## HW Problems for Assignment 4 - Part I Due 6:30 PM Wednesday, November 11, 2020

- 1. (10 Points, 5 points each) GEV for Discrete Distributions. Here, we will see that the limiting statement  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(c_n x + d_n)^n = H(x)$  for some non-trivial cdf H is a bit more restrictive than it might seem.
- (a) Let X be a discrete random variable taking values in the finite set  $\{1, 2, ..., K\}$  for some fixed integer K. X has pmf

$$p(k) = \mathbb{P}[X = k] > 0, k = 1, ..., K$$

and hence cdf

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} p(k), \qquad \lfloor x \rfloor = \max \{ j \text{ an integer } \mid j \leq x \}.$$

Show that there are NO  $c_n > 0$ ,  $d_n$  such that  $F(c_n x + d_n)^n \to H(x)$  for a non-degenerate cdf H. Here, by "non-degenerate" we mean that H does NOT take the form  $H(x) = 1_{x \geq x_0}$  for some  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , as this cdf corresponds the non-random constant  $x_0$ .

(b) Now, assume X has pmf

$$p(k) = \int_{k}^{k+1} \frac{1}{y^2} dy = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{1}{k(k+1)}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Show that there is  $c_n > 0$ ,  $d_n$  such that  $F(c_n x + d_n)^n \to H(x)$  for a GEV H. Identify the parameters  $\xi, \mu, \sigma$  for the GEV distribution.

(c) Extra Credit: 10 points Assume  $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$  for p < 1 so that

$$p(k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Are there  $c_n > 0$ ,  $d_n$  and non-degenerate H such that  $F(c_n x + d_n)^n \to H(x)$ ?

2. (10 Points) GP Distributions for U(0,1) random variables. Let  $X \sim U(0,1)$ . Find a function  $\beta(u)$  so that

$$\lim_{u \uparrow 1} \sup_{0 \le x \le 1 - u} |F_u(x) - G_{-1,\beta(u)}(x)| = 0.$$

3. (30 Points) Could we have predicted the 1987 crash using extreme value theory? The data file

"SP500\_Log\_Returns.csv"

contains daily log return data for the S%P 500 index from 6/10/1960 until 10/16/1987. Specifically, column 1 stores the date in numeric format (oldest to newest) and column 2 the log return.

As you may recall, 10/16/1987 was the day before the famous crash in the markets. In this exercise we will see if our extreme value theory-based risk measures could have predicted the crash.

Consider a hypothetical portfolio of \$1,000,000 in the S%P 500 index. The losses are estimated via the linearized returns so that L' = -VX where V is the portfolio value, and X is the log return. For each of the methods described below, determine the  $VaR_{\alpha}$ , as a function of  $\alpha$ , for the portfolio losses.

- (1) Using the empirical distribution for the log returns.
- (2) Assuming that as of t = 10/16/1987 the log returns  $X_{t+\Delta}$  over the next business day are normally distributed with mean  $\mu_{t+\Delta}$  and variance  $\sigma_{t+\Delta}^2$ . To estimate  $\mu_{t+\Delta}$ ,  $\sigma_{t+\Delta}^2$  use an EWMA procedure with parameter  $\lambda = \theta = .97$  and a 500 day initialization time (as we have done before).
- (3) Assuming a GEV distribution for the maximum and the block maximum method. For the N days of data (N=6875), break the data into blocks of n=125 days for a total of m=55 blocks. Estimate the resultant GEV parameters: in matlab this is done using the 'gevfit' command.
- (4) Assume a GP excess loss distribution. Here, take  $u = \text{VaR}_{.95}$  as estimated in (1) above. To estimate the GP parameters, you will have to select only those losses above the u threshold, and compute the loss minus u for these selected days. With this data you can then fit the GP distribution. For example, in matlab this is the 'gpfit' command. With the fitted parameters, estimate the  $\text{VaR}_{\alpha}$  in terms of  $\alpha$  and F(u), which in this case is .95 by construction.

For each of the four methods, produce a plot of  $\alpha \mapsto VaR_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha$  between .99 and .9999 in increments of .000099 (100 values). For  $\alpha = .9999$  what are the four  $VaR_{\alpha}$  values? Which is highest?

The actual log return over Monday October 19th, 1987 was X = -0.099452258, so that our linearized losses would have been L' = \$99, 452. Could any of the above methods predicted such a loss via the  $VaR_{\alpha}$ ? If so, for what  $\alpha$ ?