Pratishrut Kamal
Data Scientist Candidate
Take-Home Submission Report

Due: 6/28/2022

Classification of Malignant/Benign Tumors Based on Numerical Data from CT Scans

Problem: Creating a machine learning model that can classify whether, based on numerical data from CT Scans of tumors, whether the Tumor is a Malignant or Benign Tumor

The two models that were created for this had a high variance dataset and low bias as we are only dealing with numbers

1.Data Accuracy

1.1 Problem: Unneeded/Repetitive/Biased Data

- fractal_dimension_mean
- radius se
- texture_se
- perimeter_se
- area se
- smoothness se
- compactness se
- concaviy se
- concave points se
- symmetry se
- fractal dimension se
- radius worst
- texture worst
- perimeter worst
- area worst
- smoothness worst
- compactness worst
- concavity worst
- concave points worst
- symmetry worst
- fractal_dimension_worst

Another reason for this decision was to increase the accuracy by including less variables in the calculation and to increase the speed that people could enter these metrics because there would be less metrics to enter and measure in a real medical setting

1.2 Resolving the Issue

Removing these data points from the training and testing data

texture_worst	perimeter_worst	area_worst	smoothness_worst	compactness_worst	concavity_worst	concave points_worst	symmetry_worst	fractal_dimension_worst
17.33	184.60	2019.0	0.16220	0.66560	0.7119	0.2654	0.4601	0.11890
23.41	158.80	1956.0	0.12380	0.18660	0.2416	0.1860	0.2750	0.08902
25.53	152.50	1709.0	0.14440	0.42450	0.4504	0.2430	0.3613	0.08758
26.50	98.87	567.7	0.20980	0.86630	0.6869	0.2575	0.6638	0.17300
16.67	152.20	1575.0	0.13740	0.20500	0.4000	0.1625	0.2364	0.07678
***						***		
26.40	166.10	2027.0	0.14100	0.21130	0.4107	0.2216	0.2060	0.07115
38.25	155.00	1731.0	0.11660	0.19220	0.3215	0.1628	0.2572	0.06637
34.12	126.70	1124.0	0.11390	0.30940	0.3403	0.1418	0.2218	0.07820
39.42	184.60	1821.0	0.16500	0.86810	0.9387	0.2650	0.4087	0.12400

Pratishrut Kamal Data Scientist Candidate Take-Home Submission Report Due: 6/28/2022

2.Data Completeness

2.1 Problem:

Less than 50% of the data provided to us was left unused because of biased, unneeded, or repetitive, one positive is that none of the data we needed had null values

2.2 Resolving the Issue

Making sure that when we record data is that we only have

3.Multicollinearity of Predictors

3.1 Problem: Observed multicollinearity among the data that was used to train the dataset:

- perimeter_mean
- radius mean
- texture mean
- area mean
- smoothness mean
- concavity mean
- symmetry mean

Variance Inflation Factor for each Category

perimeter_mean -> 26.397601885840036 radius_mean -> 24.923041341095328 texture_mean -> 22.27751654323873 area_mean -> 53.68920510079189 smoothness_mean -> 14.582527045706449 concavity_mean -> 89.69632773970461 symmetry_mean -> 15.119175980629615

