Pole Shed App Ver 01 2022	
Job Number:	BWhite Consulting Ltd
Issue:	Consuming Ltd
PRODUCER STATEMENT-PS1-DESIGN	
ISSUED BY: BWhite Consulting Ltd (Design Engineer: Bevan White)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Tasman District Council IN RESPECT OF: Proposed NEW Farm Sh	ed
AT: 8 Hau Road, Motueka, New Zealand	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	
We have been engaged by <b>Ezequote Pty Ltd</b> to provide <b>Specific Structural Engineering Design</b> the requirements of Clause(s) <b>B1</b> of the Building Code for part only (as specified in the attachment the proposed building work.	-
☐ ALL	d all connections
The design has been prepared in accordance with compliance documents to NZ Building Code issumess, Innovation & Employment Clauses <b>B1/VM1</b> and <b>B1/VM4</b>	ued by Ministry of
The proposed building work covered by the producer statement is described on <b>Ezequote</b> drawing numbered A101-A117 Rev-1 dated 07/06/2024 together with the following specification, and other the schedule attached to this statement: <b>Design Featured Report Dated 20/06/2024 and number</b>	r documents set out in
On behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd, and subject to:	
<ol> <li>Site verification of the following design assumptions: an Ultimate foundation bearing pres accordance with NZS3604:2011</li> <li>The building has a design life of 50 years and am Importance Level 1</li> <li>Unless specifically noted, compliance of the drawings to None-Specific codes such as Nave not been checked by this practice</li> <li>This Certificate does not cover any other building code clause including weather tights</li> <li>Inspections of the building to be completed by Tasman District Council. As BWhite Cundertaking inspections, we cannot issue a producer Statement-PS4- Construction Reformance Statement-PS4- Construction Reformance Statement-PS4- Reformance Statement S</li></ol>	NZS3604 and NZS4229 ness onsulting Ltd are not view.
<b>I believe on reasonable grounds</b> that a) the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawing other documents provided or listed in the attached schedule, will comply with the relevant provision and that b), the presons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so follow level of construction monitoring/observation:	ons of the Building Code
☑ CM1 ☐ CM2 ☐ CM3 ☐ CM4 ☐ CM5 or as per agreement with owner/developer (stated)	above)
I, <b>Bevan White</b> am CPEng <b>108276</b> I am Member of Engineering New Zealand and hold the follow <b>BE.Civil</b> and holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000	wing qualification:
Signed by Bevan White on behalf of BWhite Consulting Ltd Dated: 20/06/2024	

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Phone: 0211-979786

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise(including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

 $This\ form\ is\ to\ accompany\ Form\ 2\ of\ the\ Building(Forms)\ Regulations\ 2004\ for\ the\ application\ of\ a\ Building\ Consent$ 

Date: 20/06/2024 BWhite
Consulting Ltd

18B Jules Crescent,

Bell Block New Plymouth 4312

New Zealand File No:

# DESIGN FEATURES SUMMARY FOR PROPOSED NEW FARM SHED 8 HAU ROAD, MOTUEKA, NEW ZEALAND

# Site Specific Loads

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N2	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & EQ ARI	500 Years	Max Height	3.7 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	3.0	Design Wind Speed	37.35 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.84 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years

#### Timber

Sawn Timber to be graded to the properties of SG6 and SG8 or better as mentioned on plans, with moisture content of 18% or less for dry and 25% or less for wet.

The following standards have been used in the design of this structure

- NZS 3603:1993 Timber Structures Standard
- NZS 3604:2011 Timber Framed Buildings. Standards New Zealand, 2011
- NZS 3404:1997 Steel Structures
- AS/NZS 1170 2003 Structural Design Actions
- AS/NZS 1170.2 2021 Structural Design Actions-Wind Action
- Branz. "Engineering Basis of NZS 3604". April 2013

Yours Faithfully

## **BWhite CONSULTING LTD**

## **Bevan White**

Director | BE Civil . CMengNZ CPEng

Email: bwhitecpeng@gmail.com Contact: 0211 979 786

 Job No.:
 2405078 - 1
 Address:
 8 Hau Road, Motueka, New Zealand
 Date:
 20/06/2024

 Latitude:
 -41.131684
 Longitude:
 172.995556
 Elevation:
 7 m

### **General Input**

Roof Live Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Dead Load	0.25 KPa	Roof Live Point Load	1.1 Kn
Snow Zone	N2	Ground Snow Load	0 KPa	Roof Snow Load	0 KPa
Earthquake Zone	2	Subsoil Category	D	Exposure Zone	C
Importance Level	1	Ultimate wind & Earthquake ARI	500 Years	Max Height	3.7 m
Wind Region	NZ2	Terrain Category	3.0	Design Wind Speed	37.35 m/s
Wind Pressure	0.84 KPa	Lee Zone	NO	Ultimate Snow ARI	50 Years
Wind Category	High	Earthquake ARI	100		

Note: Wind lateral loads are governing over Earthquake loads, So only wind loads are considered in calculations

### **Pressure Coefficients and Pressues**

Shed Type = Mono Enclosed

For roof Cp, i = -0.3

For roof CP,e from 0 m To 3.35 m Cpe = -0.9 pe = -0.68 KPa pnet = -0.68 KPa

For roof CP,e from 3.35 m To 6.70 m Cpe = -0.5 pe = -0.38 KPa pnet = -0.38 KPa

For wall Windward Cp, i = -0.3 side Wall Cp, i = -0.3

For wall Windward and Leeward CP,e from 0 m To 7 m Cpe = 0.7 pe = 0.53 KPa pnet = 0.78 KPa

For side wall CP,e from 0 m To 3.35 m Cpe = pe = -0.38 KPa pnet = -0.38 KPa

Maximum Upward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.68 KPa

Maximum Downward pressure used in roof member Design = 0.40 KPa

Maximum Wall pressure used in Design = 0.78 KPa

Maximum Racking pressure used in Design = 0.91 KPa

#### **Design Summary**

# Rafter Design Internal

Internal Rafter Load Width = 3600 mm Internal Rafter Span = 6850 mm Try Rafter 2x240x63 LVL13

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and timber does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

 $K1 \; Short \; term = 1 \qquad K1 \; Medium \; term = 0.8 \qquad K1 \; Long \; term = 0.6 \qquad K4 = 1 \qquad K5 = 1 \qquad K8 \; Downward = 1.00 \\$ 

K8 Upward = 1.00 S1 Downward = 4.59 S1 Upward = 4.59

Shear Capacity of timber =5.3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber =48 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

 M1.35D
 7.13 Kn-m
 Capacity
 27.86 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 390.74 %

 M1.2D+1.5L 1.2D+Sn 1.2D+WnDn
 14.78 Kn-m
 Capacity
 37.16 Kn-m
 Passing Percentage
 251.42 %

Mo.9D-WnUp	-9.61 Kn-m	Capacity	-46.44 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	483.25 %
V <sub>1.35D</sub>	4.16 Kn	Capacity	51.54 Kn	Passing Percentage	1238.94 %
$V_{1.2D+1.5L\ 1.2D+Sn\ 1.2D+WnDn}$	8.63 Kn	Capacity	68.72 Kn	Passing Percentage	796.29 %
V <sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub>	-5.61 Kn	Capacity	-85.9 Kn	Passing Percentage	1531.19 %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 11000 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

k2 for Long Term Loads = 2

Deflection under Dead and Live Load = 19.03 mm

Deflection under Dead and Service Wind = 24.67 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/240 = 29.17 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 70.00 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum downward = 8.63 kn Maximum upward = -5.61 kn

#### Rafter to Pole Connection check

Bolt Size = M12 Number of Bolts = 2

Calculations as per NZS 3603:1993 Amend 2005 clause 4.4

Joint Group for Rafters =J2 Joint Group for Pole = J5

Minimum Bolt edge, end and spacing for Load perpendicular to grains = 60 mm

Factor of Safety = 0.7

For Perpendicular to grain loading

K11 = 12.6 fpj = 22.7 Mpa for Rafter with effective thickness = 126 mm

For Parallel to grain loading

K11 = 2.0 fcj = 36.1 Mpa for Pole with effective thickness = 100 mm

Capacity under short term loads = 29.11 Kn > -5.61 Kn

### **Girt Design Front and Back**

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm Girt's Span = 1800 mm Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.95 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =13.62

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	1.99 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	Infinity %
$V_{0.9D\text{-W}nUp}$	0.00 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	Infinity %

### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 0.00 mm Sag during installation = 0.64 mm Limit by Woolcock et al, 1999 Span/100 = 18.00 mm

### Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

## Girt Design Sides

Girt's Spacing = 0 mm

Girt's Span = 1750 mm

Try Girt 150x50 SG8 Dry

Moisture Condition = Dry (Moisture in timber is less than 16% and does not remain in continuous wet condition after installation)

K1 Short term = 1 K4 = 1 K5 = 1 K8 Downward = 1.00

K8 Upward =0.95 S1 Downward =9.63 S1 Upward =13.43

Shear Capacity of timber = 3 MPa Bending Capacity of timber = 14 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, table 2.3

## Capacity Checks

$M_{Wind+Snow}$	0.00 Kn-m	Capacity	2.00 Kn-m	Passing Percentage	Infinity %
V <sub>0.9D-WnUp</sub>	0.00 Kn	Capacity	12.06 Kn	Passing Percentage	Infinity %

#### Deflections

Modulus of Elasticity = 6700 MPa NZS3603 Amt 4, Table 2.3

Deflection under Snow and Service Wind = 0.00 mm

Limit by Woolcock et al. 1999 Span/100 = 17.50 mm

Sag during installation = 0.57 mm

#### Reactions

Maximum = 0.00 kn

# Middle Pole Design

## Geometry

175 SED H5 (Minimum 200 dia. at Floor Level)	Dry Use	Height	3400 mm
Area	27598 mm2	As	20698.2421875 mm2
Ix	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Iy	60639381 mm4	Zx	646820 mm3
Lateral Restraint	3400 mm c/c		

## Loads

Total Area over Pole =  $12.6 \text{ m}^2$ 

Dead	3.15 Kn	Live	3.15 Kn
Wind Down	5.04 Kn	Snow	0.00 Kn
Moment wind	8.39 Kn-m		
Phi	0.8	K8	0.76
K1 snow	0.8	K1 Dead	0.6
K1wind	1		

#### Material

Peeling	Steaming	Normal	Dry Use
fb =	36.3 MPa	$f_S =$	2.96 MPa
fc =	18 MPa	fp =	7.2 MPa
ft =	22 MPa	E =	9257 MPa

### Capacities

PhiNex Wind	302.65 Kn	PhiMnx Wind	14.30 Kn-m	PhiVnx Wind	49.01 Kn
PhiNcx Dead	181.59 Kn	PhiMnx Dead	8.58 Kn-m	PhiVnx Dead	29.41 Kn

#### Checks

(Mx/PhiMnx)+(N/phiNcx) = 0.62 < 1 OK

 $(Mx/PhiMnx)^2+(N/phiNcx) = 0.38 < 1 OK$ 

Deflection at top under service lateral loads = 26.41 mm < 34.00 mm

# Drained Lateral Strength of Middle pile in cohesionless soils Free Head short pile

### Assumed Soil Properties

Gamma 18 Kn/m3 Friction angle 30 deg Cohesion 0 Kn/m3

 $K0 = \frac{(1-\sin(30)) / (1+\sin(30))}{Kp} = \frac{(1+\sin(30)) / (1-\sin(30))}{(1-\sin(30))}$ 

## Geometry For Middle Bay Pole

Ds = 0.6 mm Pile Diameter

L= 1400 mm Pile embedment length

f1 = 2775 mm Distance at which the shear force is applied

f2 = 0 mm Distance of top soil at rest pressure

Loads

### **Pile Properties**

Safety Factory 0.55

Hu = 5.86 Kn Ultimate Lateral Strength of the Pile, Short pile

Mu = 9.69 Kn-m Ultimate Moment Capacity of Pile

Checks

Applied Forces/Capacities = 0.87 < 1 OK

# **Uplift Check**

Density of Concrete = 24 Kn/m<sup>3</sup>

Density of Timber Pole = 5 Kn/m3

Due to cast in place pile, the surface interaction between soil and pile will be rough thus angle of friction between both is taken equal to soil angle of internal friction

Ks (Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient) for cast into place concrete piles = 1.5

Formula to calculate Skin Friction = Safecty factor (0.55) x Density of Soil(18) x Height of Pile(1400) x Ks(1.5) x 0.5 x tan(30) x Pi x Dia of Pile(0.6) x Height of Pile(1400)

Skin Friction = 15.83 Kn

Weight of Pile + Pile Skin Friction = 19.92 Kn

Uplift on one Pile = 5.73 Kn

Uplift is ok