

Powell - AreaCalculator Summary

Monday, April 25, 2022 2:57 AM

Below are my initial complexity findings. `getSquareArea(string)` shows a complexity of 2, while the other methods are 1. I chose to look at `getSquareArea(string)` and `getCircleRadius(int)` which showed the lower maintainability index.

Hierarchy ▲	Maintainability Index	Cyclomatic Complexity	Depth c
■ ■ AreaCalculator (Debug)	■	94	10
▲ {} AreaCalculatorNS	■	94	10
▲ 🐞 AreaCalculator	■	88	9
🔗 PI : decimal	■	93	0
🔗 Dictionary : Dictionary<string, int>	■	80	0
🔗 Calculate(int, int) : double	■	94	1
🔗 getSquareArea(int) : double	■	95	1
🔗 getSquareArea(string) : double	■	81	2
🔗 getRectangleArea(int, int) : double	■	94	1
🔗 getParallelogramArea(int, int) : do	■	94	1
🔗 getTriangleArea(int, int) : double	■	91	1
🔗 getCircleRadius(int) : double	■	89	1
🔗 getCircleDiameter(int) : double	■	95	1
▶ 🐞 Program	■	100	1

My initial coverage results showed 0% coverage - which was because I had forgotten that test case. Update Below:

Hierarchy	Not Covered (Blocks)	Not Covered (% Blocks)	Covered (Blocks)	Covered (% Blocks)
▲ 🐞 Andrea_DESKTOP-3CFUEIH 2022...	11	12.94%	74	87.06%
▲ 🐞 areacalculator.dll	6	11.32%	47	88.68%
▲ {} AreaCalculatorNS	6	11.32%	47	88.68%
▲ 🐞 AreaCalculator	0	0.00%	47	100.00%
🔗 AreaCalculator()	0	0.00%	13	100.00%
🔗 Calculate(int, int)	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
🔗 getCircleDiameter...	0	0.00%	3	100.00%
🔗 getCircleRadius(int)	0	0.00%	9	100.00%
🔗 getParallelogram...	0	0.00%	3	100.00%
🔗 getRectangleArea(...	0	0.00%	3	100.00%
🔗 getSquareArea(int)	0	0.00%	3	100.00%
🔗 getSquareArea(stri...	0	0.00%	8	100.00%
🔗 getTriangleArea(in...	0	0.00%	3	100.00%
▶ 🐞 Program	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
▶ 🐞 areacalculatortest.dll	5	15.63%	27	84.38%

It took me several tries to get all the test pieces wired up and working together, mostly with confusion over namespaces when accessing functions and parameters. This is the first time I have worked with Unit Testing or a solution with multiple projects and chased my tail before I got my mind wrapped around things. I don't think there was any one thing, it was just the combination of all the things. I did have low complexity on each method and 100% coverage on methods without changes to initial AreaCalculator class, so I felt good with that.