# A MIXED FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR 2-nd ORDER ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

P.A. Raviart \* and J.M.Thomas \*\*

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $R^n$  with a Lipshitz continuous boundary  $\Gamma$ . We consider the 2nd order elliptic model problem

where f is a given function of the space  $L^2(\Omega)$ . A variational form of problem (1.1), known as the *complementary energy principle*, consists in finding  $p = \underset{\uparrow}{\text{grad}} u$  which minimizes the *complementary energy functional* 

(1.2) 
$$I(q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |q|^2 dx$$

over the affine manifold W of vector-valued functions  $\mathbf{q} \in (L^2(\Omega))^n$  which satisfy the equilibrium equation

(1.3) 
$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{Q}} + f = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

The use of complementary energy principle for constructing finite element discretizations of elliptic problems has been first advocated by Fraeijs de Veubeke [5],[6],[7]. The so-called equilibrium method consists first in constructing a finite-dimensional submanifold  $\mathbb{W}_h$  of  $\mathbb{W}_h$  and then in finding  $\mathbb{Q}_h \in \mathbb{W}_h$  which minimizes the complementary energy functional  $\mathbb{F}_h$  over the affine manifold  $\mathbb{W}_h$ . For 2nd order elliptic problems, the numerical analysis of the equilibrium method has been

<sup>\*</sup> Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, Ecole Polytechnique and Université de Paris VI.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Université de Paris VI.

made by Thomas [19],[20].

Now, we note that the practical construction of the submanifold  $\mathbb{W}_h$  is not in general a simple ptoblem since it requires a search for explicit solutions of the equilibrium equation (1.3) in the whole domain  $\Omega$ .

In order to avoid the above difficulty, we can use a more general variational principle, known in elasticity theory as the <code>Hellinger-Reissner principle</code>, in which the constraint (1.3) has been removed at the expense however of introducing a Lagrange multiplier. This paper will be devoted to the study of a finite element method based on this variational principle. In fact, this so-called mixed method has been found very useful in some practical problems and refer to [17] for an application to the numerical solution of a nonlinear problem of radiative transfer.

For some general results concerning mixed methods, we refer to Oden [12],[13], Oden & Reddy [14], Reddy [16]. Mixed methods for solving 4th order elliptic equations have been particularly analyzed: see Brezzi & Raviart [2], Ciarlet & Raviart [4], Johnson [9],[10],and Miyoshi [11]. For related results we refer also to Haslinger & Hlåvaĉek [8].

An outline of the paper is as follows. In § 2, we derive the mixed variational formulation of problem (1.1) and we define the related discrete elements, and in § 4, the error analysis of the associated finite element method is made. Finally, in § 5, we generalize the results of §§ 3,4 to mixed methods using rectangular elements.

Let us describe some of the notations used throughout this paper. Given an integer  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{m}}\xspace > 0$  ,

$$H^{m}\left(\Omega\right) \ = \ \left\{ \, \mathbf{v} \in L^{2} \, \left(\Omega\right) \, ; \ \, \partial^{\, \alpha} \mathbf{v} \in L^{2} \, \left(\Omega\right) \, , \ \, \left| \, \alpha \, \right| \, \leq m \right\}$$

denotes the usual Sobolev space provided the norm and semi-norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{m,\Omega} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}|^{2} d\mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, |\mathbf{v}|_{m,\Omega} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| = m} \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}|^{2} d\mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Given a vector-valued function  $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \in (H^m(\Omega))^n$ , we set:

$$\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbf{m},\Omega} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{i}}\|_{\mathbf{m},\Omega}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbf{m},\Omega} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{n} |\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{i}}|_{\mathbf{m},\Omega}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We denote by H ( $\Gamma$ ) the space of the traces  $v|_{\Gamma}$  over  $\Gamma$  of the functions  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

### 2. THE MIXED MODEL

In order to derive the appropriate variational form of problem (1.1), we introduce the space

(2.1) 
$$\text{H}(\text{div}; \Omega) = \{ g \in (L^2(\Omega))^n ; \text{div } g \in L^2(\Omega) \}$$

provided with the norm

$$(2.2) \qquad \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\overset{\mathbf{H}}{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathrm{div};\Omega)} = \left(\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\overset{2}{\mathcal{Q}}}^{2} + \|\mathrm{div}\;\mathbf{q}\|_{\overset{2}{\mathcal{Q}},\Omega}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Given a vector-valued function  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{div}\;;\;\Omega)$ , we may define its normal component  $\mathbf{q}\;\cdot\;\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  where  $\mathbf{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  is the dual space of  $\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is the unit outward normal along  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, we have Green's formula

(2.3) 
$$\forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \{\operatorname{qrad} v \cdot \operatorname{q} + v \operatorname{div} \operatorname{q}\} dx = \int_{\Gamma} v \operatorname{q} \cdot \operatorname{v} d\gamma$$

where the integral  $\int_{\Gamma}$  represents the duality between the spaces  $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$  and  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ .

We next define problem (P). Find a pair of functions  $(p,u) \in H(\text{div }; \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  such that

(2.4) 
$$\forall q \in H(\text{div}; \Omega), \int_{\Omega} p \cdot q \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u \, \text{div} \, q \, dx = 0,$$

(2.5) 
$$\forall v \in L^2(\Omega)$$
,  $\int_{\Omega} v(\operatorname{div} p + f) dx = 0$ .

Theorem 1. The problem (P) has a unique solution  $(p,u) \in H(\text{div }; \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ . In addition, u is the solution of the problem (1.1) and we have (2.6) p = grad u.

*Proof.* Let us first check the uniqueness of the solution of problem (P). Hence, assume that f=0; from (2.5), we get div p=0. Taking q=p in (2.4), we obtain p=0. Therefore, we have

(2.7) 
$$\forall q \in H(\text{div}; \Omega), \int_{\Omega} u \text{ div } q \text{ dx} = 0$$

Now, let  $w \in H^1(\Omega)$  be a function such that

$$\Delta w = u \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Then, by choosing  $q = \operatorname{grad} w$  in (2.7), we get u = 0.

It remains only to show that the pair (p = grad u, u) is a solution of problem (P), where u is the solution of problem (1.1). On the one hand, we have

div 
$$p + f = \Delta u + f = 0$$
.

On the other hand, since u = 0 on  $\Gamma$ , we get by using the Green's formula

(2.8) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \{ p \cdot q + u \text{ div } q \} dx = \int_{\Omega} uq \cdot v d\gamma = 0$$

Remark 1. One can easily check that the solution (p,u) of problem (P) may be characterized as the unique seddle-point of the quadratic functional

$$L(q,v) = I(q) + \int_{\Omega} v(\operatorname{div} q + f) dx$$

over the space  $H(\text{div }; \Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , i.e.,

$$\forall q \in H(\text{div}; \Omega), \forall v \in L^{2}(\Omega), L(p,v) \leq L(p,u) \leq L(q,u)$$

Hence, the function u is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the constraint div p + f = 0.

Let us now introduce a general method of discretization of problem (1.1) based on the mixed variational formulation (2.4),(2.5). We are given two finite-dimensional spaces  $Q_{\rm h}$  and  $V_{\rm h}$  such that

(2.8) 
$$Q_h \subseteq H(\text{div}; \Omega) ; V_h \subseteq L^2(\Omega).$$

Then we define problem (Ph) : Find a pair of functions (ph, uh)  $\in \mathsf{Q}_h \,\times\, \mathsf{V}_h$  such that

$$(2.9) \qquad \forall \ q_h \in Q_h \ , \ \int_{\Omega} p_h \cdot q_h dx + \int_{\Omega} u_h \ div \ q_h \ dx = 0 \ ,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \forall v_h \in v_h , \int_{\Omega} v_h(\operatorname{div} p_h + f) dx = 0 .$$

Using a general result of Brezzi [1 , Theorem 2.1] concerning the approximation of variational problems, we get the following \*Theorem 2. Assume that

$$(2.11) \begin{cases} q_h \in Q_h \\ v_h \in V_h , \int v_h \operatorname{div} q_h \operatorname{dx} = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \operatorname{div} q_h = 0$$

and that there exists a constant  $\alpha\,>\,0$  such that

$$\mathbf{\Psi} \ \mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathbf{V}_{h} \ , \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathbf{Q}_{h}}} \frac{\int_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\Omega} \\ \mathbf{q}_{h} \mid \mathbf{q}_{h} \mid \mathbf{q}(\operatorname{div}; \boldsymbol{\Omega})}} \frac{\mathbf{q}_{h} \ \mathbf{dx}}{\|\mathbf{q}_{h}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}; \boldsymbol{\Omega})}} \geq \alpha \|\mathbf{v}_{h}\|_{o, \boldsymbol{\Omega}} \ .$$

Then the problem (Ph) has a unique solution  $(p_h, u_h) \in Q_h \times V_h$  and there exists a constant  $\tau > 0$  which dependes only on  $\alpha$  such that

$$(2.13) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\circ,\Omega} \leq \\ \\ \leq \tau \left\{ \inf_{\substack{q,h \in Q_h \\ \downarrow}} \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} + \inf_{\substack{v_h \in V_h \\ \downarrow}} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\circ,\Omega} \right\} \right.$$

Remark 2. Define the operator  $\nabla_{h} \in L(\nabla_{h} ; Q_{h})$  by

$$(2.14) \quad \forall v_h \in v_h \text{ , } \forall q_h \in Q_h \text{ , } \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h v_h \cdot q_h \text{ dx} = -\int_{\Omega} v_h \text{ div } q_h \text{ dx .}$$

Clearly,  $\nabla_h$  can be viewed as an approximation of the operator grad.Now, the function  $u_h$  may be characterized as the unique solution of the following problem: Find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad \forall v_h \in v_h , \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h v_h dx = \int_{\Omega} f v_h dx .$$

In fact, from the assumption (2.11) and (2.12), it follows that problem (2.15) has a unique solution  $u_h \in V_h$ . Moreover, it is readily seen that the pair  $(\nabla_h u_h, u_h)$  is the solution of problem  $(P_h)$ .

seen that the pair  $(\nabla_h u_h, u_h)$  is the solution of problem  $(P_h)$ . Since in general  $V_h \not\subset H_o^1(\Omega)$ , (2.15) is non-conforming displacement model for solving problem (1.1). For other non-conforming methods based on hybrid models, we refer to [15]. It remains to construct the finite-dimensional subspaces  $Q_h$  and  $V_h$  of the spaces  $H(\text{div }; \Omega) \ L^2(\Omega)$  respectively so that they satisfy "good" approximation properties and the compatibility conditions (2.11) and (2.12) with a constant  $\alpha$  independent of the parameter h.

For convenience, we shall assume in the sequel that  $\bar{\Omega}$  is a bounded polygon of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We then establish a triangulation  $\mathcal{K}_h$  of  $\bar{\Omega}$  made up with triangles and parallelograms K whose diameters are  $\leq h$ . We begin by construction finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{Q}_h$  of the space  $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$ . Given a finite element  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{V}_K$  the unit outward normal along the boundary  $\partial K$  of K. Using the Green's formula (2.3) in each  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ , one can easily prove that a function  $\mathbf{Q} \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$  belongs to the space  $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)$  if and only if the two following conditions hold:

- (i) for all  $K \in \mathcal{H}_h$  , the restriction  $q_{\mid K}$  of q to the set K belongs to the space H(div ; K);
- (ii) for any pair of adjacent elements  $K_1$  ,  $K_2\in\mathcal{H}_{\mbox{$h$}}$  , we have the reciprocity relation

(2.16) 
$$q_1 \cdot v_{K_1} + q_2 \cdot v_{K_2} = 0 \text{ on } K^* = K_1 \subseteq K_2$$
,

where  $q_i$  stands for  $q_{|K_i}$ , i = 1,2.

Hence the functions of  $\Omega_{h}$  will be assumed to be smooth in each element  $K\in\mathcal{H}_{h}$  and to satisfy the reciprocity conditions.

### 3. MIXED TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS

In this § , we shall assume that K is a triangle. With K and for any integer k  $\succeq$  0, we shall associate a space  $Q_K$  of vector-valued functions  $q \in H(\text{div }; K)$  such that :

- (i) div q is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$ ;
- (ii) the restriction of  $q \cdot \underset{\sim}{\nu}_K$  to any side K' of K is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$  .

We begin by introducing the space  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  associated with the unit right triangle  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  in the  $(\xi,\eta)$ -plane whose vertices are  $\hat{\mathbb{a}}_1=(1,0)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbb{a}}_2=(0,1)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbb{a}}_3=(0,0)$ . Let us first give some notations. We denote by  $P_k$  the space of all polynomials of degree  $\leq k$  in the two variables  $\xi,\eta$  and by  $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_k$  the space of all functions defined over  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}\hat{\mathbb{K}}$  whose restrictions to any side  $\hat{\mathbb{K}}'$  of  $\hat{\mathbb{K}}$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq k$ . Given a point  $\hat{\mathbb{X}}=(\xi,\eta)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we denote by  $\lambda_1=\lambda_1(\hat{\mathbb{X}})$ ,  $1\leq i\leq 3$ , the barycentric coordinates of  $\hat{\mathbb{X}}$  with respect to the vertices  $\hat{\mathbb{a}}_i$  of  $\hat{\mathbb{K}}$ .

Now, the space  $\hat{Q}$  is required to satisfy the following properties:

$$(3.1) (P_{k})^{2} \subset \hat{Q} ;$$

(3.2) 
$$\dim(\hat{Q}) = (k+1)(k+3)$$
;

(3.3) 
$$\forall \hat{\mathbf{q}} \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}} , \text{ div } \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{q}}_2}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{q}}_2}{\partial \eta} \in \mathbf{P}_k ;$$

$$\forall \hat{\mathbf{q}} \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}} \text{ , } \hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \hat{\mathbf{S}}_k \text{ (where } \hat{\mathbf{v}} \text{ stands for } \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\hat{K}}) \text{ ;}$$

(3.5) 
$$\hat{Q}_0 = \{\hat{q} \in \hat{Q} : \text{div } \hat{q} = 0\} \subset (P_k)^2$$
.

Lemma 1. Assume that the conditions (3.2)-(3.5) hold. Then a function  $\hat{g} \in \hat{Q}$  is uniquely determined by:

- (a) the values of  $\hat{q} \cdot v$  at (k+1) distinct points of each side  $\hat{R}'$  of  $\hat{R}$ ;
- (b) the moments of order  $\leq k-1$  of  $\hat{q}$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \hat{q}_{1}\lambda_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \lambda_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \lambda_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} d\hat{x} , i = 1,2, a_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3} = k-1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since by (3.2) the number of degrees of freedom (a),(b) is equal to the dimension of the space  $\hat{Q}$ , it is sufficient to prove that a function  $\hat{g} \in \hat{Q}$  which satisfies the two conditions:

(3.6)  $\hat{q} \cdot v = 0$  at (k+1) distinct points of each side  $\hat{K}^{\dagger}$  of  $\hat{K}$ ,

(3.7) 
$$\int_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{q}_{\underline{i}} \lambda_{\underline{i}}^{\alpha_{1}} \lambda_{\underline{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \lambda_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} dx = 0, \ \underline{i} = 1, 2, \ \alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3} = k-1$$

must vanish identically. In fact, conditions (3.4) and (3.6) imply  $\hat{q} \cdot \hat{v} = 0$  on  $\partial \hat{K}$ . Hence, using (3.7) and applying the Green's formula (2.3) in  $\hat{K}$ , we obtain for all  $\hat{v} \in P_k$ 

$$\int\limits_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{\phi} \ d\mathbf{i} \mathbf{v} \ \hat{\mathbf{q}} \ d\mathbf{\hat{x}} = - \int\limits_{\widehat{K}} \underset{\widehat{K}}{\operatorname{grad}} \ \widehat{\phi} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{q}} \ d\mathbf{\hat{x}} + \int\limits_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{\phi} \ \hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{v}} \ d\widehat{\gamma} = 0 \ .$$

Since, by (3.3), div  $\hat{q} \in P_k$ , we get div  $\hat{q} = 0$  so that  $\hat{q} \in \hat{Q}_o$ . Now, it follows from (3.5) that there exists a polynomial  $\hat{w} \in P_{k+1}$  uniquely determined up to an additive constant such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}} = \underset{\mathbf{q}}{\operatorname{curl}} \hat{\mathbf{w}} = \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial \eta} , - \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial \xi} \right) .$$

Note that  $\hat{Q} \cdot \hat{v} = \frac{\partial \hat{W}}{\partial \tau} = 0$  on  $\partial \hat{K}$ , where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\tau}}$  stands for the tangential derivative along  $\partial \hat{K}$ . Thus we may assume that  $\hat{w} = 0$  on  $\partial \hat{K}$  and we may write

$$\hat{w} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \hat{z}, \quad \hat{z} \in P_{k-2} \quad (\hat{z} = 0 \text{ for } k = 0,1)$$
.

Using again (3.7), we obtain for any  $\hat{\mathbf{r}} \in (\mathbf{P}_{k-1})^2$ 

$$0 = \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{r}} & d\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{cases} \underset{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}}{\text{curl}} & \widehat{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{r}} & d\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = \\ \widehat{\mathbf{k}} & & \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \end{cases} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{k}$$

where curl  $\hat{r}=\frac{\partial \hat{r}_2}{\partial \xi}-\frac{\partial \hat{r}_1}{\partial \eta}\in P_{k-2}$ . Clearly, we can choose  $\hat{r}$  so that  $\hat{z}=\text{curl }\hat{r}$  and then

$$\int_{\hat{\mathbf{K}}} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \, \hat{\mathbf{z}}^2 \, d\hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0 .$$

Therefore, we get  $\hat{\mathbf{z}} = 0$  so that  $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{q}} = \underset{20000}{\text{curl }} \hat{\mathbf{w}} = 0$ .

Remark 3. As regards the degrees of freedom of a function  $\hat{\vec{q}} \in \hat{\vec{Q}}$  , one could have equivalently specified the moments of order  $\leq k$ 

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\varphi} & \hat{\underline{q}} \cdot \hat{\underline{v}} \\ \hat{\overline{\kappa}} \end{cases} d\hat{\gamma} , \quad \hat{\varphi} \in \mathbf{P}_{k}$$

of  $\hat{\bf q} \cdot \hat{\bf v}$  on the side  $\hat{\bf R}'$  instead of its values at (k+1) distinct points of  $\hat{\bf R}'$  .

Let us give some examples of spaces  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  .

Example 1. Let  $k \ge 0$  be an even integer; we define  $\hat{Q}$  to be the space of all functions  $\hat{q}$  of the form

(3.8) 
$$\begin{cases} \hat{q}_{1} = pol_{k}(\xi, \eta) + \alpha_{0} \xi^{k+1} + \alpha_{1} \xi^{k} + \dots + \alpha_{k} \frac{\frac{k}{2} + 1}{2} \frac{\frac{k}{2}}{\eta^{2}} \\ \hat{q}_{2} = pol_{k}(\xi, \eta) + \beta_{0} \eta^{k+1} + (\beta_{1} \xi \eta^{k} + \dots + \beta_{k} \frac{\frac{k}{2}}{2} \eta^{\frac{k}{2}} + 1 \end{cases}$$

with

(3.9) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} (-1)^{i} (\alpha_{i} - \beta_{i}) = 0$$

In (3.8),  $\operatorname{pol}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi,\eta)$  denotes any polynomial of degree k in the two variable  $\xi,\eta$ . Clearly, conditions (3.1),(3.2) hold. Next  $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}\cdot\widehat{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$  is obviously a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$  on each side  $\xi=0$  and  $\eta=0$  of  $\widehat{\mathbf{k}}$ . On the other hand, it follows from (3.9) that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}\cdot\widehat{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$  is also a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$  on the side  $\xi+\eta=1$ . Finally, we have

$$\operatorname{div} \; \hat{\mathbf{g}} = \operatorname{pol}_{k-1}(\xi, \eta) + \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} (k+1-i) \left(\alpha_{i} \xi^{k-i} \eta^{i} + \beta_{i} \xi^{i} \eta^{k-i}\right) \in P_{k}$$

so that div  $\hat{q} = 0$  implies

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{i} = \beta_{i} = 0 , 0 \le i \le \frac{k}{2} - 1 , \\ \alpha_{k} + \beta_{k} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and, by the condition (3.9)

$$\alpha_i = \beta_i = 0$$
 ,  $0 \le i \le \frac{k}{2}$  ,

Hence, hypotheses (3.1)-(3.5) hold.

Consider for instance the case k = 0. Then a function  $\hat{q}\in\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  is of the form

(3.10) 
$$\begin{cases} \hat{q}_1 = a_0 + a_1 \xi \\ \hat{q}_2 = b_0 + b_1 \eta \end{cases}, a_1 = b_1,$$

and by Lemma 1, the degrees of freedom of  $\hat{q}$  may be chosen as the values of  $\hat{q}\cdot\hat{y}$  at the midpoints of the sides of the triangle  $\hat{K}$ .

Example 2. Now, let  $k \ge 1$  be an odd integer; we then define  $\hat{Q}$  to be the space of all functions  $\hat{q}$  of the form

(3.11) 
$$\begin{cases} \hat{q}_{1} = pol_{k}(\xi, \eta) + \alpha_{0} \xi^{k+1} + \alpha_{1} \xi^{k} \eta + \dots + \alpha_{\underbrace{k+1}}{2} \xi^{\underbrace{k+1}} \frac{k+1}{2} \eta^{\underbrace{k+1}} \\ \hat{q}_{2} = pol_{k}(\xi, \eta) + \beta_{0} \eta^{k+1} + \beta_{1} \xi \eta^{k} + \dots + \beta_{\underbrace{k+1}}{2} \xi^{\underbrace{k+1}} \frac{k+1}{2} \eta^{\underbrace{k+1}} \\ \end{cases},$$

with

(3.12) 
$$\frac{\frac{k+1}{2}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{i} \alpha_{i}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} (-1)^{i} \beta_{i} = 0 .$$

Here again, one can easily check that conditions (3.1)-(3.5) hold. For k=1, a function  $\hat{g} \in \hat{Q}$  is of the form

(3.13) 
$$\begin{cases} \hat{q}_1 = a_0 + a_1 \xi + a_2 \eta + a_3 \xi (\xi + \eta) , \\ \\ \hat{q}_2 = b_0 + b_1 \xi + b_2 \eta + b_3 \eta (\xi + \eta) , \end{cases}$$

and, by Lemma 1, the degrees of freedom of  $\hat{q}$  may be chosen as the values of  $\hat{q}\cdot\hat{v}$  at two distinct points of each side of  $\hat{K}$  (for the Gauss-Legendre points) and as the mean value

$$\frac{1}{\text{mes}(\hat{\mathbf{R}})} \int_{\hat{\mathbf{R}}} \hat{\mathbf{q}} \, d\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{\mathbf{R}}} \hat{\mathbf{q}} \, d\hat{\mathbf{x}}$$

of ĝ over ƙ.

Next, consider any triangle K in the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane whose vertices are denoted by  $a_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 3$ . We set :

$$(3.14) h_{\kappa} = diameter of K,$$

(3.15) 
$$\rho_{K} = \text{diameter of the inscribed circle in } K.$$

Let  $F_K: \hat{x} \to F_K(\hat{x}) = B_K \hat{x} + b_K$ ,  $B_K \in L(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $b_K \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , be the unique affine invertible mapping such that

$$F_{K}(\hat{a}_{i}) = a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$$
.

With any scalar function  $\hat{\phi}$  defined on  $\hat{K}$  (resp. on  $\partial \hat{K}$ ), we associate the function  $\phi$  defined on K (resp. on  $\partial K$ ) by

$$(3.16) \varphi = \hat{\varphi} \circ F_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1} (\hat{\varphi} = \varphi \circ F_{\mathbf{K}}) .$$

On the other hand, with any vector-valued function  $\hat{q}=(\hat{q}_1\,,\,\hat{q}_2)$  defined on  $\hat{K}$ , we associate the function q defined on K by

(3.17) 
$$q = \frac{1}{J_K} B_K \hat{q} \circ F_K^{-1} (\hat{q} = J_K B_K^{-1} q \circ F_K)$$
,

where  $J_K = \det(B_K)$ . We shall constantly use in the sequel the one-to-one correspondences  $\hat{\varphi} \longleftrightarrow \varphi$   $\hat{g} \leftrightarrow g$ 

The choice of the transformation (3.17) is based on the following standard result.

Lemma 2. For any function  $\hat{q} \in (H^1(\hat{K}))^2$ , we have:

$$(3.18) \quad \forall \ \widehat{\phi} \in L^{2}(\widehat{K}) \ , \ \int_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{\phi} \ \text{div } \widehat{\widehat{q}} \ \text{d}\widehat{x} = \int_{K} \phi \ \text{div } \widehat{q} \ \text{d}x \ ,$$

$$(3.19) \quad \forall \ \hat{\phi} \in L^2\left(\partial \hat{K}\right), \ \int\limits_{\partial \hat{K}} \hat{\phi} \ \hat{\underline{q}} \cdot \hat{\underline{v}} \ d\hat{\gamma} \ = \ \int\limits_{\partial K} \phi \ \underline{q} \cdot \underline{v}_{\underline{v}} \ d\gamma \ .$$

For the proof, see [18] for instance. We shall also need

Lemma 3. We have for any integer l > 0:

$$(3.20) \quad \forall \ \widehat{\varphi} \in H^{\ell}(\widehat{K}), \ |\widehat{\varphi}|_{\ell, \widehat{K}} \leq \|B_{K}\|^{\ell} |J_{K}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\varphi|_{\ell, K},$$

$$(3.21) \quad \forall \ \hat{\mathbf{q}} \in (\mathbf{H}^{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{R}}))^{2}, \ |\hat{\mathbf{q}}|_{\ell,\hat{\mathbf{K}}} \leq \|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{K}}\|^{2} \|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}\| \ |\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{K}}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{q}|_{\ell,\mathbf{K}}$$

where  $\|B_K^{-1}\|$  (resp.  $\|B_K^{-1}\|$ ) denotes the spectral norm of  $B_K^{-1}$  (resp.  $B_K^{-1}$ ). Proof. The inequality (3.20) has been derived in [3 , inequality (4.15)]. By using (3.17), the inequality (3.21) can be obtained in a very similar way.

Now, with the triangle K, we associate the space

$$(3.22) \quad Q_{\mathbf{K}} = \{ \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} ; \mathbf{K}) : \mathbf{\hat{g}} \in \mathbf{\hat{Q}} \}$$

Assume that conditions (3.3) and (3.4) hold. Then, by Lemma 2, the functions q of the space  $Q_K$  satisfy the desired properties (i) and (ii).

Concerning the approximation of smooth vector-valued functions  $\underline{q}$  by functions of the space  $\underline{Q}_K$  , we have

Theorem 3. Assume that the conditions (3.1)-(3.5) hold and let the space  $Q_K$  be defined as in (3.22). Then there exist an operator  $\pi_{K} \in L((H^1(K))^2)$ ; and a constant C > 0 independent of K such that:

(i) for each side K' of K and for all  $\varphi \in P_k$  ,

(ii) for all function  $q \in (H^{k+1}(K))^2$  with div  $q \in H^{k+1}(K)$ ,

*Proof.* Given a function  $\hat{q} \in (H^1(\hat{R}))^2$ , there exists by Lemma 1 and Remark f a unique function  $\hat{\pi}$   $\hat{q} \in \hat{Q}$  such that

$$(3.25) \qquad \forall \ \widehat{\phi} \in P_{\hat{K}} \ , \ \int (\widehat{\pi} \ \widehat{q} - \widehat{q}) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \ \widehat{\phi} \ d\widehat{\gamma} = 0 \ \text{for each side $\widehat{K}$}' \ \text{of $\widehat{K}$} \ ,$$

$$(3.26) \quad \forall \ \hat{\vec{x}} \in (P_{K-1})^2, \ \int_{\hat{\vec{x}}} (\hat{\vec{x}} \ \hat{\vec{q}} - \hat{\vec{q}}) \cdot \hat{\vec{x}} \ d\hat{\vec{x}}$$

It follows from (3.1) that  $\hat{\pi}$   $\hat{q} = \hat{q}$  for all  $\hat{q} \in (P_K)^2$ . Then, by applying Lemma 7 of [3] in vector form, we get for all  $\hat{q} \in (H^{k+1}(\hat{K}))^2$ 

(3.27) 
$$\|\hat{\pi}\|_{Q}^{2} - \hat{q}\|_{Q} \cdot \hat{R} \leq c_{1} |\hat{q}|_{R+1,\hat{R}}$$

for some constant  $c_1=c_1$  ( $\hat{K}$ ). On the other hand, using (3.25),(3.26) and the Green's formula, we obtain for all  $\hat{\phi}\in P_{\nu}$ 

$$\int\limits_{\widehat{K}} \operatorname{div} \left( \widehat{\pi} \ \widehat{q} - \widehat{q} \right) \widehat{\varphi} \ d\widehat{x} = - \int\limits_{\widehat{K}} \left( \widehat{\pi} \ \widehat{q} - \widehat{q} \right) \cdot \operatorname{grad}_{\widehat{\varphi}} \widehat{\varphi} \ dx + \int\limits_{\widehat{Q}} \left( \widehat{q} - \widehat{\pi} \ \widehat{q} \right) \cdot \widehat{\gamma} \ \widehat{\varphi} \ d\widehat{\gamma} = 0.$$

Hence div( $\hat{\pi}$ ,  $\hat{\vec{q}}$ ) is the orthogonal projection in  $L^2(\hat{K})$  of div  $\hat{\vec{q}}$  upon  $P_K$ . Then, assuming that div  $\hat{\vec{q}} \in H^{k+1}(\hat{K})$  and applying again [3, Lemma 7], we obtain for some constant  $c_2 = c_2(\hat{K})$ 

$$(3.28) \qquad \|\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{\pi} \ \widehat{\mathbb{Q}} - \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\right)\|_{o, \widehat{K}} \leq c_2 \ |\operatorname{div} \ \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}|_{k+1, \widehat{K}} \ .$$

Define now the operator  $\pi_{K}$  by

$$\forall \ \underline{q} \in (H^1(K))^2, \ \widehat{\pi_K} \stackrel{q}{\sim} = \hat{\pi} \hat{q}$$
.

Clearly, (3.23) follows from (3.25) and Lemma 2. Since

$$_{\stackrel{\pi}{\sim} K} \ \, \stackrel{q-q}{\sim} = \frac{1}{J_{_{\boldsymbol{K}}}} \ \, B_{K} \left( \stackrel{\widehat{\pi}}{\sim} \ \, \stackrel{\widehat{q}-\widehat{q}}{\sim} \right) \circ \ \, F_{K}^{-1} \quad , \label{eq:final_equation}$$

we have

Thus, by using inequalities (3.27) and (3.21) for  $\ell=k+1$ , we get for all  $q\in (H^{k+1}(K))^2$ 

(3.29) 
$$\| \pi_{K} q - q \|_{0,K} \leq c_{1} \| B_{K} \|^{k+2} \| B_{K}^{-1} \| |q|_{k+1,K} .$$

Finally, from (3.18) we have

so that

$$\|\operatorname{div}(\pi_{K} \overset{q-q}{\downarrow})\|_{\mathfrak{o},K} = \|J_{K}\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}(\widehat{\pi} \overset{\widehat{q}-\widehat{q}}{\downarrow})\|_{\mathfrak{o},\widehat{K}}.$$

Therefore, noticing that

$$\operatorname{div} \, \hat{q} = \operatorname{J}_{K}(\widehat{\operatorname{div}} \, q)$$

and applying the inequalities (3.28) and (3.20) (with  $\ell=k+1$  and  $\phi=$  div q), we obtain when div  $q\in H^{k+1}(K)$ 

(3.30) 
$$\|\operatorname{div}(\pi_{K} q-q)\|_{0,K} \leq c_{2} \|B_{K}\|^{k+1} |\operatorname{div} q|_{k+1,K} .$$

Since, by [ 15 , Lemma 2] , we have

(3.31) 
$$\|B_{K}\| \leq \frac{h_{K}}{\rho_{K}^{2}}, \|B_{K}^{-1}\| \leq \frac{h_{K}}{\rho_{K}^{2}},$$

the desired inequality (3.24) follows from (3.29) and (3.30).

## 4. ERROR BOUNDS

Assume that  $\mathbf{X}_h$  is a triangulation of  $\bar{\Omega}$  made up with triangles K whose diameters are  $\leq h$ . We now introduce the space

$$(4.1) \qquad \underset{\circ}{Q}_{h} = \{ \underset{\circ}{q}_{h} \in \underset{\circ}{H}(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) ; \forall K \in \mathcal{H}_{h}, \underset{\circ}{q}_{h} |_{K} \in \underset{\circ}{Q}_{K} \}$$

where, for all  $K \in \mathcal{H}_h$ , the space  $Q_K$  is defined as in (3.22).

The degrees of freedom of a function  $\textbf{q}_h \in \textbf{Q}_h$  are easily determined; they can be chosen as

- (i) the values of  $g_h \cdot v_K$  , at (k+1) distinct points of each side K' of the triangulation  $\mathcal{H}_h$  ;
- (ii) the moments of order  $\leq k-1$  of  $q_h$  over each triangle  $K \in \mathcal{H}_h$ .

On the other hand, for any  $q_h \in Q_h$  and any  $K \in \mathcal{H}_h$ , we have (div  $q_h$ ) $_{|K} \in P_k$ . Hence, a natural choice for the space  $V_h$  is given by

(4.2) 
$$V_h = \{v_h \in L^2(\Omega); \forall K \in \mathcal{H}_h, v_{h|K} \in P_k\}$$

so that condition (2.11) is automatically satisfied.

Note that the function  $\boldsymbol{v}_h \in \boldsymbol{V}_h$  do not satisfy any continuity constraint at the interelement boundaries.

Now, in order to apply Theorem 2, the essential step consists in proving that the compatibility condition (2.12) holds with a constant  $\alpha$  independent of h. In fact, we want to show that, for any function  $v_h \in v_h$ , there exists a function  $q_h \in Q_h$  such that

(4.3) 
$$\operatorname{div} \, \operatorname{q}_{h} = \operatorname{v}_{h} \, \operatorname{in} \, \Omega$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{q}_h\|_{\mathcal{C}} \|\mathbf{div};\Omega) \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathcal{O},\Omega} ,$$

where the constant C is independent of h. For the proof, we need some technical preliminary results.

Let K a triangle of  $\mathcal{M}_h$ ; we denote by  $S_{k,\partial K}$  the space of all functions defined over  $\partial K$  whose restrictions to any side K' of K are polynomials of degree < k.

Lemma 4. Let there be given functions  $v \in P_k$  and  $\mu \in S_{k \cdot \partial K}$  such that

(4.5) 
$$\int_{K} v dx = \int_{\partial K} \mu d\gamma.$$

Assume that conditions (3.2)-(3.5) hold. Then there exists a function  $\mathbf{q}\in \mathbf{Q}_{K}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{div } \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{v} & \text{in } \mathbf{K} \text{,} \\ \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{\mu} \text{ on } \partial \mathbf{K} \text{,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\overset{\circ}{\sim} \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}; K)} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{o, K}^{2} + \frac{h_{K}^{2}}{\rho_{K}}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{o, \partial K}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where the constant C is independent of K.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{\hat{v}}_1 \in \mathbf{P_k}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1 \in \mathbf{\hat{S}_k}$  be functions such that

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{\nabla}_1 d\hat{x} = \int \hat{p}_1 d\hat{\gamma} \\
\hat{K}
\end{cases}$$

Then the Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_1 & \text{in } \widehat{\mathbf{K}} , \\ \\ \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{v}}} = \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1 & \text{on } \partial \widehat{\mathbf{K}}, \end{cases}$$

has a solution  $\hat{\mathbf{w}} \in H^1\left(\hat{K}\right)$  which is unique up to an additive constant. Moreover, there exists a constant  $c_1 = c_1\left(\hat{K}\right) > 0$  such that

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}|_{1,\widehat{\mathbf{K}}} \leq c_1 \left( \|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_1\|_{0,\widehat{\mathbf{K}}}^2 + \|\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1\|_{0,\partial\widehat{\mathbf{K}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Now, by Lemma 1, there exists a unique function  $\hat{q} \in \hat{Q}$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi \ \hat{\mathbf{r}} \in \left(\mathbf{P}_{k-1}\right)^2 \text{, } \int_{\widehat{K}} (\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \underset{\sim}{\text{grad}} \ \widehat{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \ d\hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0 \text{ ,} \\ \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{v}} = \widehat{\mu}_1 \text{ on } \partial \widehat{K} \text{ .} \end{array} \right.$$

From (4.9) and the Green's formula, it follows that

$$\forall \ \hat{\phi} \in P_k \ \text{,} \ \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\phi} \text{div} \ \hat{q} \ d\hat{x} = - \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{q} \cdot \text{grad} \ \hat{\phi} \ d\hat{x} + \int_{\hat{g}} \hat{q} \cdot \hat{v} \ d\hat{v} =$$

$$= - \int_{\widehat{K}} \underset{\widehat{K}}{\text{grad}} \ \widehat{w} \ \underset{\widehat{K}}{\text{grad}} \ \widehat{\phi} \ d\widehat{x} \ + \int_{\partial \widehat{K}} \widehat{\phi} \ \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial \widehat{v}} \ d\widehat{v} \ = \int_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{\phi} \ \Delta \widehat{w} \ d\widehat{x} \ ,$$

so that div  $\hat{q}$  is the orthogonal projection in L²( $\hat{R}$ ) of  $\Delta\hat{w}$  upon  $P_k$ . Hence, we get

On the other hand, it follows from (4.10) that

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{q}}\|_{0,\hat{\mathbf{K}}} \leq c_2 (\|\hat{\mathbf{v}}_1\|_{0,\hat{\mathbf{K}}}^2 + \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1\|_{0,\partial\hat{\mathbf{K}}}^2 )^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for some constant  $c_2 = c_2(\hat{K}) > 0$ .

Now, let K  $\in$   $\mathcal{H}_h$ ; with the functions  $v \in P_k$  and  $\mu \in S_{k,\partial K}$  such that (4.5) holds, we associate the functions  $\hat{v}_1 \in P_k$  and  $\hat{\mu}_1 \in \hat{S}_k$  defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \forall \ \widehat{\phi} \in P_{k} \ , \ \int \widehat{\nabla}_{1} \, \widehat{\phi} \ d\widehat{x} = \int v \ \phi \ dx \ , \\ \widehat{K} \ K \ K \ K \ \\ \forall \ \widehat{\phi} \in \widehat{S}_{k} \ , \ \int \widehat{\mu}_{1} \, \widehat{\phi} \ d\widehat{\gamma} = \int \mu \ \phi \ d\gamma \ . \end{array} \right.$$

Clearly we have (4.8) and there exists a function  $\hat{g}\in\hat{Q}$  such that (4.11) and (4.12) hold. We next define  $g\in Q_K$  by

(4.14) 
$$q = \frac{1}{J_K} B_K \hat{q} \circ F_K^{-1}$$
,

so that, by (4.11) and Lemma 2, we get (4.6).

It remains only to show the estimate (4.7). We get from (4.12) and (4.14)

$$\|\mathbf{q}\|_{0,K}^{2} \leq c_{2}^{2} \|\mathbf{B}_{K}\|^{2} |\mathbf{J}_{K}|^{-1} (\|\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{1}\|_{0,\widehat{K}}^{2} + \|\mu_{1}\|_{0,\partial\widehat{K}}^{2}) .$$

Since  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_1 = |\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{K}}| \mathbf{v} \circ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{K}}$  , we obtain

(4.16) 
$$\|\hat{\mathbf{v}}_1\|_{0,\widehat{K}}^2 = \|\mathbf{J}_K\|\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,K}^2$$
.

On the other hand, let  $\hat{K}'$  be a side of  $\hat{K}$  and let K' =  $F_K(\hat{K}')$ . Since

the superficial measures of R' and K' are remated by

$$\mathsf{meas}(\mathsf{K}^\intercal) \ \underline{<} \ \|\mathsf{B}_\mathsf{K}^{-1}\| \, |\mathsf{J}_\mathsf{K}| \, \mathsf{meas}(\widehat{\mathsf{K}}^\intercal)$$

we obtain

(4.17) 
$$\|\widehat{\mu}_{1}\|_{o,\widehat{K}}^{2} \leq \|B_{K}^{-1}\| |J_{K}| \|\mu\|_{o,K}^{2}.$$

By combining the inequalities (4.15) - (4.17), we get

$$\|g\|_{0,K}^{2} \leq c_{2}^{2} \|B_{K}\|^{2} (\|v\|_{0,K}^{2} + \|B_{K}^{-1}\|\|\mu\|_{0,\partial K}^{2}) .$$

Therefore, the desired inequality follows from (4.18) and (3.31). Let us next introduce the space

(4.19) 
$$M_h = \{ \mu_h \in \Pi \\ K \in \mathcal{H}_h \} S_k, \partial K ; \mu_{h \mid \partial K_1} + \mu_{h \mid \partial K_2} = 0 \text{ on } K_1 \cap K_2 \}$$

for every pair of adjacent triangles  $K_1$ ,  $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}_h$ .

We consider a regular family  $(\mathcal{H}_h)$  of triangulations of  $\bar{\Omega}$  in the sense of [3], in that there exists a constant  $\sigma>0$  independent of h such that

(4.20) 
$$\max_{K \in \mathcal{H}_{h}} \frac{h_{K}}{\rho_{K}} \leq \sigma.$$

Lemma 5. Let there be given spaces  $V_h$  and  $M_h$  defined as in (4.2) and (4.19) which are associated with a regular family of triangulations. Then, with any function  $v_h \in V_h$ , we can associate a function  $\mu_h \in M_h$  such that for all  $K \in \boldsymbol{\chi}_h$ 

(4.21) 
$$\int_{K} v_{h} dx = \int_{\partial K} \mu_{h} d\gamma$$

and

$$(4.22) \qquad \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{H}_{h}} h_{K}^{\parallel \mu_{h} \parallel_{o,\partial K}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C^{\parallel \nu_{h} \parallel_{o,\Omega}},$$

where the constant C > 0 is independent of h.

Proof. We shall construct the function  $\mu_h$  by using a hybrid finite element method as it has been described and studied in [15]. Hence, the

proof of the Lemma will depend heavily upon the results of [15]. We first define the space

$$X_{h} = \{ \phi_{h} \in L^{2}(\Omega) ; \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_{h}, \phi_{h|K} \in P_{k+2} \}$$

provided with the norm

$$\|_{\phi_{\mathbf{h}}}\|_{X_{\mathbf{h}}} = \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{\chi}_{\mathbf{h}}} \left( \|\phi_{\mathbf{h}}\|_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathbf{K}}^{2} + \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{K}}^{-2} \|\phi_{\mathbf{h}}\|_{\mathfrak{o}, \mathbf{K}}^{2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Next, we set :

$$a(\phi_{h}, \psi_{h}) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{H}_{h}} \int_{K} \operatorname{grad} \phi_{h} \cdot \operatorname{grad} \psi_{h} dx, \quad \phi_{h}, \psi_{h} \in X_{h},$$

$$b(\phi_h, \mu_h) = -\sum_{K \in \mathcal{H}_h} \int_{\partial K} \phi_h \mu_h d\gamma , \qquad \phi_h \in X_h, \mu_h \in M_h.$$

Then, by using [15, Theorem 2 and Lemmas 2,3,4], there exists a unique pair of functions  $(\varphi_h$ ,  $\mu_h) \in X_h \times M_h$  such that

$$(4.23) \quad \forall \ \phi_h \in x_h \ , \ a(\phi_h \ , \phi_h) + b(\phi_h \ , \mu_h) = \int_{\Omega} v_h \phi_h dx \ ,$$

(4.24) 
$$\forall \rho_h \in M_h$$
,  $b(\phi_h, \rho_h) = 0$ .

By choosing in (4.23)

$$\phi_{h}$$
 = characteristic function of the set K  $\in \mathcal{H}_{h}$ 

we get (4.21) for all  $K \in \mathcal{H}_h$ .

Now, in order to prove the inequality (4.22), we introduce the following subspace of the space  $\boldsymbol{X}_{h}$ 

$$Y_h = \left\{ \phi_h \in X_h ; \ \psi_{\rho_h} \in M_h , \ b(\phi_h , \rho_h) = 0 \right\}$$

Clearly, the function  $\phi_h \in Y_h$  may be characterized as the solution of

$$\Psi \phi_h \in \Psi_h$$
 ,  $a(\phi_h , \phi_h) = \int_{\Omega} v_h \phi_h dx$  .

Therefore, we get

$$a(\varphi_h, \varphi_h) \leq \|v_h\|_{Q,\Omega} \|\varphi_h\|_{Q,\Omega}$$
.

By, [15, inequality (6.18)], we have the discrete analogue of the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality:

$$\forall \ \phi_h \in Y_h \ , \ \|\phi_h\|_{\circ,\Omega} \le c_1 \, a \big(\phi_h \ , \ \phi_h\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ ,$$

where the constant c1 is independent of h. Hence we obtain

(4.25) 
$$a(\varphi_h, \varphi_h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_1 \|v_h\|_{o, \Omega}.$$

Next, it follows from (4.23) and (4.25) that

(4.26) 
$$b(\phi_{h}, \mu_{h}) \leq (\|\phi_{h}\|_{o,\Omega} + c_{1} a(\phi_{h}, \phi_{h})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|v_{h}\|_{o,\Omega} \leq c_{2} \|\phi_{h}\|_{X_{h}} \|v_{h}\|_{o,\Omega},$$

where  $c_2$  is a constant independent of h. Thus, the inequality (4.22) follows from (4.26) and the following inequality

$$\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{H}_{h}} h_{K} \|\mu_{h}\|_{o,\partial K}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_{3} \sup_{\phi_{h} \in X_{h}} \frac{b(\phi_{h},\mu_{h})}{\|\phi_{h}\|_{X_{h}}}, c_{3} = c_{3}(\Omega).$$

(cfr. [15, inequality (6.29)]).

We are now able to state

Theorem 4. Let there be given spaces  $Q_h$  and  $V_h$  defined as in (4.1) and (4.2), which are associated with a regular family of triangulations. Assume in addition that the conditions (3.2)-(3.5) hold. Then, with any function  $v_h \in V_h$ , we can associate a function  $q_h \in Q_h$  which satisfies the conditions (4.3), (4.4) with a constant C > 0 independent of h.

*Proof.* Let  $v_h$  be a function in  $V_h$ . By Lemma 5, we construct a function  $\mu_h \in M_h$  such that the conditions (4.21) and (4.22) hold. Next, using Lemma 4, there exists a function  $g_h \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$  such that for all  $K \in \mathcal{H}_h$ , we have :

$$\begin{cases} q_{h|K} \in Q_{K}, \\ \operatorname{div}(q_{h|K}) = v_{h|K}, \\ (q_{h|K}) \cdot v_{X} = u_{h|\partial K}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mu_h \in M_h$ , the reciprocity conditions (2.15) hold so that  $q_h \in Q_h$  and div  $q_h = v_h$  in  $\Omega$ . Moreover, it follows from (4.7) and (4.20) that

$$(4.27) \qquad \|\mathbf{q}_{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}(\text{div};\Omega)}^{2} \leq C^{2} (\|\mathbf{v}_{h}\|_{o,\Omega}^{2} + \sigma \sum_{K \in h} h_{K}\|_{\mu_{h}}\|_{o,\partial K}^{2}).$$

Combining the inequalities (4.22) and (4.27), we obtain the inequality (4.4).

We now have our main result.

Theorem 5. We assume that  $u\in H^{k+2}(\Omega)$  and  $\Delta u\in H^{k+1}(\Omega)$  for some integer  $k\geq 0$ . Let there be given spaces  $Q_h$  and  $V_h$  defined as in (4.1)-(4.2), which are associated with a regular family of triangulations. We assume in addition that the conditions (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then problem  $(P_h)$  has a unique solution and there exists a constant K independent of h such that

$$(4.28) \quad \| p - p_h \|_{L^{\infty}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} + \| u - u_h \|_{0,\Omega} \leq K_h^{k+1} (|u|_{k+1,\Omega} + |u|_{k+2,\Omega} + |\Delta u|_{k+1,\Omega})$$

*Proof.* Let  $v_h \in V_h$ ; by the previous theorem, we have

$$\sup_{\substack{q_h \in Q_h \ \|q_h\|_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{div }; \Omega)}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} v_h \cdot \text{div } q_h \cdot dx}{\|c_h\|_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{div }; \Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{C} \|v_h\|_{o,\Omega}$$

so that the hypothesis (2.12) holds with  $\alpha=\frac{1}{C}$  . Thus, by Theorem 2, it remains only to evaluate the quantities

$$\inf_{\substack{q_h \in \, \mathbb{Q}_h \\ \text{th}}} \, \left\lVert p - q_h \right\rVert_{\substack{n \\ \text{th}}} (\text{div}; \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\substack{v_h \in \, \mathbb{V}_h}} \, \left\lVert u - v_h \right\rVert_{\text{o}, \Omega} \, .$$

On the one hand, by using Theorem 3, we define  $\ensuremath{\pi_h}\ \ p\in (\ensuremath{\text{L}^2}\ (\Omega))^2$  by

$$\forall \ \mathtt{K} \in \not\mid_{h} \ , \quad _{{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}h}^{\pi} \ \mathtt{P}\!\mid_{K} = {\scriptscriptstyle \gamma}_{{\scriptscriptstyle \chi}K}(\mathtt{p}\!\mid_{K}) \ .$$

It follows from (3.23) that the reciprocity relations (2.15) hold so that  $\pi_h \in \Omega_h$ . Next, we deduce from (3.24) and (4.20) that for some constant  $c_1$  independent of h

$$(4.29) \quad \|p^{-\pi} h^{p}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} \leq c_{1} h^{k+1} (|u|_{k+2,\Omega} + |\Delta u|_{k+1,\Omega}).$$

On the other hand, a straightforward application of [3, Theorem 5] gives for some constant c2 independent of h

(4.30) 
$$\inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|u - v_h\|_{o,\Omega} \le c_2 h^{k+1} |u|_{k+1,\Omega}$$
.

Then, inequality (4.28) follows from inequalities (2.11), (4.29) and (4.30).

### 5. MIXED QUADRILATERAL ELEMENTS

We shall briefly discuss the case of quadrilateral elements. As for triangular elements, we begin by introducing the space  $\hat{Q}$  associated with the unit square  $\hat{K} = [0,1]^2$  in the  $(\xi,\eta)$ -plane. Given two integers k,  $\ell \geq 0$ , let us denote by  $P_{k}$ ,  $\ell$  the space of all polynomials in the two variables  $\xi,\eta$  of the form

$$(5.1) P(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} c_{ij} \xi^{i} \eta^{j} , c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now we define the space  $\hat{Q}$  by

(5.2) 
$$\hat{Q} = \left\{ \hat{q} = (\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2); \hat{q}_1 \in p_{k+1,k}, \hat{q}_2 \in p_{k,k+1} \right\}$$

Note that, for  $\hat{q} \in \hat{Q}$ , we have :

(i) 
$$\operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{q}}_1}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{q}}_2}{\partial \eta} \in \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}} ;$$

(ii) the restriction of  $\hat{\tilde{q}} \cdot \hat{v}$  to any side  $\hat{K}'$  of  $\hat{K}$  is a polynomial of degree < k.

One can prove

Lemma 6. A function  $\hat{\mathbf{q}} \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  is uniquely determined by:

- (a) the values of  $\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}$  at (k+1) distinct points of each side  $\hat{\mathbf{K}}'$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ :
- (b) the quantities

$$\int_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{q}_2 \, \xi^{\, \underline{i}} \, \eta^{\, \underline{j}} \, d\widehat{x} \ , \quad 0 \leq \underline{i} \leq k \quad , \quad 0 \leq \underline{j} \leq k-1$$

The proof goes along the same lines of that of Lemma 1.

Consider for instance the case k = 0. A Function  $\hat{\vec{q}}$   $\in$   $\hat{\vec{Q}}$  is of the form

(5.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \hat{q}_1 = a_0 + a_1 \xi , \\ \hat{q}_2 = b_0 + b_1 \eta , \end{cases}$$

and by Lemma 6, the degrees of freedom of  $\hat{q}$  may be choosen as the values of  $\hat{q}\cdot\hat{y}$  at the midpoints of the sides of the square  $\hat{R}$ .

Next, let K be a parallelogram in the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane. There exists an affine invertible mapping  $F_K: \mathfrak{X} \to F_K(\mathfrak{X}) = B_K \mathfrak{X} + b_K$ , auch that  $K = F_K(\mathfrak{K})$ . With K, we associate the space

$$Q_{K} = \left\{ q : K \to \mathbb{R}^{2} ; q = \frac{1}{J_{K}} B_{K} \hat{q} \circ F_{K}^{-1}, \hat{q} \in \hat{Q} \right\}.$$

Let  $q\in Q_K$ ; the restriction of  $q\cdot v_K$  to any side K' of the quadrilateral K is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$ .

Assume now that  $\not\!\! H$  is a triangulation of  $\bar\Omega$  made up with parallelograms K whose diameters are  $\le h.$  We set :

$$(5.5) Q_h = \left\{ q_h \in H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) ; \forall K \in \mathcal{H}_h, q_{h|K} \in Q \right\}.$$

Note that, for any  $\mathbf{g}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h$  and any  $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{H}_h$  , we have

$$(\operatorname{div} q_h)_{\mid K} \circ F_K \in P_{k,k}$$
.

So we set

$$(5.6) v_h = \left\{ v_h \in L^2(\Omega) ; \forall K \in \mathcal{H}_h, v_{h|K} \circ F_K \in P_{k,k} \right\}.$$

By using the techniques of §§ 3,4, one can similarly prove that problem (P<sub>h</sub>) has a unique solution (p<sub>h</sub>, u<sub>h</sub>)  $\in Q_h \times V_h$  and that the error bound (4.28) still holds.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F.Brezzi: On the existence, uniqueness and approximation of saddle-point problems arising from lagrangian multipliers.

  R.A.I.R.O., R 2 Août 1974, 129-151.
- [2] F.Brezzi, P.A.Raviart: Mixed finite element methods for 4 th order problems. To appear.
- [3] P.G.Ciarlet, P.A.Raviart: General Lagrange and Hermite interpolation in R<sup>n</sup> with applications to finite element methods.

  Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 46 (1972), 177-199.
- [4] P.G.Ciarlet, P.A.Raviart: A mixed finite element method for the biharmonic equation, <u>Mathematical Aspects of Finite Elements in Partial Differential Equations</u>.

  pp. 125-145. Academic Press, New-York, 1974.
- [5] B.Fraeijs De Veubeke: Displacement and equilibrium models in the finite element method, <u>Stress Analysis</u> (O.C. Zienkiewicz and G.S.Holister, Editors), ch. 9, pp. 145-197. Wiley, 1965.
- [6] B.Fraeijs De Veubeke: Diffusive equilibrium models Lecture notes.
  University of Calgary, 1973.
- [7] B.Fraeijs De Veubeke, M.A.Hogge: Dual analysis for heat conductions problems by finite elements. Int. J. for Num. Methods in Eng., 5 (1972), 65-82.
- [8] J.Haslinger, I.Hlavacek: A mixed finite element method close to the equilibrium model, I.Dirichlet problem for one equation, II. Plane elasticity. To appear.
- [9] C.Johnson: On the convergence of a mixed finite element method for plate bending problems. Numer. Math., 21 (1973), 43-62.
- [10] C. Johnson: Convergence of another mixed finite element method for plate bending problems. To appear.
- [11] T.Miyoshi: A finite element method for the solutions of fourth order partial differential equations. Kumamoto J., (Math.), 9, (1973), 87-116.
- [12] J.T.Oden: Generalized conjugate functions for mixed finite element approximations of boundary-value problems, <u>The Mathematical</u>

  <u>Foundations of the Finite Element Method</u> (A.K. Aziz Editor),

  pp. 629-670, Academic Press, New-York, 1973.
- [13] J.T.Oden: Some contributions to the mathematical theory of mixed finite element approximations. Tokyo Seminar on Finite Elements, Tokyo, 1973.

- [14] J.T.Oden, J.N.Reddy: On mixed element approximations, Texas

  Institute for Computational Mechanics.

  The University of Texas at Austin, 1974.
- [15] P.A.Raviart, J.M.Thomas: Primal hybrid finite element methods for 2 nd order elliptic equations. To appear.
- [16] J.N.Reddy: A Mathematical Theory of Complementary-Dual Variational Principles and Mixed Finite Element Approximations of Linear Boundary-Value Problems in Continuous Mechanics.

  Ph. D. Dissertation, The University of Alabama in Huntsville, 1973.
- [17] B.Scheurer: To appear.
- [18] J.M.Thomas: Methode des éléments finis hybrides duaux pour les problèmes elliptiques du 2 nd ordre. To appear in R.A.I.R.O., Série Analyse Numérique.
- [19] J.M.Thomas : Méthode des éléments finis équilibre pour les problèmes elliptiques du 2 nd ordre. To appear.
- [20] J.M.Thomas: Thesis. To appear.