1.39 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	187.84 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	1.32 Kn	Capacity	10.13 Kn	Passing Percentage	767.42 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 5.66 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 22.50 mm

Sag during installation = 1.92 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.32 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm

Girt's Span = 3500 mm

Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 =1 K5 =1 K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =0.92 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =14.45

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.24 Kn-m	Capacity	1.51 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	121.77 %
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	1.42 Kn	Capacity	10.13 Kn	Passing Percentage	713.38 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 22.96 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Sag during installation =11.23 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.42 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	2400 mm
Area	27598 mm ²	As	20698.2421875 mm ²
I _x	60639381 mm ⁴	Z _x	646820 mm ³
I _y	60639381 mm ⁴	Z _y	646820 mm ³
Lateral Restraint	3400 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 15.75 m²

Dead	3.94 Kn	Live	3.94 Kn
Wind Down	5.99 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	7.67 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.76
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

Material

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
$f_b =$	36.3 MPa	$f_s =$	2.96 MPa
$f_c =$	18 MPa	$f_p =$	7.2 MPa
$f_t =$	22 MPa	$E =$	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	302.65 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	14.30 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	49.01 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	181.59 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	8.58 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn

Checks

$$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx}) + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.58 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

$$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx})^2 + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.33 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

$$\text{Deflection at top under service lateral loads} = 14.75 \text{ mm} < 24.00 \text{ mm}$$

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m ³	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m ³
$K_0 =$	$(1 - \sin(30)) / (1 + \sin(30))$				
$K_p =$	$(1 + \sin(30)) / (1 - \sin(30))$				

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

$D_s =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
$L =$	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
$f_1 =$	2400 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
$f_2 =$	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =	7.67 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	3.20 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory	0.55	
$H_u =$	6.43 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
$M_u =$	9.36 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

$$\text{Applied Forces/Capacities} = 0.82 < 1 \text{ OK}$$

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3000 mm
Area	20729 mm ²	A_s	15546.6796875 mm ²

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Ix	34210793 mm ⁴	Zx	421056 mm ³
Iy	34210793 mm ⁴	Zy	421056 mm ³
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 7.875 m²

Dead	1.97 Kn	Live	1.97 Kn
Wind Down	2.99 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment Wind	2.56 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.75
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
f _b =	36.3 MPa	f _s =	2.96 MPa
f _c =	18 MPa	f _p =	7.2 MPa
f _t =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiN _{cx} Wind	222.63 Kn	PhiM _{nx} Wind	9.12 Kn-m	PhiV _{nx} Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiN _{cx} Dead	133.58 Kn	PhiM _{nx} Dead	5.47 Kn-m	PhiV _{nx} Dead	22.09 Kn

Checks

$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx}) + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.31 < 1$ OK

$(M_x/\Phi M_{nx})^2 + (N/\Phi N_{cx}) = 0.11 < 1$ OK

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 11.59 mm < 31.92 mm

D _s =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
f ₁ =	2400 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f ₂ =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 7.875 m²

Moment Wind =	2.56 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	1.07 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory	0.55	
H _u =	6.43 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
M _u =	9.36 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = $0.27 < 1$ OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m ³	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m ³
K0 =	$(1 - \sin(30)) / (1 + \sin(30))$				
Kp =	$(1 + \sin(30)) / (1 - \sin(30))$				

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	2400 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =	2.56 Kn-m
Shear Wind =	1.07 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory	0.55	
Hu =	6.43 Kn	Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
Mu =	9.36 Kn-m	Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = $0.27 < 1$ OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m³

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m³

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safety factor (0.55) x Density of Soil (18) x Height of Pile (1400) x Ks (1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile (0.6) x Height of Pile (1400)

Skin Friction = 15.83 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 19.92 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 8.90 Kn

Uplift is ok