## Data Quality Issues:

#### **Transactions Table:**

- 5,762 rows are missing BARCODE values, and 12,765 rows have a null value in FINAL SALE.
- FINAL QUANTITY contains zero values, which are then converted to 0.
- 335 duplicate values exist in the transaction data.
- Rows with FINAL\_SALE or FINAL\_QUANTITY as 0 are repeated, but corresponding rows
  contain the correct FINAL\_SALE and FINAL\_QUANTITY values.
- 47 receipts show a scan date earlier than the purchase date.
- BARCODE contains invalid values, such as -1.

#### **USERS Table:**

- Missing data includes 3.7% of BIRTH\_DATE, 4.8% of STATE, 30.5% of STATE, and 5.9% of GENDER values.
- Inconsistent formatting is found in the GENDER column, with phrases like "Prefer not to say," "Non-binary," and "Gender isn't listed" written in various ways.

#### **PRODUCTS Table:**

- 4,025 products lack a barcode, with 92% of CATEGORY\_4, 27% of MANUFACTURER, and 27% of BRAND column values missing.
- Duplicate barcodes exist, representing different brands.

	CATEGORY_1	CATEGORY_2	CATEGORY_3	CATEGORY_4	MANUFACTURER	BRAND	BARCODE
28421	Health & Wellness	Hair Care	Hair Color	NaN	HENKEL	SCHWARZKOPF	052336919068
213340	Health & Wellness	Hair Care	Hair Color	NaN	HENKEL	SCHWARZKOPF	017000329260
304021	Health & Wellness	Hair Care	Hair Color	NaN	HENKEL	GÖT2B	017000329260
709607	Health & Wellness	Hair Care	Hair Color	NaN	HENKEL	GÖT2B	052336919068

# Data Cleaning:

#### **Transactions Table:**

- Converted the BARCODE column to a string to preserve leading zeros removed during CSV import due to INT format.
- Replaced null values in BARCODE with "NA."
- Converted FINAL\_QUANTITY zeros and null values in FINAL\_SALE to 0, changing both columns to FLOAT type.
- Removed the 335 duplicate values.
- Changed PURCHASE DATE and SCAN DATE to DateTime format.
- There are some rows with the same RECEIPT\_ID and BARCODE, where either FINAL\_SALE or FINAL\_QUANTITY is zero. In such cases, there are duplicate rows with the same RECEIPT\_ID, BARCODE, STORE\_NAME, and USER\_ID, where the other row

contains the correct values. So, I removed the rows containing either FINAL\_QUANTITY or FINAL\_SALE is 0.

#### **USERS Table:**

- Converted CREATED DATE and BIRTH DATE to DateTime format.
- Replaced null values in GENDER, LANGUAGE, and STATE columns with "NA."
- Replaced null values in BIRTH\_DATE with random values from existing records to maintain distribution.
- Standardized the GENDER column values by mapping terms to "Prefer not to say," "Non-Binary," and "My gender isn't listed."
- Created an AGE column by calculating the difference between BIRTH\_DATE and the current date for each user.

### **PRODUCTS Table:**

- Removed rows without a barcode, as they are not useful for analysis.
- Retained only the first instance of each duplicated barcode, removing subsequent duplicates.
- Dropped the CATEGORY 4 column due to 92% missing values.
- Replaced remaining null values with "NA.

## **Closed-ended Questions:**

1. What are the top 5 brands by receipts scanned among users 21 and over?

```
query_1 = """
SELECT p.BRAND, COUNT(t.RECEIPT_ID) AS receipt_count
FROM transaction_data t
JOIN user_data u ON t.USER_ID = u.ID
JOIN product_data p ON t.BARCODE = p.BARCODE
WHERE u.AGE >= 21 and p.BRAND!= 'NA'
GROUP BY p.BRAND
ORDER BY receipt_count DESC
LIMIT 5;
"""
top_brands_receipts = ps.sqldf(query_1)
top_brands_receipts
```

## BRAND receipt\_count

0	NERDS CANDY	3
1	DOVE	3
2	TRIDENT	2
3	SOUR PATCH KIDS	2
4	MEIJER	2

2. What are the top 5 brands by sales among users that have had their account for at least six months?

```
query_2 = """
SELECT p.BRAND, SUM(t.FINAL_SALE) AS total_sales
FROM transaction_data t
JOIN user_data u ON t.USER_ID = u.ID
JOIN product_data p ON t.BARCODE = p.BARCODE
WHERE u.CREATED_DATE <= DATE('now', '-6 months') and p.BRAND!='NA'
GROUP BY p.BRAND
ORDER BY total_sales DESC
LIMIT 5;
"""

top_brands_sales = ps.sqldf(query_2)
top_brands_sales</pre>
```

	BRAND	total_sales
0	CVS	72.00
1	DOVE	30.91
2	TRIDENT	23.36
3	COORS LIGHT	17.48
4	TRESEMMÉ	14.58

# Open-ended questions:

## 3. Who are Fetch's power users?

Fetch's power users are customers who exhibit high engagement and frequent activity on the platform. So, I took Fetch Power users as the users with the highest distinct receipt count. So the users who have high number of unique receipts are considered power users.

```
query_4 = """
SELECT t.USER_ID, COUNT(distinct(t.RECEIPT_ID)) AS transaction_count
FROM transaction_data t
GROUP BY t.USER_ID
ORDER BY transaction_count DESC
LIMIT 5;
"""
power_users = ps.sqldf(query_4)
power_users
```

## USER\_ID transaction\_count

0	64e62de5ca929250373e6cf5	10
1	62925c1be942f00613f7365e	10
2	64063c8880552327897186a5	9
3	6327a07aca87b39d76e03864	7
4	624dca0770c07012cd5e6c03	7

## 4. Which is the leading brand in the Dips & Salsa category?

Here, the leading brand is taken as the brand with the highest FINAL\_SALE. So, for this data is taken from the transactions table and the products table. Joined both tables on BARCODE column and took the CATEGORY\_2 as 'Dips & Salsa' grouped by brand and then ordered by sum of FINAL\_SALE and then took the top product. I have taken the cleaned data for this.

```
query_5 = """
SELECT p.BRAND, SUM(t.FINAL_SALE) AS total_sales
FROM transaction_data t
JOIN product_data p ON t.BARCODE = p.BARCODE
WHERE p.CATEGORY_2 = 'Dips & Salsa'
GROUP BY p.BRAND
ORDER BY total_sales DESC
LIMIT 1;
"""
leading_brand_dips_salsa = ps.sqldf(query_5)
leading_brand_dips_salsa
```

## BRAND total\_sales

**0** TOSTITOS 181.3

### 5. At what percent has Fetch grown year over year?

For this, I considered the growth in terms as the number of users created over time. We can take sales growth also, but we have only 3 months of data. I assumed created date in user\_data table as user created date and the percentage change in current year users to previous year users is calculated as growth percentage.

	yea	ar	total_users	previous_year_users	growth_percentage
	<b>0</b> 201	4	30	0	NaN
	<b>1</b> 201	5	51	30	70.000000
	<b>2</b> 201	6	70	51	37.254902
	<b>3</b> 201	7	644	70	820.000000
	<b>4</b> 201	8	2168	644	236.645963
	<b>5</b> 201	9	7093	2168	227.167897
	6 202	0	16883	7093	138.023403
	<b>7</b> 202	1	19159	16883	13.481016
	8 202	2	26807	19159	39.918576
	9 202	3	15464	26807	-42.313575
1	0 202	4	11631	15464	-24.786601

## Challenging fields to understand:

### 1. Transactions Table:

- The 335 duplicate values: Are they genuinely duplicated transactions, or could they represent cases where the user bought two items with each scanned twice? Should we treat them as duplicates?
- 47 receipts with SCAN\_DATE earlier than PURCHASE\_DATE: How should these be interpreted? Are they potentially fraudulent transactions, and should they be removed?
- Rows with FINAL\_SALE or FINAL\_QUANTITY as 0 but with corresponding rows containing correct values: Could these indicate returned items or data entry errors?
- Items with BARCODE as -1: What does this represent, and how can a barcode have a value of -1?

### 2. Users Table:

• BIRTH\_DATE column has missing values and many records have same birth date 1970-01-01. Is this the default date? Should we replace null values with the default date.

### 3. Products table:

• Two brands share the same barcode: Which brand should retain the barcode, and which should be removed?

- Over 4,000 items lack a barcode: What might be the cause? Should we remove these rows?
- The CATEGORY\_4 column has 92% missing values: Is this column necessary for analysis?