## PEER EVALUATION

## **Instructions**

On page 2 of this form, rate your team members based on your best of your knowledge and practice. You may need to list down the names of all your team members.

If needed, write an explanation on how you arrived at your ratings. Include specific information, positive and/or negative, that will support your ratings.

Keep in mind that the information you provide here is <u>confidential</u> and only your professor will see your evaluation.

The criteria to consider as you rate your members include:

- 1. **Effort / Active Participation:** following through on the project and being accountable to group members.
- 2. **Contribution:** improving quality of work, being creative, bringing unique skills and abilities that aid in the quality of the final product, and providing leadership
- 3. **Supported Group Process:** eliciting and valuing input of others, mediating arguments and relieving tension, lending a positive attitude, and other maintenance roles that enhance group social climate
- 4. **Communication:** checking in with the team before missing a meeting, clarifying expectations, keeping communication channels open, facilitating others' participation, and speaking and listening effectively.

Page 2

Using each of the criteria, provide a rating for yourself and each of your team members using the rating scale from 1 to 10 below

- 1-2 Mediocre
- 3-4 Poor
- 5-6 Average
- 7-8 Good
- 9-10 Exceptional

Team Member	Effort / Active	Contribution	Supported Group	Communication	Total
	Participation	(1-10)	Process	(1-10)	
	(1-10)		(1-10)		
Your name:	10	10	10	10	40
Praful Patil					
Team member 1:	10	10	10	10	40
Nidhi Agarwal					
Team member 2:	10	10	10	10	40
Sahejbir Singh					
Kumar					
Team member 3:	10	10	10	10	40
Geetanjali Tomar					
Team member 4:	10	10	10	10	40
Rajavardhan Reddy					
Singireddy					
Team member 5:	6	6	6	6	24
Sujith Kondabrolu					
Team member 6:	-	-	-	-	-
-					

Use the space below to provide some explanation or comments about your rating for specific team member.

All my team members worked exceptionally well except Sujith because he never showed his face in the team meetings and texted on teams on the presentation day giving explanation that he had other subject work.