

Understanding the Free Energy Landscape of Phase Separation in Lipid Bilayer using Weighted Ensemble Molecular Dynamics

Ashlin Poruthoor¹ and Alan Grossfield^{1,*}

¹University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY 14620

*Correspondence: alan_grossfield@urmc.rochester.edu

ABSTRACT

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

SIGNIFICANCE

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum

INTRODUCTION

* Why phase separation is important? * Why phase separation in cell membrane is important? * Molecular dynamics * Challenges of simulating phase separation using MD * Ways to work around - CG. Enhanced sampling * Choice of WE

Todo list:

Figures:

1. A figure showing individual MARTINI lipids and their AA chemDraw figure. A figure showing the top and side view of each lipid systems

METHODS

System details

Here, we used three different ternary lipid bilayer systems to test the hypothesis: 1. As the main test system, we chose a lipid bilayer consisting of dipalmitoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DPPC), dilinoleyl-phosphatidylcholine (DIPC), and Cholesterol (CHOL) which is known to phase separate in silico in a few microseconds (1–7). 2. As a positive control, we chose a lipid bilayer consisting of DPPC, diarachidonoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DAPC), and CHOL, known to phase separate relatively faster in silico in the order of a few hundreds of nanoseconds (8–10). 3. As a negative control, we chose a lipid bilayer consisting of DPPC, palmitoyl-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC), and CHOL that was previously shown not to phase separate (10, 11). The composition of DPPC-DIPC-CHOL, DPPC-DAPC-CHOL, and DPPC-POPC-CHOL systems used here are (0.42/0.28/0.3), (0.5/0.3/0.2) and (0.4/0.4/0.2) respectively and were adapted from previous studies (1, 8, 10).

Due to the relatively larger system size and time scale required for phase separation and related dynamics in lipid bilayer simulations, the Coarse-Grained (CG) model of each system was used. Hence the subsequent dynamics propagation using MD is relatively cheaper than an All-Atom model but with the tradeoff in system resolution. The rationale behind this design choice is to fail faster with minimum resources if this proof-of-concept protocol is not working as expected. Using CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker (12), we constructed four random replicas of each CG ternary symmetric bilayer system. MARTINI 2 force field

parameters and particle definitions(13, 14) were used to construct CG systems and to run the subsequent MD simulation. The default input files from CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker were replaced with their respective most recent Martini 2.x versions, if they existed. MARTINI polarizable water model(15) was used to solvate all systems with approximately a 1:30 lipid to real water ratio. A detailed description of the systems used can be found in the Table S1 of supplementary material.

Standard MD simulation details

Due to the historical compatibility of the the GROMACS MD engine with the MARTINI force field, we used GROMACS 2020.3(16) to propagate the dynamics of the systems prepared. Each system was minimized and equilibrated in steps using the MD input files suggested by CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker.

To obtain an intact bilayer without any membrane undulations, we used an additional membrane restraining protocol: We took advantage of the flat bottom restrain potential available in GROMACS to allow lipids to move freely in the xy plane but restrained within a slab of defined z thickness. More details about membrane restraining protocol can be found in the supplementary material.

After the minimization and equilibration, all systems were run at 400 K in the NPT ensemble for 100 ns to make sure the lipids in each system were randomly distributed. For every system, each replica was then forked into multiple temperature runs simulated at different temperatures ranging from 298K to 450K. All standard MD simulations were run for at least 8 microseconds using the BlueHive supercomputing cluster of the Center for Integrated Research and Computing at the University of Rochester. Simulations were run on Intel Xeon E5-2695 and Gold 6130 processors augmented with Tesla K20Xm, K80, and V100 GPUs. The trajectories were processed and analyzed using LOOS software package. A detailed description of the simulation parameters can be found in the Table S1 of supplementary material.

Collective Variable

A collective variable (or a set of variables) is a reduced coordinate that captures the progress of a system along the transition of interest. Ideally, such a reduced variable(s) should fully capture the key slow modes of transition to reflect the complex event under study. The success of any enhanced sampling protocol depends on the chosen collective variable over which the sampling is enhanced. In our case, phase separation in a quantifies the recruitment of lipids into such domains could be used to track the phase separation events in our systems. Here, we define lipid bilayer is characterized by the formation of lipid domains with distinct properties from the rest of the bilayer. Hence we hypothesized that a variable thatthe Fraction of Lipids in Cluster (FLC) as follows:

$$FLC = \sum_i^N \frac{\text{No. of } X_i \text{ lipids in lipid } X_i \text{ Clusters}}{\text{No. of Lipid } X_i} = \frac{\sum_i^N \text{No. of Lipid } X_i \text{ in Lipid } X_i \text{ Clusters}}{\text{Total No. of Lipids}} \quad (1)$$

Where subscript i denotes the individual lipid species in a bilayer consisting of N total lipid species. As shown in Figure 1, each system has $N = 3$ lipid species in our case. FLC increases as the system go from a mixed state, with a random distribution of lipids, to a separated state. In principle, FLC is bounded between 0 and 1. $FLC = 0$ corresponds to a system configuration where no lipids are part of any cluster. While $FLC = 1$ corresponds to all lipids being a part of some cluster.




Figure 1: a. A phase separating system evolves from a mixed state to a separated state. b. Functional form of FLC. c. FLC evolution curve for a phase separating system

The lipid X_i cluster is defined using the Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) algorithm

(17, 18) as implemented in scikit-learn (19). For lipid DBSCAN clustering, instead of the default euclidean metric to calculate the distance between lipid coordinates, we used a precomputed distance matrix adjusted for periodic boundary conditions of the simulation box using LOOS. Two additional input parameters are required by the algorithm: *min_samples* and ϵ . Lipids with more than *min_samples* neighbors (including the lipid itself) within ϵ radius are considered as core lipids. Non-core lipids that are still within ϵ radius of a core lipid are considered border lipids. A set of core lipids within ϵ radius of each other and their border lipids forms a cluster. All lipids that are not a part of any cluster are considered outlier lipids.

Since lipid motion in a bilayer is mostly constrained in a plane and MARTINI beads for a lipid are of similar radii, we can make use of the two-dimensional version of Kepler's conjecture that the densest packing of unit disks in a plane is hexagonal close packing (Thue's Theorem). Hence we chose 7 (6 nearest neighbors + 1 central lipid) as *min_samples* for all the lipid species.

However, ϵ was chosen differently for each lipid species based on their first nearest neighbor distance from the central lipid. The first nearest neighbor distance of an individual lipid species was calculated using the *xy_rdf* tool in LOOS. From the first 8 μ s MD standard simulation of each replica, we computed the radial distribution function (RDF) for a lipid species in the xy-plane. From the RDF plot, we found the first maxima (provided it is above 1), and the distance to the minima right after this first maxima were determined to be the first nearest neighbor distance for that lipid species. This distance was averaged over all four replicas for a given system at a given temperature and was assigned as the respective ϵ input. Since nearest neighbor distance is a function of temperature, for the same lipid species in the same system, ϵ may be different for different temperatures. Computed ϵ , i.e., average first nearest neighbor distance for different conditions are plotted in Supplementary Figure S1.

Auxiliary Variables

Additional auxiliary variables (AVs) were tracked parallel to the primary collective variable, FLC. Following are the definitions of AVs that evaluate the quality of DBSCAN clustering since it is critical for defining the FLC that drives the WE equilibrium dynamics. For each lipid species, X in the system, we calculated the following,

1. Number of X clusters in the system under study.
2. Fraction of X_i lipids in X clusters
3. Fraction of X_i lipids in X core lipids.
4. Mean Silhouette Coefficient (MSC) of X_i Clusters, as implemented in scikit-learn.

Silhouette Coefficient is a method used to evaluate the clustering done by any technique, especially if ground truth labels are unknown. Here, for a X_i lipid in the cluster, mean intra-cluster distance (a) from other X_i lipids in the cluster is found. Similarly, for a X_i lipid in the cluster, the mean nearest-cluster distance (b) is also calculated. While the former assesses the 'cohesion' of a given X_i lipids with other X_i lipids in a cluster, the latter assesses the 'separation' from the nearest cluster. Thus, Silhouette Coefficient for a X_i lipid, s , is defined as below,

$$s = \frac{b - a}{\max(a, b)} \quad (2)$$

The Mean Silhouette Coefficient of X_i Clusters is given by the mean s over all non-outlier X_i lipids. Here, we have omitted the MSC calculations for cases when there are no clusters or just one cluster detected by DBSCAN. MSC is bound between -1 and 1. A high positive value corresponds to well segregated dense clusters, while a low negative value implies that lipids are assigned to clusters incorrectly.

Similar to the FLC, a set of additional AVs that track phase separation are also defined as given below. The rationale behind such AVs is to serve as proxy coordinates that can independently assess phase separation events enhanced by FLC driven WE runs.

1. Cumulative Enrichment Index (CEI)

We defined a density based quantity to estimate the degree of global lipid enrichment in the system, similar to the ones that track local lipid segregation used previously (20, 21). Here, we calculated the average local density of X_i lipids around a single lipid X_{ij} , within a cutoff radius, ϵ , as we defined earlier for FLC estimation. We also defined a normalization factor, Φ_i , as the local density of X_i lipids for a uniformly well mixed system of similar composition. The ratio of former respective to latter forms the enrichment index for a lipid species, X_i . CEI is defined as the sum of individual enrichment index for all the lipid species in the system, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CEI} &= \sum_i^N \left[\frac{\text{Average local density of lipids } X_i \text{ around a single lipid } X_i}{\text{Local density of lipid } X_i \text{ for a well mixed system}} \right]_{\epsilon_i} \\ &= \sum_i^N \frac{1}{\Phi_i} \frac{1}{\pi \epsilon_i^2} \sum_j \text{No. of } X_i \text{ lipids around } X_{ij} \text{ lipid in } \epsilon \text{ radius} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where X_{ij} denotes j^{th} lipid of X_i lipid species. For the normalization factor, Φ_i the global density of X_i lipids is calculated by taking the ratio of total number of X_i lipids in the system to the xy -planar area of the bilayer system. For a uniformly well-mixed system, this global density is same as local density for an individual X_{ij} lipid.

2. Segregation Index (SI)

We defined a contact based quantity to track the homogeneity of lipid bilayer, similar to the ones that track mixing of beads used previously(22, 23). Here, we calculated the fraction of like contacts made between X_i species with respect to the total contacts made by X_i as shown below:

$$\text{SI} = \sum_i^N \left[\frac{X_i X_i}{\sum_j^N X_i X_j} \right] = \frac{X_{11}}{X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13}} + \frac{X_{22}}{X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23}} + \frac{X_{33}}{X_{31} + X_{32} + X_{33}} \quad (4)$$

Where $X_i X_j$ denotes the contacts made between lipid species X_i and X_j .

Weighted Ensemble Simulation

Preparing seeding configurations for WE simulation: From each 8 μs replica MD simulation of a given system, the last 10 frames spaced by 100 ns were collected. Using such collected frames, we created sets of mixed and separated configurations for each replica of a given system. For DPPC-DAPC-CHOL and DPPC-DIPC-CHOL systems, the set of mixed configurations for a particular replica came from the respective 423 K and 450 K simulation frames. While the set of separated configurations for a replica came from the respective 298K and 323K simulation frames. For the DPPC-POPC-CHOL system, sets of mixed and separated configurations for a replica came from the 450 K and 298K simulation frames respectively. To enhance the convergence of WE equilibrium simulations, we decided to seed each simulation from both mixed and separated states and let the enhanced sampling cover the transition between them.

Running WE simulations: Weighted Ensemble equilibrium simulations were run using the WESTPA 1.0 software package(24). The collective variable was divided into 30 dynamic bins using the minimal adaptive binning scheme (MAB)(25). For each replica, a target number of 4 short simulations, or "walkers" per bin, were started in parallel from the mixed and the separated configurations prepared earlier. After every resampling interval of 1 ns, the collective variable was evaluated to initiate the merging and splitting of walkers to maintain the target number of walkers per bin. A short 1 ns MD run of all the walkers and subsequent resampling, according to the standard WE algorithm, constituted 1 WE iteration. We conducted 500 WE iterations for each replica. The MD propagation was done using GROMACS 2020.3 engine with the same parameters used for standard MD simulations described earlier. A WE Equilibrium Dynamics (WEED) reweighting protocol(26), implemented in WESTPA 1.0, was used to accelerate the convergence of WE walkers into an equilibrium. The reweighting was done every 10 WE iterations. For the DPPC-DAPC-CHOL, DPPC-DIPC-CHOL, and DPPC-POPC-CHOL lipid bilayer systems, four WE replicas were simulated each at multiple temperatures. All WE runs were carried out using the Intel Xeon E5-2695 and Tesla K20Xm GPUs in the BlueHive supercomputing cluster of the Center for Integrated Research and Computing at the University of Rochester.

Analysis of WE simulations: The probability distribution of CV and AVs for each replica, as a function of WE iterations, was constructed using *w_pdist* and *plolist* tools in WESTPA. Using this distribution, we monitored the evolution of each WE replica simulation and the convergence. *w_mult_west* tool in WESTPA was used to combine data from four WE replicas of a system at a given temperature. From the combined probability distribution of a system, the respective Free Energy Surface (FES) was created. To check the flux between states and population in different states, *w_ipa*, another WESTPA tool, was used. For

RESULTS

- [] Collective and auxiliary variables tracks phase separating bilayer
 - [] Choice of collective variable is essential for the convergence of Free Energy Landscape
 - [] Free Energy Landscape of lipid bilayer systems

DISCUSSION

:

REFERENCES

1. Risselada, H. J., and S. J. Marrink, 2008. The molecular face of lipid rafts in model membranes 105.
2. Schäfer, L. V., D. H. De Jong, A. Holt, A. J. Rzepiela, A. H. De Vries, B. Poolman, J. A. Killian, and S. J. Marrink, 2011. Lipid packing drives the segregation of transmembrane helices into disordered lipid domains in model membranes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108:1343–1348.
3. Janosi, L., Z. Li, J. F. Hancock, and A. A. Gorfe, 2012. Ras nanoclusters in membrane domains 109.
4. Domański, J., S. J. Marrink, and L. V. Schäfer, 2012. Transmembrane helices can induce domain formation in crowded model membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Biomembranes* 1818:984–994.
5. Jong, D. H. D., C. A. Lopez, and S. J. Marrink, 2013. Molecular view on protein sorting into liquid-ordered membrane domains mediated by gangliosides and lipid anchors † 347–363.
6. Liu, Y., W. Pezeshkian, J. Barnoud, A. H. D. Vries, and S. J. Marrink, 2020. Coupling Coarse-Grained to Fine-Grained Models via Hamiltonian Replica Exchange .
7. Su, J., S. J. Marrink, and M. N. Melo, 2020. Localization Preference of Antimicrobial Peptides on Liquid-Disordered Membrane Domains. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 8:1–11.
8. Lin, X., J. H. Lorent, A. D. Skinkle, K. R. Levental, M. N. Waxham, A. A. Gorfe, and I. Levental, 2016. Domain stability in biomimetic membranes driven by lipid polyunsaturation. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 120:11930–11941.
9. Lin, X., and A. A. Gorfe, 2019. Understanding Membrane Domain-Partitioning Thermodynamics of Transmembrane Domains with Potential of Mean Force Calculations. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 123:1009–1016.
10. Davis, R. S., P. B. S. Kumar, M. M. Sperotto, and Laradji Mohamed, 2013. Predictions of Phase Separation in Three-Component Lipid Membranes by the MARTINI Force Field. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 117:4072–4080. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp4000686>.
11. Veatch, S. L., and S. L. Keller, 2003. Separation of Liquid Phases in Giant Vesicles of Ternary Mixtures of Phospholipids and Cholesterol. *Biophysical Journal* 85:3074–3083. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(03\)74726-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(03)74726-2).
12. Qi, Y., H. I. Ingólfsson, X. Cheng, J. Lee, S. J. Marrink, and W. Im, 2015. CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker for Coarse-Grained Simulations with the Martini Force Field. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 11:4486–4494.
13. Marrink, S. J., H. J. Risselada, S. Yefimov, D. P. Tieleman, and A. H. De Vries, 2007. The MARTINI force field: Coarse grained model for biomolecular simulations. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 111:7812–7824.
14. De Jong, D. H., G. Singh, W. F. Bennett, C. Arnarez, T. A. Wassenaar, L. V. Schäfer, X. Periole, D. P. Tieleman, and S. J. Marrink, 2013. Improved parameters for the martini coarse-grained protein force field. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 9:687–697.
15. Yesylevskyy, S. O., L. V. Schäfer, D. Sengupta, and S. J. Marrink, 2010. Polarizable water model for the coarse-grained MARTINI force field. *PLoS Computational Biology* 6:1–17.
16. Abraham, M. J., T. Murtola, R. Schulz, S. Páll, J. C. Smith, B. Hess, and E. Lindahl, 2015. Gromacs: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* 1-2:19–25.
17. Martin Ester, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Jiirg Sander, X. X., 1996. A Density-Based Algorithm for Discovering Clusters in Large Spatial Databases with Noise. In *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD)* 226–231.
18. Schubert, E., J. Sander, M. Ester, H. P. Kriegel, and X. Xu, 2017. DBSCAN revisited, revisited: Why and how you should (still) use DBSCAN. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems* 42. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3068335>.

19. Pedregosa, F. Varoquaux, G. Gramfort, A. Michel, V. Thirion, B. Grisel, O. Blondel, M. Prettenhofer, P. Weiss, R. and Dubourg, V. Vanderplas, J. Passos, A. Cournapeau, D. Brucher, M. Perrot, M. Duchesnay, E., 2011. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12:2825—2830.
20. Gu, R. X., S. Baoukina, and D. P. Tieleman, 2019. Cholesterol Flip-Flop in Heterogeneous Membranes. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 15:2064–2070.
21. Gu, R. X., S. Baoukina, and D. Peter Tieleman, 2020. Phase Separation in Atomistic Simulations of Model Membranes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 142:2844–2856.
22. Marigo, M., D. L. Cairns, M. Davies, A. Ingram, and E. H. Stitt, 2012. A numerical comparison of mixing efficiencies of solids in a cylindrical vessel subject to a range of motions. *Powder Technology* 217:540–547. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2011.11.016>.
23. Kumar, P., K. Sinha, N. K. Nere, Y. Shin, R. Ho, L. B. Mlinar, and A. Y. Sheikh, 2020. A machine learning framework for computationally expensive transient models. *Scientific Reports* 10:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67546-w>.
24. Zwier, M. C., J. L. Adelman, J. W. Kaus, A. J. Pratt, K. F. Wong, N. B. Rego, E. Suárez, S. Lettieri, D. W. Wang, M. Grabe, D. M. Zuckerman, and L. T. Chong, 2015. WESTPA: An interoperable, highly scalable software package for weighted ensemble simulation and analysis. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 11:800–809.
25. Torrillo, P. A., A. T. Bogetti, and L. T. Chong, 2021. A Minimal, Adaptive Binning Scheme for Weighted Ensemble Simulations. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*.
26. Bhatt, D., B. W. Zhang, and D. M. Zuckerman, 2010. Steady-state simulations using weighted ensemble path sampling. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 133.