Visualization Analysis & Design



Spatial Data (Ch 9)

Focus on Spatial

Dataset Types





How?
Encode
Arrange
Express
Separate
Order
Align
Use
Map
from categorical and ordered attributes
Color
Hue
Saturation
Luminance
Size, Angle, Curvature, ...
Shape
Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...
Manipulate
Change
Select
Navigate
Facet
Juxtapose
Partition
Superimpose
Reduce
Filter
Aggregate
Embed
What?
Why?
How?

How?


Encode
Arrange
Express
Separate
Order
Align
Use
Map
from categorical and ordered attributes
Color
Hue
Saturation
Luminance
Size, Angle, Curvature, ...
Shape
Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...
Manipulate
Change
Select
Navigate
Facet
Juxtapose
Partition
Superimpose
Reduce
Filter
Aggregate
Embed
What?
Why?
How?

Spatial data

- use given spatial position
- when?
  - dataset contains spatial attributes and they have primary importance
  - central tasks revolve around understanding spatial relationships

- examples
  - geographical/cartographic data
  - sensor/simulation data

Geographic Maps

Geographic Map

Interlocking marks

- shape coded
- area coded
- position coded

- cannot encode another attribute with these channels, they're "taken"

Thematic maps

- show spatial variability of attribute ("theme")
  - combine geographic / reference map with (simple, flat) tabular data
  - join together
    - region: interlocking area marks (provinces, countries with outline shapes)
      - also could have point marks (cities, locations with 2D lat/lon coords)
    - region: categorical key attribute in table
      - use to look up value attributes
- major idioms
  - choropleth
  - symbol maps
  - cartograms
  - dot density maps

Idiom: **choropleth map**

- use given spatial data
  - when central task is understanding spatial relationships
- data
  - geographic geometry
  - table with 1 quant attribute per region
- encoding
  - position: use given geometry for area mark boundaries
  - color: sequential segmented colormap



http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/4060606

Beware: Population maps trickiness!



PET PEEVE #208:
GEOGRAPHIC PROFILE MAPS WHICH ARE
BASICALLY JUST POPULATION MAPS

[https://xkcd.com/1138]

Beware: Population maps trickiness!

- spurious correlations: most attributes just show where people live



PET PEEVE #208:
GEOGRAPHIC PROFILE MAPS WHICH ARE BASICALLY JUST POPULATION MAPS

[https://xkcd.com/1138]

Beware: Population maps trickiness!

- spurious correlations: most attributes just show where people live
- consider when to normalize by population density
  - encode raw data values
    - tied to underlying population
  - but should use normalized values
    - unemployed people per 100 citizens, mean family income



[https://xkcd.com/1138]

Beware: Population maps trickiness!

- spurious correlations: most attributes just show where people live
- consider when to normalize by population density
  - encode raw data values
    - tied to underlying population
  - but should use normalized values
    - unemployed people per 100 citizens, mean family income
- general issue
  - absolute counts vs relative/normalized data
  - failure to normalize is common error



PET PEEVE #208:
GEOGRAPHIC PROFILE MAPS WHICH ARE BASICALLY JUST POPULATION MAPS

[https://xkcd.com/1138]

Choropleth maps: Recommendations

- only use when central task is understanding spatial relationships
- show only one variable at a time
- normalize when appropriate
- be careful when choosing colors & bins
- best case: regions are roughly equal sized

Choropleth map: Pros & cons

- pros
  - easy to read and understand
  - well established visualization (no learning curve)
  - data is often collected and aggregated by geographical regions
- cons
  - most effective visual variable used for geographic location
  - visual salience depends on region size, not true importance wrt attribute value
    - large regions appear more important than small ones
  - color palette choice has a huge influence on the result

Idiom: **Symbol maps**

- symbol is used to represent aggregated data (mark or glyph)
  - allows use of size and shape and color channels
    - aka proportional symbol maps, graduated symbol maps
- keep original spatial geometry in the background
- ofter
  choro





Symbol maps with glyphs





Symbol map: Pros & cons

- pros
  - somewhat intuitive to read and understand
  - mitigate problems with region size vs data salience
    - marks: symbol size follows attribute value
    - glyphs: symbol size can be uniform
- cons
  - possible occlusion / overlap
    - symbols could overlap each other
    - symbols could occlude region boundaries
  - complex glyphs may require explanation / training

Idiom: **Contiguous cartogram**

- interlocking marks:
  shape, area, and position coded
- derive new interlocking marks
  - based on combination of original interlocking marks and new quantitative attribute
- algorithm to create new marks
  - input: target size
  - goal: shape as close to the original as possible
  - requirement: maintain constraints
    - relative position
    - contiguous boundaries with their neighbours



Greenhouse Emissions



Child Mortality

Idiom: **Grid Cartogram**







- uniform-sized shapes arranged in rectilinear grid
- maintain approximate spatial position and arrangement

Cartogram: Pros & cons

- pros
  - can be intriguing and engaging
  - best case: strong and surprising size disparities
  - non-contiguous cartograms often easier to understand
- cons
  - require substantial familiarity with original dataset & use of memory
    - compare distorted marks to memory of original marks
    - mitigation strategies: transitions or side by side views
  - major distortion is problematic
    - may be aesthetically displeasing
    - may result in unrecognizable marks
  - difficult to extract exact quantities

Idiom: **Dot density maps**

- visualize distribution of a phenomenon by placing dots
- one symbol represents a constant number of items
  - dots have uniform size & shape
  - allows use of color channel
- task: show spatial patterns, clusters



Dot density maps: Pros and cons

- pros
  - straightforward to understand
  - avoids choropleth non-uniform region size problems
- cons
  - challenge: normalization, just like choropleths
    - show population density (correlated with attribute), not effect of interest
  - perceptual disadvantage: difficult to extract quantities
  - performance disadvantage: rendering many dots can be slow

Map Projections

- mathematical functions that map 3D surface geometry of the Earth to 2D maps
- all projections of sphere on plane necessarily distort surface in some way
- interactive: philogb.github.io/page/myriahedral/ and jasondavies.com/maps/










Mercator Projection





» Heavily distorts country sizes; particularly close to the poles.

Spatial Fields

Idiom: **topographic map**

- data
  - geographic geometry
  - scalar spatial field
    - 1 quant attribute per grid cell
- derived data
  - isoline geometry
    - isocontours computed for specific levels of scalar values
- task
  - understanding terrain shape
    - densely lined regions = steep
- pros
  - use only 2D position, avoid 3D challenges
  - color channel available for other attribute
- cons
  - significant clutter from additional lines

[Land Information New Zealand Data Service]

Idioms: **isosurfaces, direct volume rendering**

- data
  - scalar spatial field (3D volume)
    - 1 quant attribute per grid cell
- task
  - shape understanding, spatial relationships

[Interactive Volume Rendering Techniques. Kniss. Master's thesis, University of Utah Computer Science, 2002.]

[Multidimensional Transfer Functions for Volume Rendering. Kniss, Kindlmann, and Hansen. In The Visualization Handbook, edited by Charles Hansen and Christopher Johnson, pp. 189–210. Elsevier, 2005.]

Idioms: **isosurfaces, direct volume rendering**

- data
  - scalar spatial field (3D volume)
    - 1 quant attribute per grid cell
- task
  - shape understanding, spatial relationships
- isosurface
  - derived data: isocontours computed for specific levels of scalar values



[Interactive Volume Rendering Techniques. Kniss. Master's thesis, University of Utah Computer Science, 2002.]

[Multidimensional Transfer Functions for Volume Rendering. Kniss, Kindlmann, and Hansen. In The Visualization Handbook, edited by Charles Hansen and Christopher Johnson, pp. 189–210. Elsevier, 2005.]

Idioms: **isosurfaces, direct volume rendering**

- data
  - scalar spatial field (3D volume)
    - 1 quant attribute per grid cell
- task
  - shape understanding, spatial relationships
- isosurface
  - derived data: isocontours computed for specific levels of scalar values
- direct volume rendering
  - transf
    scalar
    opacit
    - no d





[Interactive Volume Rendering Techniques. Kniss. Master's thesis, University of Utah Computer Science, 2002.]

[Multidimensional Transfer Functions for Volume Rendering. Kniss, Kindlmann, and Hansen. In The Visualization Handbook, edited by Charles Hansen and Christopher Johnson, pp. 189–210. Elsevier, 2005.]

Vector and tensor fields

- data
  - multiple attribs per cell (vector: 2)
- idiom families
  - flow *glyphs*
    - purely local
  - *geometric* flow
    - derived data from tracing particle trajectories
    - sparse set of seed points
  - *texture* flow
    - derived data, dense seeds
  - *feature* flow
    - global computation to detect features
      - encoded with one of methods above



[Comparing 2D vector field visualization methods: A user study. Laidlaw et al. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (TVCG) 11:1 (2005), 59–70.]



[Topology tracking for the visualization of time-dependent two-dimensional flows. Tricoche, Wischgoll, Scheuermann, and Hagen. Computers & Graphics 26:2 (2002), 249–257.]

Vector fields

- empirical study tasks
  - finding critical points, identifying their types
  - identifying what type of critical point is at a specific location
  - predicting where a particle starting at a specified point will end up (advection)



[Comparing 2D vector field visualization methods: A user study. Laidlaw et al. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (TVCG) 11:1 (2005), 59–70.]



[Topology tracking for the visualization of time-dependent two-dimensional flows. Tricoche, Wischgoll, Scheuermann, and Hagen. Computers & Graphics 26:2 (2002), 249–257.]

Idiom: **similarity-clustered streamlines**

- data
  - –3D vector field
- derived data (from field)
  - –streamlines: trajectory particle will follow
- derived data (per streamline)
  - –curvature, torsion, tortuosity
  - –signature: complex weighted combination
  - –compute cluster hierarchy



[Similarity Measures for Enhancing Interactive Streamline Seeding. McLoughlin,. Jones, Laramee, Malki, Masters, and. Hansen. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics 19:8

Idiom: Ellipsoid Tensor Glyphs

- data
  - tensor field: multiple attributes at each cell (entire matrix)
    - stress, conductivity, curvature, diffusivity...
  - derived data:
    - shape (eigenvalues)
    - orientation (eigenvectors)
- visual encoding
  - glyph: 3D ellipsoid



[Superquadric Tensor Glyphs. Kindlmann. Proc. VisSym04, p147-154, 2004.]

Arrange spatial data

➔ Use Given

- ➔ Geometry
  - *aphic*
  - ➔ *Other Derived*

- ➔ Spatial Fields
  - ➔ *Scalar Fields (one value per cell)*
    - ➔ *Isocontours*
    - ➔ *Direct Volume Rendering*

  - ➔ *Vector and Tensor Fields (many values per cell)*
    - ➔ *Flow Glyphs (local)*
    - ➔ *Geometric (sparse seeds)*
    - ➔ *Textures (dense seeds)*
    - ➔ *Features (globally derived)*