TRANSFORMATIONAL CHANGE ASSESSMENT REPORT GENERAL INTERVENTIONS TOOL

Report Date: Tue Nov 21 2023



Table of Contents

1. Single Intervention Information	2
1.1 Describe the policy or action	2
1.2 Understanding the transformational vision of the intervention and its context	3
1.3 Assessment information	4
2. Impact Assessment	5
2.1 Process characteristics assessment	5
2.2 Outcomes characteristics assessment	6
2.3 Process categories assessment	10
2.4 Outcomes categories assessment	10

1 Single Intervention Information

1.1 Describe the policy or action

Information	Description
Name	Test 01
Description	test description
Status	To be Started
Date of implementation	5/23/2023
Date of completion (if relevant)	5/27/2024
Implementing entity or entities	No
Objectives and benefits	test Objective
Level of the policy	National
Geographic coverage	Test Geographic Coverage
Sectors covered	Transport,Buildings,Industry
Other related policies	No
Reference	No

1.2 Understanding the transformational vision of the intervention and its context

Time periods	Description of the vision for desired societal, environmental and technical changes
Description of the vision for desired societal, environmental and technical changes	test
Long-term (≥15 years)	test
Medium-term (≥5 years and < than 15 years)	test
Short-term (< 5 years)	test
Phase of transformation	test

Barriers	Explanation	Characteristics affected	Barrier directly targeted by the policy or action
test	tests	Research and Development,Adoption,Scale up,Entrepreneurs,Coalition of advocates,Beneficiaries,Economic and non-economic,Disincentives	YES

1.3 Assessment information

Information	Description
Assesment type	Ex-ante
Geographical area covered	National/sectorial
Sectors covered	Transport,Energy supply
Opportunities for stakeholders to participate in the assessment	test

2. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

2.1 Process characteristics assessment

Process characteristics refer to the main drivers of system change based on the existing literature: technology, agents, incentives, and norms. Each of them contains three characteristics. The table below indicates whether each characteristic is relevant or not relevant for the assessment, based on the barriers identified in previously (is the characteristic affected by any of the barriers?) and whether the characteristic is impacted by the intervention being assessed or not. If a characteristic is relevant, the likelihood score indicates the likelihood of the intervention having an impact on this characteristic. The table presents any justification which supports the score and refers to documents which may back this justification.

Category	Process Characteristic	Relevant/ Possibly relevant/ Not relevant	Guiding Question	Likelihood score	Rationale justifying the score	Supporting Documents Supplied
Technology	Research and Development	Relevant	Does the intervention support R&D for building technological capabilities favouring a low-carbon and/or a climate resilient economy?	-	tesxt	-
	Adoption	Relevant	2	test	-	
	Scale up	Not relevant	-	-	-	
Agents	Entrepreneurs	Not relevant	Does the intervention promote enterpreneurs, businesses and investors to catalyse transformational change?	-	-	-
	Coalition of advocates	Relevant	4	test	-	
	Beneficiaries	Not relevant	-	-	-	
Incentives	Economic and non-economic	Not relevant	Does the intervention use economic and/or non-economic incentives to shift technology and increase market penetration?	-	-	-
	Disincentives	Not relevant	-	-	-	
	Institutional and regulatory	Not relevant	-	-	-	
Norms and behavioral	Awareness	Relevant	Does the intervention support awareness	1	dhgfgh	-
change			raising and education for transition towards sustainable , low-carbon and resilient systems?			5 Page

	Category		rocess haracteristic	Relevant/ Possibly relevant/ Not relevant	Gı	uiding Question	Likelihood score	Rational justifyin the scor	g	Supporting Documents Supplied	ol
	2.2 Outco	OBI	nesochara	Cteristi C	:8	assessment	gdfgfd	-			ı
Į		Sc	ocial Norms	Relevant	3		drghfdghf	-			4
	Category	Outcome Characteristic		Is the characteristic vassessment boundar		Score	ju	ationale stifying the core			
	GHG Scale of the Outcome	3		YES		Major Negative	te	st			
			National /Sec	onal /Sectorial level YES		YES		Minor Negative	tse	et	
		Subnational YES /regional/municipal or sub sectorial level		YES		None	te	st			

Category	Outcome Characteristic	Is the characteristic within the assessment boundaries?	Score	Rationale justifying the score
GHG Time frame over which the outcome is sustained	Long term (>15 years)	YES	Major Negative	lol
	Medium term (5-15 years)	YES	Moderate Negative	lol
	Short term (<5 years)	YES	Minor	lol

Category	Outcome Characteristic	Is the characteristic within the assessment boundaries?	Score	Rationale justifying the score
Adaptation	International /global level	YES	Moderate	lol
Scale of the Outcome	National /Sectorial level	NO	-	lol
	Subnational /regional/municipal or sub sectorial level	YES	Moderate Negative	lol

Category	Outcome Characteristic	Is the characteristic within the assessment boundaries?	Score	Rationale justifying the score
Adaptation Time frame over which the outcome is sustained	Long term (>15 years)	YES	Major Negative	nbgnfg
	Medium term (5-15 years)	NO	-	jhjmhghj
	Short term (<5 years)	NO	-	cbnvhng

Category	Sustainable Development Goal	Could the intervention have an impact on this particular SDG?	Outcome Characteristic	Is the characteristic within the assessment boundaries?	Score	Rationale justifying the score
SDG Scale of the	No Poverty	Yes	International /global level	YES	Major Negative	dfhfg
Outcome			National /Sectorial level	YES	Moderate	dhfgh
			Subnational /regional/municipal or sub sectorial level	NO	-	dhfgh
	Zero Hunger	Yes	International /global level	NO	-	bvnjvgb
			National /Sectorial level	NO	-	cnvbvc
			Subnational /regional/municipal or sub sectorial level	NO	-	cngvn
	Good Health and Well-	Yes	International /global level	NO	-	chgg
	Being		National /Sectorial level	YES	Major Negative	chgfh
			Subnational /regional/municipal or sub sectorial level	YES	None	ghgfh

Category	Sustainable Development Goal	Could the intervention have an impact on this particular SDG?	Outcome Characteristic	Is the characteristic within the assessment boundaries?	Score	Rationale justifying the score
SDG Time frame over which the	No Poverty	Yes	Long term (>15 years)	NO	-	bvnvnv
outcome is sustained			Medium term (5-15 years)	NO	-	nngnc
			Short term (<5 years)	NO	-	ngng
	Zero Hunger	Yes	Long term (>15 years)	YES	Major Negative	nngn
			Medium term (5-15 years)	YES	None	nnfgn
			Short term (<5 years)	YES	Minor	ndnd
	Good Health and Well-	Yes	Long term (>15 years)	NO	-	ndfbc
	Being		Medium term (5-15 years)	NO	-	ndxfbg
			Short term (<5 years)	YES	Major Negative	k,.m

2.3 Process categories assessment

Category	Category Aggrgated Score
Technology	1
Agents	4
Incentives	-
Norms and behavioral change	2

2.4 Outcomes categories assessment

Category	Category Aggrgated Score
GHG Scale of the Outcome	1
SDG Scale of the Outcome	0
GHG Time frame over which the outcome is sustained	1
SDG Time frame over which the outcome is sustained	1
Adaptation Scale of the Outcome	0
Adaptation Time frame over which the outcome is sustained	3