



PrajwalpGM256 / brocode

[Code](#)[Issues](#)[Pull requests 1](#)[Actions](#)[Projects](#)[Wiki](#)[Security](#)

# Added automatice PR review feature #3

[Edit](#)[Code](#)[Jump to bottom](#)[Merged](#)PrajwalpGM256 merged 1 commit into [main](#) from [feature/codeReview](#) 1 hour ago

Conversation 0

Commits 1

Checks 0

Files changed 4

PrajwalpGM256 commented [1 hour ago](#)

Owner

No description provided.



Mention @copilot in a comment to make changes to this pull request.

 PrajwalpGM256 added [Automatice PR review feature](#)[25de51d](#)PrajwalpGM256 commented [1 hour ago](#)

Owner

Author

CodeBro Review

## Summary

This pull request introduces a significant refactor to enable asynchronous GitHub Pull Request (PR) code reviews using Gemini AI. Key changes include migrating the GitHub API interactions to the `httpx` `async` client, introducing a new `pr_review_service` to orchestrate fetching PR diffs, generating AI reviews, and posting comments, and utilizing FastAPI's `BackgroundTasks` to offload the heavy review process from the main webhook handler, ensuring fast responses.

## Issues Found

- Potential Blocking Call in Async Function:** In `backend/app/core/gemini_client.py`, the `async def generate_review` method calls `self.model.generate_content(prompt)` synchronously without `await`.

While the entire `review_pull_request` function is correctly delegated to a `BackgroundTasks` in `webhooks.py` (which helps prevent blocking the main event loop), wrapping a synchronous blocking call directly within an `async def` without explicit mechanisms like `asyncio.to_thread` can be misleading about its blocking nature and is generally not an ideal pattern for truly asynchronous code.

## Suggestions

- **Gemini Client Async Wrapper:** To address the synchronous call within an `async` function (`generate_review` in `gemini_client.py`), consider explicitly using `asyncio.to_thread` if `self.model.generate_content` is indeed a blocking synchronous operation. For example:

```
import asyncio
# ...
async def generate_review(self, prompt: str) -> str:
    """
    Generate a free-form code review from Gemini.
    Used for PR reviews where we want a markdown response.
    """
    try:
        response = await asyncio.to_thread(self.model.generate_content, prompt)
        return response.text
    except Exception as e:
        logger.error(f"Gemini API error (generate_review): {str(e)}")
        raise
```



Alternatively, if the method doesn't perform other async operations, `generate_review` could be a regular `def` method, and the `asyncio.to_thread` call could be made in `pr_review_service.py` where `gemini.generate_review` is called.

- **Refined Error Logging:** In `backend/app/services/github_service.py`, `print` statements are used for logging success/failure. For a production environment, it's best practice to replace these with a proper logger (e.g., `logger.info`, `logger.error` from `app.utils.logger`) for better observability and centralized log management.
  - Example: `print(f"Failed to fetch diff: {response.status_code}")` could become `logger.error(f"Failed to fetch PR diff for {owner}/{repo} PR #{pr_number}: {response.status_code}")`.
- **Magic Number as Constant:** In `backend/app/services/pr_review_service.py`, the `15000` character limit for diff truncation is a "magic number." It would improve readability and maintainability to define this as a named constant (e.g., `MAX_DIFF_TOKEN_LENGTH`) at the top of the file.
- **Specific Exception Handling:** In `backend/app/api/routes/webhooks.py`, the `try...except Exception:` block around `data = await request.json()` is quite broad. While it works, it's generally better to catch more specific exceptions. For JSON parsing, `json.JSONDecodeError` (after importing `json`) is more precise.

- **Push Event Handling:** The detailed commit and file analysis previously performed for push events in `github_service.py` has been removed. If this functionality is no longer desired, it's acceptable. However, if it was an oversight or will be re-implemented differently, this represents a loss of functionality for push events. It would be good to confirm this intentional shift of focus to PR reviews.

## Overall

 Looks good.

This PR introduces excellent architectural improvements, leveraging FastAPI's asynchronous capabilities and `httpx` for efficient GitHub API interactions. The separation of concerns and the use of background tasks are well-implemented best practices for webhook handling. Addressing the minor points about async/sync handling and logging will further enhance the robustness and maintainability of the codebase.



PrajwalpGM256 merged commit `b46d1c9` into `main` 1 hour ago

[Revert](#)

 You have reached your monthly limit for [premium requests](#) for Copilot code review. Limit resets on Jan 1, 2026. 

[Upgrade to Pro+](#)

### Reviewers

No reviews

Still in progress? [Convert to draft](#)



### Assignees

No one—[assign yourself](#)



### Labels

None yet



### Projects

None yet



### Milestone

No milestone



## Development



Successfully merging this pull request may close these issues.

None yet

---

---

## 2 participants

