
Algorithm Design Strategies V

Joaquim Madeira


Version 0.1 – October 2018

Overview

- The 0-1 Knapsack Problem Revisited
- The Fractional Knapsack Problem
- Greedy Algorithms
- Example – Coin Changing
- Example – Activity Selection
- Some Problems on Graphs
- The Traveling Salesperson Problem

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Find the **most valuable subset** of items, that fit into the knapsack



[Wikipedia]

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Given n items
 - Known **weight** w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n
 - Known **value** v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n
- A knapsack of **capacity** W
- Which one is the **most valuable subset** of items that fit into the knapsack ?
 - **More than one** solution ?

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- How to formulate ?

$$\text{max } \sum x_i v_i$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum x_i w_i \leq W$$

$$\text{with } x_i \text{ in } \{0, 1\}$$

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- We have seen how to solve it using
 - Exhaustive Search
 - Dynamic Programming
- BUT, it takes **too much time** for “large” instances !!
- Can we use a different, “**greedy**” strategy ?

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Knapsack of capacity $W = 10$
- 4 items
 - Item 1 : $w = 7$; $v = \$42$: $v / w = 6$: 2nd
 - Item 2 : $w = 3$; $v = \$12$: $v / w = 4$: 4th
 - Item 3 : $w = 4$; $v = \$40$: $v / w = 10$: 1st
 - Item 4 : $w = 5$; $v = \$25$: $v / w = 5$: 3rd
- Solution ?
 - Item 3 + Item 4 : **\$65**
 - Optimal solution

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Greedy heuristic
 - Select items in decreasing order of their v / w ratios
- Compute the value-to-weight ratios : $r_i = v_i / w_i$
- Sort the items in non-increasing order of their r_i
- Repeat until no item is left in the sorted list
 - If the current item fits, place it in the knapsack
 - Otherwise, discard it


The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- It is a very **simple heuristic**...
 - There are others...
- **Can it be always optimal ?**
- What would a positive answer imply ?

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem

- Knapsack of capacity $W = 50$
- 3 items
 - Item 1 : $w = 10$; $v = \$60$: $v / w = 6$
 - Item 2 : $w = 20$; $v = \$100$: $v / w = 5$
 - Item 3 : $w = 30$; $v = \$120$: $v / w = 4$
- Result of the greedy strategy
 - Item 1 + Item 2 : \$160 ←
- Optimal solution
 - Item 2 + Item 3 : \$220 !! ←

The 0-1 Knapsack Problem


- Knapsack of capacity $W > 2$
- 2 items
 - Item 1 : $w = 1$; $v = \$2$: $v / w = 2$: 1st !!
 - Item 2 : $w = W$; $v = \$W$: $v / w = 1$: 2nd
- Solution ?
 - Item 1 !!!
 - Optimal solution : Item 2 
 - $R_A = \infty$!!

Tasks

- Implement a **greedy function** for computing a (approx.) solution to an instance of the 0-1 Knapsack
- Run it for a set of **test instances**
- Check the **accuracy** of the obtained solutions
 - By using the **brute-force function** of last week

The Continuous Knapsack Problem

- Find the most valuable subset of items, that fit into the knapsack



[Wikipedia]

- BUT, now we can **take any fraction** of any given item !!

The Continuous Knapsack Problem

- How to formulate ?

$$\max \sum x_i v_i$$

subject to $\sum x_i w_i \leq W$

with $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$

The Continuous Knapsack Problem

- Compute the **value / weight ratio** for each item
- **Order items** according to v / w ratio (non-increasing)
- Scan the ordered items, while the knapsack is **not full**
 - Check if **whole item i fits** into the knapsack
 - Yes : **add it** to the solution !
 - Else, determine the **fraction** of item i that fits into the knapsack and add it to the solution

The Continuous Knapsack Problem

- **Optimal strategy** for this problem !!
- Same example
 - Knapsack of capacity $W = 50$
 - 3 items
 - Item 1 : $w = 10$; $v = \$60$: $v / w = 6$
 - Item 2 : $w = 20$; $v = \$100$: $v / w = 5$
 - Item 3 : $w = 30$; $v = \$120$: $v / w = 4$
- Result?
 - Item 1 + Item 2 + $2 / 3$ (Item 3) ; **\$240 !!**

Tasks

- Implement a **greedy function** for computing the solution to an instance of the Fractional Knapsack Problem
- Run it for a set of **test instances**

Greedy Algorithms

- For **Optimization Problems**
- How to construct a solution ?
- Sequence of **choices**
- Expand a **partially** constructed solution
 - Grab the “**best-looking**” alternative !!
 - Hope that it will lead to **the / a** globally optimal solution
- Reach a **complete solution**

Greedy Algorithms

- The choice made at each step is
 - **Feasible** : satisfies constraints
 - **Locally optimal** : best choice at each step
 - **Irrevocable**
- Does it always work ?

The Coin-Changing Problem

- Make change for an **amount A**
- Available coin denominations
 - $\text{Denom}[1] > \text{Denom}[2] > \dots > \text{Denom}[n] = 1$
- Use the **fewest** number of coins !!
- Assumption
 - Enough coins of each denomination !!

The Coin-Changing Problem

- How to formulate ?

$$\min \sum x_i$$

subject to $\sum x_i d[i] = A$

with $x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

- Compare with the 0-1 Knapsack formulation

The Coin-Changing Problem

$i \leftarrow 1$

while ($A > 0$) do

$c \leftarrow A \text{ div } \text{denom}[i]$

output (c coins of $\text{denom}[i]$ value)

$A \leftarrow A - c \times \text{denom}[i]$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

- How to improve ?
 - No output when $c = 0$!!

The Coin-Changing Problem

- Does the algorithm terminate ?

- Complexity ?

- Best Case ?

- Number of iterations ?
- When ?
- How many coins ?

- Worst Case ?

- Number of iterations ?
- When ?
- How many coins ?

The Coin-Changing Problem

- Is this greedy approach **always optimal** ?
- It depends on the **set of denominations** !
- Example
 - $\text{Denom}[1] = 7$; $\text{Denom}[2] = 5$; $\text{Denom}[3] = 1$
 - $A = 10$
 - How many coins ?
 - Devise **other examples** !!

The Coin-Changing Problem

- An alternative **Dynamic Programming** algorithm exists !
- It is always **optimal** !
- Check it and try to understand how it works


Tasks

- Implement a **greedy function** for computing the solution to an instance of Coin-Changing Problem
- Run it for a set of **test instances**

The Activity Selection Problem

- Set of **jobs** to be scheduled on **one** resource
 - Classes on a classroom
 - Jobs for a supercomputer
 - ...
- **Start** time and **finish** time for each job known
 - $[s(i), f(i)]$ ←
- **Goal:** Schedule as many as possible !
 - **Max** problem !

The Activity Selection Problem



- Which jobs / requests should be chosen?
 - No jobs with overlapping intervals !
 - Solve the example !
- Greedy strategy:
 - Possible **heuristics** ?
 - Ensure **optimal solutions** ?

Greedy Algorithm

$R \leftarrow$ set of all requests

$A \leftarrow \{ \}$ // Selected activities

while (R is not empty) do

 choose r from R // How ?


$A \leftarrow A \cup \{ r \}$

$R \leftarrow R - \{\text{pending requests overlapping } r\}$ ←

return A

Earliest Start Time


- Process requests according to **start time**
 - Begin with those that **start earliest**



- **Always** optimal ?

Earliest Start Time


- Process requests according to **start time**
 - Begin with those that **start earliest**



- **Always** optimal ?


Earliest Start Time

■ Counter example



Smallest Processing Time

- Process requests according to **duration**
 - Begin with those requiring the **shortest processing**



- **Always** optimal ?

Smallest Processing Time


- Process requests according to **duration**
 - Begin with those requiring the **shortest processing**



- **Always** optimal ?

Smallest Processing Time


■ The first example



■ Always optimal ?


Smallest Processing Time

■ Counter example



Fewest Conflicts


- Determine and **update** number of **conflicts**
 - Begin with those having the **fewest** conflicts



- **Always** optimal ?

Fewest Conflicts


- Determine and **update** number of **conflicts**
 - Begin with those having the **fewest** conflicts



- **Always** optimal ?

Fewest Conflicts


■ The first example



■ Always optimal ?


Fewest Conflicts

■ Counter example



Earliest Finish Time


- Process request according to **finish time**
 - Begin with those **finishing earliest**



- **Always** optimal ?
- Try the previous examples !

Earliest Finish Time


- Process request according to **finish time**
 - Begin with those **finishing earliest**



- **Always** optimal ?
- Try the previous examples !

Earliest Finish Time

■ The first example



Earliest Finishing Time

- The greedy algorithm that selects requests according to their finishing time is **optimal** !
- How to implement it efficiently ?

Greedy Algorithm

$R \leftarrow$ set of all requests

$A \leftarrow \{ \}$ // Selected activities

while (R is not empty) do

 choose r , from R , with earliest finish time

 if(r does not overlap with any r in A)

$A \leftarrow A \cup \{ r \}$

return A

Implementation and Complexity

- **Pre-sort** all requests based on finish time
 - $O(n \log n)$
- Choosing the **next** candidate request is $O(1)$
- Keep track of the finishing time of the **last request** added to A
- Check if the start time of the **next candidate** is later than that
 - Checking for overlapping is $O(1)$
- $O(n \log n + n) = O(n \log n)$


Some problems on graphs

- Does a given **greedy** strategy **always** provides an **optimal** solution ?
- For **which problems** ?
- **MST** – **Minimum**-cost Spanning Tree
- **SSSP** – Single-Source **Shortest**-Paths

MST – Minimum-cost Spanning Tree

- For ensuring connectivity with the **least cost**
- Kruskal's algorithm
 - Start with a forest of one-node trees
 - Successively add the **least-costly edge** that does not create a cycle

Kruskal's algorithm




[Sedgewick & Wayne]


MST – Minimum-cost Spanning Tree

- Prim's algorithm
 - Start with a one-node tree
 - Successively add the **closest node** that does not create a cycle

Prim's algorithm




0-7 0.16
0-2 0.26
0-4 0.38
6-0 0.58




edges with exactly
one endpoint in T
(sorted by weight)


1-7 0.19
0-2 0.26
5-7 0.28
2-7 0.34
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-0 0.58




0-2 0.26
5-7 0.28
1-3 0.29
1-5 0.32
2-7 0.34
1-2 0.36
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-0 0.58



2-3 0.17
5-7 0.28
1-3 0.29
1-5 0.32
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-2 0.40
6-0 0.58



5-7 0.28
1-5 0.32
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-2 0.40
3-6 0.52
6-0 0.58



4-5 0.35
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-2 0.40
3-6 0.52
6-0 0.58




6-2 0.40
3-6 0.52
6-0 0.58
6-4 0.93



[Sedgewick & Wayne]

MST – Minimum-cost Spanning Tree




[Wikipedia]


- What is the solution ?
- Apply both algorithms !!

Prim's or Kruskal's ?


25%




75%



50%




100%



[Sedgewick & Wayne]

Prim's or Kruskal's ?



[Sedgewick & Wayne]

The Single-Source Shortest-Paths Problem

■ Given

- A weighted connected graph : $G (V, E)$
- Edges with non-negative weights !!
- A source node : s


■ Find

- The shortest paths from s to every other node in G

Dijkstra's algorithm


- Computes the **Shortest-Paths Tree (SPT)**, rooted in s
- Find shortest paths to graph nodes in **order of their distance** to source node s
- Next node to add to the SPT ?
 - It has the **current shortest distance** to the source node
- Keep the set of **candidate nodes** not belonging to the tree !

Dijkstra's algorithm




[Sedgewick & Wayne]

Dijkstra's algorithm



[Sedgewick & Wayne]


Dijkstra's algorithm



[Wikipedia]

- What is the solution ?
- Apply Dijkstra's algorithm !!

Dijkstra's algorithm



[Sedgewick & Wayne]

The Traveling Salesman Problem

- Find the **shortest tour** through a given **set of n cities**
- BUT, **visiting** each city **just once !**
- AND **returning to the starting city !**



[Wikipedia]

The Traveling Salesman Problem

- Use a weighted graph G to model the problem
- Find the **shortest Hamiltonian circuit** of G
 - Cycle of least cost /distance
 - Passes (just once) through all vertices
- **NP-complete** problem !!

The Traveling Salesman Problem


- Hamiltonian circuit

- Sequence of $(n + 1)$ adjacent vertices
- The first vertex is the same as the last !

- How to proceed?

- Choose **any** one vertex as the **starting point**
- Generate the $(n - 1)!$ **possible permutations** of the intermediate vertices
- For each such cycle, compute its **cost / distance**
- And keep the **less expensive / shortest one**

The Traveling Salesman Problem



[Wikipedia]

- What is the solution?

The Traveling Salesman Problem

■ Questions

- ❑ How do we store the graph?
- ❑ Is it **complete**?
- ❑ How to generate all **permutations**?

■ Efficiency

- ❑ $O(n!)$
- ❑ Exhaustive search can only be applied to **very small instances** !! Alternatives ?
- ❑ Slight improvements are still possible

Task – V1

- Implement a function for computing the / a solution to an instance of the TSP
 - Count the number of cycles tested
 - Count the number of times the current best solution is updated
- Use Python's **combinatoric generator**
`permutations()`
- Improve your function to avoid checking duplicates
 - I.e., any cycle and its reverse cycle

Approximate Solutions

- Do not attempt to compute **exact solutions** to difficult combinatorial optimization problems
 - **It might take too long !!**
 - Real-world : **inaccurate data**
 - Approximations might suffice !!
- Compute **approximate solutions**
 - E.g., use **greedy** heuristics !!
 - Evaluate the **accuracy** of such approximations
 - Performance ratio


Approximation Accuracy

- Minimize function $f()$
- Approximate solution : s_a
- Exact solution : s^*
- Relative error : $re(s_a) = (f(s_a) - f(s^*)) / f(s^*)$
- Accuracy ratio : $r(s_a) = f(s_a) / f(s^*)$
- Performance ratio : R_A
 - The lowest upper bound of possible $r(s_a)$ values
 - Should be as close to 1 as possible
 - Indicates the quality of the approximation algorithm

The Traveling Salesman Problem

- **Nearest-neighbor** heuristic – **Greedy** !!
 - Always go to the nearest unvisited city
- Choose an arbitrary city as the start
- Repeat until all cities have been visited
 - Go to the unvisited city nearest to the last
- Return to the starting city
- Simple heuristic
- But $R_A = \infty$!!

The Traveling Salesman Problem



- What is the optimal solution?
- Apply the nearest-neighbor algorithm !
- Accuracy ratio ?

The Traveling Salesman Problem

- There are other simple heuristics, for instance:
- Bidirectional-Nearest-Neighbor
- Shortest-Edge
- Apply them to the previous example !!

Tasks – V2 + V3 + V4

- Implement functions for computing approximate solutions to an instance of the TSP
- Use the **three heuristics** presented
- Check the **accuracy** of the obtained solutions !!

References

- A. Levitin, *Introduction to the Design and Analysis of Algorithms*, 3rd Ed., Pearson, 2012
 - Chapter 3 + Chapter 9 + Chapter 12
- R. Johnsonbaugh and M. Schaefer, *Algorithms*, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004
 - Chapter 7 + Chapter 11
- T. H. Cormen et al., *Introduction to Algorithms*, 3rd Ed., MIT Press, 2009
 - Chapter 16 + Chapter 23 + Chapter 24 + Chapter 35