

# Homework 4

Lijie Hu

## 1 Problem 1

We first prove it is  $\epsilon$ -LDP, by the definition of  $Y_i$  we known that  $\Pr(Y_i = 1), \Pr(Y_i = 0) \in [\frac{1}{e^\epsilon + 1}, \frac{e^\epsilon}{e^\epsilon + 1}]$  no matter what  $x_i$  is. Thus we always have  $e^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{\Pr(Y_i=1|x_i)}{\Pr(Y_i=1|x'_i)} \leq e^\epsilon$  for any pair of  $x_i, x'_i$ .

We then consider the unbiasedness, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}) &= \frac{m}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_i] \frac{e^\epsilon + 1}{e^\epsilon - 1} - \frac{1}{e^\epsilon - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{m}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{e^\epsilon + 1} + \frac{x_i(e^\epsilon - 1)}{m(e^\epsilon + 1)} \right) \frac{e^\epsilon + 1}{e^\epsilon - 1} - \frac{1}{e^\epsilon - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.\end{aligned}$$

Finally we will show the variance, first we have

$$\hat{\mu} - \mu = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mathbb{E}(Y_i)) \frac{e^\epsilon + 1}{e^\epsilon - 1}. \quad (1)$$

Thus,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \left( \frac{e^\epsilon + 1}{e^\epsilon - 1} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i) = O\left( \frac{m^2}{n\epsilon^2} \text{Var}(Y_i) \right). \quad (2)$$

For each  $i$  since  $Y_i \in [0, 1]$  thus we have

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) \leq 1$$

. Thus we have the proof.

## 2 Problem 2

We first proof it is  $\epsilon$ -LDP. By the definition of  $z_i$  we known that  $\Pr(z_i = z) \in [\frac{1}{e^\epsilon + 1}, \frac{e^\epsilon}{e^\epsilon + 1}]$  for any possible output, no matter what  $x_i$  is. Thus we always have  $e^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{\Pr(Y_i=1|x_i)}{\Pr(Y_i=1|x'_i)} \leq e^\epsilon$  for any pair of  $x_i, x'_i$ .

For unbiasedness, if  $x = 0$ , then we can see that  $\mathbb{E}[z] = 0$ . Otherwise by definition

$$\mathbb{E}[z_i] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (0, 0, \dots, \mathbb{E}[z_{i,j}], 0, \dots, 0) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (0, 0, \dots, mx_{i,j}, 0, \dots, 0) = x_i.$$

### 3 Problem 3

I mainly follow the idea in [2]. Consider a fixed distance  $k$ , the worst case is that we can change up to  $k$  entries in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  to 0 or  $\Lambda$  and then change the median value from  $x_{m-k}$  to  $x_{m+k}$ . Therefore, when the median is an end point of a large empty interval the local sensitivity at distance  $k$  is maximized. In order to achieve that, we can modify entries  $x_{m-k+1}, \dots, x_{m-1+t}$  for some  $t = 0, \dots, k+1$  to 0 or  $\Lambda$ . By the definition of the smooth sensitivity we have

$$A^{(k)}(x) = \max_{y: d(x,y) \leq k} LS(y) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq k+1} (x_{m+t} - x_{m+t-k-1})$$

And, then we have:

$$S_{f,\epsilon}(D) = \max_{k=0, \dots, n} (e^{-k\epsilon} \max_{0 \leq t \leq k+1} (x_{m+t} - x_{m+t-k-1})). \quad (3)$$

### 4 Problem 4

To prove the algorithm is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP, we know that using the AboveThreshold for finding one query that is larger than  $c$  is  $\epsilon'$ -DP with  $\epsilon' = \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2c \log 2/\delta}}$ . Thus, by the composition theorem (Corollary 7.4) we can see that the algorithm is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP. The same for the  $\epsilon$ -DP.

Next we focus on the accuracy, first by Theorem 9.2 we know that Abovethreshold is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -accurate for  $\alpha = \frac{8(\log k + \log 2/\beta)}{\epsilon}$ . Since Algorithm 2 is  $c$  compositions of Abovethreshold. Thus here  $\epsilon = \epsilon' = \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2c \log 2/\delta}}$  and  $\beta = \frac{\beta}{c}$  since we need the accuracy holds for each query. Thus, for  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -DP, Algorithm 2 will be  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -accurate for  $\alpha = \frac{16\sqrt{2c \log 2/\delta}(\log k + \log 2c/\beta)}{\epsilon}$ . Thus same for  $\epsilon$ -DP.