## Gesture Recognition – Deep learning

## Gesture Recognition Experiment Details -

<b>Experiment Number</b>	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
Train loss vs Validation logo vs Validation Accuracy 1.0 Max. Validation Accuracy 0.280000001193	loss   1.0	After 10 Epochs — Train Accuracy: 1 Val Accuracy: 0.28  • Model is overfitting  Train accuracy vs Validation accuracy	Parameters - Batch Size : 32 No of Frames : 30 First Conv3D Filter Size : 3,3,3 No of Epochs : 10  Observation — Very high Train Accuracy but very low Validation Accuracy Huge difference in Train & Validation Accuracy High Number of Trainable Parameters were recorded.  Decision - Hence we decided to reduce overfitting by adding Dropouts and an extra Dense Layer.

<b>Experiment Number</b>	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
2	Conv3D	After 10 Epochs - Train Accuracy: 0.96 Val Accuracy: 0.31  • Still model is overfitting	Parameters - Batch Size: Same as Model1 i.e. 32 No of Frames: Same as model1 i.e. 30 First Conv3D Filter Size: Same as model1 i.e. 3,3,3 No of Epochs: Same as model1 i.e. 10  Observation — After adding Dropouts & an extra Dense Layer there is again very High Train Accuracy and very low Validation Accuracies. Number of Trainable Parameter increased due to added Dense Layer.  Decision — Reduce overfitting by decreasing Trainable Parameters.
Train loss vs Validation loss  40 35 30 25 20 15 10 0.5 00 2 4 6  Max. Training Accuracy 0.9668174982070923 Max. Validaiton Accuracy 0.340000003576278:	Train accuracy vs  1.0  0.9  0.8  0.7  0.6  0.5  0.4  0.3  0.2  4	categorical_accuracy val_categorical_accuracy  6 8	

<b>Experiment Number</b>	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
Train loss vs Validation  Max. Training Accuracy 1.0  Max. Validation Accuracy 0.41999998	loss val_loss 0.8   0.6   0.4   0.2   0.5   0.8   0.6   0.7   0.8   0.8   0.8   0.9	After 30 Epochs - Train Accuracy: 1 Val Accuracy: 0.42  • Improvement in Validation Accuracy is observed  • Significant decrease in difference of loss between Train & Validation sets is observed  • Model is still overfitting  uracy vs Validation accuracy  categorical_accuracy val_categorical_accuracy val_categorical_accuracy	Parameters — Batch Size: Increase to 64 No of Frames: Reduced to 20 First Conv3D Filters Size: Increased to 5,5,5 No of Epochs: Increased to 30  Observation — With increase in filter size, batch size and reducing no of frames, resulted in lesser Number of Trainable Parameter than first 2 models.  Decision — As no much improvement observed using Conv3D. Hence we decided to use combination of Conv2D + RNN Architecture.

Experiment Number   N	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
Train loss vs Validation loss  4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0	Train accuracy vs  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.	After 30 Epochs - Train Accuracy: 0.97 Val Accuracy: 0.24  • Huge difference between Train & Validation Accuracy is observed. • Model is overfitting.	Parameters - Batch Size : Same as Model3 i.e. 64 No of Frames : Same as Model3 i.e. 20 No of Epochs : Same as Model3 i.e. 30  Observation — Change of Architecture resulted in reduced Number of Trainable Parameters. Still very High Training Accuracy and very low Validation Accuracy.  Decision — Addition of more Convolution Layers may reduce overfitting hence we decided to use known architecture through Transfer Learning.

<b>Experiment Number</b>	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
5	Transfer Learning (Mobile Net) + GRU	<ul> <li>After 30 Epochs -</li> <li>Train Accuracy: 1</li> <li>Val Accuracy: 0.8</li> <li>Huge reduction in the gap of loss and accuracy between Train &amp; Validation Sets.</li> <li>Model is generalising well compared with previous models.</li> </ul>	Parameters Batch Size: Same as Model4 i.e. 64 No of Frames: Same as Model4 i.e. 20 No of Epochs: Same as Model4 i.e. 30  Observation — Better Validation Accuracy Reduced Number of Trainable Parameters by half number
Train loss vs Validation  12 - 10 - 0.8 - 0.6 - 0.4 - 0.2 - 0.0 - 0.5 - 10 - 15  Max. Training Accuracy 1.0 Max. Validaiton Accuracy 0.870000004	1.0 - 0.9 - 0.8 - 0.7 - 0.6 - 0.5 -	categorical_accuracy val_categorical_accuracy 10 15 20 25 30	Decision – As we have seen improvement by using layers of MobileNet, we further decided to experiment combination of layers of MobileNet and LSTM.

<b>Experiment Number</b>	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
Transfer Learning (Mobile Net) + LSTM		After 30 Epochs — Train Accuracy: 1.0 Validation Accuracy: 0.87  • Gap in loss between Train & Validation sets is lower.	Parameters Batch Size: Same as Model4 i.e. 64 No of Frames: Same as Model4 i.e. 20 No of Epochs: Same as Model4 i.e. 30  Observation — Slight increase in Number of Trainable Parameter Compared to Model5.
10 - 0.8 - 0.6 - 0.4 - 0.2 - 0.0 - 0.5 - 10 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 1	0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 20 25 30 5 5	categorical_accuracy val_categorical_accuracy 10 15 20 25 30	This model's performance is similar to Model5.  Further experimentation with combination of known architecture and RNN may result in better performance.

## **Conclusion**

- Experiment #5 & Experiment #6 are giving best results compared to rest of the experiments.
- However, Experiment #5: **Transfer Learning (MobileNet) + GRU** having lesser Number of Trainable Parameters (3, 412, 997) with respect to Experiment #6: **Transfer Learning (MobileNet) + LSTM (4, 526, 597)**
- Hence we are choosing Model5 build through Experiment #5 as the Best model.