Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Waikato District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 203 Waingaro Road, Ngaruawahia, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by Ezequote Pty Ltd to provide Specific Structural Engineering Design ser requirements of Clause(s) B1 of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to the building work.	_
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all of	connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issue Innovation & Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	d by Ministry of Business,
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on Ezequote drawings to Road Ngaruawahia and numbered A101-A114 REV-1 dated 10/30/2023 together with the following documents set out in the schedule attached to this statement: Design Featured Report Dated 10/31/Page "	specfication, and other
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
 Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressurated with NZS3604:2011 The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1 Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3 been checked by this practice This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness Inspections of the building to be completed by Waik ato District Council. As BWhite Consulins pections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review. This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the consultance of the following their performance specification requirements 	604 and NZS4229 have not ting Ltd are not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommonitaring/observation:	ne Building Code and that b),
☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above	2)
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following	qualification: BECivil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200),000.
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 10/31/2023	

whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work,

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

First Page

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Date: 10/31/2023

BWhite

18B Jules Crescent,

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 203 WAINGARO ROAD, NGARUAWAHIA, NEW ZEALAND

Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.34	Design Wind Speed	40.14 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.97 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

BWhite CONSULTING LTD

Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Second page

Job No.: Marsh - 203 Waingaro Address: 203 Waingaro Road, Ngaruawahia, New Date: 10/31/2023

Road Ngaruawahia Zealand

Latitude: -37.676894 **Longitude:** 175.136782 **Elevation:** 18.5 m

General Input

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	В
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	4 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.34	Design Wind Speed	40.14 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.97 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

Pressure Coefficients and Pressues

Shed Type = Gable Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 3.60 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.78 KPa pnet = -0.78 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3.60 m To 7.20 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.44 KPa pnet = -0.44 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 14.40 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.61 KPa pnet = 0.90 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.60 m Cpe = pe = -0.57 KPa pnet = -0.57 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.78 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.46 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.90 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.05 KPa

Design Summary

Purlin Design

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 4650 mm Try Purlin 200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8K1 Long term = 0.6K4 = 1K5 = 1K8 Downward =1.00

K8 Upward =0.44 S1 Downward =11.27 S1 Upward =25.48

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{1.35D}$	0.82 Kn-m	Capacity	2.23 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	271.95 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	2.01 Kn-m	Capacity	2.97 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	147.76 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}n\text{Up}}$	-1.35 Kn-m	Capacity	-1.66 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	143.10 %
$V_{1.35D}$	0.71 Kn	Capacity	9.65 Kn	Passing Percentage	1359.15 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	1.59 Kn	Capacity	12.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	808.81 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-1.16 Kn	Capacity	-16.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1386.21 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 14.10 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 19.17 mmDeflection under Dead and Service Wind = 17.15 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 46.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.59 kn Maximum upward = -1.16 kn

Number of Blocking = 0 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 4800 mm Internal Rafter Span = 11850 mm Try Rafter 2x450x63 LVL13

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K8 Downward =1.00K1 Medium term = 0.8K1 Long term = 0.6K4 = 1K5 = 1

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward =6.68S1 Upward = 6.68

Shear Capacity of timber =5.3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =48 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Passing Percentage 28.44 Kn-m Capacity 91.56 Kn-m 321.94 % $M_{1.35D}$ $M_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$ 122.08 Kn-m 64.03 Kn-m Passing Percentage 190.66 % Capacity

$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	-46.76 Kn-m	Capacity	-152.6 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	326.35 %
V _{1.35D}	9.60 Kn	Capacity	96.64 Kn	Passing Percentage	1006.67 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	21.61 Kn	Capacity	128.86 Kn	Passing Percentage	596.30 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	-15.78 Kn	Capacity	-161.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	1020.79 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 11000 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 33.245 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 50.00 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 44.945 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 120.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 21.61 kn Maximum upward = -15.78 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 3

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 126 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 43.67 Kn > -15.78 Kn

Intermediate Design Sides

Intermediate Spacing = 3000 mm Intermediate Span = 3650 mm Try Intermediate 2x200x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 11.27 S1 Upward = 0.72

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	2.25 Kn-m	Capacity	7.46 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	331.56 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	2.46 Kn-m	Capacity	32.16 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	1307.32 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 17.325 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.50 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 2.46 kn

Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 700 mm Girt's Span = 4800 mm Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.87 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =15.73

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	1.81 Kn-m	Capacity	1.83 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	101.10 %
$ m V_{0.9D ext{-}WnUp}$	1.51 Kn-m	Capacity	12.06 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	798.68 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 46.22 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 48.00 mm Sag during installation = 32.19 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.51 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 900 mm Girt's Span = 3000 mm Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.79 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =17.59

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Mwind+Snow 0.91 Kn-m Capacity 1.65 Kn-m Passing Percentage 181.32 % V_{0.9D-WnUp} 1.22 Kn-m Capacity 12.06 Kn-m Passing Percentage 988.52 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 9.07 mm Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 30.00 mm Sag during installation =4.91 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.22 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

 275 SED H5 (Minimum 300 dia. at Floor Level)
 Dry Use
 Height 3700 mm

 Area
 64885 mm2
 As 48663.8671875 mm2

 Ix
 335197731 mm4
 Zx 2331810 mm3

 Iy
 335197731 mm4
 Zx 2331810 mm3

Lateral Restraint 3400 mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 28.8 m^2

 Dead
 7.20 Kn
 Live
 7.20 Kn

 Wind Down
 13.25 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

Moment wind 15.08 Kn-m

Phi	0.8	K8	0.99
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	923.16 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	66.90 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	115.24 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	553.89 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	40.14 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	69.14 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.26 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.08 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 10.11 mm < 37.00 mm

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m3	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$ $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds =	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
DS —	V.O IIIIII	r ne i nametei

L= 1650 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3000 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 15.08 Kn-m Shear Wind = 5.03 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 8.61 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 15.54 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.97 < 1 OK

End Pole Design

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

200 SED H5 (Minimum 225 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3700 mm
Area	35448 mm2	As	26585.7421875 mm2

Ix 100042702 mm4 Zx 941578 mm3
Iy 100042702 mm4 Zx 941578 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 14.4 m²

Dead	3.60 Kn	Live	3.60 Kn
Wind Down	6.62 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn

Moment Wind

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.80

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

5.03 Kn-m

K1wind 1

Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	406.45 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	21.77 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	62.96 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	243.87 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	13.06 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	37.77 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.26 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2 + (N/phiNcx) = 0.09 < 1 OK$

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 12.17 mm < 39.90 mm

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1350 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3000 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 14.4 m^2

Moment Wind = 5.03 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.68 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.04 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.91 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.56 < 1 OK

Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30))}{(1+\sin(30))}$ $Kp = \frac{(1+\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$

Geometry For End Bay Pole

 $D_S = 0.6 \text{ mm}$ Pile Diameter

L= 1350 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 3000 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 5.03 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.68 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.04 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 8.91 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.56 < 1 OK

Uplift Check

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m³

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1650) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1650)

Skin Friction = 21.99 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 24.87 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 15.98 Kn

Uplift is ok