

Brute Force String Search / String Matching

1. Definition

Brute Force String Matching is the **simplest pattern matching technique**.

It checks whether a **pattern P** of length m appears in a **text T** of length n by comparing characters one-by-one.

It starts at **every possible position** in the text and checks if the pattern matches.

No preprocessing is done.

Worst-case performance is slow, but logic is very easy.

2. Key Points

- Compares pattern with text from **left to right**.
- Shifts pattern by **one position** each time.
- Time Complexity:
 - **Worst case:** $O(n \times m)$
 - **Best case:** $O(n)$
- Also called **Naive String Matching**.

Algo BruteForceStringMatch(T, P)

```
// Algo BruteForceStringMatch(T, P)
```

```
// Input: Text T of length n, Pattern P of length m
```

```
// Output: Index where P starts in T (or -1 if not found)
```

```
1. For i = 0 to n - m:                // check each possible
starting position
2.     j = 0
3.     while j < m and T[i + j] == P[j]:
4.         j = j + 1
5.     if j == m:                      // complete pattern matched
```

```
6.         return i           // pattern found at index i
7. return -1                  // pattern not found
```

* Brute force String Matching

Shift pattern by next.
then match.

Text	a	b	b	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	b
Pattern 0 th	a	b	a	a							
1 st		a	b	a	a						
2 nd			a	b	a	a					
3 rd				a	b	a	a				
4 th					a	b	a	b			
5 th						a	b	a	a		
6 th							a	b	a	a	

pattern found at index = 6 to 9

Text = n loop repeats $n-m+1$ \rightarrow is it ~~is~~ efficient
Pattern = m \rightarrow Ans \rightarrow no unnecessary

Time Complexity Text = a a a a b Steps
Path = b But Can follow
Path (w)

But Care $O(n)$ mismatch at first case
Worst case $O(n \times m)$ Eg MY CHOICE
Avg case $O(n \times m)$ OT MY CHOICE IS GOOD
P: GOOD

KMP (Knuth–Morris–Pratt) String Matching

1. Definition

KMP is an efficient pattern matching algorithm that avoids rechecking characters.

It uses a **Pi table / LPS table (Longest Prefix which is also a Suffix)** to skip redundant comparisons.

Time Complexity: $O(n + m)$.

2. Why KMP is Better

- Does *not* backtrack in the text (T).
- Preprocesses pattern (P) to compute **LPS array**.
- Faster than brute force in worst case.

Algo KMP(T, P)

(with Pi/LPS table)

```
// Algo KMP(T, P)
// Input: Text T of length n, Pattern P of length m
// Output: Index where pattern starts (or -1 if not found)

1. Compute LPS[] for pattern P           // using the Pi-table
   algorithm below
2. i = 0    // index for T
3. j = 0    // index for P

4. While i < n:
5.     if T[i] == P[j]:
6.         i = i + 1
7.         j = j + 1
8.         if j == m:           // full pattern matched
9.             return i - m
```

```

10.         else:                                // mismatch
11.             if j != 0:
12.                 j = LPS[j - 1]    // shift using LPS
table
13.         else:
14.             i = i + 1

15. return -1                                // pattern not found

```

Rabin–Karp String Matching

1. Rabin–Karp is a **pattern-matching algorithm** used to find a substring inside a text.
2. It uses **hashing** to compare the pattern with every substring of the text.
3. Instead of comparing characters one by one, it compares **hash values**, making it faster on average.
4. A **rolling hash** is used so that the next window's hash is computed efficiently.
5. If the **hash values match**, then a **direct character comparison** is done to avoid false matches.
6. Best/average-case time complexity is $O(n + m)$.
7. Worst-case occurs when many hash collisions happen $\rightarrow O(nm)$.

Rabin–Karp Algorithm

```

// Algo RabinKarp(T, P)
// Input: Text T of length n, Pattern P of length m
// Output: All starting positions where P occurs in T

```

1. Compute hash of pattern $P \rightarrow \text{hashP}$
2. Compute hash of first window of T (size m) $\rightarrow \text{hashT}$
3. For $i = 0$ to $n - m$:
 - if $\text{hashP} == \text{hashT}$:
 - Compare characters of P with $T[i \dots i+m-1]$
 - If all match \rightarrow report match at i

Compute next window hash using rolling hash

4. End

Boyer–Moore Algorithm (Bad Character Rule)

1. Definition

Boyer–Moore is an efficient string matching algorithm.

It compares the **pattern P** with text **T** **from right to left**.

Using the **Bad Character Table**, it shifts the pattern intelligently to skip unnecessary comparisons when a mismatch occurs.

2. Key Points

1. **Compare pattern from rightmost character** to left.
2. On mismatch, check the **Bad Character Table** for the mismatched character in the pattern.
3. Shift the pattern so that the mismatched character aligns with its **rightmost occurrence** in **P**.
4. If the mismatched character does **not exist in P**, shift the pattern completely past it.
5. Time Complexity:
 - Best case: $O(n/m)$
 - Worst case: $O(nm)$

Algo BoyerMoore(T, P)

// Input: Text T of length n, Pattern P of length m

// Output: Index of first occurrence of P in T (or -1)

1. Create BadChar table for all characters:

BadChar[c] = -1 for all c

For i = 0 to m-1:

BadChar[P[i]] = i // rightmost occurrence

```
2. s = 0    // shift of pattern in text
3. While s <= n - m:
    j = m - 1                // start from right end of
    pattern

    // Compare pattern with text
    While j >= 0 and P[j] == T[s + j]:
        j = j - 1

    if j < 0:                // pattern matched
        return s
    else:                    // mismatch
        shift = max(1, j - BadChar[T[s + j]])
        s = s + shift

4. return -1    // pattern not found
```