DATS 6203 Final Project Individual Report Cotton Plant Disease Prediction

Nigel Martis

Introduction:

The goal of our project is to identify Diseased cotton plants when the photo of the plant is given.

Agriculture is one of the major industries and contributes to everyday life of people all around the world. Diseases on plantations is a major problem to all the farmers, which damages the crop and affects their yield, if unnoticed may even affect people who consume those products. Finding diseased plants in the acres of crop is a tedious task and requires a lot of manpower, time and mundane work and is prone to human error.

Our project aims to train a model on images of fresh, diseased cotton plants and develop an interface to identify plants containing disease or not.

Description of Individual work:

My work involved training 3 pretrained models on the train data and compare which performed better. Models are mentioned below:-

Resent50:

Restnet50 -- [Source: <u>arXiv:1512.03385</u>, Authors: Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, Jian Sun]

ResNet50 is a variant of ResNet model which has 48 Convolution layers along with 1 MaxPool and 1 Average Pool layer. It has 3.8 x 10⁹ Floating points operations.

Resnet 50 Architecture:

152-layer $ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \end{bmatrix} \times 3 $				
,				
,				
,				
$ 3 \times 3,64 \times 3$				
$1 \times 1,256$				
[1×1, 250]				
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \end{bmatrix}$				
$3\times3,128\times8$				
$[1\times1,512]$				
[1×1, 256]				
$3\times3,256$ $\times36$				
1×1, 1024				
[1×1,512]				
$3\times3,512\times3$				
1×1, 2048				
average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax				
11.3×10^9				

Figure 10: Resnet 50 Architecture

VGG16:

VGG16 -- [Source: arXiv:1409.1556, Authors: Karen Simonyan, Andrew Zisserman]

VGG16 is a simple and widely used convolution neural network. In this abbreviation 16 means that this model has 16 layers. The VGG16 model achieved 92.7% top-5 test accuracy in ImageNet, which is a dataset of over 14 million images belonging to 1000 classes. It made improvements over AlexNet architecture by replacing large kernel-sized filters with multiple 3x kernel-sized filters one after another. VGG16 was trained for weeks using NVIDIA Titan Black GPU's

VGG Architecture:




Figure 11: VGG16 Architecture

Densenet121 -- [Source : arXiv:1608.06993, Authors: Gao Huang, Zhuang Liu, Laurens van der Maaten, Kilian Q. Weinberger]

A DenseNet is a type of convolutional neural network that utilises dense connections between layers, through Dense Blocks, where we connect *all layers* (with matching feature-map sizes) directly with each other. To preserve the feed-forward nature, each layer obtains additional inputs from all preceding layers and passes on its own feature-maps to all subsequent layers.

Densenet Architecture:

In a DenseNet architecture, each layer is connected to every other layer, hence the name Densely Connected Convolutional Network. For L layers, there are L(L+1)/2 direct connections. For each layer, the feature maps of all the preceding layers are used as inputs, and its own feature maps are used as input for each subsequent layers.

This is really it, as simple as this may sound, DenseNets essentially connect every layer to every other layer. This is the main idea that is extremely powerful. The input of a layer inside DenseNet is the concatenation of feature maps from previous layers.




Figure 11: Densenet Architecture

Model Metrics:

We looked at the following metrics in deciding how effective our models were:

F1-score

F1-score weights precision and recall [blog.floydhub.com].

$$F1-Score = 2*rac{Recall*Precision}{Recall+Precision}$$

Figure12

Accuracy

Accuracy is simply what percentage of observations were classified correctly [blog.floydhub.com].

$$Accuracy = \frac{True \; Positive + True \; Negative}{True \; Positive + True \; Negative + False \; Positive + False \; Negative}$$

Figure 13

Experimental Setup:

Transformations:

The following transformations were used on input images of pre-trained models Adjust_gamma -

- 1. used adjust_gamma function to brighten the image by gamma=0.5
- 2. used adjust_gamma function to darken the image by gamma=2

Flip left or right - used np.flipIr() to flip the images to the left or to the right.

Flip up or down - used np.flipud() to flip the images to up or down

Random noise - random_noise was used to induce random noise into the input images.

Hyperparameters:

Learning Rate - Learning rate of 0.001 was used. It gave good results so we continued with it.

Batch Size - Batch size of 64 was used in all the pretrained models.


Optimizer - Adam was used as the optimizer

Epochs - Pre-trained models used 30 epochs.


Results:

The performance of the model was judged based on the accuracy and loss values for train, validation and test sets. The loss on train and validation sets for 30 epochs is given below for various models.

Densenet:



Densenet121 model loss




Accuracy:0.932806324110672						
F1 Score:0.932806324110672						
Confusion Matrix:						
[[40 0 3	0]					
[2 72 0	4]					
[3 1 62	0]					
[130	62]]					
Classification Report:						
	prec	ision	recall	f1-score	support	
	Θ	0.87	0.93	0.90	43	
	1	0.95	0.92	0.94	78	
	2	0.95	0.94	0.95	66	
	3	0.94	0.94	0.94	66	
accura	су			0.93	253	
macro a	vg	0.93	0.93	0.93	253	
weighted a	vg	0.93	0.93	0.93	253	


Figure16

Total params: 7,238,212
Trainable params: 200,708
Non-trainable params: 7,037,504

Figure17

VGG16:





```
Accuracy:0.932806324110672
F1 Score:0.932806324110672
Confusion Matrix:
[[43 0 0 0]
[ 0 74 0 4]
[5 1 58 2]
[0 5 0 61]]
Classification Report:
             precision
                        recall f1-score
                                            support
          Θ
                  0.90
                           1.00
                                     0.95
                                                43
                  0.93
                           0.95
                                     0.94
                                                78
                  1.00
                           0.88
                                     0.94
                                                66
                  0.91
                           0.92
                                     0.92
                                                66
                                     0.93
                                                253
   accuracy
                                     0.93
  macro avg
                  0.93
                           0.94
                                                253
weighted avg
                  0.94
                           0.93
                                     0.93
                                                253
```

Figure20


```
Total params: 14,815,044


Trainable params: 100,356

Non-trainable params: 14,714,688
```

Figure21

Resnet50:





```
Accuracy:0.9644268774703557
F1 Score:0.9644268774703557
Confusion Matrix:
[[42 1 0 0]
 [ 0 75 1
           2]
[ 0 3 63 0]
[1 1 0 64]]
Classification Report:
             precision
                                             support
                         recall f1-score
                  0.98
                            0.98
                                                  43
          0
                                      0.98
                  0.94
                            0.96
                                      0.95
                                                  78
                  0.98
                            0.95
                                      0.97
                                                  66
                  0.97
                            0.97
                                      0.97
                                                  66
                                      0.96
                                                 253
   accuracy
                                                 253
  macro avg
                  0.97
                            0.97
                                      0.97
weighted avg
                  0.96
                            0.96
                                      0.96
                                                 253
```

Figure24

```
Total params: 23,989,124
Trainable params: 401,412
Non-trainable params: 23,587,712
```

Figure25

Summary:

For Densenet120:

- 1. Accuracy of the model = 93.28%.
- 2. Overall f1-score of the model = 93.28%
- 3. From the classification report of models:

- 1. F1 score for 0's = 0.90, f1 score for 1's = 0.94, f1 score for 2's = 0.95 and f1 score for 3's = 0.94.
- 2. Precision for 0's = 0.87, Precision for 1's = 0.95, Precision for 2's = 0.95 and Precision for 3's = 0.94.
- 3. Recall for 0's = 0.93, Recall for 1's = 0.92, Recall for 2's = 0.94 and Recall for 3's = 0.94.
- 4. From the confusion matrix we can observe which class is getting correctly predicted.

We can observe that f1-scores, precision and recall rate is high for 2's in this model.

This model has good accuracy, so we use this model for further predictions of the cotton plants images.

For VGG16:

- 1. Accuracy of the model = 93.28%.
- 2. Overall f1-score of the model = 93.28%
- 3. From the classification report of models:
 - 1. F1 score for 0's = 0.95, f1 score for 1's = 0.94, f1 score for 2's = 0.94 and f1 score for 3's = 0.92.
 - 2. Precision for 0's = 0.90, Precision for 1's = 0.93, Precision for 2's = 1.00 and Precision for 3's = 0.91.
 - 3. Recall for 0's = 1.00, Recall for 1's = 0.95, Recall for 2's = 0.88 and Recall for 3's = 0.92.
- 4. From the confusion matrix we can observe which class is getting correctly predicted.

We can observe that f1-scores, precision and recall rate is high for 1's in this model.

This model has good accuracy, so we use this model for further predictions of the cotton plants images.

For Resnet50:

- 1. Accuracy of the model = 96.44%.
- 2. Overall f1-score of the model = 96.44%
- 3. From the classification report of models:

- 1. F1 score for 0's = 0.98, f1 score for 1's = 0.95, f1 score for 2's = 0.97 and f1 score for 3's = 0.97.
- 2. Precision for 0's = 0.98, Precision for 1's = 0.96, Precision for 2's = 0.95 and Precision for 3's = 0.97.
- 3. Recall for 0's = 0.98, Recall for 1's = 0.96, Recall for 2's = 0.95 and Recall for 3's = 0.97.
- 4. From the confusion matrix we can observe which class is getting correctly predicted.

This model has almost similar accuracy and f1 score as the custom model but also this is achieved by 30 epochs.

Conclusions:

From the results we can see that the Resnet model that was built performs better than the pretrained models. This can be seen by comparing the accuracy and loss functions of all the models. The average training time for each of these models is approximately 1.5 hour. Further work will be around interpretability of the model where one can see the features of the plant/leaf that the model thinks is important.

10% of my code was referred and built on from the internet.

References:

- 1. https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/applications/resnet50/ResNet50
- 2. https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/applications/densenet/DenseNet121
- 3. https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/applications/vgg16/VGG16
- 4. https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/preprocessing/image/ImageDataGe nerator
- https://www.kaggle.com/anuragupadhyay6212/cotton-disease-prediction-using-vgg16and-renet50