Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Clutha District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 262 PURAKAUITI SCHOOL ROAD, PURAKAUITI, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design services in respect of the Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building	
ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Busin Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	less, Innovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawings title 369-13 and number dated 28/03/2024 together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this state Report Dated 28/03/2024 and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in according NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Clutha District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not unwe cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	have not been checked
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and opposite or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the pundertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitors.	resons who have
✓ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: BE.Ci	ivil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 28/03/2024	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising to provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise/including neeligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.	rom this statement and all other statements

First Page

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 28/03/2024

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 262 PURAKAUITI SCHOOL ROAD, PURAKAUITI, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N5	Ground Snow Load	0.9 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.63 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.2 m
Wind Region	NZ4	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	46.01 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.27 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

 Job No.:
 369-13
 Address:
 262 PURAKAUITI SCHOOL ROAD, PURAKAUITI, New Zealand
 Date:
 28/03/2024

 Latitude:
 -46.537755
 Longitude:
 169.537854
 Elevation:
 164 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N5	Ground Snow Load	0.9 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0.63 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.2 m
Wind Region	NZ4	Terrain Category	2.0	Design Wind Speed	46.01 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.27 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	Very High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 2.80 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -1.03 KPa pnet = -1.03 KPa

For roof CP,e from 2.80 m To 5.60 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.57 KPa pnet = -0.57 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 7 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.80 KPa pnet = 1.18 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 2.80 m Cpe = pe = -0.74 KPa pnet = -0.74 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.03 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = $0.49~\mathrm{KPa}$

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.18 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.37 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 3550 mm Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \\$

K8 Upward =0.57 S1 Downward =11.27 S1 Upward =22.23

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	0.48 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	464.58 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.4 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	212.14 %
M _{0.9D-WnUp}	-1.14 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.11 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	185.09 %
V1.35D	0.54 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1787.04 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.49 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	863.09 %
V0.9D-WnUp	-1.29 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1246.51 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 4.72 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 5.87 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.58 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.49 kn Maximum upward = -1.29 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 3700 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 3350 mm

Try Rafter 2x250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =6.13 S1 Upward =6.13

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M1.35D	1.75 Kn-m	Capacity	7 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	400.00 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	4.83 Kn-m	Capacity	9.34 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	193.37 %
$M_{0.9D ext{-W}nUp}$	-4.18 Kn-m	Capacity	-11.66 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	278.95 %
V _{1.35D}	2.09 Kn	Capacity	24.12 Kn	Passing Percentage	1154.07 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	5.76 Kn	Capacity	32.16 Kn	Passing Percentage	558.33 %
$ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-4.99 Kn	Capacity	-40.2 Kn	Passing Percentage	805.61 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 2.775 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 3.83 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.58 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 5.76 kn Maximum upward = -4.99 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67~Kn > -4.99~Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 1850 mm

External Rafter Span = 3323 mm

Try Rafter 250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.97

K8 Upward =0.97 S1 Downward =12.68 S1 Upward =12.68

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	0.86 Kn-m	Capacity	3.40 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	395.35 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	2.37 Kn-m	Capacity	4.53 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	191.14 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-WnUp}}$	-2.06 Kn-m	Capacity	-5.67 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	275.24 %
V _{1.35D}	1.04 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	1159.62 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	2.86 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	562.24 %
$V_{0.9 D\text{-W} n U p}$	-2.47 Kn	Capacity	-20.10 Kn	Passing Percentage	813.77 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 3.08 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 3.83 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 14.58 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 2.86 kn Maximum upward = -2.47 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 50 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

 $V = phi \times k1 \times k4 \times k5 \times fs \times b \times ds \dots (Eq 4.12) = -19.95 \text{ kn} > -2.47 \text{ Kn}$

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -10.84 $Kn\!>$ -2.47 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.94 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =13.81

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 Mwind+Snow
 1.62 Kn-m
 Capacity
 1.98 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 122.22 %

 Vo.9D-WnUp
 1.75 Kn
 Capacity
 12.06 Kn
 Passing Percentage
 689.14 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 37.50 mm

Sag during installation = 11.36 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 37.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.75 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 800 mm

Girt's Span = 3500 mm

Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.72 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =19.00

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.45 Kn-m	Capacity	1.51 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	104.14 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	1.65 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	730.91 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 30.03 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 35.00 mm

Sag during installation =9.10 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.65 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	2950 mm
Area	20729 mm2	As	15546.6796875 mm2
Ix	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Iy	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Lateral Restraint	2950 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 12.95 m2

Dead	3.24 Kn	Live	3.24 Kn
Wind Down	6.35 Kn	Snow	8.16 Kn
Moment wind	6.47 Kn-m	Moment snow	1.77 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	0.76
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	227.03 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	9.30 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	136.22 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	5.58 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	181.62 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	7.44 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	29.45 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.77 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.56 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 27.11 mm < 29.50 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m3	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter		
L=	1300 mm	Pile embedment length		

f1 = 2400 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind =	6.47 Kn-m	Moment Snow =	Kn-m
Shear Wind =	2.70 Kn	Shear Snow =	1.77 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.29 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.63 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = $0.85 \le 1 \text{ OK}$

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

150 SED H5 (Minimum 175 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	2950 mm
Area	20729 mm2	As	15546.6796875 mm2
Ix	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Iy	34210793 mm4	Zx	421056 mm3
Lateral Restraint	mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 6.475 m^2

Dead	1.62 Kn	Live	1.62 Kn
Wind Down	3.17 Kn	Snow	4.08 Kn
Moment Wind	3.24 Kn-m	Moment snow	0.89 Kn-m
Phi	0.8	K8	0.76
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
$\mathbf{ft} =$	22 MPa	$\mathbf{E} =$	9257 MPa

Capacities

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

PhiNex Wind	227.10 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	9.30 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	36.81 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	136.26 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	5.58 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	22.09 Kn
PhiNcx Snow	181.68 Kn	PhiMnx Snow	7.44 Kn-m	PhiVnx Snow	29.45 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.38 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.16 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 14.67 mm < 31.92 mm

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & & 0.6 \text{ mm} & & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & & 1300 \text{ mm} & & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$

f1 = 2400 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 6.475 m^2

 Moment Wind =
 3.24 Kn-m
 Moment Snow =
 0.89 Kn-m

 Shear Wind =
 1.35 Kn
 Shear Snow =
 0.89 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.29 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.63 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.42 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter L = 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2400 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.29 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.63 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.42 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

 $Formula \ to \ calculate \ Skin \ Friction = Safecty \ factor \ (0.55) \ x \ Density \ of \ Soil \ (18) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile \ (1300) \ x \ Ks \ (1.5) \ x \ 0.5 \ x \ tan \ (30) \ x \ Pi \ x \ Dia \ of \ Pile \ (0.6) \ x \ Height \ of \ Pile \ (1300) \ x \ Height \ of \ o$

Skin Friction = 13.65 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 17.91 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 10.42 Kn

Uplift is ok