

AI & NN

Unit I – Part 4

Topics: Constraint satisfaction, related algorithms, handling uncertainty in terms of probability, measure of performance.

Class: III CYS
Sem : 2025-26, Sem 5

-Dr. S.Durga, M.E., PhD

Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSP)

- **Definition:** A Constraint Satisfaction Problem is a mathematical problem defined as a set of objects whose state must satisfy several constraints and conditions. CSPs can be formalized as a triple (X, D, C) where:
 - **X:** A set of variables (e.g., X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n).
 - **D:** A set of domains of values for each variable (e.g., $D(X_1), D(X_2), \dots$).
 - **C:** A set of constraints that specify the allowable combinations of values.

- **Example:** Consider a simple CSP of a scheduling problem where you want to schedule classes in a way that no two classes occur at the same time:
- Variables: {Class1, Class2, Class3}
- Domains: {Morning, Afternoon, Evening} for each class.
- Constraints:
 - Class1 \neq Class2
 - Class1 \neq Class3
 - Class2 \neq Class3

CSP: Sample Problem 2

- A cybersecurity team must assign three analysts (A1, A2, A3) to three different systems (S1, S2, S3). Each analyst has different strengths for each system as follows:
 - A1: (S1: High, S2: Low, S3: Medium)
 - A2: (S1: Medium, S2: High, S3: Low)
 - A3: (S1: Low, S2: Medium, S3: High)
- Constraints:
 - A1 cannot work on S2.
 - A2 cannot work on S3.
 - A3 must work on S1.

How to assign analysts to systems respecting all constraints?

Solution:

- Analysts: A1, A2, A3
- Systems: S1, S2, S3
- **Establish Constraints:**
 - $A1 \neq S2$
 - $A2 \neq S3$
 - $A3 = S1$
- **Assign A3 to S1** (from the constraint):
 - Current Assignment: A3 -> S1
- **Possible Assignments for A1 and A2:**
 - Remaining Systems: S2, S3
 - Since A1 cannot be assigned to S2, it must be assigned to S3. So, A1 -> S3
- **Final Assignment:**
 - A2 must take the only system left, which is S2. Thus, A2 -> S2
- **Final Resulting Assignment:**
 - A1 -> S3
 - A2 -> S2
 - A3 -> S1

Related Algorithms

- **Backtracking:**
 - A depth-first search algorithm that assigns values to variables one at a time and checks for constraints. If constraints are violated, it backtracks to try a different value.
- **Example:** In our class scheduling example, if Class1 is scheduled for the Morning, and we find that Class2 cannot be in the Morning due to a constraint, we backtrack to try different values for Class 2.

Related Algorithms

- **Constraint Propagation:**
 - Techniques that reduce the search space of a CSP by updating domains of variables based on constraints.
- **Example:** If Class1 is put in the Morning, then the domain of Class2 can be reduced to {Afternoon, Evening} since it cannot be in the Morning.
- The core idea is to eliminate values from the domains of variables that cannot possibly lead to a valid solution, thereby pruning the search tree significantly.
- Common constraint propagation techniques include Forward Checking, Arc Consistency (AC-3 algorithm), and Mac's Algorithm.

Constraint Propagation: Example

- Let's consider a simple CSP involving the problem of assigning colors to a map of three countries (A, B, and C) such that no two adjacent countries have the same color. The two constraints are:
 - **Variables:** A, B, C (the countries)
 - **Domains:** {Red, Green, Blue} (the colors)
 - **Constraints:**
 - $A \neq B$
 - $A \neq C$
 - $B \neq C$
- Solve using **Constraint Propagation**.

- Let (A, B, C) be the variables.
- Each variable has a domain of possible colors: ($D(A) = D(B) = D(C) = \{\text{Red, Green, Blue}\}$).
- **A: {Red, Green, Blue} B: {Red, Green, Blue} C: {Red, Green, Blue}**
- **Choose a Variable to Assign:**
 - For instance, suppose we assign a color to (A) first. Let's say we choose **Red** for (A).
- **Apply Constraints (Forward Checking):**
 - After assigning (A) with **Red**, we check the adjacent variables (B) and (C):
 - For (B): Remove **Red** from ($D(B)$); now ($D(B) = \{\text{Green, Blue}\}$).
 - For (C): Remove **Red** from ($D(C)$); now ($D(C) = \{\text{Green, Blue}\}$).

A: {Red} B: {Green, Blue} C: {Green, Blue}
- **Choose Next Variable:**
 - Next, we can assign a value to (B). Let's choose **Green**.
- **Apply Constraints Again:**
 - After assigning **Green** to (B):
 - Remove **Green** from ($D(C)$); now ($D(C) = \{\text{Blue}\}$).
 - The state looks like this A: {Red} B: {Green} C: {Blue}

- **Arc Consistency:**

- A property of CSP that ensures for every value of variable X , there is a value of variable Y that satisfies the binary constraint. Algorithms like AC-3 can be employed to enforce this property.

Handling Uncertainty in Terms of Probability

- **Probabilistic Models:** In AI, uncertainty can be modeled using probabilities. This includes approaches like Bayesian networks, which allow reasoning under uncertainty.
- **Key Concepts:**
 - **Random Variables:** They represent uncertain quantities. E.g., the weather can be a random variable with possible values like "Rainy," "Sunny," etc.
 - **Joint Probability Distribution:** The probability of every possible combination of values for all variables.
- **Example:** If we have a weather-related variable S (Sunny) and activity A (Outdoor), the joint distribution might look like:
 - $P(\text{Sunny, Outdoor}) = 0.6$
 - $P(\text{Rainy, Outdoor}) = 0.1$
 - $P(\text{Sunny, Indoor}) = 0.3$
- **Bayes' Theorem:** Used to update the probability of a hypothesis as more evidence becomes available.

Handling Uncertainty in Terms of Probability: Eg. problem

Problem statement:

- A company experiences a cyber attack that could either be a phishing attack or a malware attack. The historical data shows the following probabilities:
- Probability of a phishing attack ($P(P)$) = 0.6
- Probability of a malware attack ($P(M)$) = 0.4
- If a phishing attack occurs, the probability of a successful breach (B) is 0.9. If a malware attack occurs, the probability of a successful breach (B) is 0.7.

What is the overall probability of a successful breach?

- Let (P): Phishing attack
- Let (M): Malware attack
- Let (B): Successful breach
- Given data : $P(B|P) = 0.9$, $P(B|M) = 0.7$,
 $P(P) = 0.6$, $P(M) = 0.4$
- The total probability of a successful breach can be calculated as: $P(B) = P(B|P)P(P) + P(B|M)P(M)$
- $P(B) = (0.9)(0.6) + (0.7)(0.4)$
- $P(B) = 0.54 + 0.28$
- $P(B) = 0.82$
- The overall probability of a successful breach is 0.82 (or 82%).

Measure of Performance

- **Performance Measures:** In AI, various metrics are used to evaluate the performance of algorithms and systems:
 - **Accuracy:** The proportion of true results among the total cases examined; important in classification tasks.
 - **Time Complexity:** Often measured in Big O notation, indicating how the time to solve the problem grows with input size. Algorithms for CSP can have complexities influenced by the number of variables and constraints.
 - **Space Complexity:** This measures the amount of memory used by the algorithm in relation to the input size.
 - **Quality of Solutions:** Especially important in optimization problems, not just whether a solution exists, but how "good" that solution is concerning the defined objective (e.g., minimum cost, maximum efficiency).

Example Metrics:

- CSP solutions might be evaluated based on how quickly a valid schedule can be generated.
- In a probabilistic model, the measure could include the likelihood of correct predictions based on the model's probabilities.

AI Applications : Example

Face & Object Detection from Footage	You're reviewing CCTV footage from a suspect location. Use AI to identify faces, weapons, or vehicles in video frames or images.
Intelligence Extraction from Text	A cache of text messages, PDFs, and chat logs were found on a seized phone. Use NLP to extract keywords, names, and identify threat indicators.
Image Tamper & Deep Fake Detection	A suspect claims a photo used as evidence is fake. Use AI-assisted tools to check for image manipulation or deepfake characteristics.
Threat Prediction from Logs	You receive server logs from a compromised system. Train a basic AI model to flag log entries likely associated with threats or policy violations.
Anomaly Detection in User Behaviour	An unauthorized login occurred at 02:00 AM. Train/test an anomaly detection model to flag unusual user behavior based on historical access data.