

The state of big data reference architectures: a systematic literature review

Abstract

This template helps you to create a properly formatted L^AT_EX manuscript.

Keywords: `elsarticle.cls`, L^AT_EX, Elsevier, template

2010 MSC: 00-01, 99-00 s

1. Introduction

The rapid development of software technologies, the proliferation of digital devices and networking infrastructure of today, have by and large, augmented user's capability to generate data [1]. In the age of information, users are
5 unceasing generators of structured, semi-structured, and unstructured data that if collected and crunched correctly, may reveal game-changing patterns [2].

The unprecedented proliferation of data have emerged a new ecosystem of technologies; one of these ecosystems is big data (BD)[3]. BD is a term emerged to describe large amount of data that comes in various forms from different
10 channels. Within the years, BD has attained a lot of attention from academia and industry, and many strive to benefit from this new material. Howbeit, adopting BD requires the absorption of great deal of complexity and many traditional systems cannot cope with characteristics of this domain.

A recent survey published by Databricks in partnership with MIT Technol-
15 ogy Review Insights, stated that only 13% of companies excel at delivering on their data strategy [4]. In the same vein, Vintage Partners highlighted that only 24% of companies have successfully adopted BD [5]. Sigma computing report presented that 1 in 4 business experts have given up on getting insights they needed because the data processing took too long [6]. Moreover, Gartner

20 approximated that only 20% of companies have successfully adopted BD.

Some of the most highlighted challenges of BD is 'lack of business context', 'organizational challenges', 'BD architecture', 'data engineering', 'rapid technology change', and 'lack of talent' [7]. Whereas similar issues may exist in other domains, it is exacerbated when it comes to BD systems. This is due the
25 inherent complexity of BD engineering, the need for real-time processing, the scalability requirement of these systems, and the sensitivities around data.

Today, majority of BD systems are designed underlying ad-hoc and complicated architectural solutions [8], that do not seem to adhere to similar patterns. This will challenge software architects to design a suitable solution for any given
30 context, creates a foundation for an immature architectural decision, and does not promote the growth and development of BD systems as a whole.

Therefore, since the approach of ad-hoc design to BD systems is undesirable and leaves many engineers in the dark, there is a need for more software engineering research for BD systems. To this end, this study presents a systematic
35 literature review (SLR) on BD (BD) reference architectures (RAs).

2. Why reference architectures?

Conceptualization of the system as an RA, helps with understanding of the system's key components, behavior, composition and evolution of it, which in turn affect quality attributes such as maintainability, scalability and performance [9]. Therefore RAs can be a good standardization artefact and a commu-
40 nication medium that not only results in concrete architectures for BD systems, but also provide stakeholders with unified elements and symbols to discuss and progress BD projects.

This approach to system development is not new to practitioners of complex
45 system. In software product line (SPL) development, RAs are utilized as generic artifacts that are instantiated and configured for a particular domain of systems [10]. In software engineering, IT giants like IBM have referred to RAs as the 'best of best practices' to address complex and unique system design challenges

[9]. In other international standardization, RAs have been repeatedly used to
50 standardize an emerging domain, a good example of this is BS ISO/IEC 18384-1
RA for service oriented architectures [11].

3. Why this systematic review is necessary?

Despite the undeniable benefits of RAs, and their potential to solve some of
the complex issues of BD

- 55 • document style
- baselineskip
- front matter
- keywords and MSC codes
- theorems, definitions and proofs
- 60 • lables of enumerations
- citation style and labeling.

4. Front matter

The author names and affiliations could be formatted in two ways:

- (1) Group the authors per affiliation.
- 65 (2) Use footnotes to indicate the affiliations.

See the front matter of this document for examples. You are recommended to
conform your choice to the journal you are submitting to.

5. Bibliography styles

There are various bibliography styles available. You can select the style of
70 your choice in the preamble of this document. These styles are Elsevier styles
based on standard styles like Harvard and Vancouver. Please use BibTeX to
generate your bibliography and include DOIs whenever available.

Here are two sample references: [? ?].

6. Improvements

- 75 1. The current writing style looks like a summary description, lacks new
insight on the topic. The overall contribution needs to be enhanced.
2. The author raises seven research questions, but how does the author de-
velop these questions? Are these real questions that have never been
discussed? The research questions need to be developed according to the
80 literature. In this way, we can realize what is the gap on this topic.
3. The inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria are ambiguous and question-
able. Is it possible for readers to reproduce this study according to these
criteria? Did the author perform the reliability and validity tests? The
author needs to provide more detail about the review methodology.
- 85 4. In general, each larger-scale system requires a more understanding of ar-
chitectural components, owing largely to the complex nature of system
architects. However, I cannot find a case that the authors demonstrate
the uniqueness of BD systems, and the actual development challenges in
BD systems.
- 90 5. Although this study adapts SLR approach, it should not completely miss
a literature reviewing section. It is necessary to provide to the reader the
preliminary details which are necessary to understand the purpose of this
study, techniques and key concerns of the various research work that the
authors have reviewed. There is no theoretical argument to support the
95 development of the research questions.

6. The findings yielded by investigating the research questions of this SLR should constitute many discussion points around the research and practice of BD systems. However, the manuscript is completely missing a discussion section. One should expect that the results of SLR can inform the current knowledge and provide several research directions for future research.
7. Last, one of the core challenges with the paper is to situate it within an ongoing scholarly conversation. The authors currently reference a fairly diverse set of papers, but remain at a fairly abstract level when it comes to elaborating how your work builds upon and expands existing work. In turn, this makes it difficult to appreciate theoretical implications of your work.

References

References

- [1] B. Bashari Rad, N. Akbarzadeh, P. Ataei, Y. Khakbiz, Security and privacy challenges in big data era, *International Journal of Control Theory and Applications* 9 (43) (2016) 437–448.
- [2] P. Ataei, A. T. Litchfield, Big data reference architectures, a systematic literature review (2020).
- [3] B. B. Rada, P. Ataeib, Y. Khakbizc, N. Akbarzadehd, The hype of emerging technologies: Big data as a service (2017).
- [4] Databricks.
URL <https://databricks.com/>
- [5] N. Partners, Big data and ai executive survey 2021 (2021).
URL https://www.supplychain247.com/paper/bi_data_and_ai_executive_survey_2021/pragmadik

- [6] S. Computing, Bridging the gap between data and business teams (2020).
URL [https://www.sigmacomputing.com/resources/
data-language-barrier/](https://www.sigmacomputing.com/resources/data-language-barrier/)
- 125 [7] B. B. Rad, P. Ataei, The big data ecosystem and its environs, International
Journal of Computer Science and Network Security (IJCSNS) 17 (3) (2017)
38.
- [8] I. Gorton, J. Klein, Distribution, data, deployment, STC 2015 (2015) 78.
- [9] R. Cloutier, G. Muller, D. Verma, R. Nilchiani, E. Hole, M. Bone, The
130 concept of reference architectures, Systems Engineering 13 (1) (2010) 14–
27.
- [10] M. Derras, L. Deruelle, J.-M. Douin, N. Levy, F. Losavio, Y. Pollet,
V. Reiner, Reference architecture design: A practical approach, in: IC-
SOFT, pp. 633–640.
- 135 [11] I. Iso, Information technology — reference architecture for service oriented
architecture (soa ra) — part 1: Terminology and concepts for soa, Interna-
tional Organization for Standardization (2016) 51.
URL <https://www.iso.org/standard/63104.html>