Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022	
Job Number:	BWhite Consulting Ltd
Issue:	Consuming Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Western Bay of Plenty District Council IN RESPECT OF: Propo	osed NEW Farm Shed
AT: 60 MacDougall Quarry Road, Pukehina 3186, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering D the requirements of Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attack the proposed building work.	
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedme	ent and all connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Cod Business, Innovation & Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	le issued by Ministry of
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote dra Construction - Te Puke and numbered A101 - A117 Rev-1 dated 26/03//2025 together with and other documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: Design Featured Repnumbered "Second Page"	the following specification,
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing accordance with NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such have not been checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather to Inspections of the building to be completed by Western Bay of Plenty District Conconsulting Ltd are not undertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer State Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 years. 	n as NZS3604 and NZS4229 tightness uncil. As BWhite ement-PS4- Construction
7. All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements	
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant pro and that b), the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to of follow level of construction monitoring/observation:	ovisions of the Building Code
✓ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (st	ated above)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the BE.Civil and holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	

Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 28/03/2025

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

First Page

Date: 28/03/2025

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 60 MACDOUGALL QUARRY ROAD, PUKEHINA 3186, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.2 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.04	Design Wind Speed	47.96 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.38 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Deacon Construction - Te Puke Address: 60 MacDougall Quarry Road, Pukehina 3186, New Date: 28/03/2025

Zealand

Latitude: -37.851762 **Longitude:** 176.539363 **Elevation:** 86.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4.2 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.04	Design Wind Speed	47.96 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.38 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	Very High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Open

For roof Cp, i = 0.6899

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 3.90 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -1.12 KPa pnet = -2.15 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3.90 m To 7.80 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.62 KPa pnet = -1.65 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = 0.6899 side Wall Cp, i = -0.6311

For wall Windward and Leeward $\,$ CP,e $\,$ from 0 m $\,$ To 9 m $\,$ Cpe = 0.7 $\,$ pe = 0.87 KPa $\,$ pnet = 1.62 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.90 m Cpe = pe = -0.81 KPa pnet = -0.06 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 2.15 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.04 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.62 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.49 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 3850 mm Try Purlin 240x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward = 0.67 S1 Downward = 13.82 S1 Upward = 20.08

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-3.21 Kn-m	Capacity	-3.22 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	100.31 %
V _{1.35D}	0.58 Kn	Capacity	10.42 Kn	Passing Percentage	1796.55 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	2.32 Kn	Capacity	13.89 Kn	Passing Percentage	598.71 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-W}nUp}$	-3.34 Kn	Capacity	-17.37 Kn	Passing Percentage	520.06 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 7.14 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 7.18 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 15.83 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 38.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 2.32 kn Maximum upward = -3.34 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4000 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 4350 mm

Try Rafter 2x290x45 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 7.47 S1 Upward = 7.47

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M1.35D	3.19 Kn-m	Capacity	8.48 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	265.83 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	12.68 Kn-m	Capacity	11.3 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	89.12 %
$M_{0.9D ext{-W}nUp}$	-18.21 Kn-m	Capacity	-14.12 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	77.54 %
V1.35D	2.94 Kn	Capacity	25.18 Kn	Passing Percentage	856.46 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	11.66 Kn	Capacity	33.58 Kn	Passing Percentage	287.99 %
$ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-16.75 Kn	Capacity	-41.96 Kn	Passing Percentage	250.51 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 5.84 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 11.025 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 18.75 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 45.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 11.66 kn Maximum upward = -16.75 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 3

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 90 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 29.26 Kn > -16.75 Kn

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 2250 mm

Intermediate Span = 3900 mm

Try Intermediate 2x240x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 13.82 S1 Upward = 0.91

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	3.46 Kn-m	Capacity	9.68 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	279.77 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	3.55 Kn	Capacity	34.74 Kn	Passing Percentage	978.59 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 19.605 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 39.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 3.55 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 800 mm

Girt's Span = 4000 mm

Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.90 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =14.88

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 $M_{Wind+Snow}$ 2.59 Kn-m Capacity 2.74 Kn-m Passing Percentage 105.79 % $V_{0.9D-WnUp}$ 2.59 Kn Capacity 13.75 Kn Passing Percentage 530.89 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 25.07 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 40.00 mm

Sag during installation = 19.16 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.59 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 1300 mm

Girt's Span = 2250 mm

Try Girt 190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =0.70 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =19.33

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.33 Kn-m	Capacity	2.13 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	160.15 %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	2.37 Kn	Capacity	13.75 Kn	Passing Percentage	580.17 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 4.08 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 22.50 mm

Sag during installation =1.92 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.37 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

200 SED H5 (Minimum 225 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3310 mm
Area	35448 mm2	As	26585.7421875 mm2
Ix	100042702 mm4	Zx	941578 mm3
Iy	100042702 mm4	Zx	941578 mm3
Lateral Restraint	1300 mm c/c		

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 18 m^2

Dead	4.50 Kn	Live	4.50 Kn
Wind Down	18.72 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
M 1	12 11 V		

Moment wind 13.11 Kn-m

Phi	0.8	K8	1.00
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
$\mathbf{ft} =$	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	510.45 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	27.34 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	62.96 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	306.27 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	16.41 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	37.77 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.53 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.28 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 27.65 mm < 33.10 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m3	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m3
K0 =	$(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))$				
Kp =	$(1+\sin(30))/(1-\sin(30))$				

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

$D_S =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter

L= 1700 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3150 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 13.11 Kn-m Shear Wind = 4.16 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 9.03 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 17.07 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.77 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

60639381 mm4

Zx

646820 mm3

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

1/3 SED 113 (William 200 dia. at 1 1001 Level) Dry Osc 11eight 400	175 SED H5	(Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)) Dry	/ Use	Height	4000 mm
--	------------	-----------------------------------	-------	-------	--------	---------

20698.2421875 mm2 Area 27598~mm2As Ix 60639381 mm4 Zx 646820 mm3

Iy Lateral Restraint $m\!m\,c/c$

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 9 m^2

Dead	2.25 Kn	Live	2.25 Kn
Wind Down	9.36 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn

Moment Wind 6.55 Kn-m

Phi 0.8 K8 0.61 K1 snow 0.8 K1 Dead 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	240.87 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	11.39 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	49.01 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	144.52 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	6.83 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.63 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.39 < 1 \text{ OK}$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 28.87 mm < 41.90 mm

 $D_S =$ 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 =3150 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = $0 \, \mathrm{mm}$ Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 9 m^2

Moment Wind = 6.55 Kn-m Shear Wind = 2.08 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory

Hu = 4.40 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.11 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.81 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

 $D_S = 0.6 \text{ mm}$ Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3150 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.40 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.11 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.81 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1700) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1700)

Skin Friction = 23.34 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 27.76 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 34.65 Kn

Uplift is ok