experiments described had passed, all participants were presented with one of their keyword based profiles. Most users indicated that they were stunned by how well these profiles described them and that they would use the same set of keywords to describe themselves if asked. This indicates that there is a potential of using these keyword centric profiles in different areas. They could be used for personalizing advertisements, suggesting interesting news articles to read, interesting FaceBook groups that can be joined, and so on.

8. REFERENCES

[1] P.-A. Chirita, C. S. Firan, and W. Nejdl. Summarizing local context to personalize global web search. In *CIKM '06: Proceedings of the 15th ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management*, pages 287–296, New York, NY, USA, 2006. ACM.

[2] P. A. Chirita, W. Nejdl, R. Paiu, and C. Kohlschütter. Using odp metadata to personalize search. In *SIGIR '05: Proceedings of the 28th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 178–185, New York, NY, USA, 2005. ACM.

[3] S. Clark and J. R. Curran. Wide-coverage efficient statistical parsing with ccg and log-linear models. *Comput. Linguist.*, 33(4):493–552, 2007.

[4] M. Claypool, P. Le, M. Wased, and D. Brown. Implicit interest indicators. In *IUI '01: Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Intelligent user interfaces*, pages 33–40, New York, NY, USA, 2001. ACM.

[5] S. Cronen-Townsend and W. B. Croft. Quantifying query ambiguity. In *Proceedings of the second international conference on Human Language Technology Research*, pages 104–109, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2002. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.

[6] M. Daoud, L. Tamine-Lechani, and M. Boughanem. Learning user interests for a session-based personalized search. In *IIiX '08: Proceedings of the second international symposium on Information interaction in context*, pages 57–64, New York, NY, USA, 2008. ACM.

[7] M. Daoud, L. Tamine-Lechani, M. Boughanem, and B. Chebaro. A session based personalized search using an ontological user profile. In *SAC '09: Proceedings of the 2009 ACM symposium on Applied Computing*, pages 1732–1736, New York, NY, USA, 2009. ACM.

[8] Z. Dou, R. Song, and J.-R. Wen. A large-scale evaluation and analysis of personalized search strategies. In *WWW '07: Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 581–590, New York, NY, USA, 2007. ACM.

[9] S. Gauch, J. Chaffee, and A. Pretschner. Ontology-based personalized search and browsing. *Web Intelli. and Agent Sys.*, 1(3-4):219–234, 2003.

[10] T. Jaime, D. Susan, and H. Eric. Potential for personalization. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.*, 17(1):31, March 2010.

[11] B. J. Jansen, A. Spink, and T. Saracevic. Real life, real users, and real needs: a study and analysis of user queries on the web. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 36(2):207–227, 2000.

[12] T. Joachims. Optimizing search engines using clickthrough data. In *KDD '02: Proceedings of the eighth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 133–142, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM.

[13] T. Joachims. Optimizing search engines using clickthrough data. In *KDD '02: Proceedings of the eighth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 133–142, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM.

[14] T. Joachims, L. Granka, B. Pan, H. Hembrooke, F. Radlinski, and G. Gay. Evaluating the accuracy of implicit feedback from clicks and query reformulations in web search. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 25(2), April 2007.

[15] F. Liu, C. Yu, and W. Meng. Personalized web search by mapping user queries to categories. In *CIKM '02: Proceedings of the eleventh international conference on Information and knowledge management*, pages 558–565, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM.

[16] F. Liu, C. Yu, and W. Meng. Personalized web search for improving retrieval effectiveness. *IEEE Trans. on Knowl. and Data Eng.*, 16(1):28–40, 2004.

[17] M. Morita and Y. Shinoda. Information filtering based on user behavior analysis and best match text retrieval. In *SIGIR '94: Proceedings of the 17th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 272–281, New York, NY, USA, 1994. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.

[18] A. Pretschner and S. Gauch. Ontology based personalized search. In *ICTAI '99: Proceedings of the 11th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence*, page 391, Washington, DC, USA, 1999. IEEE Computer Society.

[19] F. Qiu and J. Cho. Automatic identification of user interest for personalized search. In *WWW '06: Proceedings of the 15th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 727–736, New York, NY, USA, 2006. ACM.

[20] F. Radlinski and N. Craswell. Comparing the sensitivity of information retrieval metrics. In *To appear in Proceedings of SIGIR 2010*. Association for Computing Machinery, Inc., 2010.

[21] F. Radlinski, M. Kurup, and T. Joachims. How does clickthrough data reflect retrieval quality? In *CIKM '08: Proceeding of the 17th ACM conference on Information and knowledge management*, pages 43–52, New York, NY, USA, 2008. ACM.

[22] M. Sanderson. Ambiguous queries: test collections need more sense. In *SIGIR '08: Proceedings of the 31st annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 499–506, New York, NY, USA, 2008. ACM.

[23] X. Shen, B. Tan, and C. Zhai. Implicit user modeling for personalized search. In *CIKM '05: Proceedings of the 14th ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management*, pages 824–831, New York, NY, USA, 2005. ACM.

[24] A. Sieg, B. Mobasher, and R. Burke. Web search personalization with ontological user profiles. In *CIKM '07: Proceedings of the sixteenth ACM conference on Conference on information and*