# **Developer Documentation**

## 1) Executive Summary

The Network Topology Simulator ingests device configuration files, auto-builds a hierarchical network topology, validates configuration and capacity health, proposes optimization recommendations, and simulates Day-1/Day-2 behaviors including link failures. This directly addresses the VIP 2025 Networking problem statement requirements such as topology generation from configs, bandwidth awareness, load balancing advice, validation of duplicates/VLAN/MTU/loops, Day-1 discovery and failure simulation, and multithreaded + IPC implementation.

## 2) Requirements Mapping (VIP 2025)

- Automatic topology generation from device configs → config\_parser.py, topology builder.py
- Bandwidth awareness & capacity checks → topology\_builder.py (link bw), optimizer.py (peak load vs link capacity)
- Load balancing recommendations → optimizer.py (secondary path / shaping recommendations)
- Validation (duplicate IPs, wrong VLAN labels, incorrect gateways, MTU mismatch, loops, missing switch configs) 

   validator.py (duplicates, MTU, loops, missing components)
- Protocol recommendations (BGP vs OSPF) → optimizer.py
- Day-1 discovery & Day-2 link failure simulation; impact analysis → simulator.py (HELLO/ARP/OSPF logs, fault injection & affected nodes)
- Architecture: multithreading per device, IPC (FIFO/TCP semantics), stats/logs → simulator.py, ipc.py

## 3) Repository Layout

```
_init__.py
 config_parser.py
topology_builder.py
                         # Parse configs → Device graph
                         # Build Topology + link properties (VLAN, MTU, bw)
                         # Duplicate IPs, MTU mismatches, loops, missing devices
  validator.py
                         # Load/capacity checks, LB, protocol, aggregation
  optimizer.py
                         # Day-1/Day-2 simulation with threads + IPC
  simulator.py
                         # Simple FIFO-like IPC abstraction
  ipc.py
 main.py
                         # CLI orchestration, outputs (logs, report, topology.png)
tests/
  test parser.py
  test validator.py
  test simulator.py
  sample configs/ (R1.dump, R2.dump, SW1.dump, SW2.dump)
outputs/
                        # Generated artifacts
requirements.txt
```

## 4) Data Model (used across modules)

### Defined in config parser.py using dataclasses

- Interface: name, ip(CIDR), vlan(int), mtu(int), bw\_mbps(int), neighbor(str|None)
- Endpoint: name, app type, peak mbps, avg mbps

• Device: hostname, role (router|switch), interfaces: [Interface], endpoints: [Endpoint], gateway: Optional[str], ospf enabled: bool

These types ensure a clean, strongly-typed boundary between parse/build/validate/simulate stages.

## 5) Module-by-Module Deep Dive

## 5.1 config\_parser.py

Purpose: Parse \*.dump files into Device objects.

## **Key functions**

- parse\_config\_file(path: Path) -> Device
  - Uses regex to extract:
    - hostname, role
    - interface ... ip <cidr> vlan <id> mtu <n> bw\_mbps <n> neighbor <host>
      lines → Interface entries
    - endpoint app type  $\langle t \rangle$  peak mbps  $\langle n \rangle$  avg mbps  $\langle n \rangle \rightarrow$  Endpoint entries
    - gateway <ip> (optional)
    - ospf enabled (flag)
- load\_directory(conf\_dir: str) -> Dict[str, Device]
  - o Reads all \*.dump and returns {hostname: Device} map.

### Why this design?

A minimal grammar keeps parsing robust and testable. You can extend the regexes to support vendor-specific CLI outputs later (Cisco IOS/JunOS).

### Typical pitfalls & tips

- Ensure each device file has a unique hostname.
- Keep the grammar incremental—add new fields as needed without breaking existing patterns.

#### 5.2 topology builder.py

Purpose: Transform {hostname: Device} into a Topology graph with Link metadata.

#### **Data structures**

- Link: a, b, vlan, bw mbps, mtu a, mtu b
- Topology: nodes: [str], links: [Link]

## **Key function**

- build topology(devices) -> Topology
  - o Iterates interfaces; when neighbor is present and found among devices, it creates a single de-duplicated Link (A-B) per VLAN.
  - o **Bandwidth**: stores the min of both ends (conservative capacity).
  - o MTU: retains MTU from each end to enable mismatch validation.

#### Rationale

The tool must be **bandwidth aware** and **MTU aware** to support capacity checks and mismatch detection.

## 5.3 validator.py

Purpose: Detect health and consistency issues.

#### **Functions**

- find duplicate ips(devices) -> List[str]
  - o Groups interfaces by VLAN; flags IPs that appear >1 within same VLAN.
- find mtu mismatches(topo) -> List[str]
  - o Scans links for mtu a != mtu b.
- detect loops(topo) -> List[str]
  - o Simple cycle detection using BFS/DFS over the undirected graph.
- find missing components(devices) -> List[str]
  - Reports neighbors referenced by interfaces that don't exist as parsed devices (missing config file).

### Why it matters

The brief requires detection of config flaws (duplicate IPs, incorrect VLAN/gateways, MTU mismatches, loops, missing switch configs). The current validator covers duplicates, MTU, loops, and missing components; VLAN/gateway sanity checks can be added following the same pattern.

### 5.4 optimizer.py

Purpose: Provide recommendations for capacity and design.

### Core logic

- summarize endpoint loads(devices)
  - o Aggregates **peak Mbps** per node (to model worst-case).
- recommend load balancing(devices, topo) -> List[str]
  - For each link, compares adjacent node peak loads vs link bandwidth; if load > capacity, recommends secondary paths/traffic shaping.
- suggest protocols(devices) -> List[str]
  - o Heuristic: if multiple routers and peering complexity grows, **suggest BGP for inter-domain** and **OSPF intra-domain** (as per requirement to recommend BGP vs OSPF).
- node aggregation(topo) -> List[str]
  - Suggests collapsing single-degree access switches into upstream routers to reduce node count (when policy allows).

#### Rationale

This addresses load balancing, protocol recommendations, and node aggregation requirements.

### 5.5 ipc.py

Purpose: Lightweight, FIFO-like IPC for inter-thread messaging.

#### Class

• InMemoryIPC

- o Thread-safe internal queue registry keyed by destination name (e.g., hostname).
- o send(target, msg) and recv(target, timeout) APIs.

#### Why this design?

The brief allows FIFO/TCP/IP for metadata exchange; this in-memory queue models FIFO semantics and can be swapped for sockets later.

## 5.6 simulator.py

**Purpose**: Multithreaded simulation of devices and events.

## Core types & flow

- DeviceThread(Thread)
  - o Each device is a thread. On start, sends "hello" to neighbors (Day-1 presence).
  - o Receives from IPC, appends structured log entries.
  - o If ospf enabled, logs OSPF neighbor discovery. Always logs ARP priming.
- run\_simulation(devices, topo, link\_fail: str | None)
  - o Spins up one thread per device.
  - o If link\_fail="A-B", neighbor relations on that link are omitted, and the affected endpoints are reported.
  - o Returns (logs, affected nodes) for report collation.

### Why this design?

It satisfies the **Day-1/Day-2 simulation** requirement with multithreading and IPC. Shows **which endpoints/nodes** are affected by link failures and logs discovery events.

### 5.7 main.py

Purpose: CLI orchestration & output generation.

### **Flow**

- Load devices (load\_directory)
- 2. Build topology (build topology)
- 3. Validate (duplicates, MTU mismatches, loops, missing components)
- 4. Optimize (LB, protocol suggestions, aggregation)
- 5. Simulate (run simulation) with optional --link-fail A-B
- 6. Write artifacts:
  - o outputs/logs.txt (device/thread logs)
  - o outputs/simulation report.txt (validations + recommendations + failure impact)
  - o outputs/topology.png (basic diagram with routers/switches)

## 6) End-to-End Execution Flow

Configs  $\rightarrow$  Parse Devices  $\rightarrow$  Build Topology  $\rightarrow$  Validate  $\rightarrow$  Optimize  $\rightarrow$  Simulate  $\rightarrow$  Write Artifacts

## **Mermaid (Architecture)**

```
flowchart TB
    subgraph CLI["Orchestrator (CLI) - main.py"]
        RUN["run(conf dir, out dir, link fail)"]
    subgraph Parser["Configuration Parser - config parser.py"]
        P1["Load *.dump files"]
        P2["Parse hostnames, roles, interfaces, endpoints, OSPF flags"]
        P3["Emit {hostname→Device}"]
    end
    subgraph Topology["Topology Builder - topology builder.py"]
        T1["Join neighbors"]
        T2["Annotate Links (VLAN, MTU_a/b, bw=min)"]
        T3["Emit Topology{nodes, links}"]
    subgraph Validator["Validation - validator.py"]
        V1["Duplicate IPs"]
        V2["MTU mismatches"]
        V3["Loop detection"]
        V4["Missing device configs"]
    subgraph Optimizer["optimizer.py"]
        O1["Aggregate peak loads"]
        O2["Recommend load balancing/shaping"]
        O3["Protocol: BGP vs OSPF"]
        O4["Node aggregation"]
    end
    subgraph Simulator["Simulation - simulator.py"]
        direction TB
        S1["DeviceThread per device"]
        S2["InMemory FIFO (ipc.py)"]
        S3["Day-1: HELLO/ARP/OSPF"]
        S4["Day-2: Link failure A-B"]
        S5["Impact analysis"]
    end
    subgraph Outputs["outputs/"]
        G1["topology.png"]
        G2["logs.txt"]
        G3["simulation report.txt"]
    end
    RUN --> P1 --> P2 --> P3
    P3 --> T1 --> T2 --> T3
    T3 --> V1 & V2 & V3 & V4
    T3 --> O1 --> O2
    01 --> 03
    01 --> 04
    P3 --> S1
    T3 --> S1
    S1 --> S2
    S1 --> S3
    S1 --> S4 --> S5
    V1 -. issues .-> G3
    V2 -. issues .-> G3
    V3 -. issues .-> G3
    V4 -. issues .-> G3
    02 -. recs .-> G3
    03 -. recs .-> G3
    04 -. recs .-> G3
    S3 --> G2
    S4 --> G2
    S5 --> G3
    T3 --> G1
```

## 7) CLI Usage & Configuration Grammar

#### Run

```
# Install dependencies
pip install -r requirements.txt

# Baseline run (with included samples)
python -m src.main --conf tests/sample_configs --out outputs
# Inject a link failure
python -m src.main --link-fail R1-R2
```

## Config grammar (example)

```
hostname R1 role router interface Gi0/0 ip 10.0.0.1/24 vlan 10 mtu 1500 bw_mbps 100 neighbor R2 endpoint app type web peak_mbps 60 avg_mbps 20 gateway 10.0.0.254 ospf enabled
```

## 8) Algorithms & Complexity

- Topology construction: iterate neighbor relations  $\rightarrow$  O(E)
- **Duplicate IPs per VLAN**: aggregate and count  $\rightarrow$  **O(N)** per VLAN
- Loop detection: BFS/DFS on undirected graph  $\rightarrow$  O(V + E)
- MTU mismatch scan: per edge  $\rightarrow$  O(E)
- Load balancing checks: compute per-node peak, then compare at edges  $\rightarrow$  O(V + E)

## 9) Testing Strategy (pytest)

- test parser.py → verifies device loading and basic interface extraction.
- test validator.py  $\rightarrow$  confirms MTU mismatch detection with provided sample configs.
- test simulator.py → ensures link-failure injection produces expected log/impact signals.

#### **Extending tests**

- Add duplicates/VLAN/gateway unit tests.
- Add property tests for parser (e.g., fuzz IP, VLAN, MTU values).
- Add large-topology tests to measure performance.

## 10) Output Artifacts

- outputs/topology.png: simple 2-tier layout; annotate edges with VLAN/bandwidth.
- outputs/logs.txt: per-thread/device discovery and event logs.
- outputs/simulation report.txt:
  - o Validations: duplicates, MTU mismatches, loops, missing device configs
  - o Recommendations: LB suggestions, protocol recommendations, node aggregation
  - o Failure impact: affected nodes if --link-fail provided

## 11) Extension Roadmap

- **Parsing**: Add robust parsers for Cisco IOS/JunOS; introduce tokenization or a grammar parser as it grows.
- IPC: Swap InMemoryIPC for TCP sockets or OS FIFOs to model cross-process capacity and backpressure.
- **Protocol fidelity**: Implement ARP caches, OSPF adjacencies/LSAs, ICMP MTU black-hole detection.
- Optimization: Integrate TE policies (ECMP, policy routing) & SLA targets.
- Visualization: Use NetworkX/Graphviz for richer graphs and metrics overlays.

# 12) Common Pitfalls & Debugging

- No topology image → ensure matplotlib is installed (pip install -r requirements.txt).
- No MTU mismatches detected → confirm sample configs actually differ in MTU on both ends.
- Missing device warnings → verify the neighbor names match hostname of a provided config.
- Threads appear idle → increase simulated runtime or instrument additional logs in DeviceThread.run.

#### Final notes

This documentation is written to read like an internal engineering handbook while explicitly showing how the implementation meets VIP 2025 requirements across topology creation, validation, optimization, and simulation with multithreading and IPC