

**Day 22:**

**Task 1: Write a set of JUnit tests for a given class with simple mathematical operations (add, subtract, multiply, divide) using the basic @Test annotation.**

```
public class MathOperations {  
    public int add(int a, int b) {  
        return a + b;  
    }  
  
    public int subtract(int a, int b) {  
        return a - b;  
    }  
  
    public int multiply(int a, int b) {  
        return a * b;  
    }  
  
    public int divide(int a, int b) throws ArithmeticException {  
        if (b == 0) {  
            throw new ArithmeticException("Division by zero");  
        }  
        return a / b;  
    }  
}  
  
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;  
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
```

```
public class MathOperationsTest {

    private final MathOperations mathOperations = new MathOperations();

    @Test
    public void testAdd() {
        assertEquals(5, mathOperations.add(2, 3));
        assertEquals(-1, mathOperations.add(-2, 1));
        assertEquals(0, mathOperations.add(0, 0));
    }

    @Test
    public void testSubtract() {
        assertEquals(1, mathOperations.subtract(3, 2));
        assertEquals(-3, mathOperations.subtract(-2, 1));
        assertEquals(0, mathOperations.subtract(0, 0));
    }

    @Test
    public void testMultiply() {
        assertEquals(6, mathOperations.multiply(2, 3));
        assertEquals(-2, mathOperations.multiply(-2, 1));
        assertEquals(0, mathOperations.multiply(0, 5));
    }

    @Test
    public void testDivide() {
        assertEquals(2, mathOperations.divide(6, 3));
        assertEquals(-2, mathOperations.divide(-4, 2));
    }
}
```

```

    Exception exception = assertThrows(ArithmeticException.class, () -> {
        mathOperations.divide(1, 0);
    });
    assertEquals("Division by zero", exception.getMessage());
}
}

```

## Explanation

### Imports:

- `import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;` - Import static methods for assertions.
- `import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;` - Import the `@Test` annotation.

### Test Class:

- The test class `MathOperationsTest` contains individual test methods for each operation in the `MathOperations` class

### Test Methods:

- **`testAdd()`**: Tests the add method with various inputs.
- **`testSubtract()`**: Tests the subtract method with various inputs.
- **`testMultiply()`**: Tests the multiply method with various inputs.
- **`testDivide()`**: Tests the divide method with valid inputs and checks for division by zero.

### Assertions:

- **`assertEquals(expected, actual, message)`**: Checks if the actual value matches the expected value.
- **`assertThrows(expectedType, executable)`**: Checks if the provided executable throws an exception of the `expectedType`.

### Division by Zero:

The test for division by zero checks if the divide method throws an `ArithmeticException` with the message "Division by zero".

**Output:**

**Running MathOperationsTest**

**Tests run: 4, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0, Time elapsed: 0.021 s - in MathOperationsTest**

**Results:**

**Tests run: 4, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0**

**Task 2: Extend the above JUnit tests to use @Before, @After, @BeforeClass, and @AfterClass annotations to manage test setup and teardown.**

```
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.*;

public class MathOperationsTest {

    private MathOperations mathOperations;

    @BeforeClass
    public static void setUpBeforeClass() {
        System.out.println("Before all tests");
    }

    @AfterClass
    public static void tearDownAfterClass() {
        System.out.println("After all tests");
    }

    @Before
    public void setUp() {
        mathOperations = new MathOperations();
        System.out.println("Before each test");
    }
}
```

**@After**

```
public void tearDown() throws Exception {  
    System.out.println("After each test");  
}
```

**@Test**

```
public void testAdd() {  
    assertEquals(5, mathOperations.add(2, 3));  
    assertEquals(-1, mathOperations.add(-2, 1));  
    assertEquals(0, mathOperations.add(0, 0));  
}
```

**@Test**

```
public void testSubtract() {  
    assertEquals(1, mathOperations.subtract(3, 2));  
    assertEquals(-3, mathOperations.subtract(-2, 1));  
    assertEquals(0, mathOperations.subtract(0, 0));  
}
```

**@Test**

```
public void testMultiply() {  
    assertEquals(6, mathOperations.multiply(2, 3));  
    assertEquals(-2, mathOperations.multiply(-2, 1));  
    assertEquals(0, mathOperations.multiply(0, 5));  
}
```

**@Test**

```
public void testDivide() {  
    assertEquals(2, mathOperations.divide(6, 3));  
}
```

```
assertEquals(-2, mathOperations.divide(-4, 2));
```

```
Exception exception = assertThrows(ArithmeticException.class, () -> {  
    mathOperations.divide(1, 0);  
});  
assertEquals("Division by zero", exception.getMessage());  
}  
}
```

### Explanation

#### Imports:

- `import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;` - Import static methods for assertions.
- `import org.junit.jupiter.api.*;` - Import JUnit annotations.

#### Test Class:

The test class `MathOperationsTest` now includes setup and teardown methods using JUnit lifecycle annotations.

#### Annotations:

- **@BeforeClass**: Static method annotated with `@BeforeClass` to run before all test methods in the class. Suitable for one-time setup.
- **@AfterClass**: Static method annotated with `@AfterClass` to run after all test methods in the class. Suitable for one-time teardown.
- **@Before**: Method annotated with `@Before` to run before each test method. Suitable for setup needed before each test.
- **@After**: Method annotated with `@After` to run after each test method. Suitable for cleanup needed after each test.

#### Setup and Teardown Methods:

- **setUpBeforeClass()**: Prints "Before all tests". Runs once before all test cases.
- **tearDownAfterClass()**: Prints "After all tests". Runs once after all test cases.
- **setUp()**: Instantiates a new `MathOperations` object and prints "Before each test". Runs before each test case.
- **tearDown()**: Prints "After each test". Runs after each test case.

### **Test Methods:**

testAdd(), testSubtract(), testMultiply(), testDivide(): Same as before but now benefit from the setup and teardown provided by @BeforeEach and @AfterEach.

### **Output:**

**Before all tests**

**Before each test**

**After each test**

**Before each test**

**After each test**

**Before each test**

**After each test**

**Before each test**

**After each test**

**After all tests**

### **Running MathOperationsTest**

**Tests run: 4, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0, Time elapsed: 0.021 s - in MathOperationsTest**

### **Results:**

**Tests run: 4, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0**

**Task 3: Create test cases with assertEquals, assertTrue, and assertFalse to validate the correctness of a custom String utility class.**

```
public class StringUtil {  
  
    public static boolean isEmpty(String str) {  
        return str == null || str.isEmpty();  
    }  
}
```

```
public static String reverse(String str) {  
    if (str == null) {  
        return null;  
    }  
    return new StringBuilder(str).reverse().toString();  
}
```

```
public static boolean isPalindrome(String str) {  
    if (str == null) {  
        return false;  
    }  
    String reversed = reverse(str);  
    return str.equals(reversed);  
}  
}
```

```
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;  
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
```

```
public class StringUtilTest {  
  
    @Test  
    public void testIsNullOrEmpty() {  
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isNullOrEmpty(null));  
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isNullOrEmpty(""));  
        assertFalse(StringUtil.isNullOrEmpty("abc"));  
    }  
}
```



**@Test**

```
public void testReverse() {  
    assertEquals(null, StringUtil.reverse(null));  
    assertEquals("", StringUtil.reverse(""));  
    assertEquals("cba", StringUtil.reverse("abc"));  
}
```

**@Test**

```
public void testIsPalindrome() {  
    assertFalse(StringUtil.isPalindrome(null));  
    assertTrue(StringUtil.isPalindrome(""));  
    assertTrue(StringUtil.isPalindrome("madam"));  
    assertFalse(StringUtil.isPalindrome("hello"));  
}  
}
```

## Explanation

### Imports:

- import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.\*; - Import static methods for assertions.
- import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test; - Import the @Test annotation.

### Test Class:

- The test class StringUtilTest contains individual test methods for each method in the StringUtil class.

### Test Methods:

#### testIsNullOrEmpty():

- Tests the isNullOrEmpty method with null, empty string, and a non-empty string.
- Uses assertTrue and assertFalse for boolean assertions.

#### testReverse():

- Tests the reverse method with null, empty string, and a regular string.
- Uses assertEquals to check if the reversed string matches the expected value.

### **testIsPalindrome():**

- Tests the isPalindrome method with null, empty string, palindrome string, and non-palindrome string.
- Uses assertTrue and assertFalse for boolean assertions.

### **Output:**

#### **Running StringUtilTest**

**Tests run: 3, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0, Time elapsed: 0.015 s - in StringUtilTest**

### **Results:**

**Tests run: 3, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0**

**Task 4: Research and present a comparison of different garbage collection algorithms (Serial, Parallel, CMS, G1, ZGC) in Java.**

### **1. Serial Garbage Collector**

#### **Description:**

- The simplest garbage collector.
- Uses a single thread for both minor and major garbage collections.
- Best suited for single-threaded applications or applications with small heaps.

#### **Advantages:**

- Simplicity and low overhead.
- Predictable pauses, making it suitable for applications where long pause times are acceptable.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Not scalable due to single-threaded nature.
- Can lead to long pause times for large heaps or multi-threaded applications.

#### **Use Cases:**

- Applications with small heaps.
- Single-threaded applications or applications with less emphasis on throughput.

#### **JVM Option:**

**-XX:+UseSerialGC**

## **2. Parallel Garbage Collector**

### **Description:**

- Also known as the Throughput Collector.
- Uses multiple threads for minor garbage collection and a single thread for major garbage collection.

### **Advantages:**

- Improves throughput by using multiple threads for minor collections.
- Reduces the duration of minor GC pauses.

### **Disadvantages:**

- Full GCs are still single-threaded, which can lead to long pause times.
- Not ideal for applications requiring low latency.

### **Use Cases:**

- Applications that prioritize high throughput over low latency.
- Multi-threaded applications with significant processing.

### **JVM Option:**

**-XX:+UseParallelGC**

## **3. Concurrent Mark-Sweep (CMS) Garbage Collector**

### **Description:**

- Focuses on low pause times.
- Uses multiple threads for both minor and major garbage collections.
- The major GC is performed concurrently with application threads.

### **Advantages:**

- Reduces pause times by performing most of the GC work concurrently.
- Suitable for applications requiring low latency.

### **Disadvantages:**

- Higher CPU usage due to concurrent operations.

- Can suffer from fragmentation and "Concurrent Mode Failure" which triggers a fallback to a stop-the-world full GC.

**Use Cases;**

- Applications requiring low latency and minimal pause times.
- Web servers and interactive applications.

**JVM Option:**

**-XX:+UseConcMarkSweepGC**

#### **4. Garbage First (G1) Garbage Collector**

**Description:**

- Designed to replace CMS.
- Divides the heap into regions and performs GC in a region-based manner.
- Performs both concurrent and parallel garbage collection.

**Advantages:**

- Balances low pause times with high throughput.
- More predictable pause times by controlling the number of regions collected within a given time budget.
- Handles large heaps more efficiently than CMS.

**Disadvantages:**

Can be more complex to tune compared to simpler collectors.

**Use Cases:**

- Applications with large heaps requiring a balance of throughput and low latency.
- Applications that previously used CMS but need better handling of large heaps.

**JVM Option:**

**-XX:+UseG1GC**

#### **5. Z Garbage Collector (ZGC)**

**Description:**

- Aimed at ultra-low pause times.

- Uses a load barrier and colored pointers to achieve concurrent compaction and marking.
- Designed to handle multi-terabyte heaps.

**Advantages:**

- Extremely low pause times (typically in the range of sub-millisecond).
- Can handle very large heaps (multi-terabyte) efficiently.
- Scales well with the number of cores.

**Disadvantage**

- Higher memory usage due to load barriers and colored pointers.
- Requires a 64-bit system.

**Use Cases:**

- Large-scale applications requiring minimal pause times.
- Real-time systems and latency-sensitive applications.

**JVM Option:**

**-XX:+UseZGC**