

BACKPATCHING

SANTHIYA.T

BP150503

- The easiest way to implement the syntax-directed definitions is to use passes.
 - First, construct a syntax tree for the input
 - Then walk the tree in depth-first order, computing the translations given in the definition.
 - The main problem with generating code for Boolean expression and flow-of-control statement in a single pass is that during one single pass.

- Statement will be put on a list of goto statements whose labels will be filled in when the proper label can be determine. We call this subsequent filling in of labels backpatching.
- Use three functions:
 - Makelist(i) creates a new list containing only i, an index the array of quadruples;marklist returns a pointer to the list it has made.

- `merge(p1,p2)` concatenates the list pointed to by `p1` and `p2`, and returns a pointer to the concatenated list.
- `backpatch(p,i)` inserts `I` as the target label for each of the statements on the list pointed to by `p`.

Boolean Expressions

- Construct a translation scheme suitable for producing quadruples for boolean expressions during bottom-up parsing.
- We insert a marker nonterminal M into the grammar to cause a semantic action to pick up, the index of the next quadruple to be generated.

$E \rightarrow E1 \text{ or } M E2$

| $E1 \text{ and } M E2$

| not $E1$

| $(E1)$

| id1 rel op id2

| true

| false

$M \rightarrow E$

- Consider the production $E \rightarrow E1 \text{ and } E2$.
- If $E1$ is false, then W is also false, so the statements on $E1.false$ become part of $E.false$.
- This target is obtained using the marker nonterminal M .
- Attribute $M.quad$ records the number of the first statement of $E2.code$.
- With the production $M \rightarrow E$ we associate the semantic action
- $\{ M.quad := nextquad \}$

- The variable `nextquad` holds the index of the next quadruple to follow.
- This value will be backpatched onto the `E1.truelist` when we have seen the remainder of the production $E \rightarrow E1 \text{ and } M E2$.
- The translation scheme is as follows.

E->E1 or M E2	{ backpatch(E1.falselist,M.quad); E.truelist := merge(E1.truelist,E2.truelist); E.falselist := E2.falselist }
E->E1 and M E2	{ backpath (E1.truelist,M.quad); E.truelist := E2.truelist; E.falselist := merge(E1.false, E2falselist)}
E -> not E1	{ E.truelist := E1.falselist; E.falselist := E1.truelist }
E -> (E1)	{ E.truelist := E1.truelist; E.falselist := E1.falselist }

E -> true	{ E.truelist := makelist(nextquad); emit('goto_') }
E -> false	{ E.false list := makelist(nextquad); emit('goto_') }
M -> e	{ M.quad := nextquad }
E -> id1 relop id2	{ E.truelist := makelist(nextquad); E.falselist := makelist(nextquad) + 1 ; emit('if' id1.place relop.op id2.place 'goto_') emit('goto_') }

Flow-of-Control Statements

- Backpatching can be used to translate flow-of-control statements in one Pass.
- We fix our attention on the generation of quadruples, and the notation regarding translation field names and list-handling procedures from that section carries over to this section as well.

Translation scheme grammar

$S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S$

$\quad | \text{if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } S$

$\quad | \text{while } L \text{ do } S$

$\quad | \text{begin } E \text{ end}$

$\quad | A$

$L \rightarrow L : S$

$\quad | S$

$S \rightarrow \text{Statement,}$

$L \rightarrow \text{Statement List}$

$A \rightarrow \text{Assignment statement}$

$E \rightarrow \text{Boolean Expression}$

- A given statement in execution, it physically in the quadruple array.
- General approach will be to fill in the jumps out of statements when their targets are found.
- Not only do boolean expressions.

Scheme to Implement the Translation

- A syntax-directed translation scheme to generate translation for the flow-of-control.
- The nonterminal E has two attributes E.truelist and E.falselist.
- L and S each also need a list of unfilled quadruples that must eventually be completed by backpatching.

- Pointed to by the attributes L.nextlist and S.listnext .
- S.nextlist is a pointer to a list of all condition and uncondition jumps and L.nextlist is defined similarly.
- The marker nonterminal M in the following production record the quadruple numbers
- $S \rightarrow \text{while } M1 \text{ do } M2 \text{ } S1$

Labels and Gotos

- Programming language construct for changing the flow of control in a program is the label and goto.
- A compiler encounters a statement like goto L, in must check that there is exactly one statement with label L in the scope of this goto statement.

- The symbol table will have an entry giving the compiler-generated label for the first three-address instruction associated with the source statement labeled L.
- A label L is encountered for the first time in the source program either in a declaration or as the target of a forward goto, we enter L into the symbol table and generate a symbolic label for L.

THANK YOU