

## Week 7: Extensions of Arbitrage Pricing

Professor Stavros Panageas

Anderson School of Management, MGMTMFE403, Fall 2023

- I.** Multi-dimensional version of Black Scholes
- II.** An Example: The Exchange Option
- III.** Dividends
- IV.** Examples
  - Index futures
  - Currency forwards
  - Currency options

1

### I. Multi-dimensional version of Black Scholes

- There are  $n$  risky assets and one riskless asset.
- Under the objective probability measure  $P$  the dynamics of the risky assets are given by

$$dS_i(t) = \mu_i S_i(t) dt + S_i(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} d\bar{W}_j(t)$$

with  $\bar{W}_1(t), \dots, \bar{W}_n(t)$  independent Brownian motions.

- We will denote the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\sigma$

$$\sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\}$$

and assume throughout that it is non-singular and the  $n \times 1$  vector  $\mu = \{\mu_i\}$ .

- Finally suppose there is a risk-free asset

$$\frac{dB(t)}{B(t)} = r dt$$

2

- More compact notation: Let

$$\overline{W}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{W}_1(t) \\ \dots \\ \overline{W}_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\sigma_i$  the  $i$ 'th row vector of  $\sigma$ .

- Then we can write more compactly

$$dS_i(t) = \mu_i S_i(t) dt + S_i(t) \sigma_i d\overline{W}(t)$$

- Once again we are interested in pricing a claim whose payoff takes the form

$$\Phi(S_T).$$

- Specifically we are looking for the arbitrage-free price  $F(t, S_t)$  where  $F : R_+ \times R^n \rightarrow R$ .

3

- The strategy:
- Form a self-financing portfolio based on  $S_1, \dots, S_n, B$  and  $F$ .
- Since we have  $n + 2$  assets and the portfolio weights must add to one, we have  $n + 1$  degrees of freedom in forming that portfolio.
- Choose the weights such that the driving Wiener processes are cancelled in the portfolio, thus leaving us with a value process of the form

$$dV(t) = V(t) k(t) dt.$$

- We will then derive the arbitrage free conditions from the requirement that  $k(t) = r$ .

4

- To put these ideas into action we start by observing that the multi-dimensional Ito Formula implies that

$$dF = F\mu_F dt + F\sigma_F d\bar{W}_t$$

where

$$\mu_F = \frac{1}{F} \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i S_i F_{S_i} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \{ \sigma' S F_{SS} S \sigma \} \right] \quad (1)$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\sigma_F = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^n S_i F_{S_i} \sigma_i$$

5

### Step 1: Portfolio Formation

- We next form a riskless portfolio consisting of  $S_1, \dots, S_n, B, F$ .
- The requirements on that (relative) portfolio is that i) the weights sum up to one, and ii) the weights are such that the portfolio has no diffusion term.
- To achieve the first requirement

$$u_B = 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i + u_F \right) \quad (2)$$

and to satisfy the latter

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \sigma_i + u_F \sigma_F = 0 \quad (3)$$

6

- Supposing that this can be done, the value dynamics are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 dV &= V \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{dS_i}{S_i} + u_F \frac{dF}{F} + u_B \frac{dB}{B} \right] \\
 &= V \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i (\mu_i - r) + u_F (\mu_F - r) + r \right] dt \\
 &\quad + V \underbrace{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \sigma_i + u_F \sigma_F \right]}_{=0} d\bar{W}_t
 \end{aligned}$$

- Now note that we have  $n + 2$  assets. The requirements (2) and (3) are  $n + 1$  linear requirements. Suppose that we use the remaining degree of freedom so that for some positive  $\beta$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_i (\mu_i - r) + u_F (\mu_F - r) + r = r + \beta \quad (4)$$

7

## Step 2: Excluding Arbitrage

- If it is possible to find  $n + 2$  weights  $u_1, \dots, u_n, u_F, u_B$  such that the  $n + 2$  linear conditions (2), (3), and (4) are satisfied, then we have an arbitrage.
- To formulate this observation in equivalent matrix notation, an arbitrage will exist if the following system of equations has a solution:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 - r & \dots & \mu_n - r & \mu_F - r \\ \sigma'_1 & \dots & \sigma'_n & \sigma'_F \end{bmatrix}}_H \begin{bmatrix} u_S \\ u_F \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- If  $H$  is invertible then the above system would have a solution, and there would exist an arbitrage.
- Hence if the market is arbitrage free, it must mean that  $H$  is singular.

8

- This means that we can write the first row as a linear combination of the remaining rows, i.e., that there exist  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i - r &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} \lambda_j \\ \mu_F - r &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{Fj} \lambda_j\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

9

- We will refer to  $\lambda_j$  as the “market price of risk” for the brownian risk  $j$ .
- Letting  $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]'$ , we can write more in vector form:

$$\mu - r1_n = \sigma \lambda$$

or

$$\lambda = \sigma^{-1} [\mu - r1_n]\tag{6}$$

and also

$$\sigma_F = \frac{1}{F} [S_1 F_{S_1}, \dots, S_n F_{S_n}] \sigma\tag{7}$$

10

### Step 3: From market prices of risk to arbitrage pricing

- Combining (5), (6), and (7) leads to

$$\mu_F - r = \frac{1}{F} [S_1 F_{S_1}, \dots, S_n F_{S_n}] [\mu - r1_n] \quad (8)$$

- Finally, combining (8) with (1) gives after some re-arranging

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n r S_i F_{S_i} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \{ \sigma' S F_{SS} S \sigma \} - r F = 0$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$F(T, S_T) = \Phi(S_T)$$

11

- Using the Feynman Kac Theorem, we can give a probabilistic interpretation to the solution of the above PDE as follows

$$F(t, S_t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_t^Q [\Phi(S_T) | S(t) = S_t]$$

where

$$dS_i = r S_i dt + S_i \sigma dW_i$$

under the fictitious, risk neutral probability  $Q$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- As in the one-dimensional case, it is still the case that

$$d\Pi(t) = r\Pi(t) dt + \Pi(t) \sigma_\Pi(t) dW_t$$

where the volatility vector  $\sigma_\Pi$  is the same under the probability measure  $P$  and  $Q$ .

12

## II. An Example: The Exchange Option

- An example: Suppose there are two assets (1 and 2) following the processes

$$\begin{aligned}dS_{1,t} &= S_{1,t}\mu_1 dt + S_{1,t}\sigma_1 d\bar{W}_{1,t} \\dS_{2,t} &= S_{2,t}\mu_2 dt + S_{2,t}\sigma_2 d\bar{W}_{2,t}\end{aligned}$$

- Price an exchange option, i.e., a claim that pays

$$\max [S_{1,T} - S_{2,T}, 0]$$

- Solution: The claim  $F(S_1, S_2, t)$  must satisfy the equation

$$F_t + rS_1 F_1 + rS_2 F_2 + \frac{1}{2}S_1^2 \sigma_1^2 F_{11} + \frac{1}{2}S_2^2 \sigma_2^2 F_{22} - rF = 0 \quad (9)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$F(S_1, S_2, T) = \max [S_1 - S_2, 0]$$

13

- Trick: Make an educated guess that  $F(S_1, S_2, t)$  is multiplicatively separable:

$$F(S_1, S_2, t) = S_2 G(t, z_t)$$

where  $z_t = \frac{S_1}{S_2}$ .

- Plugging this conjecture for  $F$  into (9) shows that the conjectured functional form satisfies (9) as long as the function  $G$  satisfies the PDE

$$\begin{aligned}G_t(t, z) + \frac{1}{2}z^2 G_{zz}(t, z) (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) &= 0, \\G(T, z) &= \max [z - 1, 0]\end{aligned}$$

- But this is just a special case of the Black Scholes equation with  $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$ ,  $r = 0$ , and  $K = 1$ .

14

- Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, s_1, s_2) &= S_2 \{zN[d_1(t, z)] - N[d_2(t, z)]\} \\ &= S_1 N[d_1(t, z)] - S_2 N[d_2(t, z)] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)(T-t)}} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)(T-t) \right\} \\ d_2 &= d_1 - \sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)(T-t)} \end{aligned}$$

15

### III. Dividends

- Introducing dividends is not hard at all. We start with modeling dividends that accrue at discrete time

$$0 < T_n < T_{n-1} < \dots < T_2 < T_1 < T$$

- The stock price follows the process

$$dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S d\bar{W}_t$$

- The dividend process is a function  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ , where the dividend at time  $t$  has the form

$$\delta = \delta(S_{t-})$$

16



- The notation  $S_{t-}$  reflects the notion that we are capturing the price of the stock an instant before the stock gets paid.
- We then have the following obvious condition to exclude arbitrage

$$S_t = S_{t-} - \delta(S_{t-})$$

17

- To price a claim in the presence of dividends let's start backwards. Specifically, let's focus on the interval  $T_1 \leq t \leq T$ .
- Given the assumption that prices are ex-dividend in this last interval, we are really faced with a no-dividend claim. So the claim satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F^0}{\partial t} + rS_t \frac{\partial F^0}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^0}{(\partial S)^2} - rF^0 &= 0 \\ F^0(T, S_T) &= \Phi(S_T) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose we solve this PDE and obtain some solution  $F^0(T_1, S_{T_1})$  at time  $T_1$ .

18

- Then in the period  $T_2 \leq t \leq T_1$  we have that  $F^1$  must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial F^1}{\partial t} + rS_t \frac{\partial F^1}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^1}{(\partial S)^2} - rF^1 = 0$$

$$F^1(T_1^-, S_{T_1^-}) = F^0 \left( T_1, S_{T_1^-} - \delta \left( S_{T_1^-} \right) \right)$$

- Using the Feynman Kac Theorem, we can express  $F^1$  as

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(t, S_t) &= e^{-r(T_1-t)} E_t^Q \left[ F^0(T_1^-, S_{T_1^-} - \delta(S_{T_1^-})) \right] \\ &= e^{-r(T_1-t)} E_t^Q \left[ e^{-r(T-T_1)} E_{T_1}^Q \left[ \Phi(S_T) \mid S_{T_1} = S_{T_1^-} - \delta(S_{T_1^-}) \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Using the law of the iterated expectation we have thus the following result:
- Consider at  $T$ –Claim of the form  $\Phi(S_T)$ . Then the arbitrage free pricing function  $F(t, S_t)$  has the representation

$$F(t, S_t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_t^Q [\Phi(S_T)]$$

where the  $Q$  dynamics of  $S$  between dividend payments are given by

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$$

with the jump conditions

$$S_t = S_{t-} - \delta(S_{t-})$$

at each dividend point  $t = T_1, T_2 \dots$

21

- A very important special case:  $\delta(S_{t-}) = \delta S_{t-}$ , where  $\delta$  is constant. Then

$$F_\delta(t, S_t) = F(t, (1 - \delta)^n S_t)$$

where  $F$  is the price of the derivative on a non-dividend paying stock, and  $F_\delta$  is the price of the derivative on a dividend paying stock.

- Let's formally push this down to the limit where dividends are paid in  $N$  equidistant intervals from  $t$  to  $T$ . Then

$$F_\delta(t, S_t) = F\left(t, \left(1 - \delta \frac{(T-t)}{N}\right)^N S_t\right)$$

22

- As  $N \rightarrow \infty$  we would expect that

$$F_{\delta}(t, S_t) = F\left(t, e^{-\delta(T-t)} S_t\right)$$

- Indeed this can be verified by a direct argument (see section 16.2 in ATC)
- We have the following result in the case of continuously accruing dividends

$$F(t, S_t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_t^Q [\Phi(S_T)]$$

where

$$dS_t = (r - \delta) S_t dt + S_t \sigma dW_t$$

23

## IV. Examples

- Example 1: Pricing index futures. Suppose that an index pays a continuous dividend  $\delta$ . Find the price of a forward maturing at  $T$ .
- Solution: The payoff of a forward contract at time  $T$  is given by

$$\Phi(S_T; K) = S_T - K$$

The price of a forward at time  $t = 0$  is zero, so  $K$  needs to be determined so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e^{-rT} E^Q(S_T - K) \\ &= e^{-rT} S_0 e^{(r-\delta)T} - e^{-rT} K \\ \Rightarrow K &= S_0 e^{(r-\delta)T} \end{aligned}$$

24

- Example 2: Pricing currency derivatives. Let's assume that the exchange rate follows some dynamics

$$dX_t = X_t \mu dt + X_t \sigma_X d\bar{W}_t$$

and that the bonds follow the dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} dB_t^d &= r^d B_t^d dt \\ dB_t^f &= r^f B_t^f dt \end{aligned}$$

- Let's price two claims: a) A forward on the exchange rate and b) an Option on the exchange rate.
- To price such claims the key thing is to figure out the dynamics of  $X_t$  under the probability measure  $Q$ .

25

- To derive the dynamics of the exchange rate under  $Q$  we make the following observation: Investing in the foreign bond and converting the funds back into the home currency has a payoff equal to

$$L_t = X_t B_t^f.$$

- Under  $Q$ , the dynamics of  $L_t$  are given by

$$dL_t = r^d L_t dt + L_t \sigma_X dW_t$$

- This implies that under  $Q$ , the exchange rate must obey the dynamics

$$dX_t = X_t (r^d - r^f) dt + X_t \sigma_X dW_t$$

- Note that this is similar to assuming that  $X_t$  is a dividend-paying asset.

26

- Hence, the price of a  $T$ –forward is given by

$$K = X_0 e^{(r^d - r^f)T}$$

This relation is sometimes called covered interest parity.

27

- Similarly, using the identity

$$F_\delta(t, S_t) = F\left(t, e^{-\delta(T-t)} S_t\right)$$

and noting that in our case  $\delta = r^f$ , we have that a call option on the exchange rate is given by

$$F(T, X_t) = X_t e^{-r^f(T-t)} N[d_1] - e^{-r^d(T-t)} K N[d_2]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \frac{1}{\sigma_X \sqrt{T-t}} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{x}{K}\right) + \left(r^d - r^f + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_X^2\right)(T-t) \right\}, \\ d_2 &= d_1 - \sigma_X \sqrt{T-t} \end{aligned}$$

28