Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022	
	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Western Bay District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 163 Esdaile Road, Whakamarama, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design services in respect Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement).	
☐ ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Bu Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	usiness, Innovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawings title Paeroa Hills numbered A101-A115 REV-1 dated 21/12/2023 together with the following specification, and other documents set out this statement: Design Featured Report Dated 12/01/2024 and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZ checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Western Bay District Council. As BWhite Consulting Lt inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of is All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements 	ZS4229 have not been td are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, a provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring the construction of the provisions of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring the construction of the provisions of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitoring the construction of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so.	e presons who have
✓ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: Bl	E.Civil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	

Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 12/01/2024

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

 $This\ form\ is\ to\ accompany\ Form\ 2\ of\ the\ Building(Forms)\ Regulations\ 2004\ for\ the\ application\ of\ a\ Building\ Consent$

Date: 12/01/2024

First Page

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

BWhite Consulting Ltd

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 163 ESDAILE ROAD, WHAKAMARAMA, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.3 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.1	Design Wind Speed	40.14 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.97 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: Paeroa Hills LTD 483- Address: 163 Esdaile Road, Whakamarama, New Zealand Date: 12/01/2024

203936C

Latitude: -37.664701 **Longitude:** 175.988266 **Elevation:** 71.5 m

Second page

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N1	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.3 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.1	Design Wind Speed	40.14 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.97 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp,i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 3.0 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.78 KPa pnet = -0.78 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3 m To 6.0 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.44 KPa pnet = -0.44 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 12 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.61 KPa pnet = 0.90 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.0 m Cpe = pe = -0.57 KPa pnet = -0.57 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.78 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.46 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = $0.90~\mathrm{KPa}$

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.05 KPa

Design Summary

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4800 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 3450 mm

Try Rafter 2x250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 6.13 S1 Upward = 6.13

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	2.41 Kn-m	Capacity	7 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	290.46 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	5.43 Kn-m	Capacity	9.34 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	172.01 %
$ m M_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-3.96 Kn-m	Capacity	-11.66 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	294.44 %
V _{1.35D}	2.79 Kn	Capacity	24.12 Kn	Passing Percentage	864.52 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	6.29 Kn	Capacity	32.16 Kn	Passing Percentage	511.29 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-4.60 Kn	Capacity	-40.2 Kn	Passing Percentage	873.91 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 4.03 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 5.45 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 15.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 6.29 kn Maximum upward = -4.60 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > -4.60 Kn

Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2400 mm

External Rafter Span = 3405 mm

Try Rafter 250x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.97

K8 Upward =0.97 S1 Downward =12.68 S1 Upward =12.68

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M _{1.35D}	1.17 Kn-m	Capacity	3.40 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	290.60 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	2.64 Kn-m	Capacity	4.53 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	171.59 %
$M_{0.9D ext{-W}nUp}$	-1.93 Kn-m	Capacity	-5.67 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	293.78 %
V _{1.35D}	1.38 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	873.91 %
V _{1.2D+1.5L} 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	3.11 Kn	Capacity	16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	517.04 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-2.27 Kn	Capacity	-20.10 Kn	Passing Percentage	885.46 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 4.48 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 5.45 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 15.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 3.11 kn Maximum upward = -2.27 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 50 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

 $V = phi \times k1 \times k4 \times k5 \times fs \times b \times ds \dots (Eq 4.12) = -19.95 \text{ kn} > -2.27 \text{ Kn}$

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -10.84 Kn > -2.27 Kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm

Girt's Span = 2400 mm

Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
V _{0.9D-WnUp}	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	0.00 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 24.00 mm

Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm Girt's Span = 3600 mm Try Girt SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Wet (Moisture in timber is less than 18% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = NaN

K8 Upward =NaN S1 Downward =NaN S1 Upward =NaN

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	NaN Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %
$ m V_{0.9D\text{-}WnUp}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	0.00 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	NaN %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = NaN mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 36.00 mm

Sag during installation = NaN mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

200 UNI H5	Dry Use	Height	3050 mm
Area	31400 mm2	As	23550 mm2
Ix	78500000 mm4	Zx	785000 mm3
Iy	78500000 mm4	Zx	785000 mm3
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.400		

Lateral Restraint 3400 mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 17.28 m^2

Dead	4.32 Kn	Live	4.32 Kn
Wind Down	7.95 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	6.84 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.82
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Shaving	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	34.325 MPa	fs =	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	20.75 MPa	E =	8793 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	368.60 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	17.57 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	55.77 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	221.16 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	10.54 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	33.46 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.43 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.20 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 14.02 mm < 30.50 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2475 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 6.84 Kn-m Shear Wind = 2.77 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.19 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.68 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.89 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

200 UNI H5	Dry Use	Height	3050 mm
Area	31400 mm2	As	23550 mm2
Ix	78500000 mm4	Zx	785000 mm3
Iy	78500000 mm4	Zx	785000 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 8.64 m^2

Dead	2.16 Kn	Live	2.16 Kn
Wind Down	3.97 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn

Moment Wind 3.42 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.89

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Shaving	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	34.325 MPa	fs =	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	20.75 MPa	E =	8793 MPa

Capacities

PhiNex Wind	402.65 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	19.20 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	55.77 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	241.59 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	11.52 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	33.46 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.20 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.05 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 7.57 mm < 32.92 mm

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L = 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2475 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 8.64 m^2

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.19 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.68 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.45 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$

 $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2475 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 3.42 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.38 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.19 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.68 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.45 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1300) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1300)

Skin Friction = 13.65 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 17.23 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 9.59 Kn

Uplift is ok