Time Trends and FEs

Drew Dimmery drewd@nyu.edu

March 14, 2014

Structure

- Quick Homework Talk
- Abadie's κ
- Fixed effects
- Time Trends

On CIA

- There seemed to be some confusion on CIA.
- The "traditional" method of choosing a covariate set is something like:
 - Find the most significant or strongest predictors that you have
 - Include those
 - Don't include the weak predictors
 - Include things that other studies have included
- This isn't what we want, though.
- CIA is a story about conditional randomization.
- You don't justify based on raw correlations.
- Because independence implies zero correlation
- But not vice versa
- Instead, you should think about where you might be seeing random variation, and then adjust your conditioning strategy to ensure that you only work with that variation.

More IV Stuff

• We're going to be looking at Ananat (2011) in AEJ

- This study looks at the effect of racial segregation on economic outcomes.
- Outcome: Poverty rate & Inequality (Gini index)
- Treatment: Segregation
- Instrument: "railroad division index"
- Main covariate of note: railroad length in a town
- I'm dichotomizing treatment and instrument for simplicity.
- And my outcomes are for the Black subsample

. . .

```
require(foreign)
d <- read.dta("aej_maindata.dta")
d$herf_b <- with(d, ifelse(herf >= quantile(herf, 0.5), 1, 0))
d$dism1990_b <- with(d, ifelse(dism1990 >= quantile(dism1990, 0.5), 1, 0))
first.stage <- lm(dism1990 ~ herf + lenper, d)
first.stage.b <- lm(dism1990_b ~ herf_b + lenper, d)
require(AER)
gini.iv <- ivreg(lngini_b ~ dism1990 + lenper, ~herf + lenper, d)
gini.iv.b <- ivreg(lngini_b ~ dism1990_b + lenper, ~herf_b + lenper, d)
pov.iv <- ivreg(povrate_b ~ dism1990 + lenper, ~herf + lenper, d)
pov.iv.b <- ivreg(povrate_b ~ dism1990_b + lenper, ~herf_b + lenper, d)</pre>
```

Base Results

```
round(summary(first.stage)$coefficients[2, ], 3)
##
     Estimate Std. Error
                            t value
                                       Pr(>|t|)
##
        0.357
                   0.081
                               4.395
                                          0.000
round(summary(first.stage.b)$coefficients[2, ], 3)
##
     Estimate Std. Error
                            t value
                                       Pr(>|t|)
##
        0.372
                   0.083
                               4.481
                                          0.000
round(summary(gini.iv)$coefficients[2, ], 3)
##
     Estimate Std. Error
                            t value
                                       Pr(>|t|)
##
        0.875
                   0.302
                               2.895
                                          0.005
round(summary(gini.iv.b)$coefficients[2, ], 3)
```

```
##
     Estimate Std. Error
                             t value
                                        Pr(>|t|)
##
        0.211
                   0.081
                               2.615
                                          0.010
round(summary(pov.iv)$coefficients[2, ], 3)
##
     Estimate Std. Error
                             t value
                                       Pr(>|t|)
##
        0.258
                   0.144
                               1.798
                                          0.075
round(summary(pov.iv.b)$coefficients[2, ], 3)
##
     Estimate Std. Error
                                       Pr(>|t|)
                             t value
##
        0.059
                   0.039
                               1.543
                                          0.125
```

Abadie's κ

- Recall from the lecture that we can use a weighting scheme to calculate statistics on the compliant population.
- $E[g(Y, D, X)|D_1 > D_0] = \frac{1}{p(D_1 > D_0)} E[\kappa g(Y, D, X)]$
- $\kappa = 1 \frac{D_i(1-Z_i)}{p(Z_i=0|X)} \frac{(1-D_i)Z_i}{p(Z_i=1|X)}$
- $E[\kappa|X] = E[D_1 D_0|X] = E[D|X, Z = 1] E[D|X, Z = 0]$
- Take $w_i = \frac{\kappa_i}{E[D_1 D_0|X_i]}$
- Use this in calculating any interesting statistics (means, variance, etc)
- This let's you explore the units composing your LATE.

. . .

```
getKappaWt <- function(D, Z) {
    pz <- mean(Z)
    pcomp <- mean(D[Z == 1]) - mean(D[Z == 0])
    if (pcomp < 0)
        stop("Assuming p(D|Z) > .5")
    kappa <- 1 - D * (1 - Z)/(1 - pz) - (1 - D) * Z/pz
    # Note that pcomp = mean(kappa)
    kappa/pcomp
}
w <- with(d, getKappaWt(D = dism1990_b, Z = herf_b))
varlist <- c("closeness", "area1910", "ctyliterate1920", "hsdrop_b", "manshr",
    "ctymanuf_wkrs1920", "ngov62")
samp.stats <- sapply(varlist, function(v) mean(d[, v], na.rm = TRUE))
comp.stats <- sapply(varlist, function(v) weighted.mean(d[, v], w, na.rm = TRUE))</pre>
```

Examine Complier Statistics

```
summary(w)
##
      Min. 1st Qu. Median
                              Mean 3rd Qu.
                                               Max.
     -2.51
            -2.43
                      2.47
                              1.00
                                       2.47
                                               2.47
rbind(sample = samp.stats, compliers = comp.stats)
##
             closeness area1910 ctyliterate1920 hsdrop_b manshr
## sample
                -362.4
                          14626
                                         0.9585
                                                  0.2516 0.1892
                -299.1
                                         0.9515
                          18013
                                                   0.2424 0.2110
## compliers
             ctymanuf_wkrs1920 ngov62
## sample
                        0.4619 55.55
## compliers
                        0.4266 83.65
```

New Example Data

- Now we're going to look at Gentzkow (2006)
- This study examined how the introduction of television had an effect on voter turnout
- Outcome: turnout in national elections
- Treatment: Years since the introduction of TV
- Identification comes from the idea that there are random variations in the timing of this introduction.
- It uses local variations in this introduction.
- Where locality is defined as a within effect.

Rough and Dirty Sweeping Function

- plm also does this, but I like to be able to use (most of) the functions written for lm objects.
- This assumes that you'll be clustering at the same level as your swept effects.

```
sweeplm <- function(formula, dat, ind) {
   newd <- model.matrix(formula, model.frame(formula, dat, na.action = na.pass))
   newd <- newd[, -1]
   newd <- cbind(dat[, as.character(formula[2])], newd)
   ok <- complete.cases(newd)
   newd <- newd[ok, ]</pre>
```

Robust SEs again

```
robust.se <- function(model, cluster) {
    require(sandwich)
    require(lmtest)
    M <- length(unique(cluster))
    N <- length(cluster)
    K <- model$rank
    dfc <- (M/(M - 1)) * ((N - 1)/(N - K))
    uj <- apply(estfun(model), 2, function(x) tapply(x, cluster, sum))
    rcse.cov <- dfc * sandwich(model, meat. = crossprod(uj)/N)
    rcse.se <- coeftest(model, rcse.cov)
    return(rcse.se)
}</pre>
```

Fixed Effects

- Let's demonstrate some of the benefits of sweeping out fixed effects.
- Algebraic equivalence between these various methods.
 - De-mean variables by group
 - Apply the sweep matrix:

*
$$\dot{Q} = (I_T - \frac{1}{T}\iota_T\iota_T') \otimes I_N$$

* $\beta = (X'_{tv}\dot{Q}X^{tv})^{-1}X'_{tv}\dot{Q}Y$

- Just throw the dummies in the regression.

. . .

```
# lm(turnout~yearsoftv+advote+factor(reg5year)+factor(stcounty),dat)
simple.swept.fe <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote, dat = dat, ind = dat$reg5year)
sweep.time <- system.time(sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote, dat = dat, ind = dat$reg5yearsoftv + advote, dat = dat, ind = dat$reg5yearsoftv
swept.fe <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote + factor(reg5year), dat = dat,</pre>
    ind = dat$stcounty)
rbind(dummy.time, sweep.time)
               user.self sys.self elapsed user.child sys.child
## dummy.time
                    1.025
                              0.107
                                       1.160
                                                        0
## sweep.time
                    0.257
                              0.042
                                       0.299
                                                        0
                                                                    0
```

FE Results

```
base.est <- robust.se(base.lm, dat$stcounty[-base.lm$na.action])[2, ]</pre>
## Note: no visible binding for global variable 'lmtest'
simple.est <- robust.se(simple.fe, dat$stcounty[-simple.fe$na.action])[2, ]</pre>
simple.swept.est <- robust.se(simple.swept.fe[[1]], simple.swept.fe[[3]])[1,</pre>
swept.est <- robust.se(swept.fe[[1]], swept.fe[[3]])[1, ]</pre>
all.ests <- rbind(base.est, simple.est, simple.swept.est, swept.est)
rownames(all.ests) <- c("base", "simple", "simple.swept", "full.swept")</pre>
all.ests
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## base
                 0.2475 0.01309 18.915 1.497e-79
                             0.07258 -2.382 1.722e-02
## simple
                 -0.1729
## simple.swept -0.1729
                             0.03230 -5.353 8.706e-08
## full.swept
                 -0.3863
                             0.04987 -7.746 9.619e-15
```

Time Trends

- Instead of estimating fixed effects for each region-year, we could impose some structure.
- What if there is some unobserved process by which the outcome is *increasing* over time.
- Estimating fixed effects for each year may vastly reduce power (a new parameter for each time period under study)
- And if we are comfortable assuming this linear relationship with time, then we don't need to lose that power.

- Of course, we need only assume linearity in parameters, so we can include polynomials in time, too.
- Remember that you can perfectly interpolate N points with an N-1 degree polynomial.
- Think of it like fitting a polynomial to the fixed effects. It's sort of like that.

. . .

```
dat$trend <- dat$year - min(dat$year)
linear.trend <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote + trend, dat = dat, ind = dat$stcounty)
linear.reg.trend <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote + factor(regions5) *
        trend, dat = dat, ind = dat$stcounty)
cubic.trend <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote + poly(trend, 3), dat = dat,
        ind = dat$stcounty)
cubic.reg.trend <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote + factor(regions5) *
        poly(trend, 3), dat = dat, ind = dat$stcounty)</pre>
```

Results with Trends

cubic.region -0.1188

• We'll come back to this example next recitation.

```
linear.est <- robust.se(linear.trend[[1]], linear.trend[[3]])[1, ]</pre>
linear.reg.est <- robust.se(linear.reg.trend[[1]], linear.reg.trend[[3]])[1,</pre>
cubic.est <- robust.se(cubic.trend[[1]], cubic.trend[[3]])[1, ]</pre>
cubic.reg.est <- robust.se(cubic.reg.trend[[1]], cubic.trend[[3]])[1, ]</pre>
all.ests <- rbind(all.ests, linear.est, linear.reg.est, cubic.est, cubic.reg.est)
rownames(all.ests)[5:8] <- c("linear", "linear.region", "cubic", "cubic.region")</pre>
all.ests
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## base
                  0.2475 0.01309 18.915 1.497e-79
                             0.07258 -2.382 1.722e-02
## simple
                  -0.1729
## simple.swept
                  -0.1729
                             0.03230 -5.353 8.706e-08
## full.swept
                  -0.3863
                             0.04987 -7.746 9.619e-15
## linear
                   0.1476
                             0.01549 9.528 1.658e-21
## linear.region
                 0.1985
                             0.01468 13.524 1.301e-41
## cubic
                  -0.2946
                             0.04486 -6.568 5.151e-11
```

0.04130 -2.877 4.017e-03

Splines

 $\bullet~$ You can also do this with splines, which have a slightly more non-parametric flavor.

```
. . .
```

```
require(splines)
spline.trend <- sweeplm(turnout ~ yearsoftv + advote + ns(trend, 3), dat = dat,</pre>
    ind = dat$stcounty)
spline.est <- robust.se(spline.trend[[1]], spline.trend[[3]])[1, ]</pre>
all.ests <- rbind(all.ests, spline.est)</pre>
rownames(all.ests)[9] <- "splines"</pre>
all.ests
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## base
                   0.2475
                             0.01309 18.915 1.497e-79
## simple
                  -0.1729
                             0.07258 -2.382 1.722e-02
## simple.swept
                  -0.1729
                             0.03230 -5.353 8.706e-08
## full.swept
                  -0.3863
                             0.04987 -7.746 9.619e-15
## linear
                             0.01549 9.528 1.658e-21
                   0.1476
## linear.region
                  0.1985
                             0.01468 13.524 1.301e-41
                  -0.2946
## cubic
                             0.04486 -6.568 5.151e-11
## cubic.region
                  -0.1188
                             0.04130 -2.877 4.017e-03
## splines
                  -0.4105
                             0.04833 -8.493 2.058e-17
```