

Name: ID:

**Directions**: Write your name in the space provided. Work each problem in the space underneath the problem and on the back side of the page. You may use a calculator but not a computer or cell-phone. *You may also use a single sheet of notes in your handwriting that is the size of the paper in this examination.* You may use only the paper in this form. You are on your honor not to use any other assistance during this examination. Do not make marks on the tables given to you to work this examination. Turn in your paper, your notes, and your tables at the end of the examination. There will be no partial credit given for a problem unless you show your work. In the event of a fire alarm, please take your papers, exit the room, find a private place to work, and turn in your examination to me in my office (Math Tower 1-113) by 6:00 pm today. In this event, you are still on your honor not to give or receive assistance.

The last problem is number 6. The total value of the examination is 240 points. Your graded examination will be returned on Tuesday.

Since the course satisfies requirements for actuarial credentials, academic integrity standards will be enforced strictly.

## Common Information for Questions 1, 2, and 3

A research team sought to estimate the model  $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 w$ . The variable Y was the systolic blood pressure measurement at age 40 (with a higher number indicating greater—more problematic—blood pressure). The variable x was a measure of the participant's blood glucose level at age 35 (higher values indicate greater—more problematic—blood glucose level); and the variable w was a measure of the participant's body mass index (BMI) at age 30 (higher values indicate greater—more problematic-obesity). They observed values of y, x, and w on n = 842 participants. The mean and **standard deviation** of Y (using n - 1 as divisor) were 125.4 mmHg and 20.8 mmHg respectively. The mean and **standard deviation** of x were 91.6 mg/dl and 15.7 mg/dl respectively. The correlation between x and x was 0.23; and the correlation between x and x was 0.23; and the correlation between x and x was 0.55.

1. Compute the partial correlation coefficients  $r_{y_{x = w}}$  and  $r_{y_{w = x}}$ . This question is worth 20 points.

+10 FOR EACH CORRECT PARTIALS.

2. Compute the analysis of variance table for the multiple regression analysis of Y. Include the sum of squares due to the regression on w the sum of squares due to the regression on x after including w, the error sum of squares, and the total sum of squares. Test the null hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = 0$  against the alternative  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ . What is the correct test? What is your conclusion? This question is worth 50 points.

- **3.** Is a mediation model or an explanation model a better explanation of the observed results? Circle the answer below corresponding to your conclusion. This question is worth 20 points.
  - a. An explanation model is better than a mediation model.
    b. A mediation model is better than an explanation model.
    - c. Neither model is a good explanation of the observed results.

## End of application of common information

35 Y 35 Y 30 EXPLANATION.

CORRECT OR NOT, NO PARTIAL CREDIT.

## Common Information for Questions 1, 2, and 3

A research team seeks to estimate the model  $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 w$  using data from a longitudinal sample of 686 participants. The variable Y is the participant's blood glucose level at age 40 (where a larger number indicates greater—more problematic--level); the variable x is the measure of the participant's educational attainment at age 30 (where a greater number indicates greater years of education completed); and the variable w is the participant's body mass index at age 35 (a larger number indicates more obesity). They observed values of y, x, and w for the participants. The mean and **standard deviation** of Y (using n-1 as divisor) were 129.4 mg/dl and 16.1 mg/dl respectively. The mean and **standard deviation** of x were 14.8 years and 2.9 years respectively. The mean and **standard deviation** of x were 26.8 and 8.9 respectively. The correlation between x and x was -0.30; and the correlation between x and x was -0.48.

1. Compute the partial correlation coefficients  $r_{y_x \cdot w}$  and  $r_{y_w \cdot x}$ . This question is worth 20 points.

+10 FOR EACH CORRECT PARTIALS

2. Compute the analysis of variance table for the multiple regression analysis of Y. Include the sum of squares due to the regression on x, the sum of squares due to the regression on w after including x, the error sum of squares, and the total sum of squares. Test the null hypothesis that  $\beta_2 = 0$  against the alternative  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ . What is the correct test? What is your decision? Use levels of significance 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. This question is worth 50 points.

What is the correct test? What is your decision? Use levels of significance 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. This question is worth 50 points.

$$TSS = (M-1)(\Delta_1)^2 = (885 (16.1)^2 = 177, 558.85)$$

$$REG \chi = (D_{Yx})^2 TSS = (-0.30)^2 TSS$$

$$= 15980. 2965.$$

$$TSS - REG \chi = 161578.555.$$

$$REG (w|\chi) = (D_{Yw\chi})^2 (TSS - REG \chi)$$

$$= (.5688)^2 (161578.555)$$

$$= 52276.065.$$

$$SSE = T8S - REG \chi - REG (w|\chi)$$

$$= 109304.4483$$

$$REG(w|\chi)$$

$$REG(w|\chi)$$

$$= 109304.4483$$

$$REG(w|\chi)$$

$$= 109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$109304.4483$$

$$1171,55885$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$= 326.658$$

$$=$$

IN ANOVA TABLE

CONCLUSION

-40 INCONSISTENT OR NO

SOURCE

REG(X)

ol

.10

,05

.01.

3.855

6.672

- 20 REVERSE SEQUENCE

3. Is a mediation model or an explanation model a better explanation of the observed results? Circle the answer below corresponding to your conclusion. This question is worth 20 points.

a. An explanation model is better than a mediation model.

A mediation model is better than an explanation model.

Neither model is a good explanation of the observed results.

End of application of common information

NO PARTITAL CREDIT, WRONG ANSWER - 20

EACH INCOR RECT IN CONSISTATO -10

## 

- 4. A research team studied Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, and how Y was affected by the dose of medicine. The research team sought to minimize E(Y). They used four doses of medicine: 0, 1, 2, and 3 units respectively. They randomly assigned 7 animals to dosage 0, 7 to dosage 1, 7 to dosage 2, and 7 to dosage 3. They observed that the average values of Y at each dosage were  $y_{0\bullet}$  = 481.6,  $y_{1\bullet} = 388.7$ ,  $y_{2\bullet} = 412.4$ , and  $y_{3\bullet} = 393.3$  where  $y_i$  was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 0, ..., 3, respectively. They also observed that  $s_0^2 = 8250.9$ ,  $s_1^2 = 9878.3$ ,  $s_2^2 = 10630.4$ , and  $s_3^2 = 7164.5$  where  $s_i^2$  was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 0, ..., 3, respectively.
  - a. Complete the one-way analysis of variance table for these results; that is, be sure to specify the degrees of freedom and sum of squares for the treatment source, the error source, and the total. Also include appropriate mean squares and test statistic.
  - b. Test the null hypothesis that the mean production is the same for each dosage against the alternative that the mean production is different for at least one dosage. What is the correct test? What is your conclusion?
  - c. What is your recommendation for the optimal setting. The three parts of this question are worth 60 points.

- 4. A research team studied Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, and how Y was affected by the dose of medicine. The research team sought to maximize E(Y). They used four doses of medicine: 0, 1, 2, and 3 units respectively. They randomly assigned 20 animals to dosage 0, 20 to dosage 1, 20 to dosage 2, and 20 to dosage 3. They observed that the average values of Y at each dosage were  $y_{0\bullet} = 71.6$ ,  $y_{1\bullet} = 106.4$ ,  $y_{2\bullet} = 98.7$ , and  $y_{3\bullet} = 83.3$  where  $y_i$  was the average of the observations taken with dosage  $i = 0, \ldots, 3$ , respectively. They also observed that  $s_0^2 = 1645.9$ ,  $s_1^2 = 1278.3$ ,  $s_2^2 = 1830.4$ , and  $s_3^2 = 1464.5$  where  $s_i^2$  was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage  $i = 0, \ldots, 3$ , respectively.
  - a. Complete the one-way analysis of variance table for these results; that is, be sure to specify the degrees of freedom and sum of squares for the treatment source, the error source, and the total. Also include appropriate mean squares and test statistic.
  - b. Test the null hypothesis that the mean production is the same for each dosage against the alternative that the mean production is different for at least one dosage. What is the correct test? What is your conclusion?
  - c. What is your recommendation for the optimal setting. The three parts of this question are worth 60 points.

Dose yi. 
$$yi.-y.$$
  $J.$   $O_{x}$   $O_{x}$ 

Source DL 32 A 4854

DOSE 3 14562.0 4854

CPURAL ERROR 76 1181629 1554.775

TOTAL 89 132724.9

$$F = \frac{4854}{1554.775} = 3.122$$
 .10 2.157 R
101 4.050

DI DOSAGE I LAS HEGHEST AVERAGE

95% PROTECTED T CI FOR MI-M2 IS 106.4 - 98.7 ± 1.992 1554.775 1=+元

7.7 ± 1.992 J 155.475

7.7 ± 1.992 (12.47)

7.2 ± 24.84.

THIS INCLUDES (MZ AND M, APPEAR EQUAL); BUT MI AND MO APPEAR DIFFERENT:

958 PROTECTED & FOR MI-MO:

106-927 + 24.84.

34.8 £ 24.84 EXCLUDES O.

95% PROTECTED I FOR MI-M3

106.4-83.3 ± 24.84 23.1 ± 23.1 24.84. INCLUDES OF BARELY).

-15 EACH INCORRECT ENTRY IN AMOVA TABLE. -40 INCONSISTENT OR NO CONCLUSION. -10 NO OPTEMAL SET TING

5. The random variable Y has  $E(Y) = \theta$  and  $var(Y) = \theta^2$ ,  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $W = Y^{1.5}$ . Find the approximate expected value and variance of W. This question is worth 40 points.

$$f(y) = y$$
  
 $f'(y) = 1.5 y$   
 $f'(y) = 1.5 \phi$   
 $f'(y) = 1.5 \phi$ 

+10 FOR CORRECT APPX E(W)
+30 FOR CORRECT APPX VAR(W)
+10 PARTIAL CREDIT CORRECT F'((EY))

5. The correlation matrix of the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & 0 & 0 \\ \rho & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \tau \\ 0 & 0 & \tau & 1 \end{pmatrix},$ 

 $0 < \rho, \tau < 1$ , and each random variable has variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $W_1 = -Y_1 - Y_2 + Y_3 + Y_4$ , and let  $W_2 = -Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - Y_4$ . Find the variance covariance matrix of  $(W_1, W_2)$ . This question is worth 40 points.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M \stackrel{?}{\text{ov}}(Y) = 0$$

TIO CORRECT M

-20 EACH INCORRECT VAR

- 20 EACH INCORAGET COV

6. A research team will run a one-way analysis of variance with I settings of the treatment variable. They will collect J observations at the first setting, J observations at the second setting, ..., and J observations at the Ith setting. That is, they will observe  $I \times J$  outcome values  $Y_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, I$ ;  $j = 1, \ldots, J$ . Let the ith treatment mean be

$$Y_{i\bullet} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J} Y_{ij}}{J}, i = 1, \dots, I; \text{ and let the grand mean be } Y_{\bullet \bullet} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} Y_{ij}}{IJ}. \text{ What is the value of } \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (Y_{ij} - Y_{i\bullet})(Y_{i\bullet} - Y_{\bullet \bullet})? \text{ Prove your answer. This question is worth 50 points.}$$

## **End of the Examination**

TE (Yiz - Yi) (Yi - Y.) = 0. +15 FOR ONE CORRECT MANIPULATION OF ABOVE -15 FOR EACH SUBSTANTIVE ERROR 三三三(インーイン)(イン・イン)= 三(イン・イン) こと(イン・イン) こと(イン・イン) コー = \( \( \( \tau\_{io} - \tau\_{oo} \) = 0. \( \sum\_{i=1}^{\infty} (\tau\_{io} - \tau\_{io} ) = 0 \) FORCE EXPANSION WORKS AS WELL IZ(Yes Vio - Yio Yio - Yis You + Yio You) = I (Yi. ITy) - II(Yi)2 - IJ(Y...)2 + JY.. IYi. = I (In(J(In)) - J I(In) - IJ(Y...) + IJ(Y...) =0

IT IS TRUE I (Yi. -Y...) =0. THE ATTEMPT TO USE

THES BY REVERSING ORDER OF SUMMATED IS COMPLEX

IT NOTE THAT (Yi.-Y..) HAS THE MULTIPLER (Yi)-Yi.)