CSC 411 Fall 2018 Machine Learning and Data Mining

Homework 6

Family name: Bhatia Given name: Pooja

Solution Part 1

Q(1)
$$P(x^{ij}|z=k) = \int_{j=1}^{2} O_{kj}^{(ij)} (1-O_{kj})^{1-x_{j}^{(ij)}}$$
 $P(O_{k,j}) < O_{k,j}^{(i)} = \int_{i=1}^{2} (1-O_{k,j})^{b-1} - O_{k,j}^{(i)}$
 $O_{k}^{(i)} = O_{k,j}^{(i)} = O$

#ICONT

$$= \left(\frac{\sum x_{i}^{(i)} x_{j}^{(i)}}{O'_{k_{j}}} + a - 1 - \left(\frac{\sum x_{k}^{(i)} (1 - x_{j}^{(i)})}{1 - O'_{k_{j}}} \right) + b - 1$$

$$= (1 - o'k_{j}) \left(\frac{z}{z} x_{k}^{(i)} x_{j}^{(i)} \right) - o'k_{j} \left(\frac{z}{z} x_{k}^{(i)} (1 - x_{j}^{(i)}) \right)$$

$$= (1 - o'k_{j}) \left(\frac{z}{z} x_{k}^{(i)} x_{j}^{(i)} \right) - o'k_{j} \left(\frac{z}{z} x_{k}^{(i)} (1 - x_{j}^{(i)}) \right)$$

$$+ (1 - o'k_{j}) (a - 1) - o'k_{j} (B - 1)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i} \gamma_{K}^{(i)} \chi_{j}^{(i)} \right) - O_{Kj}^{\prime} \left(\sum_{i} \gamma_{K}^{(i)} \right) + (\alpha - 1) \\ - O_{Kj}^{\prime} \left(\alpha + \beta - 2 \right)$$

O'kj (1-0'kj)

Equaling the above equalion to zero

0= (\frac{2}{k} \chi') - O'kj (\frac{2}{k} \chi') + (a-1) - O'kj (a+B-2)

O'rj (1-0'rj)

O'Kj $\left[\left(\sum_{i} r_{K}^{(i)}\right) + \alpha + B - 2\right] = \left(\sum_{i} r_{K}^{(i)} x_{j}^{(i)}\right) + \alpha - 1$

$$\theta_{Kj} = \left(\sum_{i} \gamma_{K}^{(i)} \chi_{j}^{(i)} \right) + \alpha - 1$$

$$\left(\sum_{i} \gamma_{K}^{(i)} \right) + \alpha - B - 2$$

Ans

For updating M-Step For TI Basically we need to maxmize

 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{(i)} \log \Pr(Z^{(i)} = K) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{(i)} \log T_{ik}$

P(TT) ~ TI, a-1. -. TIX L)

but we are using symmetric Drichlet prior where all ax are equal

 $L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{(i)} \log T_{k} + \lambda \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K} T_{k}\right)$

By applying Lagrangian

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial T_{K}} = (a-1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{K}^{(i)} - \lambda$

(from (1))

setting derivative to zero $\lambda = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i}^{(i)}\right) \alpha - 1 \quad \text{for each } K$ $\frac{1}{T_{k}}$

For this to be true Tix must be propohenal to $\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i}(i)$

$$TI_{K} = (\alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{K}^{(i)}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{k}^{(i)}$$

Q(2)
Output of mixture.print_part_1_values()
pi[0] 0.37614678899082565
pi[1] 0.6238532110091743

Solution Part 3

02

The model from Part 2 gets significantly higher average log probabilities on both the training and test sets compared to model from I part 1 because the nix the component has a nice form. and In the model from part 2 since some of the observables are only observed i.e. Xobs, we are making observables. I predictions about rest of the observables. (i.e. predictions about mussing data given the observed data). The same can be achived by posterior predictive distribution. e. p(z|xobs). Hence Prosperior in fernce is working better.

for Both training and test set, images of 1's are assigned for higher log- probability than images of 8's.

Since log probability of 1's in higher when we sample from this distribution we are likely to set more 1's than

8's. Hence Yes sample from distribution will generate far more 1's than 8's.