SOLUTION

AMS 315 M2 SPRING 2020.

Common Information for Questions 1, 2, and 3

A research team studied Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, and how Y was affected by the dose of medicine. The research team sought to set the dose of the medicine so that E(Y) is minimized. They used four doses of the medicine: 0, 1, 2, and 3 units respectively. They randomly assigned 35 animals to dosage 0, 35 to dosage 1, 35 to dosage 2, and 35 to dosage 3. They observed that the average values of Y at each dosage were $y_{0\bullet} = 217, y_{1\bullet} = 181, y_{2\bullet} = 118$, and $y_{3\bullet} = 68$, where y_i was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively. They also observed that $s_0^2 = 26,425, s_1^2 = 19,564, s_2^2 = 31,950$, and $s_3^2 = 22,917$, where s_i^2 was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively.

- 1. Complete the analysis of variance table for these results; that is, be sure to specify the degrees of freedom, sums of squares, mean squares, F-test, and your conclusion. Test the null hypothesis that all treatment means are equal using significance levels 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. This question is worth 50 points.
- 2. Find the estimated linear contrast, the sum of squares due to the linear contrast and the 99% Scheffe confidence interval for the linear contrast. The coefficients of the linear contrast are -3, -1,1,3. This question is worth 30 points.
- 3. What is the optimal setting of dosage, and how do you document it? This question is worth 10 points.

End of Application of Common Information

DATA

1A. i DOSE J. Y20
$$x^2+y^2-y^2$$
0. $x^2+y^2-y^2$ 0. x^2+

SSE = 134 (MSE)= 3,429,104.

SOURCE

ANOVA TABLE.

	SOURCE	DE	SS		MS	1 577.
	TREATMENTS	3	459,6.9		15,3,230	6,0
	ERROR TOTAL.	136	3,888,	794.		
	of F13,	REJE REJE REJE	ECT	AGAINS AT d		== E(145)
2A	$\hat{\lambda} = -3(2)$ $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}$ $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}$ $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}$ $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}$	$(7) - 1(181)$ $(10)^{3} = 4$ $(2)^{3}$	+1(118)	+3(68	$0 \pm \sqrt{313929}$	(25,214(20) 35)
	SSLTN = $\frac{20/35}{20/35} = \frac{455}{150}$, $\frac{150}{150}$ 9990 SCHEFFE CI FOR $\frac{1}{150}$: $-510\pm\sqrt{313929}$) $\sqrt{25,214}$ $\frac{20}{35}$) $= -510\pm\sqrt{11.787}$ $\sqrt{14,408} = -510\pm3,433(120.03)$ $= -510\pm412,1 = -922.1$ to -97.9 $= -510\pm412,1 = -922.1$ to -97.9					
3A.	= -510± DOSE 3 OF THE NEGATI BY SCHEFFE	HIQ. I = -	MINE!	NIZES	E(Y) AS	SHOWN BT

Common Information for Questions 1, 2, and 3

A research team studied Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, and how Y was affected by the dose of medicine. The research team sought to set the dose of the medicine so that E(Y) is maximized. They used four doses of the medicine: 0, 1, 2, and 3 units respectively. They randomly assigned 10 animals to dosage 0, 10 to dosage 1, 10 to dosage 2, and 10 to dosage 3. They observed that the average values of Y at each dosage were $y_{0\bullet} = 92, y_{1\bullet} = 116, y_{2\bullet} = 110, and y_{3\bullet} = 86$, where y_i was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively. They also observed that $s_0^2 = 1,130, s_1^2 = 1,225, s_2^2 = 958$, and $s_3^2 = 1,419$, where s_i^2 was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively.

- 1. Complete the analysis of variance table for these results; that is, be sure to specify the degrees of freedom, sums of squares, mean squares, F-test, and your conclusion. Test the null hypothesis that all treatment means are equal using significance levels 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. This question is worth 50 points.
- 2. Find the estimated quadratic contrast, the sum of squares due to the quadratic contrast and the 99% Scheffe confidence interval for the quadratic contrast. The coefficients of the quadratic contrast are 1, -1, -1, 1. This question is worth 30 points.
- 3. What is the optimal setting of dosage, and how do you document it? This question is worth 10 points.

End of Application of Common Information

DATA

18 DOSE i J, yi
$$\lambda_{i} = y_{i} - 3$$
 λ_{i}

0 i 10 92 -9 1130

1 2 10 116 15 1225

2 3 10 10 9 958

3 4 10 86 -15 1419

40 404 0 4732

y = 101. MSE = 1183

SSE = 42,588 = 36(1183) ON 36 DF.

 $\hat{L}(\hat{a}_{i})^{2} = 612$ S5 TREATMENT = $10(612) = 6,120$ ON 3 DF.

MSTREATMENT = 20 40

ANOVA TRIBLE

SOURCE DE 55 MS F.

TREATMENTS 3 6,120 2040 1.724.

ERROR 36 412,588 1183

ERROR 39 48,708

TOTAL 4,2588 1183

 $\lambda_{i} = \frac{1}{2}$
 $\lambda_{i} = \frac{1}$

3B. THERE IS NO OPTEMAL DOSE AS WE ACCEPT THE OVER ALL NULL HYPOTHESIS AT Q=10

Common Information for Problems 4, 5, and 6

A research team studied Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, and how Y was affected by the dose of medicine. The research team sought to minimize E(Y). They used four doses of medicine: 0, 1, 2, and 3 units respectively. They randomly assigned 16 animals to dosage 0, 16 to dosage 1, 16 to dosage 2, and 16 to dosage 3. They observed that the average values of Y at each dosage were $y_{0\bullet} = 388$, $y_{1\bullet} = 324$, $y_{2\bullet} = 222$, and $y_{3\bullet} = 174$, where y_i was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively. They also observed that $s_0^2 = 25,040.5$, $s_1^2 = 19,918.3$, $s_2^2 = 31,125.6$, and $s_3^2 = 24,815.6$, where s_i^2 was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively. They correctly calculated that $y_{\bullet\bullet} = 277$ and that the average s_i^2 was 25,225. The total sum of squares was 1,964,124.0. They also correctly calculated the values of the three contrasts. The coefficients of the linear contrast were -3, -1,1,3; and $\hat{\lambda}_{Lin} = -744$. The coefficients of the quadratic contrast were 1, -1, -1,1; and $\hat{\lambda}_{Quad} = 16$. The coefficients of the cubic contrast were -1,3,-3,1; and $\hat{\lambda}_{Cubic} = 92$.

- 4. What are the values of the sum of squares due to the linear contrast, the sum of squares dues to the quadratic contrast, and the sum of squares due to the cubic contrast? This question is worth 20 points.
- 5. Report the analysis of variance table for the linear regression of *Y* on dosage. Use the sum of squares due to the linear contrast as the sum of squares for the regression of *Y* on dosage. Test the null hypothesis that there is no linear association at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. What is the optimal setting of the dosage? This question is worth 40 points.
- 6. Test the null hypothesis that the linear model is adequate at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. Report the analysis of variance table including the sum of squares due to lack of fit. This question is worth 50 points.

End of application of common information

LACKOFFIT 2 7,795,2 3,897.6

PURE ERROR 60 1,513,500 25,225.0

TOTAL 63 1,964,124.0 FLOR = MSLOR = 3,897.6 = 6.155 MSPE = 25,225.0 ACCEPT HO! LINEAR MODEL x F (2,60). 2.393. ACCEPT IS ADEQUATE AT DE. 10. 3.150 ACCEPT 4.977 ACCEPT 100

Common Information for Problems 4, 5, and 6

A research team studied Y, the protein production of a laboratory animal, and how Y was affected by the dose of medicine. The research team sought to maximize E(Y). They used four doses of medicine: 0, 1, 2, and 3 units respectively. They randomly assigned 25 animals to dosage 0, 25 to dosage 1, 25 to dosage 2, and 25 to dosage 3. They observed that the average values of Y at each dosage were $y_{0\bullet} = 24$, $y_{1\bullet} = 136$, $y_{2\bullet} = 184$, and $y_{3\bullet} = 152$, where y_i was the average of the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively. They also observed that $s_0^2 = 15,040.5$, $s_1^2 = 19,918.3$, $s_2^2 = 11,125.6$, and $s_3^2 = 16,815.6$, where s_i^2 was the unbiased estimate of the variance for the observations taken with dosage i = 0,1,2,3, respectively. They correctly calculated that $y_{\bullet\bullet} = 124$ and that the average s_i^2 was 15,725. The total sum of squares was 1,872,800.0. They also correctly calculated the values of the three contrasts. The coefficients of the linear contrast were -3, -1,1,3; and $\hat{\lambda}_{Lin} = 432$. The coefficients of the quadratic contrast were 1, -1, -1,1; and $\hat{\lambda}_{Quad} = -144$. The coefficients of the cubic contrast were -1,3,-3,1; and $\hat{\lambda}_{Cubic} = -16$.

- 4. What are the values of the sum of squares due to the linear contrast, the sum of squares dues to the quadratic contrast, and the sum of squares due to the cubic contrast? This question is worth 20 points.
- 5. Report the analysis of variance table for the linear regression of *Y* on dosage. Use the sum of squares due to the linear contrast as the sum of squares for the regression of *Y* on dosage. Test the null hypothesis that there is no linear association at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. What is the optimal setting of the dosage? This question is worth 40 points.
- 6. Test the null hypothesis that the linear model is adequate at the 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 levels of significance. Report the analysis of variance table including the sum of squares due to lack of fit. This question is worth 50 points.

End of application of common information

4D) LIN = 432, SSLIN = (432) = 233,280,0 1 = -144., SSQUAD = (-144)² = 129,600.0 $\lambda_{\text{CuBIC}} = -16$, SS $_{\text{CuBIC}} = (\frac{-16)^2}{20/25} = 320.0$. NOTE SSLIN + SSRUND + SSCUBIC = 363,200 = SSTREAMENTS. ANOVA TABLE, CHIL LIVEAR REGRESSION ANALYSES 50. 1 233,280,0 233,280,0 13.94 SS Source DF ERROR 98 1,639,520,0 16,729,8 TOTAL 99 1,872,800 LINEAR REJECT HO! NO LINEAR ASSOCIATION d F(d,1,98). VS HI: LINEAR ASSOCIATION AT 2.757, REJECT · W 3.938 REJECT 0=.01. .05 6.901. REJECT THE LARGEST OBSERVED RESPONSE IS FOR DOSAGE 2. FISHER'S LSD IS taste, 96 MSPE (3) = 2.628 MSPE(2) = 93.2. BY LSD, DOSE O FS ONLY. LESS THAN THE OTHERS, REPORTING DOSE 2 AS OPTIMAL IS SUPPORTED BY THE SIGNIFICANT LINEAR TREND AND QUADRATTE TREND, MOST ANALYSTS WOULD FIT A QUADRATEC MODEL TO THES DATA. ANOVA TABLE, LACK OF EIT FOR LIDUEAR MODEL. (D) MS. DIF SS 2 129,920.0 64,960 4.131 96 1,509,600.0 15,725 SOURCE LINEAR LACK OF PIT PURE ERROR 1,872,800,0 REJECT HO LINEAR MODEL ADEQUATE US TOTAL H, LIWEAR MODEL NOT ADEQUATE AT F(2,96) 2 01=.10 AND 01=.05 BUT NOT AT 01=.01. 010 2.359. .05 3.091 .01 4.833.

7. The random variable Y, Y > 0, has $E(Y) = \theta$ and $var(Y) = \theta^4, \theta > 0$. Find the approximate mean and variance of $W = Y^p, p \neq 0$. For what value of p is the approximate variance of W constant? (50 points).

E(W)
$$\cong$$
 Θ^{ρ} $\rho \neq 0$; $\omega = y^{\rho}$. $F'(\omega) = \rho(y^{\rho-1})$.

VAR(ψ^{ρ}) \cong $(f'(\Theta)^{2})$ $VAR(Y^{\rho}) = \rho^{2}(\Theta^{\rho-1})^{2} \Theta^{4}$.

VAR(Y^{ρ}) \cong $(f'(\Theta)^{2})$ $VAR(Y^{\rho}) = \rho^{2}(\Theta^{\rho-1})^{2} \Theta^{4}$.

VAR(Y^{ρ}) \cong ρ^{2} $\Theta^{2\rho-2}$ Θ^{4} $=$ ρ^{2} $\Theta^{2\rho+2}$.

 $\Theta^{2\rho+2} = k$ when $2\rho + 2 = 0$, $p = -1$.

THAT IS, USE Y^{-1} .

ALSO

VAR(Y^{ρ}) $=$ Θ^{4} ; $\Theta_{Y}^{\gamma} =$ Θ^{2} , $e^{2\rho}$, $e^{$

- 8. The random vector Y is $n \times 1$, with $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$, where β is a $p \times 1$ vector of (unknown) constants, X is an $n \times p$ matrix of known constants with rank(X) = p (so that $(X^TX)^{-1}$ exists), ε is an $n \times 1$ vector of random variables with $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ and vcv(Y) = V where V is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix. Let $W = (X^TX)^{-1}X^TY$, where X^T is the transpose of X.
 - a. Find E(W). (10 points)
 - b. Find the variance-covariance matrix of W, vcv(W). (50 points).

End of the Examination

a
$$E(\omega) = E((X^{\dagger}X)^{\dagger}X^{\top}Y) = (X^{\dagger}X)^{\dagger}X^{\dagger}E(Y)$$

$$= (X^{\dagger}X)^{\dagger}X^{\top}XB = \beta$$
b. $Vcv(\omega) = Vcv[(X^{\dagger}X)^{\dagger}X^{\top}Y] = Vcv(MY)$
where $M = (X^{\dagger}X)^{\dagger}X^{\dagger}$

$$Vcv(\omega) = M vcv(Y) M^{\dagger} = (X^{\dagger}X)^{\dagger}(X^{\dagger}VX)X^{\dagger}X^{\dagger}$$