1. Data Used

Inputs:

Base(a) : Random integers 2, 15, 256

Exponent(b): Small, medium and large values 28, 216, 264

Modulus(n): Large primes or semi-random integers

Ensure edge cases

Precomputed values:

will choose a range, a1 mod n, a2 mod n, a4 mod n, a8 mod n

1. Experiments Done

Baseline Approach:

Implement modular exponentiation using standard Square-and-Multiply (S&M) without optimizations.

Algorithm:

- Convert the exponent to binary.

- Start with result = 1.

- For each bit in the exponent:

- Square the result.

- Multiply by the base if the bit is 1.

- Take modulo n after each step.

Optimized Approach:

Write another function that:  
-Precomputes a2^I mod n for i = 0,1,2,…

-uses these precomputed values instead of recalculating them during the process.

Experiment Parameters:

Run both methods over the same sets of input data.

Vary:

Base, exponent, modulus size.

Table size (precomputing to analyze memory-speed trade-offs)

Performance Metrics:

Time taken for each computation (measured in nanoseconds or microseconds).

Memory usage comparison.

Algorithm complexity analysis for varying input sizes.

3. Validating Results

Correctness:

Verify outputs of both methods against known correct values (e.g., using Python's pow(base, exp, mod) for validation).

Use randomized tests and edge cases (e.g., minimal and maximal values).

Consistency:

Ensure repeatability of experiments over different runs and systems to rule out anomalies.

4. Comparing Results

Execution Time:

Use statistical metrics like average time, median time, and standard deviation to compare the two approaches.

Include performance graphs (e.g., log-log plots of input size vs. time).

Efficiency Gain:

Compute relative speedup as:

Speedup=Time without table / Time with table

Scalability:

Examine how each approach performs as inputs scale (e.g., modulus size increases).

Memory Overhead:

Quantify additional memory requirements for the precomputed tables.

5. Model to Describe Experiments

Mathematical Description

Baseline (Standard S&M):

Given ab mod  n, iterate b in binary and multiply relevant terms.

Optimized S&M:

Precompute a2^i mod  n for i=0,1,…,k, and use lookup tables for faster computation.

Experimental Model

Input: {a,b,n,k}, where k is the number of precomputed values.

Procedure:

Precompute table for k values.

Perform modular exponentiation using:

Direct computation (Baseline).

Precomputed lookup (Optimized).

Output: Computation time, memory usage, and correctness validation.

6. Reporting Results

Tables:

Present data as a table with inputs, execution times, and memory usage for both methods.

Graphs:

Plot execution time vs. input size.

Visualize the speedup factor as a function of the precomputed table size.

Discussion:

Analyze where the optimized approach excels or fails (e.g., for small exponents, precomputations might not be worth it).

Related work:

Montgomery Ladder

The Montgomery Ladder is an efficient algorithm often used for constant-time modular exponentiation. It interleaves squaring and multiplication in a fixed sequence to reduce data-dependent branching, which is beneficial for security against timing attacks.

While the Montgomery Ladder is optimized for security, it does not leverage precomputed values. Our method focuses on speed improvements and is not constant-time, making it less suitable for scenarios where timing attacks are a concern.

Windowed Exponentiation

The windowed method divides the exponent into small windows of bits and precomputes powers for all possible values within a window. During execution, the algorithm processes the exponent window-by-window, using the precomputed values.

Our method can be seen as a simplified version of windowed exponentiation with a fixed table size. The windowed method requires more precomputations for larger windows, which increases memory usage but reduces runtime further.