#### Bow Before MiniTest

@adman65 - gh://twinturbo

#### MiniTest

VS

RSpec

VS

Test::Unit

VS

Cucumber

#### Forget Cucumber!

- Long term cucumber use is detrimental to your brain
- Makes you learn another syntax to write tests
- Managing large test suites is painful
- Small number of good use cases

#### MiniTest

VS

RSpec

VS

Test::Unit

**VS** 

Cucumber

#### MiniTest & Test::Unit

- Test::Unit is MiniTest in Ruby 1.9
- Test::Unit is a compatibility layer around MiniTest
- This is for backward compatibility
- We can forget about Test::Unit too...

#### MiniTest

VS

RSpec

VS

Test::Unit

VS

Cucumber

## MiniTest VS RSpec

#### Kampf!

### Things a Test Framework Should Do

- Make it easy to write and maintain tests
- Run tests in random order
- Believe me, this will find bugs in your code
- Make you focus on testing your code and not learning the framework yourself

#### Basics: Writing Tests

```
require 'minitest/autorun'

class TruthTest < MiniTest::Unit::TestCase
  def test_truth
    assert true
  end
end</pre>
```

```
require 'rspec'

describe "Truth" do
   it "should be true" do
      true.should == true
   end
end
```

#### Speed: Timing 10K Tests

```
require 'test_helper'

class TruthTest < MiniTest::Unit::TestCase
  10_000.times do lil
    define_method "test_truth_#{i}" do
        assert true
    end
end
end</pre>
```

```
require 'spec_helper'

describe "Truth" do
    10_000.times do
    it { should_not be_nil }
    end
end
```

#### Results

#Tests	MiniTest	Rspec
1.000	0.069	0.428
10.000	0.730	3.92
100.000	8.345	39.53

Times in Seconds

0 0	adam@mba: ~/radium/inbox/minitest_vs_rspec — zsh — 120×41	
test_vs_rspec		
	Yes, this is Real :(	
Finished in 39.53 seconds 100000 examples, 0 failures		
Randomized with seed 33620		

adam at mba : ~/radium/inbox/minitest\_vs\_rspec[master\*] %

#### Analysis

- MiniTest is clearly faster on tremendously large test suites
- MiniTest is notably faster on smaller tests suites
- RSpec will continue to slow down rapidly because matchers create objects which trigger garbage collection
- Developers may notice a difference in typical test suites

## Expressiveness: should vs assert

#### Rspec is testing DSL

```
describe Person do
  its(:phone_number) { should =~ /^\d+$/ }
end
```

#### MiniTest is Ruby

```
class PersonTest < MiniTest::Unit::TestCase
  def test_phone_number_format
    person = Person.new
    assert_match person.phone_number, /^\d+$/
  end
end</pre>
```

#### Magic

- RSpec: less code & more magic
- MiniTest: more code & less magic

```
# 1. describe Person detects that the described
# object is a class so `Person.new` is called
# implicitly before each test and assigned to
# `subject`
describe Person do
   its(:phone_number) { should =~ /^\d+$/ }
end
```

```
describe Person do
  # Call the `phone_number` method on subject
  # an assign it's return value to `subject`
  # inside the test block
  its(:phone_number) { should =~ /^\d+$/ }
end
```

```
describe Person do
  # Call should on the implicit subject
  # so it can be tested against the regex
  its(:phone_number) { should =~ /^\d+$/ }
end
```

## I don't like this complexity

## Do I need to explain MiniTest?

## Custom Matchers vs Custom Assertions

```
def assert_valid(model)
  assert model.valid?, "Expected #{model} to be valid"
end
```

```
# There is a large API for this!
# This is the most simple case

RSpec::Matchers.define :be_valid do
   match do Imodel!
     model.valid?
   end
end
```

Go read this file: <a href="https://github.com/rspec/">https://github.com/rspec/</a>
<a href="rspec-expectations/blob/master/lib/rspec/">rspec-expectations/blob/master/lib/rspec/</a>
<a href="matchers/built\_in/base\_matcher.rb">matchers/built\_in/base\_matcher.rb</a>

# Writing custom assertions is easier and more understandable in MiniTest

```
def test_vendor_comes_before_app
  # do stuff to build a file
  content = read "site/application.js"
  assert_includes content, "APP"
  assert_includes content, "VENDOR"
  assert content.index("VENDOR") < content.index("APP"),
    "Vendor should come before App!"
end</pre>
```

#### extract-method

```
def test_vendor_comes_before_app
  content = read "site/application.js"
  assert_before content, "VENDOR", "APP"
end

def assert_before(source, first, second)
  assert_includes content, first
  assert_includes content, second
  assert content.index(first) < content.index(source),
    "#{first} should be before #{second}"
end</pre>
```

## One Less Thing to Learn

# You Don't need to lookup a Matcher API, just write ruby methods

## MiniTest will make your code better

#### Why?

- Minimal feature set: focus on writing code
- Removing a complex mocking/stubbing library makes you consider design
- Run tests in random order--this will usually find bugs in your test suite or code
- Can run your tests in parallel

#### If you do in fact, suck at writing tests....

```
class OrderDependentTest < MinitTest::Unit::TestCase
i_suck_and_my_tests_are_order_dependent!

def test_step1
    # ....
end

def test_step2
    # ....
end
end</pre>
```

```
require 'minitest/unit'
require 'minitest/hell'  # put your tests through the ringer
require 'minitest/autorun'

class ParallelTests < MiniTest::Unit::TestCase
  def test_multi_threading
    # ...
  end
end</pre>
```

tl;dr

#### Why MiniTest?

- Its faster and lighter than Rspec
- Its much easier to understand and extend
- Random & parallel tests out of the box
- Its part of the standard library so it's available everywhere
- More stable and better supported than Rspec
- It will make your test suite better!

#### Why Rspec?

- \$ rspec foo\_spec.rb
- Running individual tests is trivially easy
- You like DSL's and complexity

#### die Slides

http://speakerdeck.com/u/twinturbo