

Lab 2: Stochastic expansion modeling

MAE573: Optimization Methods for Energy Systems Engineering

Jesse Jenkins, Jonah Langlieb, Godwin Obi

Fall 2025

In this lab session we will work with a full complex capacity expansion model and use stochastic optimization to understand how to model parametric uncertainty. By the end of this lab session, students will be comfortable working with a basic capacity expansion model and gain hands-on experience with two-stage stochastic optimization

Please work through the steps below in groups of 2-3, making sure to discuss as a team when possible.

Both team members should do these first steps individually on their own computers, to be ready for HW5:

1. Download and install VSCode, and the Julia extension, if you don't have it already.
2. Download and install the [Gurobi solver](#), making sure to obtain an academic license, if you don't have it already.
3. Test that your Gurobi license is working using [these instructions](#).
4. Install the Gurobi package in Julia by going to the package manager and typing
`] add Gurobi`

The remainder of the steps should be done as a team. For the questions which require you to propose changes and analyze results, please type up your responses in a separate document and submit your responses to the Canvas assignment (one per team). Please include the names of all team members on this document.

- **Refresh your course repository to the latest version.**
Then, open the `extra_labs/Lab3/Lab3.ipynb` file in the course repo. We will work through the different cells throughout this lab to experiment with stochastic optimization within a complex capacity expansion model.
- **Install CairoMakie**
While we discuss, install `CairoMakie` (see the top of the notebook) as it will take some time to compile
- **Familiarize yourself `generate_stochastic_model`**
This is the main workhorse function for this lab and is more complicated than

previous JuMP models seen so far.

As with any sort of complicated code, make a few passes, getting a sense of:

- the main indices
- the different types of constraints we've discussed in class (e.g. storage, existing/new-built generators, ramping limits, etc).
- how stochastic programming modifies the structure (hint: look for the scenario indices $s \in \mathcal{S}$)
- where does the 'planning' half end and the 'operations' half begin

Then to check your understanding:

Pick one of the operational constraints and walk through it in detail, discussing each part

Explain why the objective and `eOperationCosts` looks the way it does

- **Understand the setup.**

The notebook includes **five scenarios (s1–s5)**, each representing a different combination of load, fuel prices, and renewable generation profiles. Each scenario can be solved both **deterministically** (as if it is the only possible future) and **stochastically** (when a subset of the futures are considered together with assigned probabilities).

Section 2 — Deterministic and Stochastic Runs

- **Run the stochastic model with equal probabilities.**

Run the cell which has three equal-weight scenarios. This will solve the two-stage stochastic model, where first-stage capacity decisions are made before knowing which scenario occurs.

- **Run the deterministic models.**

Now resolve where you run each scenario individually, examining the results.

- **Compare deterministic and stochastic outcomes.**

- How do the stochastic optimization results compare with the deterministic results?
- What are the expected total system costs? How does this compare with the system costs for the deterministic case?
- How does the capacity mix compare to the deterministic results?
- Which scenario has the highest system cost within the stochastic solutions?

- **Re-run the stochastic model with higher weight in the worst case (scenario with the highest system cost).**

Increase the probability-weight of the worst-case scenario.

How does the optimal strategy change as you increase the weighting?

How much does the cost reduce in the worst-case scenario as you increase this weight? What is the tradeoff in expected cost assuming equal probability for all scenarios?

If have extra time:

- Play around with different probabilistic weights and different scenario multipliers
- The generator data itself can be modified in
`../../complex_expansion_data/10_days/` (or with julia at
`base_inputs[:generators]`).
How does modifying the initial conditions and various costs change the outputs
- How could you model deep uncertainty here