

# CS57800 Statistical Machine Learning

## HOMEWORK 4

**Ting Zhang**

School of Industrial Engineering  
zhan1013@purdue.edu

December 10, 2015

### 1 Probability

1. From the probability table, we can get

$$P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{15} + \frac{4}{45} = \frac{7}{18}$$
$$P(Y = 1) = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{8}{45} + \frac{4}{45} = \frac{7}{15}$$

and

$$P(X = 1, Y = 1) = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{4}{45} = \frac{17}{90}$$

Then, we can observe,

$$P(X = 1) \times P(Y = 1) = \frac{7}{18} \times \frac{7}{15} = \frac{49}{270} \neq P(X = 1, Y = 1)$$

Therefore, X is not independent on Y.

Another way to prove X is not independent on Y is to prove  $P(X|Y) \neq P(X)$ .

$$P(X = 1|Y = 1) = \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 1)}{P(Y = 1)} = \frac{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{4}{45}}{\frac{7}{15}} = \frac{17}{42}$$

which is not equal to  $P(X = 1) = \frac{7}{18}$ .

2. To show if X is conditionally independent of Y given Z, we need to do the following calculation:

Given  $Z = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X = 1|Z = 1) &= \frac{P(X = 1, Z = 1)}{P(Z = 1)} = \frac{\frac{2}{15} + \frac{4}{35}}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{1}{3} \\
 P(X = 0|Z = 1) &= 1 - P(X = 1|Z = 1) = \frac{2}{3} \\
 P(Y = 1|Z = 1) &= \frac{P(Y = 1, Z = 1)}{P(Z = 1)} = \frac{\frac{8}{45} + \frac{4}{35}}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{2}{5} \\
 P(Y = 0|Z = 1) &= 1 - P(Y = 1|Z = 1) = \frac{3}{5} \\
 P(X = 1, Y = 1|Z = 1) &= \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 1, Z = 1)}{P(Z = 1)} = \frac{\frac{4}{45}}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{2}{15} \\
 P(X = 1, Y = 0|Z = 1) &= \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 0, Z = 1)}{P(Z = 1)} = \frac{\frac{2}{15}}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{1}{5} \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 1|Z = 1) &= \frac{P(X = 0, Y = 1, Z = 1)}{P(Z = 1)} = \frac{\frac{8}{45}}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{4}{15} \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 0|Z = 1) &= \frac{P(X = 0, Y = 0, Z = 1)}{P(Z = 1)} = \frac{\frac{4}{15}}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{2}{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X = 1, Y = 1|Z = 1) &= P(X = 1|Z = 1) \times P(Y = 1|Z = 1), \\
 P(X = 1, Y = 0|Z = 1) &= P(X = 1|Z = 1) \times P(Y = 0|Z = 1), \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 1|Z = 1) &= P(X = 0|Z = 1) \times P(Y = 1|Z = 1), \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 0|Z = 1) &= P(X = 0|Z = 1) \times P(Y = 0|Z = 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Given  $Z = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X = 1|Z = 0) &= \frac{P(X = 1, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{10}}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{2} \\
 P(X = 0|Z = 0) &= 1 - P(X = 1|Z = 0) = \frac{1}{2} \\
 P(Y = 1|Z = 0) &= \frac{P(Y = 1, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{3}{5} \\
 P(Y = 0|Z = 0) &= 1 - P(Y = 1|Z = 0) = \frac{2}{5} \\
 P(X = 1, Y = 1|Z = 0) &= \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 1, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{10}}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{3}{10} \\
 P(X = 1, Y = 0|Z = 0) &= \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 0, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{15}}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{5} \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 1|Z = 0) &= \frac{P(X = 0, Y = 1, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{10}}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{3}{10} \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 0|Z = 0) &= \frac{P(X = 0, Y = 0, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{15}}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X = 1, Y = 1|Z = 0) &= P(X = 1|Z = 0) \times P(Y = 1|Z = 0), \\
 P(X = 1, Y = 0|Z = 0) &= P(X = 1|Z = 0) \times P(Y = 0|Z = 0), \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 1|Z = 0) &= P(X = 0|Z = 0) \times P(Y = 1|Z = 0), \\
 P(X = 0, Y = 0|Z = 0) &= P(X = 0|Z = 0) \times P(Y = 0|Z = 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given  $Z$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  is independent.

3.

$$P(X = 0|X + Y > 0) = \frac{P(X = 0, (X + Y) > 0)}{P(X + Y > 0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{8}{45}}{\frac{1}{15} + \frac{2}{15} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{8}{45} + \frac{4}{45}} = \frac{5}{12}$$

## 2 Hidden Markov Model

1. The HMM model can be described as:

- States:  $\{N, C\}$ , where  $N$  means nice day, and  $C$  means cold day.
- Observations:  $\{O, I, H\}$ , where  $O$  means groundhog outside his burrow,  $I$  means inside the burrow and  $H$  means only his head sticking out of the burrow.
- Initial State Probabilities:  $P(S_1 = N) = P(S_1 = C) = 1/2$ .
- Transition Probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(S_t = N|S_{t-1} = N) &= \frac{2}{3} \\
 P(S_t = N|S_{t-1} = C) &= \frac{1}{2} \\
 P(S_t = C|S_{t-1} = N) &= \frac{1}{3} \\
 P(S_t = C|S_{t-1} = C) &= \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Observation Probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(O_t = O|S_t = N) &= \frac{2}{3} \\
 P(O_t = I|S_t = N) &= \frac{1}{6} \\
 P(O_t = H|S_t = N) &= \frac{1}{6} \\
 P(O_t = O|S_t = C) &= \frac{1}{4} \\
 P(O_t = I|S_t = C) &= \frac{1}{2} \\
 P(O_t = H|S_t = C) &= \frac{1}{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

	t=1	t=2	t=3	t=4
Nice	$V_{11}$	$V_{21}$	$V_{31}$	$V_{41}$
Cold	$V_{12}$	$V_{22}$	$V_{32}$	$V_{42}$

2. To find out the most likely sequence of states, we can apply the viterbi algorithm using the initial state probabilities, transition and observation probabilities. We can build the table like this:

The probabilities of the first column of the table as the initial probabilities, can be computed as:

$$V_{11} = P(O_1 = I|S_1 = N)P(S_1 = N) = \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$V_{12} = P(O_1 = I|S_1 = C)P(S_1 = C) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

Then, the following probabilities can be calculated following this equation:

$$V_{tk} = \max_{x \in S} (P(O_t|S_t = k) \times P(k|S_{t-1} = x) \times V_{t-1,x}),$$

where  $k$  is the current state. Therefore, we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{21} &= \max(P(O_2 = H|S_2 = N) \times P(S_2 = N|S_1 = N) \times V_{11}, \\ &\quad P(O_2 = H|S_2 = N) \times P(S_2 = N|S_1 = C) \times V_{12}) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{6} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{108}, \frac{1}{48}\right) = \frac{1}{48} \\ V_{22} &= \max(P(O_2 = H|S_2 = C) \times P(S_2 = C|S_1 = N) \times V_{11}, \\ &\quad P(O_2 = H|S_2 = C) \times P(S_2 = C|S_1 = C) \times V_{12}) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{144}, \frac{1}{32}\right) = \frac{1}{32} \\ V_{31} &= \max(P(O_3 = I|S_3 = N) \times P(S_3 = N|S_2 = N) \times V_{21}, \\ &\quad P(O_3 = I|S_3 = N) \times P(S_3 = N|S_2 = C) \times V_{22}) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{6} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{48}, \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{32}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{432}, \frac{1}{384}\right) = \frac{1}{384} \\ V_{32} &= \max(P(O_3 = I|S_3 = C) \times P(S_3 = C|S_2 = N) \times V_{21}, \\ &\quad P(O_3 = I|S_3 = C) \times P(S_3 = C|S_2 = C) \times V_{22}) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{48}, \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{32}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{288}, \frac{1}{128}\right) = \frac{1}{128} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{41} &= \max(P(O_4 = O|S_4 = N) \times P(S_4 = N|S_3 = N) \times V_{31}, \\
&\quad P(O_4 = O|S_4 = N) \times P(S_4 = N|S_3 = C) \times V_{32}) \\
&= \max\left(\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{384}, \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{128}\right) \\
&= \max\left(\frac{1}{864}, \frac{1}{384}\right) = \frac{1}{384} \\
V_{42} &= \max(P(O_4 = O|S_4 = C) \times P(S_4 = C|S_3 = N) \times V_{31}, \\
&\quad P(O_4 = O|S_4 = C) \times P(S_4 = C|S_3 = C) \times V_{32}) \\
&= \max\left(\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{384}, \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{128}\right) \\
&= \max\left(\frac{1}{4608}, \frac{1}{1024}\right) = \frac{1}{1024}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the table now looks like:

	t=1	t=2	t=3	t=4
Nice	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{48}$	$\frac{1}{384}$	$\frac{1}{384}$
Cold	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	$\frac{1}{128}$	$\frac{1}{1024}$

From this table, we will start from the last column,  $t = 4$ . We pick the largest value and check its condition in the equations above. First, we pick  $P = 1/384$ , and found it is the case of  $S_3 = C$  and  $S_4 = N$ . Then, we go back to column of  $t = 3$  in the table. Since,  $S_3 = C$ ,  $P = 1/128$  is selected and the case of  $S_3 = C$  and  $S_2 = C$  is found. Then, since  $S_2 = C$ , in column of  $t = 2$ ,  $P = 1/32$  is selected and found  $S_2 = C$  and  $S_1 = C$ . Therefore, the most likely sequence of states is  $\{C, C, C, N\}$ .

### 3 Naive Bayes

1. The threshold function can be formulated as:

$$f_{TH(3,7)} = 1 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^7 x_i \geq 3.$$

Therefore, it is a linear combination of all components with coefficient of 1. It is a linear decision surface over the 7 dimensional Boolean cube.

2. Since the data sampled are uniformly distributed, we can calculate the probabilities as following:

To compute  $P(f_{TH(3,7)} = 0)$ , we need to count the number of combinations that has 0, 1, or 2 components are 0, which is  ${}^7C_0 = 1, {}^7C_1 = 7, {}^7C_2 = 21$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(f_{TH(3,7)} = 0) &= \frac{1}{128} + \frac{7}{128} + \frac{21}{128} = \frac{29}{128} \\
P(f_{TH(3,7)} = 1) &= 1 - P(f_{TH(3,7)} = 0) = 1 - \frac{29}{128} = \frac{99}{128}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we denote the result of  $f_{TH(3,7)}$  as label. Then, similar method is applied to compute the conditional probabilities  $p_i = P(x_i = 1|label = 1)$  and  $q_i = P(x_i = 1|label = 0)$ . Therefore, to compute  $q_i$ , given label equals 0, we need to count the number of combinations that has  $x_i$  equals 1. When the label is 0, and  $x_i$  is 1, it means there can be 0 or 1 more components are 1, which is  ${}^6C_0 = 1$  and  ${}^6C_1 = 6$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$q_i = P(x_i = 1|label = 0) = \frac{1}{1 + 7 + 21} + \frac{6}{1 + 7 + 21} = \frac{7}{29}.$$

Then, to compute  $p_i$ , given label equals 1, we need to count the number of combinations that has  $x_i$  equals 1. When the label is 1 and  $x_i$  is 1, it means there must be 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 more components are 1, which is  ${}^6C_2 = 15$ ,  ${}^6C_3 = 20$ ,  ${}^6C_4 = 15$ ,  ${}^6C_5 = 6$  and  ${}^6C_6 = 1$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$p_i = P(x_i = 1|label = 1) = \frac{15}{99} + \frac{20}{99} + \frac{15}{99} + \frac{6}{99} + \frac{1}{99} = \frac{57}{99}.$$

Then, the hypothesis can be formulated as, we predict  $label = 1$  iff:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{P(label = 1)}{P(label = 0)} + \sum_i \log \frac{1 - p_i}{1 - q_i} + \sum_i (\log \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} - \log \frac{q_i}{1 - q_i}) x_i &\geq 0 \\ \log \frac{99}{29} + \sum_i \log \left( \frac{42}{99} / \frac{22}{29} \right) + \sum_i (\log \frac{57}{42} - \log \frac{7}{22}) x_i &\geq 0 \\ 0.533 + 7 \times (-0.252) + \sum_i (0.133 - (-0.497)) x_i &\geq 0 \\ 0.63 \sum_i x_i &\geq 1.231 \\ \sum_i x_i &\geq 1.954 \end{aligned}$$

3. The hypothesis generated by Naive Bayes can't represent this function,  $f_{TH(3,7)}$ . If there are only two components of the Cube point is 1, the Naive Bayes hypothesis would classify the label as 1; however, the function,  $f_{TH(3,7)}$ , will generate a label of 0, instead. The Naive Bayes hypothesis can correctly classify the points who has 3, or more than 3 components of 1s, or has 0 or 1 component of 1s.
4. The assumption of Naive Bayes is that feature values are independent given the target value, which means

$$P(x_1 = b_1, x_2 = b_2, \dots, x_n = b_n | v = v_j) = \prod_i P(x_i = b_i | v = v_j).$$

The function,  $f_{TH(3,7)}$ , does not satisfy the naive Bayes assumption. First, we can compute,

$$P(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, \dots, x_7 = 1 | label = 1) = \frac{P(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, \dots, x_7 = 1, label = 1)}{P(label = 1)} = \frac{1}{99}$$

Since the data is uniformly sampled, as computed in last sub-question,

$$P(x_1 = 1 | label = 1) = P(x_2 = 1 | label = 1) = \dots = P(x_7 = 1 | label = 1) = \frac{57}{99}.$$

Then, we can observe

$$P(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, \dots, x_7 = 1 | \text{label} = 1) = \frac{1}{99} \neq \prod_{i=1}^7 P(x_i = 1 | \text{label} = 1) = \left(\frac{57}{99}\right)^7,$$

which means the feature values are not independent given the target value.