# Ultra-deep technical exploration of JVM Garbage **Collection (GC) Analysis in Containers**



## 1. JVM vs Container Memory Model – A Deep Conflict



## **Property** Default JVM Behavior:

The JVM calculates InitialHeapSize, MaxHeapSize, and GC region sizes based on:

- /proc/meminfo
- sysconf(\_SC\_NPROCESSORS\_CONF)
- Runtime.getRuntime().availableProcessors()

## Problem:

In a Docker container with limited memory (via cgroup v1/v2), the container sees limited memory, but the JVM (especially < Java 10 or Java 8 <u191) ignores it and assumes full host RAM is available.

#### Side-Effect:

JVM Behavior	<b>Container Reality</b>	Result
JVM allocates 4 GB heap	Container limit is 1 GB	OOMKill (SIGKILL)
JVM spawns 16 GC threads	CPU limit = 1 core	CPU throttling, GC pause inflation
Eden space too large	Low memory capacity	Minor GC frequency skyrockets



## 2. How JVM GC Ergonomics Are Skewed in Containers

#### JVM GC heuristics involve:

- CPU core count
- MaxHeapSize
- Allocation rate estimation
- GC pause latency estimation

#### But in containers:

- JVM overestimates CPU cores (/proc/cpuinfo still shows all vCPUs unless patched)
- Heuristics like -XX:MaxGCPauseMillis become invalid

#### **Example: G1GC misbehavior**

- G1 tries to **optimize pause time** using concurrent regions.
- If Eden is 400 MB (based on 4 GB Xmx assumption), but available RAM is 1 GB, you'll get:
  - Eden GC every few seconds
  - Humongous object problems (objects > 50% of region size)
  - Huge Young GC times (copy pause)



## 3. GC Algorithms and Their Behavior in Containers

## G1GC in Containers

Ideal For: Apps with medium memory footprint (256 MB – 2 GB), needing balanced pause/throughput

#### **Tuning Essentials:**

- -XX:+UseG1GC
- -XX:MaxGCPauseMillis=200
- -XX:InitiatingHeapOccupancyPercent=30
- -XX:+ParallelRefProcEnabled
- -XX:+UseStringDeduplication

#### **Problems Observed:**

- Incorrect region sizing → High GC pause
- G1 Humongous object GC every few seconds
- Eden resizing jitter

## **ZGC** in Containers

Ideal For: Ultra-low latency services (e.g., financial APIs), where <10ms pause SLA

Needs: Java 11+, more CPU cores

Flags:

-XX:+UseZGC

-XX:ZUncommitDelay=5 # Aggressive memory release to OS

-XX:SoftMaxHeapSize=512m

#### Notes:

- Does not compact
- Entire GC is concurrent
- High CPU overhead not ideal for constrained containers

## Shenandoah GC in Containers

Ideal For: RedHat-based JVMs (OpenJ9), low-latency needs

Flags:

-XX:+UseShenandoahGC

-XX:+ShenandoahUncommit

-XX:ShenandoahUncommitDelay=1000

Strength: Compacting GC with low STW pause

Weakness: JDK-specific tuning required; lower maturity in open source compared to G1/ZGC

## O Parallel GC in Containers

Strength: High throughput GC for batch jobs

#### Weakness in Containers:

- STW GC only (no concurrency)
- Long pause times if Eden is large

Worst fit for REST or real-time apps

## 4. Full GC Log Interpretation in Containerized JVMs

#### **Enable Logging:**

-Xlog:gc\*:file=/var/log/gc.log:time,uptime,level,tags

#### Log Sample (Java 11):

[5.015s][info][gc,start ] GC(0) Pause Young (Normal) (G1 Evacuation Pause

[5.015s][info][gc,heap ] GC(0) Eden regions: 8->0(12)

[5.015s][info][gc,heap ] GC(0) Survivor regions: 0->3(3)

[5.015s][info][gc,heap ] GC(0) Old regions: 0->0

[5.015s][info][gc,phases ] GC(0) Pause Young (Normal) 21.457ms

## Interpretation:

GC(0): First GC event

Eden:  $8 \rightarrow 0$  regions (Eden cleared)

Survivor: Promoted 3 regions

Old regions unchanged

GC duration: 21.457ms

## In container, observe:

- Humongous allocation  $\rightarrow$  GC(0) Pause Full (G1 Humongous Allocation)
- If multiple young GCs per second → over-allocation

## 5. Heap Sizing Math in Cgroup-Aware JVM

Assume container memory = 1 GiB (1024 Mi)

- -XX:MaxRAMPercentage=75.0 → 768 Mi heap max
- -XX:InitialRAMPercentage=50.0 → 512 Mi initial heap

Heap layout with G1GC (rough):

• Eden: ~256 Mi

Survivor: ~32 Mi

Old gen: ~480 Mi

Metaspace: separate from heap (~64 Mi default limit)

## **Edge Case:**

- Netty/Redis client allocating direct memory → needs:
- -XX:MaxDirectMemorySize=256m
  - Else, native memory exhaustion despite healthy heap

## 6. Case Study – Memory Leak + GC Stall in Microservice

#### Context:

- Microservice in K8s (Java 11), 1Gi limit
- GC log: frequent minor GCs, occasional full GCs
- P99 latency > 2s
- Heap used: 200 Mi
- Container killed by OOM killer

#### Investigation:

- 1. Enabled -XX:NativeMemoryTracking=summary
- 2. Ran:

jcmd <pid> VM.native\_memory summary

3. Found:

Total: reserved=1024MB, committed=980MB

- Java Heap: 400MB

- Class: 120MB

- Thread Stack: 64MB

- Arena + Code Cache + Direct Buffer = 400MB+

- 4. Heap dump: Not leaking on-heap.
- 5. DirectBufferLeak: Netty client had unclosed channels

#### Fix:

- Added shutdown hooks to release Netty buffer
- Added:
- -XX:MaxDirectMemorySize=128m

#### Result:

- GC normalized
- No more OOMKills
- STW pauses reduced by 90%



## 7. Overlay GC with Load Test Timeline

#### **Tools:**

- JMeter  $\rightarrow$  InfluxDB  $\rightarrow$  Grafana
- GC logs parsed → Logstash → Elasticsearch

## **Overlay Example:**

Time	GC Pause (ms)	Avg Resp Time	Errors
13:02:35	155 ms (Full GC)	982 ms	5xx
13:03:10	82 ms (Young GC)	441 ms	0
13:05:20	213 ms (Full GC)	1.2 sec	Spike

You can now pinpoint latency spikes to GC events.



## **Q** 8. Monitoring GC in Containers (Prometheus + Grafana)

## **Metrics Exposed (Micrometer):**

- jvm\_gc\_pause\_seconds\_sum
- jvm\_gc\_memory\_allocated\_bytes

• jvm\_memory\_used\_bytes{area="heap"}

## JMX Exporter alternative:

lowercaseOutputName: true

rules:

- pattern: "java.lang:type=GarbageCollector,name=(.\*)"

name: jvm\_gc\_collection\_seconds

type: summary

#### **Grafana Dashboards:**

- GC pause per collector
- GC count (Young, Full)
- Heap used vs committed
- Container RSS vs JVM heap → detect native leaks

## ✓ Always Check:

- JVM sees cgroup values → check jcmd <pid> VM.info
- GC log enabled and collected to external mount
- Xmx + direct memory + metaspace ≤ cgroup limit
- GC type matches workload
- Native memory isn't leaking (NMT, jcmd)
- Logs + metrics overlay confirm GC impact

## **TIPS**: JVM GC in Containers



## **Ø** TIP 1: Heap Pressure Monitoring ≠ Container Memory Pressure

Even if JVM's heap is well within Xmx, your container might get OOMKilled.



- Metaspace, thread stacks, direct memory, NIO buffers, and JIT code cache live outside the Java heap
- Linux cgroups count total RSS, not just heap
- JVM GC won't help if native memory is leaking

## **Action**:

- Use -XX:NativeMemoryTracking=summary
- Cap native memory:
- -XX:MaxDirectMemorySize=128m
- -XX:MaxMetaspaceSize=128m

## TIP 2: PreTouch and Memory Fragmentation

Containers under memory pressure can fragment physical memory. JVMs use mmap() and madvise() to request pages.

## Symptom:

- Slow GC starts
- Major page faults
- High STW delay before actual GC begins

## ✓ Fix:

-XX:+AlwaysPreTouch

This forces physical page allocation upfront, reducing fragmentation-related GC hiccups.

## TIP 3: GC Thread Pools Must Respect CPU Quotas

When CPU quota is 1, JVM might still try to use default GC threads (based on os::active\_processor\_count() from /proc/cpuinfo)

## Action:

- -XX:ParallelGCThreads=1
- -XX:ConcGCThreads=1
- -XX:ActiveProcessorCount=1

Use jcmd <pid> VM.flags to verify. Over-provisioned GC threads will steal CPU from the app in low-vCPU containers.

## TIP 4: GC Log Timestamp Normalization for Correlation

GC logs print time since JVM startup:

6.123s: [GC pause (G1 Evacuation Pause) ...]

But logs like application logs, Splunk, or InfluxDB dashboards use **epoch timestamps**.

## **Action**:

Use startup timestamp + GC log to convert relative times to absolute:

JVM START EPOCH + gc time offset = Real timestamp

Useful when overlaying with:

- JMeter run logs
- Kubernetes liveness probe failures
- Service mesh (Istio/Envoy) telemetry

## ITIP 5: Avoid System.gc() STW in containers

When System.gc() is called, it triggers a **Full GC**, which is especially disastrous under limited CPU/memory.

## Action:

-XX:+DisableExplicitGC

#### Alternative:

-XX:+ExplicitGCInvokesConcurrent

This forces a concurrent Full GC in G1 instead of STW.

## **☑** TIP 6: Use jstat to Track GC Behavior in Real Time (Even in Prod Containers)

docker exec -it <container> jstat -gc <pid> 1000

#### Fields of interest:

- SOU, S1U (Survivor space usage)
- EU (Eden space used)
- OC (Old gen capacity)
- YGC, FGC (young/full GC counts)
- YGCT, FGCT (time spent in GC)

#### **Look For:**

- EU frequently reaching EC → Eden overflow
- FGC climbing → too frequent Full GCs
- GC time >10% of app time → throughput loss

## III TIP 7: Configure and Export GC Logs to External Volumes in Docker

GC logs written inside container are lost unless **persisted or streamed**.

## **✓** Dockerfile + CMD:

ENV JAVA\_OPTS="-Xlog:gc\*:file=/logs/gc.log:time,tags"

**VOLUME /logs** 

CMD ["java", "\$JAVA\_OPTS", "-jar", "app.jar"]

Mount with:

docker run -v /host/logs:/logs ...

Then tail it or ship via FluentBit/Logstash to Splunk/Elasticsearch.



## TIP 8: Humongous Allocations in G1GC = GC Nightmare

#### In G1GC:

- Any object > 50% of region size → Humongous Allocation
- Stored in contiguous old-gen regions
- Not cleaned by minor GC
- Triggers frequent Full GCs
- Action:
- -XX:G1HeapRegionSize=2m

OR:

- Break down large object graphs (esp. strings, JSON, lists)
- Watch for:

[Full GC (G1 Humongous Allocation)]

In GC logs

## TIP 9: Restart Avoidance by Tracking RSS at Runtime

GC analysis often misses the fact that **RSS grows over time** even if heap usage is flat.

✓ Track:

ps -o pid,rss,cmd | grep java

Correlate JVM heap (from JMX or Prometheus) with RSS.

- JVM heap = 300 MB
- RSS = 800 MB → Memory leak outside heap

## **Likely Culprits:**

- Netty ByteBuf leaks
- Unsafe memory (sun.misc.Unsafe)
- Native libraries

## **TIP 10: G1GC Tuning Heuristics in Containers**

In G1GC, most GC issues stem from:

- Incorrect region sizing
- Improper pause prediction
- Eden sizing instability

#### **Tuning Combo:**

- -XX:+UseG1GC
- -XX:+ParallelRefProcEnabled
- -XX:MaxGCPauseMillis=100
- -XX:InitiatingHeapOccupancyPercent=30
- -XX:G1ReservePercent=10
- -XX:G1HeapRegionSize=2m
- -XX:+AlwaysPreTouch

#### This balances:

- Fast startup (pre-touch)
- Pause control (<100ms)</li>
- Heap occupancy
- Safety buffer

## TIP 11: Capture Heap + Native Delta Together

Many tools show either heap or native memory.

## **Ideal Script for Heap Leak + Native Leak:**

jmap -dump:format=b,file=/tmp/heap.hprof <pid>

jcmd <pid> VM.native memory summary > /tmp/nmt.log

#### Then:

- Use Eclipse MAT for heap dump
- Parse nmt.log to find arena/class/native growth
- Combine to trace total memory leak

## TIP 12: Simulate GC Pressure for Canary Testing

#### Before releasing:

- Deploy app in K8s with low memory/cpu
- Run synthetic traffic (JMeter, Locust)
- Observe:
  - GC frequency
  - GC pause time
  - Heap promotion trend
  - NMT growth

## **Canary Alerting:**

- GC pause > 200ms → warn
- Full GC count > 5/hr → warn
- Native memory growth > 20% over baseline → critical

## TIP 13: Instrument GC Pause Breakdown with Phases

Use:

-Xlog:gc\*,gc+phases=debug

Will print:

GC(11) Pause Young (Normal) (G1 Evacuation Pause) 50.123ms

Pre Evacuate Collection Set: 0.1ms

Evacuate Collection Set: 25.2ms

Post Evacuate Collection Set: 3.1ms

Other: 21.7ms

Use this to:

- Pinpoint evacuation bottlenecks
- Correlate GC phase with CPU stalls (e.g., poor GC thread CPU share)

## TIP 14: Split Critical and Non-Critical Pods for GC Experimentation

In K8s:

- Use different node pools or namespaces
- Run "experimental GC tuned" versions side-by-side
- Compare:
  - GC pause time
  - 5xx error rates
  - JMeter throughput metrics

Use Istio traffic mirroring or blue-green deployment to split traffic.

## TIP 15: Full GC Cost Estimation

To estimate GC stall budget:

#### Formula:

Pause time ≈ Object Count × Object Size × Copy Time per MB

## E.g.:

- 100k live objects of 1 KB
- Copy time = 2 ms/MB

#### Then:

• GC pause =  $(100k \times 1 \text{ KB})/1024 \times 2 \text{ ms} = ^200 \text{ ms}$ 

Predict STW pause impact before prod rollout.

## **FINAL TECHNICAL TAKEAWAYS**

## **O** Default JVM ≠ Container-Aware

- → Always use:
- -XX:+UseContainerSupport
- -XX:MaxRAMPercentage=75.0
- -XX:ActiveProcessorCount=<CPU limit>

## GC Thrashing = Eden too large / Humongous allocations

- → Tune G1GC:
- -XX:+UseG1GC
- -XX:MaxGCPauseMillis=100
- -XX:G1HeapRegionSize=2m

## ○ Heap ≠ Total Memory Usage

→ Native leaks/OOMKill despite free heap?

#### Use:

- -XX:NativeMemoryTracking=summary
- -XX:MaxDirectMemorySize=<limit>

jcmd <pid> VM.native\_memory summary

## Overlay GC logs with JMeter/test timeline

- → Align latency spikes with GC pause
- → Use -Xlog:gc\*:file=... + dashboards (Grafana/Splunk)

## ▲ STW Pause Cause? Break it Down!

- $\rightarrow$  Use:
- -Xlog:gc\*,gc+phases=debug
- → Analyze evacuation time vs GC phase CPU stalls

## **(**) Pre-touch to prevent page faults:

-XX:+AlwaysPreTouch

## Mount GC logs in Docker

- → Use volume mounts:
- -v /host/logs:/logs +
- -Xlog:gc\*:file=/logs/gc.log

## **Monitor These GC Metrics in Grafana:**

- jvm\_gc\_pause\_seconds
- jvm\_memory\_used\_bytes
- jvm\_gc\_memory\_allocated\_bytes
- jvm\_gc\_collection\_seconds\_count

## Test GC under stress BEFORE prod

→ Canary deploy → Load → Watch GC logs + heap + RSS + p99

## **✓** Checklist for GC in Containers

- Container-aware heap + CPU sizing
- GC algorithm tuned for load
- GC pause below SLA (<200ms)</li>
- Heap + native memory budgeted
- GC logs + metrics integrated into observability stack