Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Auckland District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 375b Coatesville Riverhead Highway, Coatesville, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by <b>Ezequote Pty Ltd</b> to provide <b>Specific Structural Engineering Design</b> services in respect of the Clause(s) <b>B1</b> of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building	
ALL Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Busin Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	ness, Innovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on <b>Ezequote</b> drawings title <b>Design Build NZ A114 Rev-1</b> dated <b>03/04/2024</b> together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attach <b>Design Featured Report Dated 04/04/2024 and numbered "Second Page"</b>	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
<ol> <li>Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in according NZS3604:2011</li> <li>The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1</li> <li>Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and NZS4229 by this practice</li> <li>This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness</li> <li>Inspections of the building to be completed by Auckland District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd are not inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.</li> <li>This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of issue</li> <li>All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements</li> </ol>	have not been checked
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and oprovided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the pundertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction monitors.	resons who have
CM1 CM2 CM3 CM4 CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated above)	
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification: BE.C	ivil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 04/04/2024	
Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising to provide to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building upon to the provided t	from this statement and all other statements

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent

Date: 04/04/2024

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

# DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 375B COATESVILLE RIVERHEAD HIGHWAY, COATESVILLE, NEW ZEALAND

# Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.9 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.34	Design Wind Speed	41.35 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.03 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

# Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

# **BWhite CONSULTING LTD**

# Bevan White

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.:Design Build NZAddress:375b Coatesville Riverhead Highway, Coatesville, New ZealandDate:04/04/2024Latitude:-36.721338Longitude:174.635383Elevation:56 m

**General Input** 

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N0	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	1	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.9 m
Wind Region	NZ1	Terrain Category	2.34	Design Wind Speed	41.35 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.03 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

#### **Pressure Coefficients and Pressues**

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 3.35 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.83 KPa pnet = -0.83 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3.35 m To 6.70 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.46 KPa pnet = -0.46 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 10 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.65 KPa pnet = 0.96 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.35 m Cpe = pe = -0.60 KPa pnet = -0.60 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design =  $0.83~\mathrm{KPa}$ 

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design =  $0.40~\mathrm{KPa}$ 

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.96 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design =  $1.11\ KPa$ 

# **Design Summary**

**Purlin Design** 

Purlin Spacing = 900 mm Purlin Span = 4850 mm Try Purlin 240x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward =0.55 S1 Downward =13.82 S1 Upward =22.57

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# Capacity Checks

M <sub>1.35D</sub>	0.89 Kn-m	Capacity	2.73 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	306.74 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	2.13 Kn-m	Capacity	3.64 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	170.89 %
M0.9D-WnUp	-1.6 Kn-m	Capacity	-2.66 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	166.25 %
V1.35D	0.74 Kn	Capacity	10.42 Kn	Passing Percentage	1408.11 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	1.53 Kn	Capacity	13.89 Kn	Passing Percentage	907.84 %
V0.9D-WnUp	-1.32 Kn	Capacity	-17.37 Kn	Passing Percentage	1315.91 %

### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 10.75 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 12.54 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 20.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 48.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.53 kn Maximum upward = -1.32 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

#### Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 5000 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 9850 mm

Try Rafter 2x400x63 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =6.26 S1 Upward =6.26

Shear Capacity of timber = 5 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

#### Capacity Checks

M <sub>1.35D</sub>	20.47 Kn-m	Capacity	58.42 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	285.39 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	42.45 Kn-m	Capacity	77.88 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	183.46 %
$M_{0.9 \mathrm{D-WnUp}}$	-36.69 Kn-m	Capacity	-97.36 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	265.36 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	8.31 Kn	Capacity	81.04 Kn	Passing Percentage	975.21 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	17.24 Kn	Capacity	108.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	626.80 %
$ m V_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-14.90 Kn	Capacity	-135.08 Kn	Passing Percentage	906.58 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 26.42 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 34.25 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 41.67 mmLimit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 100.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 17.24 kn Maximum upward = -14.90 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 3

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 126 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 43.67 Kn > -14.90 Kn

## Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 2500 mm

External Rafter Span = 9860 mm

Try Rafter 400x63 LVL11

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.97

K8 Upward =0.97 S1 Downward =12.78 S1 Upward =12.78

Shear Capacity of timber = 5 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 38 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# Capacity Checks

M <sub>1.35D</sub>	10.25 Kn-m	Capacity	28.31 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	276.20 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	21.27 Kn-m	Capacity	37.75 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	177.48 %
$ m M_{0.9D-WnUp}$	-18.38 Kn-m	Capacity	-47.19 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	256.75 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	4.16 Kn	Capacity	40.52 Kn	Passing Percentage	974.04 %
V <sub>1.2D+1.5L</sub> 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	8.63 Kn	Capacity	54.03 Kn	Passing Percentage	626.07 %
$V_{0.9 D\text{-W} n U p}$	-7.46 Kn	Capacity	-67.54 Kn	Passing Percentage	905.36 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 9900 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 29.36 mm
Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 34.25 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 41.67 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 100.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward =8.63 kn Maximum upward = -7.46 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 63 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

 $K11 = 2.0 \ \text{fcj} = 36.1 \ \text{Mpa}$  for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

 $V = phi \times k1 \times k4 \times k5 \times fs \times b \times ds \dots (Eq 4.12) = -74.97 \text{ kn} > -7.46 \text{ Kn}$ 

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -14.56 Kn > -7.46 Kn

#### Intermediate Design Front and Back

Intermediate Spacing = 2500 mm Intermediate Span = 2650 mm Try Intermediate 2x140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =0.56

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	2.11 Kn-m	Capacity	3.3 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	156.40 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	3.18 Kn	Capacity	-20.26 Kn	Passing Percentage	637.11 %

### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 13.855 mm

Limit byWoolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 26.50 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 3.18 kn

**Intermediate Design Sides** 

Intermediate Spacing = 5000 mm

Intermediate Span = 3200 mm

Try Intermediate 2x190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward =1.00 S1 Downward =12.23 S1 Upward =0.73

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 Mwind+Snow
 3.07 Kn-m
 Capacity
 6.06 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 197.39 %

 V0.9D-WnUp
 3.84 Kn
 Capacity
 27.5 Kn
 Passing Percentage
 716.15 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 23.585 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 32.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 3.84 kn

**Girt Design Front and Back** 

Girt's Spacing = 800 mm

Girt's Span = 2500 mm

Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.80 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =17.27

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

 $M_{Wind+Snow}$  0.60 Kn-m Capacity 1.32 Kn-m Passing Percentage 220.00 %  $V_{0.9D-WnUp}$  0.96 Kn Capacity 10.13 Kn Passing Percentage 1055.21 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 5.67 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 25.00 mm

Sag during installation = 2.92 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 0.96 kn

Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 800 mm Girt's Span = 5000 mm Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.80 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =17.27

Shear Capacity of timber =3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Mwind+Snow Capacity

6/9

 2.40 Kn-m
 1.32 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 55.00 %

 Vo.9D-WnUp
 1.92 Kn
 Capacity
 10.13 Kn
 Passing Percentage
 527.60 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 90.65 mm

Sag during installation =46.79 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 50.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum = 1.92 kn

Middle Pole Design

Geometry

200 SED H5 (Minimum 225 dia. at Floor Level) Dry Use Height 3500 mm Area 35448 mm2 As 26585.7421875 mm2 100042702 mm4 Zx941578 mm3 Ix 100042702 mm4 941578 mm3 Iy Zx

Lateral Restraint 3500 mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 25 m2

 Dead
 6.25 Kn
 Live
 6.25 Kn

 Wind Down
 10.00 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

 Moment wind
 15.79 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.84

 In the control of the contr

K1 snow 0.8 K1 Dead 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Dry Use Peeling Steaming Normal fb = 36.3 MPa  $f_S =$ 2.96 MPa 7.2 MPa fc = 18 MPa fp = 9257 MPa 22 MPa E =ft =

Capacities

 PhiNcx Wind
 428.33 Kn
 PhiMnx Wind
 22.94 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Wind
 62.96 Kn

 PhiNcx Dead
 257.00 Kn
 PhiMnx Dead
 13.77 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Dead
 37.77 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.74 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.53 < 1 \text{ OK}$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads =  $32.70 \text{ mm} \le 35.00 \text{ mm}$ 

Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & 0.6 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & 1700 \text{ mm} & \text{Pile embedment length} \\ \end{array}$ 

7/9

f1 = 2925 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 15.79 Kn-m Shear Wind = 5.40 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 9.46 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 16.77 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.94 < 1 OK

**End Pole Design** 

Geometry For End Bay Pole

Geometry

200 SED H5 (Minimum 225 dia. at Floor Level) Dry Use Height 3700 mm

 Area
 35448 mm2
 As
 26585.7421875 mm2

 Ix
 100042702 mm4
 Zx
 941578 mm3

 Iy
 100042702 mm4
 Zx
 941578 mm3

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

Loads

Total Area over Pole = 25 m2

 Dead
 6.25 Kn
 Live
 6.25 Kn

 Wind Down
 10.00 Kn
 Snow
 0.00 Kn

Moment Wind 7.89 Kn-m

 Phi
 0.8
 K8
 0.80

 K1 snow
 0.8
 K1 Dead
 0.6

K1wind 1

Material

Peeling Steaming Normal Dry Use fb = 36.3 MPa  $f_S =$ 2.96 MPa 18 MPa 7.2 MPa fc = fp = E = 9257 MPa ft =22 MPa

Capacities

 PhiNcx Wind
 406.44 Kn
 PhiMnx Wind
 21.77 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Wind
 62.96 Kn

 PhiNcx Dead
 243.87 Kn
 PhiMnx Dead
 13.06 Kn-m
 PhiVnx Dead
 37.77 Kn

Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.42 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.19 < 1 \text{ OK}$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 18.17 mm < 38.90 mm

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ds} = & & 0.6 \text{ mm} & & \text{Pile Diameter} \\ \text{L} = & & 1300 \text{ mm} & & \text{Pile embedment length} \end{array}$ 

f1 = 2925 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

8/9

Total Area over Pole = 25 m2

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.63 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.98 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.99 < 1 OK

# Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

#### Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

# Geometry For End Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L = 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2925 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 7.89 Kn-m Shear Wind = 2.70 Kn

Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.63 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.98 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities =  $0.99 \le 1 \text{ OK}$ 

# **Uplift Check**

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1700) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1700)

Skin Friction = 23.34 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 27.76 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 15.13 Kn

Uplift is ok