

Credit Card Behaviour Score Prediction Using Classification and Risk-Based Techniques

Name: Pranad Lakhote

Enroll No: 23117072

For Codes and Results: https://github.com/Pr4140xD/default-credit-pred-finclubOP

Title: To improve its credit risk management framework by developing a forward-looking Behaviour Score — a classification model that predicts whether a credit card customer will default in the following month.

EDA Findings and Financial insights:

****Separate file having plots and visualisation is Attached****

1. Class Distribution (Default vs Non-Default)

- Observation: The dataset is imbalanced, with significantly more non-default (label 0) than default (label 1) cases.
- Implication: Default is a rare event, typical in credit risk. This requires special modeling considerations like:
 - SMOTE oversampling
 - Class weight adjustments
 - Evaluation using recall, AUC, and F1-score, not just accuracy.

2. Payment History (PAY_0 to PAY_6)

Visualization: Distribution of payment status codes across 6 months. A code of -1/0 indicates no delay or full payment, 1+ indicates months delayed.

- Insight:
 - Customers who have had multiple delayed payments (especially PAY_0 \geq 1) are much more likely to default.
 - The recency of missed payments (especially in PAY_0 and PAY_2) is critical—recent delinquencies strongly predict upcoming default.
- Theory: Delinquency behavior is a leading indicator of financial stress or poor repayment behavior.

3. Average Utilization vs Default

- Observation: Default rates are significantly higher for customers with:
 - o High, Very High, or Over Limit utilization.
- Financial Insight:
 - Credit utilization is a core risk metric. It reflects how much credit is used relative to the available limit.
 - High utilization often signals dependence on credit, financial overextension, and increases default probability.

4. Payment Ratio Distribution

- Definition: Payment ratio = Total Payment / Total Bill.
- Observation:
 - o A low payment ratio is strongly associated with defaulting.
 - Many defaulting customers have payment ratios < 0.5, indicating partial or minimum payments only.
- Implication:
 - Poor repayment habits or systematic underpayment flag a likely upcoming default.
 - Payment behavior trends (like shrinking ratios) can be used as early warning signals.

5. Bill Amount vs Payment Amount

- Visualization: Scatter of total bills vs. payments.
- Observation: Many defaulters show a pattern of paying less than billed, sometimes consistently.
- Analysis:

- This creates payment deficits, which accumulate over time and lead to default.
- o A growing gap between bill and payment amounts is a high-risk trend.

6. Education Level vs Default

- Observation: Higher defaults among high school and others/unknown categories than university graduates.
- Theory Insight:
 - o Education level can act as a proxy for financial literacy and income potential.
 - Better educated borrowers may have higher repayment capacity and more awareness of credit management.

7. Age Group vs Default

- Observation: Default rate is higher among 20–29 and 30–39 age groups.
- Explanation:
 - o Younger customers may lack financial stability, job security, or budgeting discipline.
 - Older age groups often have more stable income and lower default propensity.

8. Marital Status vs Default

- Observation: Higher defaults in single and divorced groups.
- Financial Interpretation:
 - Marital status may correlate with household stability, financial obligations, and support systems.
 - Single/divorced individuals might have higher discretionary spending or lower support during financial distress.

9. Credit Limit vs Default

- Observation: Default occurs across all limit levels, but higher limits may be associated with fewer defaults.
- Reasoning:
 - o Low credit limits may be associated with high utilization and riskier profiles.
 - o Customers with higher limits may be lower risk or have stronger credit history.

10. Feature Correlation Matrix Insights

Key correlations driving default:

- Strong correlation between:
 - Payment history features (PAY_0 to PAY_6) and default: confirms delinquency as a major risk factor.
 - Utilization-related variables (avg_utilization, max_utilization) and default.
 - o Payment deficit and default: growing deficits increase risk.
- Low correlation with demographic features (e.g., sex, education) may play a secondary role.

Thus we can interpret that Score model should give high weight to:

(further included in the training codes)

- 1. Recent payment history (especially PAY_0, PAY_2).
- 2. Utilization metrics (avg_utilization, max_utilization).
- 3. Payment ratios and deficits.
- 4. Trends in bill and payment behavior (e.g., increasing deficits, decreasing ratios).
- 5. Consider combining these with demographics (age, marital status, education) for added signal.

Model comparison and justification for final selection:

****codes are attached in separate file. ****

```
COMPREHENSIVE MODEL EVALUATION RESULTS

Accuracy Precision Recall F1 Score ROC AUC

Model

XGBoost (Optimized) 0.8527 ± 0.0017 0.8905 ± 0.0028 0.8044 ± 0.0036 0.8452 ± 0.0020 0.9265 ± 0.0020 0.7849 ± 0.0062 0.8384 ± 0.0034 0.9220 ± 0.0023 0.774 ± 0.0050 0.8344 ± 0.0034 0.9220 ± 0.0023 0.774 ± 0.0050 0.8344 ± 0.0034 0.9210 ± 0.0025 0.8340 ± 0.0034 0.9210 ± 0.0025 0.8340 ± 0.0034 0.9210 ± 0.0025 0.8340 ± 0.0034 0.8457 ± 0.0034 0.8913 ± 0.0044 0.7615 ± 0.0056 0.8213 ± 0.0035 0.9123 ± 0.0018 0.8341 ± 0.0032 0.8914 ± 0.0045 0.7609 ± 0.0059 0.8210 ± 0.0037 0.9124 ± 0.0017 0.7245 ± 0.0039 0.7930 ± 0.0038 0.6076 ± 0.0094 0.6680 ± 0.0061 0.7975 ± 0.0039
```

XGBoost (Optimized):

- o Highest Recall (0.8044) and F1 Score (0.8452).
- o ROC AUC (0.9265) indicates strong discriminative ability.
- Excellent balance of Precision vs Recall, making it most effective for capturing defaults while minimizing false alarms.
- Gradient Boosting & LightGBM:
 - Slightly lower Recall (0.7849 and 0.7774) but still strong performers.
 - LightGBM had highest Precision (0.9004) but slightly lower F1 due to reduced Recall.
- Random Forest (Standard & Robust):
 - Reliable with good overall scores, but lower Recall compared to boosting models.
 - May be better for interpretability, but not the best in terms of forward-looking prediction accuracy.
- SVM (RBF):
 - o Significantly underperforms in Recall (0.6076) and F1 (0.6880).
 - o Not suitable for a high-stakes credit default problem.

Final Model Selection:

XGBoost (Optimized) is selected as the final model due to its:

- Best Recall: Crucial for identifying defaulters early.
- High F1 Score: Ensures a strong balance between capturing true defaulters and minimizing false positives.
- Highest ROC AUC: Confirms its robustness in separating defaulters from non-defaulters.

Summary of Findings and Key Learnings

1. Drivers of Default

From the EDA and modeling:

- Delinquency Behavior (PAY_0 to PAY_6):
 - Most predictive. Recent and repeated delinquencies signal impending default.
- Credit Utilization:
 - High or over-limit usage correlates strongly with default, indicating financial overextension.
- Payment Ratio and Deficits:

- Underpayment relative to bills is a critical signal. Payment ratios < 1 or growing deficits were consistent among defaulters.
- Demographics (age, education, marital status):
 - Younger, less educated, and single individuals had elevated default rates, acting as soft risk indicators.

2. Takeaways

- Credit behavior is not static—a dynamic behaviour score allows month-to-month risk tracking, making the system responsive to evolving customer patterns.
- Behavioral risk scoring outperforms traditional static models by capturing latent risk shifts through features like:
 - o Utilization trend
 - o Delinquency streak
 - Payment-to-bill ratio trajectory
 - 4. Data-Driven Culture Advancement
- This framework enables data-backed lending decisions, reducing reliance on manual rules or static bureau scores.
- It also prepares the institution for integration with explainable AI and responsible AI governance, aligning with emerging regulatory expectations.