1 oic Stick App ver or 2022	
Job Number:	BWhite
Issue:	Consulting Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Tasman District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Shed	
AT: 553 Collingwood-Puponga Main Road Collingwood, Puponga, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by <b>Ezequote Pty Ltd</b> to provide <b>Specific Structural Engineering Design</b> services in respectives (s) <b>B1</b> of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement), of the proposed building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment to this statement).	-
☐ ALL ☑ Part only as specified: Purlins, Rafters, Girts, Poles, Columns, Pole embedment and all connections	
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issued by Ministry of Employment Clauses B1/VM1 and B1/VM4	Business, Innovation &
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on <b>Ezequote</b> drawings title <b>2401004</b> an <b>REV-1</b> dated <b>12/01/2024</b> together with the following specification, and other documents set out in the schedule attack <b>Featured Report Dated 12/01/2024</b> and numbered "Second Page"	
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
<ol> <li>Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pressure of 300 kPa in NZS3604:2011</li> <li>The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1</li> <li>Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as NZS3604 and Pachecked by this practice</li> <li>This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tightness</li> <li>Inspections of the building to be completed by Tasman District Council. As BWhite Consulting Ltd at inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Review.</li> <li>This Producer Statement- Design is valid for a building consent issued within 1 year from the date of</li> <li>All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements</li> </ol>	NZS4229 have not been re not undertaking
I believe on reasonable grounds that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction moved that the construction is constructed in accordance with the drawings, specifications, provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction moved to the construction of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction moved to the construction of the Building Code and that b), the undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so. I also recommend the follow level of construction moved to the construction of the Building Code and that b), the construction is constructed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that b), the construction is constructed in the attached schedule.	the presons who have
I, Bevan White am CPEng 108276 I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualification:	RE.Civil
BWhite Consulting Ltd holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000.	

Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 12/01/2024

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

 $This\ form\ is\ to\ accompany\ Form\ 2\ of\ the\ Building(Forms)\ Regulations\ 2004\ for\ the\ application\ of\ a\ Building\ Consent$ 

First Page

**Date:** 12/01/2024

18B Jules Crescent,

BWhite

Consulting Ltd

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

# DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 553 COLLINGWOOD-PUPONGA MAIN ROAD COLLINGWOOD, PUPONGA, NEW ZEALAND

# **Site Specific Loads**

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N2	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	D
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.8 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.09	Design Wind Speed	42.28 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.07 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

#### Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

# **BWhite CONSULTING LTD**

### **Bevan White**

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

Job No.: 2401004 Address: 553 Collingwood-Puponga Main Road Collingwood, Date: 12/01/2024

Puponga, New Zealand

**Latitude:** -40.642877 **Longitude:** 172.660848 **Elevation:** 12.5 m

**General Input** 

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N2	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	D
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	100 Years	Max Height	3.8 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	2.09	Design Wind Speed	42.28 m/s
Wind Pressure	1.07 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

#### **Pressure Coefficients and Pressues**

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp,i = 0.6731

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 1.70 m Cpe = -0.9533 pe = -0.81 KPa pnet = -1.50 KPa

For roof CP,e from 1.70 m To 3.40 m Cpe = -0.8733 pe = -0.75 KPa pnet = -1.44 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = 0.6731 side Wall Cp, i = -0.6

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 14.40 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.66 KPa pnet = 1.34 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.40 m Cpe = pe = -0.61 KPa pnet = 0.07 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 1.50 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.78 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 1.34 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 1.13 KPa

# **Design Summary**

### **Purlin Design**

Purlin Spacing = 600 mm Purlin Span = 3450 mm Try Purlin 140x45 SG8

 $Moisture\ Condition = Dry\ (Moisture\ in\ timber\ is\ less\ than\ 16\%\ and\ timber\ does\ not\ remain\ in\ continuous\ wet\ condition\ after\ installation)$ 

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.93 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =14.24

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

M<sub>1.35D</sub> 0.3 Kn-m Capacity 0.99 Kn-m Passing Percentage 330.00 %

#### Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022 1.22 Kn-m 1.32 Kn-m Capacity Passing Percentage 108.20 % $M_{\rm 1.2D+1.5L~1.2D+Sn~1.2D+WnDn}$ -1.14 Kn-m -1.53 Kn-m 134.21 % M<sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub> Capacity Passing Percentage 0.35 Kn Capacity 6.08 Kn Passing Percentage 1737.14 % $V_{1.35D}$ 1.12 Kn Capacity 8.10 Kn Passing Percentage 723.21 % $V_{\rm 1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$ $V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$ -1.32 Kn -10.13 Kn Passing Percentage 767.42 % Capacity

#### **Deflections**

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3 considering at least 4 members acting together

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 9.09 mm Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 13.48 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 14.17 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 34.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 1.12 kn Maximum upward = -1.32 kn

Number of Blocking = 1 if 0 then no blocking required, if 1 then one midspan blocking required

### Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 3600 mm

Internal Rafter Span = 5850 mm

Try Rafter 2x300x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \\$ 

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 6.81 S1 Upward = 6.81

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

### **Capacity Checks**

M <sub>1.35D</sub>	3.07 Kn-m	Capacity	10.08 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	328.34 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	5.67 Kn-m	Capacity	13.44 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	237.04 %
$M_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	10.94 Kn-m	Capacity	-16.8 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	153.56 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	2.88 Kn	Capacity	28.94 Kn	Passing Percentage	1004.86 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	5.33 Kn	Capacity	38.6 Kn	Passing Percentage	724.20 %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	9.49 Kn	Capacity	-48.24 Kn	Passing Percentage	508.32 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 9 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 13.5 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 25.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 60.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 5.33 kn Maximum upward = 9.49 kn

### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

4/11

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 100 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 21.67 Kn > 9.49 Kn

Prop on Sides = 2 2/SG815050Dry 1321mm Reaction Prop = 8.62 Kn down 16.01 Kn Up

Prop Combined axial and bending ratios (My/Phi x Mny)+(Nc/Phi x Ncy) should be less than or equal to 1

For Short Term Load = 0.92 < 1 OK

For Medium Term Load = 0.62 < 1 OK

For Long Term Load = 0.45 < 1 OK

#### **Prop Connection check**

Effective width of Pole used in Calculations = 175 mm - 20mm (Margin for chamfer)

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Angle of prop = 45 degree

Prop Connection Capacity under Short term loads: 24.85 Kn > 16.01 Kn OK

Prop Connection Capacity under Medium term loads: 19.88 Kn > 8.62 Kn OK

Prop Connection Capacity under Long term loads: 14.91 Kn > 4.73 Kn OK

#### Rafter Design External

External Rafter Load Width = 1800 mm External Rafter Span = 5853 mm Try Rafter 300x50 SG8 Dry

 $Moisture\ Condition = Dry\ (Moisture\ in\ timber\ is\ less\ than\ 16\%\ and\ timber\ does\ not\ remain\ in\ continuous\ wet\ condition\ after\ installation)$ 

K1 Short term = 1 K1 Medium term = 0.8 K1 Long term = 0.6 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.94

K8 Upward =0.94 S1 Downward =13.93 S1 Upward =13.93

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

#### Capacity Checks

M1.35D	2.60 Kn-m	Capacity	4.72 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	181.54 %
M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	8.32 Kn-m	Capacity	6.30 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	75.72 %
M0.9D-WnUp	-9.83 Kn-m	Capacity	-7.87 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	80.06 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	1.78 Kn	Capacity	14.47 Kn	Passing Percentage	812.92 %
V1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn	5.69 Kn	Capacity	19.30 Kn	Passing Percentage	339.19 %

V<sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub> -6.72 Kn Capacity -24.12 Kn Passing Percentage **358.93 %** 

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 15.00 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 22.25 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240= 25.00 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 60.00 mm

Reactions

Maximum downward = 5.69 kn Maximum upward = -6.72 kn

Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters = J5 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 14.9 fpj = 12.9 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 50 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Eccentric Load check

V = phi x k1 x k4 x k5 x fs x b x ds ..... (Eq 4.12) = -25.20 kn > -6.72 Kn

Single Shear Capacity under short term loads = -10.84 Kn > -6.72 Kn

**Intermediate Design Sides** 

Intermediate Spacing = 3000 mm Intermediate Span = 3250 mm Try Intermediate 2x190x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 0.98

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 12.23 S1 Upward = 0.73

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

Capacity Checks

Mwind+Snow 2.65 Kn-m Capacity 6.06 Kn-m Passing Percentage 228.68 %  $V_{0.9D-WnUp}$  3.27 Kn-m Capacity 27.5 Kn-m Passing Percentage 840.98 %

Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 5400 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 21.015 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 32.50 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 3.27 kn

### Girt Design Front and Back

Girt's Spacing = 600 mm

Girt's Span = 3600 mm

Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.91 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =14.65

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

### Capacity Checks

 $M_{Wind+Snow}$  1.30 Kn-m Capacity 1.50 Kn-m Passing Percentage 115.38 %  $V_{0.9D-WnUp}$  1.45 Kn-m Capacity 10.13 Kn-m Passing Percentage 698.62 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 25.50 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 36.00 mm

Sag during installation = 12.57 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 1.45 kn

# Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 600 mm

Girt's Span = 3000 mm

Try Girt 140x45 SG8

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.72 S1 Downward =10.36 S1 Upward =18.92

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

# Capacity Checks

Mw $_{ind+Snow}$  0.90 Kn-m Capacity 1.19 Kn-m Passing Percentage 132.22 %  $V_{0.9D-WnUp}$  1.21 Kn-m Capacity 10.13 Kn-m Passing Percentage 837.19 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 12.30 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 30.00 mm

Sag during installation =6.06 mm

# Reactions

Maximum = 1.21 kn

# Middle Pole Design

#### Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3500 mm
Area	8438 mm2	As	6328.125 mm2
Ix	24719238 mm4	Zx	263672 mm3
Iy	24719238 mm4	Zx	263672 mm3
Lateral Pactraint	1300 mm c/c		

Lateral Restraint 1300 mm c/c

Total Area over Pole =  $10.8 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	3.78 Kn	Live	2.79 Kn
Wind Down	8.69 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	4.85 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	1.00
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

### Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

# Capacities

PhiNex Wind	121.50 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	7.66 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	14.98 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	72.90 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	4.59 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	8.99 Kn

# Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 1.67 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 2.49 < 1 \text{ OK}$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads =  $89.72 \text{ mm} \le 35.00 \text{ mm}$ 

# Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

# Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma	18 Kn/m3	Friction angle	30 deg	Cohesion	0 Kn/m3
K0 =	$(1-\sin(30))/(1+\sin(30))$				
Kp =	$(1+\sin(30))/(1-\sin(30))$				

# Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

$D_S =$	0.6 mm	Pile Diameter
L =	1300 mm	Pile embedment length
f1 =	2850 mm	Distance at which the shear force is applied
f2 =	0 mm	Distance of top soil at rest pressure

### Loads

Moment Wind = 4.85 Kn-m Shear Wind = 3.85 Kn

# Pile Properties

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.72 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.94 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

#### Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.82 < 1 OK

# **End Pole Design**

# Geometry For End Bay Pole

### Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3500 mm
Area	8438 mm2	As	6328.125 mm2
Ix	24719238 mm4	Zx	263672 mm3
Iy	24719238 mm4	Zx	263672 mm3
Lataral Dagtraint	mm a/a		

Lateral Restraint mm c/c

# Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $10.8 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	2.70 Kn	Live	2.70 Kn
Wind Down	8.42 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment Wind	5.49 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.74

K1 snow 0.8 K1 Dead 0.6

K1wind 1

# Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

# Capacities

PhiNcx Wind	89.40 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	5.63 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	14.98 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	53.64 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	3.38 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	8.99 Kn

# Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 1.13 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 1.11 < 1 OK$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 48.59 mm < 37.90 mm

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2850 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $10.8 \text{ m}^2$ 

Moment Wind = 5.49 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.93 Kn

**Pile Properties** 

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.72 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.94 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.69 < 1 OK

# Drained Lateral Strength of End pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

**Geometry For End Bay Pole** 

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1300 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2850 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

Moment Wind = 5.49 Kn-m Shear Wind = 1.93 Kn

**Pile Properties** 

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 4.72 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 7.94 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.69 < 1 OK

**Uplift Check** 

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m3

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1300) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1300)

Skin Friction = 13.65 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 17.45 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 13.77 Kn

Uplift is ok