Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Whangarei District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 3/261 Kamo Rd, Kamo, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by <b>Ezequote Pty Ltd</b> to provide <b>Specific Structural Engineering Design</b> services in respect of the Clause(s) <b>B1</b> of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building	
ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Busine Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	ess, Innovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on <b>Ezequote</b> drawings title <b>James Barrett</b> and <b>Rev-1</b> dated 27/03/2024 together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to the <b>Featured Report Dated 28/03/2024 and numbered "Second Page"</b>	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
<ol> <li>Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in acco NZS3604:2011</li> <li>The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1</li> <li>Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 by this practice</li> <li>This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness</li> <li>Inspections of the building to be completed by Whangarei District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are no inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.</li> <li>This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue</li> <li>All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements</li> </ol>	have not been checked
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and of provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the provision is the attached schedule.	resons who have
CM1 CM2 CM3 CM4 CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: BE.Ci	vil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 28/03/2024	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising for provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.	om this statement and all other statements

First Page

 $This form is to accompany Form 2 of the \ Building (Forms) \ Regulations \ 2004 \ for the \ application \ of a \ Building \ Consent$ 

Date: 28/03/2024

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

## DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 3/261 KAMO RD, KAMO, NEW ZEALAND

#### Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	2.45 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	3.0	Design Wind Speed	33.34 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.67 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

## Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

**BWhite CONSULTING LTD** 

## **Bevan White**

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

 Job No.:
 James Barrett
 Address:
 3/261 Kamo Rd, Kamo, New Zealand
 Date:
 28/03/2024

 Latitude:
 -35.696974
 Longitude:
 174.311204
 Elevation:
 68.5 m

**General Input** 

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	2.45 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	3.0	Design Wind Speed	33.34 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.67 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	Medium	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

#### **Pressure Coefficients and Pressues**

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 2.36 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.54 KPa pnet = -0.54 KPa

For roof CP,e from 2.36 m To 4.72 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.30 KPa pnet = -0.30 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 3.30 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.42 KPa pnet = 0.62 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 2.36 m Cpe = pe = -0.39 KPa pnet = -0.39 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design =  $0.54~\mathrm{KPa}$ 

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.32 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.62 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design =  $0.72~\mathrm{KPa}$ 

## **Design Summary**

**Purlin Design** 

Purlin Spacing = 700 mm Purlin Span = 3530 mm Try Purlin 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \\$ 

K8 Upward =0.65 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =20.38

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

M <sub>1.35D</sub>	0.37 Kn-m	Capacity	0.99 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	267.57 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.3 Kn-m	Capacity	1.32 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	101.54 %
$M_{0.9D ext{-W}nUp}$	-0.34 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.07 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	314.71 %
V1.35D	0.42 Kn	Capacity	6.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1447.62 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	0.83 Kn	Capacity	8.10 Kn	Passing Percentage	975.90 %
V0.9D-WnUp	-0.39 Kn	Capacity	-10.13 Kn	Passing Percentage	2597.44 %

# Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 11.63 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 12.80 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.50 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 34.80 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 0.83 kn Maximum upward = -0.39 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

#### Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 3680 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 3150 mm

Try Rafter 2x190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \; Long$ 

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =5.82 S1 Upward =5.82

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

M1.35D	1.54 Kn-m	Capacity	3.64 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	236.36 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	3.08 Kn-m	Capacity	4.86 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	157.79 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}n\text{U}p}$	-1.44 Kn-m	Capacity	-6.06 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	420.83 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	1.96 Kn	Capacity	16.5 Kn	Passing Percentage	841.84 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	3.91 Kn	Capacity	22 Kn	Passing Percentage	562.66 %
$ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-1.83 Kn	Capacity	-27.5 Kn	Passing Percentage	1502.73 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 5.525 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 6.75 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 13.75 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 33.00 mm

## Reactions

Maximum downward = 3.91 kn Maximum upward = -1.83 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 90 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 19.50 Kn > -1.83 Kn

#### Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 1840 mm

External Rafter Span = 3105 mm

Try Rafter 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.98 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =12.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

M <sub>1.35D</sub>	0.75 Kn-m	Capacity	1.79 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	238.67 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.52 Kn-m	Capacity	2.38 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	156.58 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-0.70 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.98 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	425.71 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	0.96 Kn	Capacity	8.25 Kn	Passing Percentage	859.38 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.93 Kn	Capacity	11.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	569.95 %
$V_{0.9 \mathrm{D-WnUp}}$	-0.90 Kn	Capacity	-13.75 Kn	Passing Percentage	1527.78 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 6.14 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 6.75 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 13.75 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 33.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.93 kn Maximum upward = -0.90 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 45 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

 $V = phi \times k1 \times k4 \times k5 \times fs \times b \times ds \dots (Eq 4.12) = -12.28 \text{ kn} > -0.90 \text{ Kn}$ 

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -9.75 Kn > -0.90 Kn

## Girt Design Front and Back

 $\label{eq:Girt's Spacing = 0 mm} \qquad \qquad \text{Girt's Span} = 1840 \text{ mm} \qquad \qquad \text{Try Girt SG8 Dry}$ 

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
V <sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub>	0.00 Kn	Capacity	0.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	NaN %

## Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Sag during installation = NaN mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 18.40 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

#### Girt Design Sides

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	0.00 Kn	Capacity	0.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	NaN %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm Sag during installation = NaN mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 16.50 mm

Sug during instantation Trust in

#### Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

## Middle Pole Design

## Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	2250 mm
Area	20729 mm2	As	15546.6796875 mm2
Ix	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Iy	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Lateral Restraint	1300 mm c/c		

#### Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $6.072 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	1.52 Kn	Live	1.52 Kn
Wind Down	1.94 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	2.97 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	1.00
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

#### Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

## Capacities

PhiNex Wind	298.50 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	12.23 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	179.10 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	7.34 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn

#### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.26 < 1 OK

6/9

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.08 < 1 OK$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 7.28 mm < 22.50 mm

## Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

#### Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter L = 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 1838 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.24 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.13 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.42 < 1 OK

**End Pole Design** 

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

 150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)
 Dry Use
 Height
 2250 mm

 Area
 20729 mm2
 As
 15546.6796875 mm2

 Ix
 34210793 mm4
 Zx
 421056 mm3

 Ix
 34210793 mm4
 Zx
 421056 mm3

 Iy
 34210793 mm4
 Zx
 421056 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $6.072 \text{ m}^2$ 

 Dead
 1.52 Kn
 Live
 1.52 Kn

 Wind Down
 1.94 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

Moment Wind 1.49 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.94

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Dry Use Peeling Steaming Normal 2.96 MPa fb =36.3 MPa  $f_S =$ fc = 18 MPa fp = 7.2 MPa ft = 22 MPa E = 9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	280.64 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	11.50 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	168.39 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	6.90 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn

#### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.15 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.03 < 1 \text{ OK}$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 3.95 mm < 24.44 mm

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 1838 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

#### Loads

Total Area over Pole = 6.072 m<sup>2</sup>

Moment Wind = 1.49 Kn-m Shear Wind = 0.81 Kn

## Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.24 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.13 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.21 < 1 OK

## Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

## Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

## Geometry For End Bay Pole

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & 1300 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$ 

f1 = 1838 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 1.49 Kn-m Shear Wind = 0.81 Kn

## Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 6.24 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.13 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.21 < 1 OK

## **Uplift Check**

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

8/9

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

 $Ks \ (Lateral \ Earth \ Pressure \ Coefficient) for \ cast \ into \ place \ concrete \ piles = 1.5$ 

 $Formula \ to \ calculate \ Skin \ Friction = Safecty \ factor \ (0.55) \ x \ Density \ of \ Soil \ (18) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile \ (1300) \ x \ Ks \ (1.5) \ x \ 0.5 \ x \ tan \ (30) \ x \ Pi \ x \ Dia \ of \ Pile \ (0.6) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile \ (1300) \ x \ Height \ of \ ($ 

Skin Friction = 13.65 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 17.91 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 1.91 Kn

Uplift is ok