Job Number:

Issue:

BWhite
Consulting Ltd

PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN

ISSUED BY: **BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)**

TO BE SUPPLIED TO: **Whangarei District Council** IN RESPECT OF: **Proposed NEW Farm Shed**

AT: **98 Franklin Road, Parua Bay, New Zealand**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

We have been engaged by **Ezequote Pty Ltd** to provide **Specific Structural Engineering Design** services in respect of the requirements of Clause(s) **B1** of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building work.

☐ ALL   ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections

The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment Clauses **B1/VM1 and B1/VM4**

The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on **Ezequote** drawings title **Paul Mandeno** and numbered **A101-A116 REV-1** dated **11/13/2023** together with the following specfication, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: **Design Featured Report Dated 11/13/2023 and numbered "Second Page"**

On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:

1. Site verification of the following design assumptions: **an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in accordance with NZS3604:2011**
2. **The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1**
3. **Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 have not been checked by this practice**
4. **This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness**
5. **Inspections of the building to be completed by Whangarei District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not undertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.**
6. **This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue**
7. All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements

I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring/observation:

☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer **(stated above)**

I, **Bevan White** am CPEng **108276** I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: **BE.Civil**

BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than $200,000.

Signed by **Bevan White** on behalf of **BWhite Consulting Ltd** Dated: **11/13/2023**

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of $200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building(Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 11/13/2023

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand

BWhite Consulting Ltd

File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 98 FRANKLIN ROAD, PARUA BAY, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.65 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	3.0	Design Wind Speed	51.69 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.6 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Second page

Job No.: Paul Mandeno   **Address:** 98 Franklin Road, Parua Bay, New Zealand **Date:** 11/13/2023

Latitude: -35.749455   **Longitude:** 174.411298   **Elevation:** 259.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.65 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	3.0	Design Wind Speed	51.69 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.6 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	extra High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Gable   Enclosed

For roof $C_{p,i}$ = -0.3

For roof $C_{P,e}$ from 0 m To 4.05 m C_{pe} = -0.9   pe = -1.30 KPa pnet = -1.30 KPa

For roof $C_{P,e}$ from 4.05 m To 8.10 m C_{pe} = -0.5   pe = -0.72 KPa pnet = -0.72 KPa

For wall Windward $C_{p,i}$ = -0.3   side Wall $C_{p,i}$ = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward $C_{P,e}$ from 0 m To 12.0 m C_{pe} = 0.7   pe = 1.01 KPa pnet = 1.49 KPa

For side wall $C_{P,e}$ from 0 m To 4.05 m C_{pe} =   pe = -0.94 KPa pnet = -0.94 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.30 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.62 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.49 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.44 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm	Purlin Span = 3850 mm	Try Purlin 250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1   K1 Medium term = 0.8   K1 Long term = 0.6   K4 =1   K5 =1   K8 Downward =0.97

K8 Upward =0.43   S1 Downward =12.68   S1 Upward =26.05

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa   Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	0.56 Kn-m	Capacity	3.40 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**607.14 %**
$M_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	1.56 Kn-m	Capacity	4.53 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**290.38 %**
$M_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-1.79 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.49 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**139.11 %**
$V_{1.35D}$	0.58 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	**2079.31 %**
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	1.59 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	**1011.32 %**
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-1.86 Kn	Capacity	-20.10 Kn	Passing Percentage	**1080.65 %**

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 3.36 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 15.83 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 4.54 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 38.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward =1.59 kn   Maximum upward = -1.86 kn

Number of Blocking = 0   if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4000 mm   Internal Rafter Span = 7850 mm   Try Rafter 2x360x45 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1   K1 Medium term = 0.8   K1 Long term = 0.6   K4 =1   K5 =1   K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =1.00   S1 Downward =8.40   S1 Upward =8.40

Shear Capacity of timber =5 MPa   Bending Capacity of timber =38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	10.40 Kn-m	Capacity	34.4 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**330.77 %**
$M_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	28.35 Kn-m	Capacity	45.86 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**161.76 %**

$M_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-33.12 Kn-m	Capacity	-57.32 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**173.07 %**
$V_{1.35D}$	5.30 Kn	Capacity	52.1 Kn	Passing Percentage	**983.02 %**
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	14.44 Kn	Capacity	69.46 Kn	Passing Percentage	**481.02 %**
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-16.88 Kn	Capacity	-86.84 Kn	Passing Percentage	**514.45 %**

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 16.625 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 33.33 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 24.94 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 80.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward =14.44 kn   Maximum upward = -16.88 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 3

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 90 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 43.67 Kn > -16.88 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2000 mm   External Rafter Span = 7958 mm   Try Rafter 360x45 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1   K1 Medium term = 0.8   K1 Long term = 0.6   K4 =1   K5 =1   K8 Downward =0.81

K8 Upward =0.81   S1 Downward =17.01   S1 Upward =17.01

Shear Capacity of timber =5 MPa   Bending Capacity of timber =38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	5.34 Kn-m	Capacity	14.01 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**262.36 %**
$M_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	14.57 Kn-m	Capacity	18.68 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**128.21 %**
$M_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-17.02 Kn-m	Capacity	-23.35 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**137.19 %**
$V_{1.35D}$	2.69 Kn	Capacity	26.05 Kn	Passing Percentage	**968.40 %**
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	7.32 Kn	Capacity	34.73 Kn	Passing Percentage	**474.45 %**
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-8.55 Kn	Capacity	-43.42 Kn	Passing Percentage	**507.84 %**

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 18.47 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 33.33 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 24.94 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 80.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward =7.32 kn   Maximum upward = -8.55 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 3

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 45 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

V = phi x k1 x k4 x k5 x fs x b x ds .... (Eq 4.12) = -47.25 kn > -8.55 Kn

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -21.83 Kn > -8.55 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm   Girt's Span = 4000 mm   Try Girt 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1   K4 =1   K5 =1   K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =0.82   S1 Downward =11.27   S1 Upward =16.80

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa   Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	2.68 Kn-m	Capacity	3.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**114.93 %**
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	2.68 Kn-m	Capacity	16.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**600.00 %**

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 20.01 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 40.00 mm
Sag during installation = 15.52 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.68 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm   Girt's Span = 4000 mm   Try Girt 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1   K4 =1   K5 =1   K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =0.82   S1 Downward =11.27   S1 Upward =16.80

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa   Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	2.68 Kn-m	Capacity	3.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**114.93 %**
$V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	2.68 Kn-m	Capacity	16.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	**600.00 %**

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 20.01 mm   Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 40.00 mm
Sag during installation =15.52 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.68 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

225 SED H5 HIGH DENSITY (Minimum 250 dia. at Floor Level) Dry Use   Height 3290 mm

Area		44279 mm2	As	33209.1796875 mm2
Ix		156100441 mm4 Zx	1314530 mm3
Iy		156100441 mm4 Zx	1314530 mm3
Lateral Restraint		3400 mm c/c	

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 16 m2

Dead	4.00 Kn	Live	4.00 Kn
Wind Down	9.92 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	14.35 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.92
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
f_b =	49.725 MPa	f_s =	2.84 MPa
f_c =	28.125 MPa	f_p =	8.66 MPa
f_t =	29.64 MPa	E =	12874 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	921.27 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	48.36 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	75.45 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	552.76 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	29.01 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	45.27 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.32 < 1 OK

(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.11 < 1 OK

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 12.05 mm < 32.90 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma   18 Kn/m3   Friction angle   30 deg   Cohesion   0 Kn/m3

$K0 =$ (1-sin(30)) / (1+sin(30))

$Kp =$ (1+sin(30)) / (1-sin(30))

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

$Ds =$ 0.6 mm   Pile Diameter

$L =$ 1650 mm   Pile embedment length

$f1 =$ 2738 mm   Distance at which the shear force is applied

$f2 =$ 0 mm   Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =   14.35 Kn-m

Shear Wind =   5.24 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory   0.55

$Hu =$ 9.12 Kn   Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

$Mu =$ 15.19 Kn-m   Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.94 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

225 SED H5 HIGH DENSITY (Minimum 250 dia. at Floor Level)   Dry Use   Height 3450 mm

Area		44279 mm2	As	33209.1796875 mm2
Ix		156100441 mm4	Zx	1314530 mm3
Iy		156100441 mm4	Zx	1314530 mm3
Lateral Restraint			mm c/c	

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 16 m2

Dead	4.00 Kn	Live	4.00 Kn
Wind Down	9.92 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment Wind	7.18 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.92
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
f_b =	49.725 MPa	f_s =	2.84 MPa
f_c =	28.125 MPa	f_p =	8.66 MPa
f_t =	29.64 MPa	E =	12874 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	914.11 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	47.98 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	75.45 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	548.47 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	28.79 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	45.27 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.17 < 1 OK

(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.04 < 1 OK

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 6.67 mm < 36.41 mm

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1400 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	2738 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 16 m2

Moment Wind =   7.18 Kn-m
Shear Wind =   2.62 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory   0.55
Hu =   5.91 Kn   Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
Mu =   9.66 Kn-m   Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.74 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma   18 Kn/m3   Friction angle   30 deg   Cohesion   0 Kn/m3
$K0 =$ $(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))$
$Kp =$ $(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds =   0.6 mm   Pile Diameter
L =   1400 mm   Pile embedment length
f1 =   2738 mm   Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =   0 mm   Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =   7.18 Kn-m
Shear Wind =   2.62 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory   0.55
Hu =   5.91 Kn   Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile
Mu =   9.66 Kn-m   Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.74 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient)for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1650) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1650)

Skin Friction = 21.99 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 25.77 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 17.20 Kn

Uplift is ok

Last Page