A research team wished to choose the dosage of medicine so that Y, the response to the medicine was as large as possible. They studied four doses: 1 units, 2 units, 3 units, and 4 units. They ran a balanced one-way layout with 15 observations with dosage 1, 15 with dosage 2, 15 with dosage 3, and 15 with dosage 4, They observed that  $y_{1\bullet} = 19.8$ ,  $y_{2\bullet} = 12.6$ ,  $y_{3\bullet} = 43.2$ , and  $y_{4\bullet} = 24.4$ , where  $y_{i\bullet}$  was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 1,2,3,4 respectively. They also observed that  $s_1^2 = 1,648, s_2^2 = 812$ ,  $s_3^2 = 2,082$ , and  $s_4^2 = 1,062$ , where  $s_i^2$  was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 1,2,3,4 respectively.

- a. Complete the analysis of variance table for these results; that is, be sure to specify the degrees of freedom, sum of squares, mean square, and F-test. Use significance levels set to 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. (40 points)
- b. Find the quadratic contrast, the sum of squares due to the quadratic contrast, and the 99% Scheffe confidence interval for the quadratic contrast. The coefficients of the quadratic contrast are 1, -1, -1, 1. (30 points)
- c. What is the 99% Tukey W confidence interval for  $E(Y_{3j} Y_{2j})$ ? What is the optimal setting of the concentration level? What statistical support is there for your answer? (20 points)

B. 
$$\lambda_{q} = 1(19.8) - 1(12.6) - 1(43.2) + 24.4 = -11.6$$

$$3S_{q} = \frac{(\lambda_{q})^{2}}{4/15} = 504.6$$

99% SCHEFFE FOR 2q:

-11.6 ± 3.529 (19.33) = -11.6 ± 68.2 = -79.8 TO 56.6.

C. TUKEY 99% CI FOR E(435-123)

 $=30.6\pm4.61\sqrt{\frac{1401}{15}}=30.6\pm4.61(9.66).$ 

= 30.6 ± 44.6 = -14,000 75.2.

NO OPTIMAL SETTING BECAUSE HOL

ALL TREATMENT MERING EQUAL WAS ACCEPTED.

A research team wished to choose the dosage of medicine so that Y, the response to the medicine was as small as possible. They studied four doses: 1 unit, 2 unit, 3 units, and 4 units. They ran a balanced one-way layout with 8 observations with dosage 1, 8 with dosage 2, 8 with dosage 3, and 8 with dosage 4, They observed that  $y_{1\bullet} = 49.8$ ,  $y_{2\bullet} = 42.6$ ,  $y_{3\bullet} = 28.2$ , and  $y_{4\bullet} = 18.6$ , where  $y_{i\bullet}$  was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 1,2,3,4respectively. They also observed that  $s_1^2 = 304$ ,  $s_2^2 = 212$ ,  $s_3^2 = 284$ , and  $s_4^2 = 252$ , where  $s_i^2$ was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 1,2,3,4respectively.

- a. Complete the analysis of variance table for these results; that is, be sure to specify the degrees of freedom, sum of squares, mean square, and F-test. Use significance levels set to 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. (40 points)
- b. Find the quadratic contrast, the sum of squares due to the quadratic contrast, and the 99% Scheffe confidence interval for the quadratic contrast. The coefficients of the quadratic contrast are 1, -1, -1, 1. (30 points)
- c. What is the 99% Tukey W confidence interval for  $E(Y_{1i} Y_{4i})$ ? What is the optimal setting of the concentration level? What statistical support is there for your answer? (20 points)

REJECT HO: ALL MEAN'S EQUAL VS.

H: MIT MI)

(AND 01=005 AND 01= 210).

TOTAL

7= SSTREAT = 0,39 X = (3,28)

2.947 REJECT 4,568 REJECT X32022PIB CONTINUED

$$P = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1(49.8) - 1(12.6) - 1(28.2) + 1(18.6)}{418} = -2.4.$$

$$SS_{q} = \frac{(\frac{1}{4})^{2}}{418} = 11.52.$$

99% SCHEFFE CI FOR M, -MZ-M3+M4 IS.

= -44,85 TO 40.1.

C. 99% TOKEY CI FOR ELY, - Yy) IS.

$$(49.8 - 18.0 \pm 4.830)$$
  $\frac{263.0}{8} = 31.2 \pm (4.83)5.737$   
=31.2 ± 27.7 = 3.5 To 58.9,

TABLE VALUE FOR (4, 30) IS 4.80.

OPTIMAL SETTING IS 4 UNITS OR MORE

THERE IS A STRONG NEGATIVE LINEAR

ASSOCIATION:  $\hat{\lambda}_{L} = -3(49.8) - 1(42.6) + 1(28.2) + 3(18.6)$ 

SSTREAT = 4734.72,

C. A research team studied how Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, could be minimized by choice of dosage of a medicine. They used four doses: 1, 2, 3, and 4 units. They randomly assigned 7 animals to 1 unit of dosage, 7 to 2 units, 7 to 3 units, and 7 to 4 units. The average values of Y at each dosage were  $y_{1\bullet} = 794$ ,  $y_{2\bullet} = 682$ ,  $y_{3\bullet} = 614$ , and  $y_{4\bullet} = 582$ , where  $y_i$  was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 1,2,3,4. The within dosage variances were  $s_1^2 = 8,804$ ,  $s_2^2 = 7,048$ ,  $s_3^2 = 10,466$ , and  $s_4^2 = 6,124$ . They found that  $y_{\bullet\bullet} = 668$  and that the average  $s_i^2$  was 8,110.5. The total sum of squares was 379,340. The coefficients of the linear contrast were -3,-1,1,3;, and  $\hat{\lambda}_{Lin} = -704.0$  The coefficients of the quadratic contrast were 1,-1,-1,1; and  $\hat{\lambda}_{Ouad} = 80.0$ . The coefficients of the cubic contrast were -1,3,-3,1; and  $\hat{\lambda}_{Cubic} = 8.0$ .

- 2. What are the values of the sum of squares due to the linear contrast, the sum of squares dues to the quadratic contrast, and the sum of squares due to the cubic contrast? What is the sum of squares for treatments? (40 points)
- 3. Find the analysis of variance table for the linear regression of *Y* on dosage, using the sum of squares due to the linear contrast as the sum of squares for the regression of *Y* on dosage. Test the null hypothesis that there is no linear association at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. (40 points)
- 4. Test the null hypothesis that the linear model is adequate at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. Report the analysis of variance table including the sum of squares due to lack of fit. What dosage appears to be optimal? (50 points).

2.  $\lambda_{L} = -704$ .  $\leq 3_{L} = \frac{2017}{2017} = 173,465.6 \text{ GN LDG}$ 1 = 80 SSq = (20) = 11, 200 ON IDE.  $\lambda_{c} = -8$   $SS_{c} = (\frac{\lambda_{c}}{\lambda_{c}})^{2} = 22.4$ SSTREAT = SSTORM - SSERROR = 379,340-24(MSE) = 379,340 - 194,652 = 184,688 SISTREM = SS, + SSQ + SSC = 173, 465.6+4,200+22.4 = 184,688. ANOVA TABLE 3. DE 55 AS F 1 173,465.6 173,465.6 21.9, Source LINGAR REGRESSION 26 205,874.4 7,918.2 ERROR REJECT HO: NO LIVEAR ASSOCIATION AT d= .01 × F(1,26) 10 2909 REJECT 01 7.721 RESECT ( AND 0 = .05 AND 0 = .10)

ANOVA TABLE 4

SS LOF = SSq + SSc = 11,200 + 22.4 = 11,222.4 ON 2 DF FLOR = 5611.2 = 0.69.

X F(2,24)

-10 2,538 ACCEPT

-05 3,403 ACCEPT

01 5.674

ACCEPT HO LINEAR MODEL ADEQUATE US H, LINEAR MODEL NOT ADEQUATE AT U= 10 (AND a= 05 AND X=.00.

OPTIMAL DOSAGE IS 4 UNITS OR HIGHER, IF POSSIBLE, THE LINEAR TREND IS STGNTFI CANTLY NEGATOUE

D. A research team studied how Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, could be maximized by choice of dosage of a medicine. They used four doses: 1, 2, 3, and 4 units. They randomly assigned 18 animals to 1 unit of dosage, 18 to 2 units, 18 to 3 units, and 18 to 4 units. The average values of Y at each dosage were  $y_{1\bullet} = 546$ ,  $y_{2\bullet} = 708$ ,  $y_{3\bullet} = 582$ , and  $y_{4\bullet} = 104$ , where  $y_i$  was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 1,2,3,4. The within dosage variances were  $s_1^2 = 310,026$ ,  $s_2^2 = 146,208$ ,  $s_3^2 = 124,722$ , and  $s_4^2 = 172,864$ . They found that  $y_{\bullet\bullet} = 485$  and that the average  $s_i^2$  was 188,455. The total sum of squares was 16,559,300. The coefficients of the linear contrast were -3,-1,1,3;, and  $\hat{\lambda}_{Lin} = -1452.0$  The coefficients of the quadratic contrast were 1,-1,-1,1; and  $\hat{\lambda}_{Quad} = -640.0$ . The coefficients of the cubic contrast were -1,3,-3,1; and  $\hat{\lambda}_{Cubic} = -64.0$ .

- What are the values of the sum of squares due to the linear contrast, the sum of squares dues to the quadratic contrast, and the sum of squares due to the cubic contrast? What is the sum of squares for treatments? (40 points)
- 3. Find the analysis of variance table for the linear regression of *Y* on dosage, using the sum of squares due to the linear contrast as the sum of squares for the regression of *Y* on dosage. Test the null hypothesis that there is no linear association at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. (40 points)
- 4. Test the null hypothesis that the linear model is adequate at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. Report the analysis of variance table including the sum of squares due to lack of fit. What dosage appears to be optimal? (50 points).

End of application of common information

2 
$$\lambda_{L} = (-1452.0)$$
,  $SS_{L} = (\hat{\lambda}_{L})^{2}[20/18] = 1,897,473.6$   
 $\hat{\lambda}_{Q} = (-640.0)$ ,  $SS_{Q} = (\hat{\lambda}_{Q})^{2}/[4/18] = 1,843,200.0$   
 $\hat{\lambda}_{C} = (-64)$ ,  $SS_{C} = [\hat{\lambda}_{C})^{2}/[20/18] = 3,686.4$ .  
 $SS_{TREAT} = SS_{TOTAL} - SS_{PE} = 16,559,300 - 68(188,455)$   
 $= 3,744,360$   
 $SS_{TREAT} = SS_{L} + SS_{Q} + SS_{C} = 1,897,473.6 + 1,843,200.0 + 3,686.4$   
 $= 3,744,360$   
 $SOURCE$  DE  $SS_{C} = 1,897,473.6 + 1,843,200.0 + 3,686.4$   
 $SOURCE$  DE  $SS_{C} = 1,897,473.6 + 1,843,200.0 + 3,686.4$   
 $SOURCE$  DE  $SS_{C} = 1,897,473.6 + 1,897,473.6$   
 $SOURCE$  DE  $SS_{C} = 1,897,473.6$   
 $SOURCE$  DE  $SS_{C} = 1,897,473.6$   
 $SS_{C} = 1,897,473.$ 

# X3 S2022P3 CONTINUED

REJECT HO NO LINEAR ASSOCIATION VS. H, LINEAR ASSOCIATION AT Q= 0.01 (AND Q=.05 AND Q=.10),

4.

LACK OF FIT ANOVA TABLE

MS DF 59 SOURCE 1 1,897,4736 LINEAR REG 923,443.2 LACK OF LINEAR FIT 2 1, 846, 986.4 12, 814, 940 188, 455.0 12, 814, 770 PURE ERROR

FLOR = 923,443.2 = 4.90 ON (2,68) DF. Fool (2,60)=4.98

X F(2,68) 010 2.382 REJECT

005 3,132. REJECT

4,932 ACCEPT (BARELY)

REJECT HO: LINEAR MODEL IS ADEQUATE VS H, LINEAR MODEL ADEQUATE AT Q= .05 (AND Q= .10)

F.OL (2,90)= 4.85

ACCEPT HO! LINEAR MODEL ADEQUATE AT Q=.01

HIGHEST MEMIDOSAGE IS 2 UNITS WITH OBSERVED MEAN = 708. THE 99% LSD IST 383,5 MSE (2)

OMY DOSAGE 144, DOSAGE 2 AND 4, AND DOSAGE 3 AND 4 APPEAR DIFFERENT DOSAGE 4 IS THE WORST DOST .....

5. The random variable Y, Y > 0, has  $E(Y) = \theta$  and  $var(Y) = \theta^{1.5}, \theta > 0$ . Find the approximate mean and variance of  $W = Y^p, p \neq 0$ . For what value of p is the approximate variance of W constant? (50 points).

$$f(y) = y^{p}$$
 $f'(y) = py^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(EY) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(y) = py^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(EY) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(y) = py^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(EY) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(Y) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(Y) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(Y) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $f'(Y) = p0^{p-1}$ 
 $p^{p-1}$ 
 $p^{p-1}$ 

The random variable Y, Y > 0, has  $E(Y) = \theta$  and  $var(Y) = \theta^{2.5}, \theta > 0$ . Find the approximate mean and variance of  $W = Y^p$ ,  $p \ne 0$ . For what value of p is the approximate variance of W constant? (50 points).

$$f'(y) = y^{f-1}$$
;  $f'(EY) = pe^{p-1}$ .  
 $E(w) \cong e^{p}$ ;  $f'(EY) = pe^{p-1}$ .  
 $VAR(w) \cong (f'(EY))^{2} VARY$   
 $VAR(w) \cong pe^{2p-2}e^{2.5}$ .  
 $VAR(w) = constant Approximately$   
 $when = 2p-2+2.5=0$ ;  $2p=-.5$   
 $p=-0.25$ .  
 $constant = vec{pe}{2}$ ;  $f(y) = vec{vec{pe}{2}}$ ;  $f(y) = -0.25y^{1/3}$   
 $E(w) \cong e^{-0.25}$   
 $VAR(w) = (-0.25)^{2}(e^{-1.25})^{2}e^{2.5} = (0.25)^{2}$ .

6. The random variables  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$ ,  $Y_3$  are independent and normally distributed but not identical. The distribution of  $Y_1$  is  $N(\mu + \alpha_1, \sigma^2)$ ; the distribution of  $Y_2$  is  $N(\mu + \alpha_2, \sigma^2)$ ; and the distribution of  $Y_3$  is  $N(\mu + \alpha_3, \sigma^2)$ , with  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$ . Let  $\overline{Y}_3 = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3}{3}$ . Find  $E(\sum_{i=1}^3 (Y_i - \overline{Y}_3)^2$ . This problem is worth 65 points.

## End of the Examination

RECALL "COMPUTATIONAL" FORMULA
$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (Y_{x} - \overline{Y}_{x})^{2} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (Y_{x}^{2}) - mY_{x}^{2}.$$
THEN 
$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (Y_{x}^{2} - \overline{Y}_{3})^{2} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} Y_{x}^{2} - 3\overline{Y}_{3}^{2}.$$

$$E(Y_{x}^{2}) = VAR(Y_{x}) + (EY_{x})^{2} = \sigma^{2} + (\mu + \alpha_{x})^{2}$$

$$= 3\sigma^{2} + \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (\sigma^{2} + (\mu + \alpha_{x})^{2})$$

$$= 3\sigma^{2} + 3\mu^{2} + 2\mu \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (x + \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{x}^{2} + 2\mu \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{x}^{2} + 2\mu \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{x}^{2} + 2\mu \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{x}^{2}$$

$$= 3\sigma^{2} + 3\mu^{2} + \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{x}^{2}$$

$$E(Y_{3}) = E(Y_{x} + Y_{x} + Y_{3}) = \mu^{2}$$

$$VAR(Y_{3}) = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{3}$$

$$-3E(Y_{3})^{2}T = -3(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} + \mu^{2})$$

$$E(Y_{3})^{2}T = -3(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} + \mu^{2})$$

$$E(Y_{3})^{2}T = -3(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} + \mu^{2})$$

$$= (2\sigma^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_{x}^{2})$$