Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	J
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Manawatu District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 101 Table Flat Rd, Apiti, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design strequirements of Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to building work.	=
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and a	ll connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code is sufficient to NZ	ued by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawing A101-A123 REV-1 dated 11/24/2023 together with the following specification, and other documen attached to this statement: Design Featured Report Dated 11/22/2023 and numbered "Second Page	nts set out in the schedule
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing presswith NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS been checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightnes Inspections of the building to be completed by Manawatu District Council. As BWhite Coninspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement-Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	3604 and NZS4229 have not s nsulting Ltd are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawing documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also reco construction monitoring/observation:	the Building Code and that b),
☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated about	ve)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following	g qualification: BECivil
BW hite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$2	00,000.
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 11/22/2023	
Fmail: hwhitecneng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work,

Date: 11/22/2023

BWhite

18B Jules Crescent,

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 101 TABLE FLAT RD, APITI, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0.74 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.5 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	6.2 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	45.14 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.22 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Second page

Job No.: Apiti Shed Address: 101 Table Flat Rd, Apiti, New Zealand Date: 11/22/2023 Latitude: -39.948308 Longitude: 175.912963 Elevation: 558 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0.74 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.5 KPa
Earthquake Zone	3	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	6.2 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	45.14 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.22 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	Very High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Gable Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 6.20 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.99 KPa pnet = -0.99 KPa

For roof CP,e from 6.20 m To 12.40 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.55 KPa pnet = -0.55 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 28.8 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.77 KPa pnet = 1.14 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 6.20 m Cpe = pe = -0.72 KPa pnet = -0.72 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.99 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.59 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.14 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.1 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 700 mm Purlin Span = 4650 mm Try Purlin 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.69 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =19.55

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	0.64 Kn-m	Capacity	1.79 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	279.69 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.85 Kn-m	Capacity	2.38 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	128.65 %
M _{0.9D-WnUp}	-1.45 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.10 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	144.83 %
V _{1.35D}	0.55 Kn	Capacity	8.25 Kn	Passing Percentage	1500.00 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.45 Kn	Capacity	11.00 Kn	Passing Percentage	758.62 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-1.25 Kn	Capacity	-13.75 Kn	Passing Percentage	1100.00 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 14.21 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 19.17 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 18.83 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 46.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.45 kn Maximum upward = -1.25 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 2500 mm Intermediate Span = 5651 mm Try Intermediate 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 13.93 S1 Upward = 1.10

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	5.69 Kn-m	Capacity	16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	295.25 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	4.03 Kn-m	Capacity	48.24 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	1197.02 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 51.38 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 56.51 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 4.03 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 600 mm

Girt's Span = 4800 mm

Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.67 S1 Downward =12.23

S1 Upward =19.97

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.97 Kn-m	Capacity	2.04 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	103.55 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	1.64 Kn-m	Capacity	13.75 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	838.41 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 39.47 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 48.00 mm Sag during installation = 39.74 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.64 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 1300 mm

Girt's Span = 2500 mm

Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.65 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =20.38

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.16 Kn-m	Capacity	1.98 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	170.69 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	1.85 Kn-m	Capacity	13.75 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	743.24 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 6.29 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 25.00 mm Sag during installation = 2.92 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.85 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

250 SED H5 (Minimum 275 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	5100 mm
Area	54091 mm2	As	40568.5546875 mm2
Ix	232952248 mm4	Zx	1774874 mm3
Iy	232952248 mm4	Zx	1774874 mm3
Lateral Restraint	5100 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 24 m^2

Dead	6.00 Kn	Live	6.00 Kn
Wind Down	14.16 Kn	Snow	12.00 Kn
Moment wind	18.98 Kn-m	Moment snow	2.75 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	0.70
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1 wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	$\mathbf{fp} =$	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	$\mathbf{E} =$	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	543.76 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	35.98 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	96.07 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	326.25 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	21.59 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	57.64 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	435.00 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	28.79 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	76.85 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.58 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.33 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 39.10 mm < 51.00 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$ $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1750 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 4650 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =	18.98 Kn-m	Moment Snow =	Kn-m
Shear Wind =	4.08 Kn	Shear Snow =	2.75 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 7.46 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 20.16 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.94 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

225 SED H5 (Minimum 250 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	5900 mm
Area	44279 mm2	As	33209.1796875 mm2
Ix	156100441 mm4	Zx	1314530 mm3
Iy	156100441 mm4	Zx	1314530 mm3
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 12 m^2

Dead	3.00 Kn	Live	3.00 Kn
Wind Down	7.08 Kn	Snow	6.00 Kn
Moment Wind	9.49 Kn-m	Moment snow	1.37 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	0.47
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	296.51 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	17.75 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	78.64 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	177.90 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	10.65 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	47.18 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	237.21 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	14.20 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	62.91 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.58 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.33 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 35.38 mm < 61.84 mm

 $D_S = 0.6 \text{ mm}$ Pile Diameter

L= 1350 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 4650 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 12 m^2

Moment Wind = 9.49 Kn-m Moment Snow = 1.37 Kn-m Shear Wind = 2.04 Kn Shear Snow = 1.37 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 3.65 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.71 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.98 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

 $D_S = 0.6 \text{ mm}$ Pile Diameter

L= 1350 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 4650 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 9.49 Kn-m Moment Snow = 1.37 Kn-m

Shear Wind = 2.04 Kn Shear Snow = 1.37 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 3.65 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.71 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.98 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1750) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1750)

Skin Friction = 24.73 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 28.25 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 18.36 Kn

Uplift is ok