Language Modeling

Probabilistic Language Models

Probabilistic Language Models learn the probability of the next token, based on previous tokens. Given

that a set of words $w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_k$ predict the next word as w_{k+1}

 $P(w_{k+1} | w_k, w_{k-1}, w_{k-2}, \dots)$ This is multi-class classification problem inspired by naive-bayes

N-gram models

An N-gram language model predicts the probability of a given N-gram within any sequence of words in the language.

Consider "I have a dream" exists within a corpus. $P(I \text{ have a dream}) = P(I) \cdot p(\text{have}) \cdot p(a) \cdot p(\text{dream})$

Eg: a tri-gram model:

< start >	ı	want	а	dream	job	have	dog	company
(< start >, I)	0	0	0.0714	0	0	0	0.142	0
(I,want)	0	0	0	0.0714	0	0	0	0
(want,a)	0	0	0	0	0.0714	0	0	0
(a,dream)	0	0	0	0	0	0.0714	0	0
(dream,job)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(i,have)	0	0	0	0.142	0	0	0	0
(have,a)	0	0	0	0	0.0714	0.0714	0	0
(a,dog)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(dream,company)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(company, < / start>)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(job, < / start>)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(dog, < / start>)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Building N-grams: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Maximum likelihood estimation maximizes a likelihood function, that, under the assumed statistical model, the observed data is most probable.

For n-grams, the likelihood function for the most probable word at position k is

$$P\!\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k}|\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1},\boldsymbol{w}_{k-2}\!\!\ldots\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\right) = \frac{\mathit{Count}\!\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k},\!\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}\right)}{\mathit{Count}\!\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1},\!\boldsymbol{w}_{k-2}\!\!\ldots\!\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\right)}$$

N-gram models are trained by building a dictionary that given a set of n-1 words, predicts nth word by maximum likelihood estimation. Thus, n-gram assumes that

N-gram assumption: The probability of each word is independent, given the words before it, and only depends on the fraction of their occurrence within the corpus

Evaluation

To evaluate the performance of n-gram (or) autoregressive models, the following metrics are used

- Average Loglikelihood: of a text document from a corpus is
 - $logP_{eval}(text)_{avg} = \frac{1}{||word||} \sum_{word} logP_{train}(word)$
 - where | |word| | is number of words in the text
- Cross entropy: of a text document from a corpus is negative of average log-likelihood
 - Cross Entropy (text) = $-logP_{eval}(text)_{avg}$
- Perplexity: of a text document from a corpus is defined as exponential of cross-entropy
 - $Perplexity(text) = e^{cross_entropy(text)}$
 - A lower perplexity indicates a higher model's understanding of a language

Accounting OOV (Out of vocabulary words)

To account for OOV words, the following algorithms are used

- Laplace smoothening helps to tackle problem of zero probability. We add an additional [UNK] token to training set and modify likelihood function
 - K-Smoothening:

$$P(w_k|w_{k-1}, w_{k-2}...w_1) = \frac{Count(w_k, w_{k-1}) + k}{Count(w_{k-1}, w_{k-2}...w_1) + k \cdot V}$$

- where *k* is a randomly chosen hyperparameter and *V* is vocabulary size (Number of unique n-grams in the training corpus)
- Add 1 Smoothening:

$$P(w_k|w_{k-1}, w_{k-2}...w_1) = \frac{Count(w_k, w_{k-1}) + 1}{Count(w_{k-1}, w_{k-2}...w_1) + V}$$

- where V is vocabulary size (Number of unique n-grams in the training corpus). Add 1 smoothening is a simplified version of K-Smoothening where K=1
- Effect of Smoothening

$$P_{train}([UNK]) = \frac{k}{V}$$

- Back-off and Interpolation
 - Back-off: this technique falls back to lower-order N-gram models when a higher-order N-gram model is unavailable

$$P_{backoff}(w_{n}|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}, \dots w_{1}) = \{P_{train}(w_{n}|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}, \dots w_{1}) \ Count(w_{n}, w_{n-1}, \dots w_{1}) \ge 0 \ P_{backoff}(w_{n-1}|w_{n-2}, w_{n-3}, \dots w_{1}) \}$$

• **Interpolation**: This technique combines the likelihoods of multiple N-gram models to estimate the next word. In linear interpolation, we typically take a weighted sum of likelihoods as a predictor for the next token

$$P(w_{n}|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}, \dots w_{1}) = \lambda_{1}P(w_{1}) + \lambda_{2}P(w_{2}|w_{1}) + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}P(w_{n-1}|w_{n-2}, w_{n-3}, \dots w_{1}) + \lambda_{n}P(w_{n}|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}, \dots w_{1})$$