

The Problem

The [Swap test](#) is a simple quantum circuit which, given two states, allows to compute how much do they differ from each other.

1. Provide a variational (also called parametric) circuit which is able to generate the most general 1 qubit state. By most general 1 qubit state we mean that there exists a set of the parameters in the circuit such that any point in the Bloch sphere can be reached. Check that the circuit works correctly by showing that by varying randomly the parameters of your circuit you can reproduce correctly the Bloch sphere.
2. Use the circuit built in step 1) and, using the SWAP test, find the best choice of your parameters to reproduce a randomly generated quantum state made with 1 qubit.
3. Suppose you are given with a random state, made by N qubits, for which you only know that it is a product state and each of the qubits are in the state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$. By product state we mean that it can be written as the product of single qubit states, without the need to do any summation. For example, the state $|a\rangle = |01\rangle$ is a product state, while the state $|b\rangle = |00\rangle + |11\rangle$ is not.

Perform a qubit by qubit SWAP test to reconstruct the state. This part of the problem can be solved via a simple grid search.

▼ The tasks

Python would be the best choice to solve the problem due to the utilitarian packages it has for quantum computation. The python library "qiskit" suffices for the task at hand. Plus python is an intuitive and high-level language. In the chosen library, we will initialize one qubit aligned along the z-axis.

$$|\Psi\rangle = |0\rangle$$

Prior to any operation on the initialized state, it must be operated by the Hadamard gate (H-gate). The gate will transform the state into a superposition of two equiprobable basis state $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. The prepared state becomes:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$$

There's ample freedom to transform a state vector (qubit) by applying the general unitary operator U . It is expressed as:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) & -e^{-i\lambda}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ e^{-i\phi}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) & e^{-i(\phi+\lambda)}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

The tranformed state lies inside the bloch sphere and since the operator is unitary the norm of state before and after the tranformation remains equal at 1.

This unitary operator can be **reduced into the three standard rotation operators**.

- $(\theta, \phi, \lambda) \rightarrow (\theta, -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ for rotation around x-axis $R_x(\theta)$.
- $(\theta, \phi, \lambda) \rightarrow (\theta, 0, 0)$ for rotation around y-axis $R_y(\theta)$.
- $(\theta, \phi, \lambda) \rightarrow (0, 0, \lambda)$ for rotation around z-axis $R_z(\phi)$.

Section 1: Variational circuit

In the following code snippet, we have built a circuit and defined the parameters. The circuit comprises

- a single qubit.
- two spherical parameters θ and ϕ , and a azimuthal phase λ .

```

1  %pip install qiskit
2  %matplotlib inline
3
4  from qiskit import QuantumCircuit, ClassicalRegister, QuantumRegister, execute
5  from qiskit.circuit import Parameter
6  from qiskit.quantum_info import Statevector
7  from qiskit.visualization import plot_bloch_multivector, plot_histogram
8  from math import sqrt
9
10 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
11 import numpy as np
12
13 # Spherical Parameters
14 theta_param = Parameter("theta")
15 phi_param = Parameter("phi")
16 lambda_param = Parameter("lambda")
17
18 # Register a qubit
19 qubit = QuantumRegister(1)
20 bit = ClassicalRegister(1)
21
22 # Initialize a circuit
23 qc = QuantumCircuit()
24 qc.add_register(qubit, bit)

```

▼ Subsection 1.1: Formulating a parametric circuit

The circuit is initialized in the basis state $|0\rangle$. It needs to be transformed into a superposition state of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. For this reason, the H-gate is placed on the circuit. Now, the general unitary operator U can be applied to the superpositioned state. Resulting in its transformation. This resulting transformation traces the Bloch sphere. During the application of the operator, the aforementioned parameters can be passed as its arguments.

```

1  # Apply the H-gate
2  qc.h(qubit)
3
4  # General unitary operator with parameters
5  qc.u3(theta_param, phi_param, lambda_param , qubit)

```

```

/usr/local/lib/python3.6/dist-packages/ipykernel_launcher.py:5: DeprecationWarning:
  ""
<qiskit.circuit.instructionset.InstructionSet at 0x7fab97a2b748>

```

▼ Subsection 1.2: Testing the parametric circuit

We will conceive two ways to verify that our parametric circuit reproduces the Bloch sphere via the rotation operation. The general unitary operator present in the circuit will be decomposed into rotation operators. The values for θ , ϕ and λ runs over the range from 0 to π , 0 to 2π and 0 to 2π respectively.

- For the first method, special cases of the state are produced and juxtaposed with the particular geometric cases of the Bloch sphere.
- The second method will exploit the normalization of the state equal 1.

Due to a clash between the visualization methods in the library, the histograms depicting the probability amplitude of basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are shown after the last Bloch sphere in the corresponding order.

▼ A. Special geometric cases

In a superposition state, the qubit is spread out over the Bloch sphere. However, there are few special geometric cases.

Special geometric cases

1. When $\theta \in \{0, \pi\}$, the state aligns parallel and anti-parallel along the z-axis. The probability for the qubit to collapse over $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ equals 1 for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ respectively.
2. Similarly, when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the state is anti-parallel and parallel to x-axis for $\phi = \pi$ and $\phi = 2\pi$ respectively. In either case the probability amplitudes of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ remains equal to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

The details for the test

- The test is intended to demonstrate the rotation of the state inside the Bloch sphere under a controlled way.
- Rotation operations around y-axis and z-axis are performed.
- The initialized state is $|x_{+}\rangle$. Such that:

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{+}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \\ |x_{+}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- This superpositioned state is prepared at $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$.


▼ A.1 First case

Rotation around Y-axis is performed by $R_y(\theta) = U(0, \theta, 0)$. The state will be rotated twice around Y-axis by $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ to force the qubit to collapse on to $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

```

1 state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: -0.5*pi})
2 plot_bloch_multivector(state, title="Bloch sphere")


```



```

1 state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.5*pi})
2 plot_bloch_multivector(state, title="Bloch sphere")

```




▼ A.2 Second case

Rotation around Z-axis is performed by $R_z(\theta) = U(0, \phi, 0)$. The state is rotated twice around Z-axis by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and π .

```

1 state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.0, }
2 plot_bloch_multivector(state, title="Bloch sphere")


```



```


1 state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.0, }
2 plot_bloch_multivector(state, title="Bloch sphere")

```




▼ The histograms in corresponding order


```
1 backend = BasicAer.get_backend('qasm_simulator')
2
3 qc.measure(qubit, bit)
4 job = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: -0.5*np.pi, phi_param: 0.0, :
5 counts = job.result().get_counts(qc)
6
7 plot_histogram(counts)
```





```
1 qc.measure(qubit, bit)
2 job = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.5*np.pi, phi_param: 0.0, l:
3 counts = job.result().get_counts(qc)
4
5 plot_histogram(counts)
```



```
1 qc.measure(qubit, bit)
2 job = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.0, phi_param: 0.5*np.pi, l:
3 counts = job.result().get_counts(qc)
4
5 plot_histogram(counts)
```



```
1 qc.measure(qubit, bit)
2 job = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.0, phi_param: np.pi, lambda_param: 0.0}))
3 counts = job.result().get_counts(qc)
4
5 plot_histogram(counts)
```



▼ B. Randomly valued parameters


Three rotation operations with random floating values will be fed into the parametric circuit. The norm of the state will be checked to verify its equality with 1. One hundreds random cases are performed.

```

1  # A simulator which provides us the state vector.
2  backend = Aer.get_backend('statevector_simulator')
3
4  norms = []
5  loop_size = 100
6  for i in range(0, loop_size):
7
8      #Random floating points from 0 to 2*pi
9      theta_rand = np.pi*np.random.rand()
10     phi_rand = 2*np.pi*np.random.rand()
11
12     # Rotation around X-axis
13     state = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: theta_rand, phi_param:
14     # Rotation around Y-axis
15     state = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: theta_rand, phi_param:
16     # Rotation around Z-axis
17     state = execute(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.0, phi_param: phi_ra
18
19     ket = state.get_statevector()
20     norms.append(np.linalg.norm(ket))
21
22 # Visualization of  norms
23 print(norms)
24
25 plt.plot(range(0, loop_size), norms)
26 plt.show()
27 #-----

```

```
[1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0000000000000002, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0,
```



Subsection 1.3: Conclusions

The diagrams of the Bloch sphere reveals the rotation of the state vector. The states collapse to $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ for the first case. And the state rotated around Z-axis by the exact amount passed as the parameter in the second case. Hence the replication of geometric inferences was successfully met. The ensuing bar graphs depicting the probability of the state to collapse on to either of state $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ corroborated the inferences drawn from the preceding Blochs sphere.

In the random approach, the calculated norms equaled 1 and plotted on the graph revealed the value of norm for every iteration equals 1. The norm was preserved by the unitary operator.


It is notable that the standard rotation operator $R_x(\theta)$, $R_y(\theta)$ and $R_z(\phi)$ can be applied instead of the general unitary operator.

Section 2: SWAP test for quantum state with 1 qubit

The goal will be to discover a specific set of parameters for the variational circuit such that the two target qubits are identical. The test is said to be successful if it calculates the parameters within a small margin of error of ± 0.2 .

From the test carried out in the previous section, it can be asserted that all the points in Bloch's Sphere can be reached by feeding in the parameters to these three rotation operators.

The Swap test is employed to inspect how much two quantum states differ. The schematic of the controlled SWAP circuit is below.



At the end the measurement gate on the control qubit provides the probability for it to be at state $|0\rangle$. The same probability is written in terms of the inner product of the two target qubits.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{Control qubit} = |0\rangle) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|^2 \right) \\ P(\text{Control qubit} = |0\rangle) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

The value of $P(\text{Control qubit} = |0\rangle)$ is

- $\frac{1}{2}$ when the two target qubits are orthogonal.
- 1 when the two target qubits are identical.

- ▼ Subsection 2.1: Preparation of the circuit

For the task in hand, a parametric circuit is formulated in the next code snippet. Lastly, the circuit's schematic is printed and the three qubits are depicted on the Blochs sphere for a simple observation.

The control qubit is a simple state $|0\rangle$. Amongst the two target qubit, the first one will be the qubit prepared with the parameters (θ and ϕ). The second one is a qubit in a random state superposition.


```

1 # Register three new qubits
2 q = QuantumRegister(3)
3 bit = ClassicalRegister(1)
4
5 # Add new qubits in the circuit
6 qc = QuantumCircuit(q, bit)
7
8 #Random floating points from 0 to 2*pi
9 theta_rand = np.pi*np.random.rand()
10 phi_rand = 2*np.pi*np.random.rand()
11
12 # Spherical Parameters
13 theta_param = Parameter("theta")
14 phi_param = Parameter("phi")
15
16 # Parametrized rotation operators
17 qc.u3(theta_param, phi_param, 0.0, q[1])
18
19 # Randomize the third qubit
20 qc.u3(theta_rand, phi_rand, 0.0, q[2])
21

```

```
<qiskit.circuit.instructionset.InstructionSet at 0x7fab94f76dd8>
```


```
1 qc.draw()
```



```

1 state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: 0.0, }
2 plot_bloch_multivector(state, title="Bloch sphere")

```



The qubits

- "qubit 1" is the control qubit.
- "qubit 2" is the parametrized qubit (target qubit).
- "qubit 3" is the random qubit (target qubit).

▼ Subsection 2.2: Swap test

The CSWAP test is performed up to n times via a loop. In each loop, the measurement of the probability ($M_{\{i\}}$) for the control qubit to be $|0\rangle$ is collected. Once the loop ends, the measurement is averaged over the loop. In turn, this average can be used to express the inner product between the two target states.

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|^2 &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\{i\}} - 1 \\ |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|^2 &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\{i\}} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

The inner product $|\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|$ is

- 0 when the two target qubits are orthogonal.
- 1 when the two target qubits are identical.

In the following code snippet, a function is defined with arguments to receive a circuit, a loop size, and a shots size.

```

1  def measurement(qc_instance, loop_size, shots_size):
2      qc_instance.reset(q[0])
3
4      backend = BasicAer.get_backend('qasm_simulator')
5      probability_count = 0
6      for i in range(0, loop_size):
7          # H-gate on the "control_qubit"
8          qc_instance.h(q[0])
9          # CSWAP (Fredkin Gate)
10         qc_instance.cswap(q[0], q[1], q[2])
11         # H-gate on the "control_qubit"
12         qc_instance.h(q[0])
13
14         qc_instance.measure(q[0], bit)
15         job = execute(qc_instance, backend, shots= shots_size).result()
16         probability_count += job.get_counts(qc_instance)['0']/shots_size
17
18         #Reset the control gate
19         qc_instance.reset(q[0])
20
21     return sqrt(abs(2*probability_count/loop_size -1))

```


Subsection 2.3: Search for the parameters

At this point, the circuit is ready for the SWAP test. Only two parameters need to be sought namely, θ and ϕ . The task is performed by the following procedure.

- Firstly, the value for the parameter θ will be sought for which the probability between the two target qubits is maximum.
- Secondly, the same procedure is carried out for the parameter ϕ . However, this time the value of θ for which the probability was maximum in the preceding iteration can be used.

▼ Subsection 2.4: Result

The random qubit have the parameters $(\theta, \phi) = (2.7695, 4.0218)$. The calculated parameters generated by the SWAP test is $(\theta, \phi) = (2.9, 3.8)$.

The calculated value of the parameters is used to produce a Bloch sphere below.

```


1  # The inner product between two target qubit must be greater than this
2  definitive_probability = 0.99
3  parameters = {"probability" : 0}
4
5  loop_size= 10
6  shots = 1000
7  increased_counts = 0
8  for theta in np.arange (0.0, 2.0*np.pi, 0.1):
9      probability = measurement(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: theta, phi_p
10
11      # if probability is 1 then the loop breaks
12      if (probability == 1):
13          parameters["probability"] = probability
14          parameters["theta"] = theta
15          break
16
17      # if the probability is near to 1 then the loop breaks
18      if (theta > 0 and parameters["probability"] > definitive_probability):
19          break
20
21      if (parameters["probability"] < probability and probability < 1):
22          parameters["probability"] = probability

```

```
23     parameters["theta"] = theta
24     increased_counts += 1
25
26     # If the maximum probability is reached then break the loop
27     if (parameters["probability"] > probability and increased_counts == 4):
28         break
29
30     for phi in np.arange (0.0, 2.0*np.pi, 0.1):
31         probability = measurement(qc.bind_parameters({theta_param: parameters["theta"],
32                                                     phi_param: phi}))
33         # if probability is 1 then the loop breaks
34         if (probability == 1):
35             parameters["probability"] = probability
36             parameters["phi"] = phi
37
38             break
39
40         if (parameters["probability"] < probability):
41             parameters["probability"] = probability
42             parameters["phi"] = phi
43
44         # if the probability is near to 1 then the loop breaks
45         if (parameters["probability"] > definitive_probability and probability < definitive_probability + 0.01):
46             break
47
48     print("The calculated parameters")
49     print(parameters)
50
51     print(" ")
52     print(" ")
53
54     q = QuantumRegister(1)
55     qc = QuantumCircuit(q)
56
57     qc.u3(parameters["theta"], parameters["phi"], 0.0, q)
58     state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc)
59     plot_bloch_multivector(state)
```

The calculated parameters

```
{'probability': 0.9970957827611145, 'theta': 2.9000000000000004, 'phi': 3.8
```



Subsection 2.5: Conclusions

The SWAP test computed the value of parameters (θ and ϕ) to be $(2.9, 3.8)$. Which is within a small margin of error. However, the accuracy can be increased by increasing the definitive probability to be greater than 0.99 . With these calculated parameters a Bloch sphere was produced which closely resembles the Bloch sphere for random qubit shown in subsection 2.1.

The SWAP test determines the degree of difference between two quantum state which can have various implications.

▼ Section 3: SWAP test for a quantum state with N qubits

Instead of a single registry, in this test, there can be N qubits in a registry. This means the registry is a quantum state with N qubits. In the following test, a quantum circuit with two quantum registries having four (target) qubits each and a registry with a control qubit is prepared. The four qubits in the first registry are randomized to be either $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$.




The task will be to perform a SWAP test for each pair of qubits in the two registries which calculate their inner product. If the inner product between the states is less than 0.1 the qubit in the second registry will be flipped by treating it with the bit flip gate. This makes sure that the qubits in the second registry are identical to those in the first registry.

For the visualization, the Bloch Sphere is drawn below. The rest of the procedure is the same as the SWAP test performed in the preceding Section 2.

```

1  n = 3
2
3  # Qubit Registration
4  q_rand = QuantumRegister(n)
5
6  # Quantum Circuit
7  qc = QuantumCircuit()
8  qc.add_register(q_rand)
9
10 #Randomize the qubits in the q_rand registry
11 for i in range(0, n):
12     theta_rand = np.random.rand()
13     if (theta_rand > 0.5):
14         qc.x(q_rand[i])
15
16 # Visualize the circuit
17 state = Statevector.from_instruction(qc)
18 plot_bloch_multivector(state)

```



```

1  # Register remaining of the qubits
2  q_control = QuantumRegister(1)
3  q = QuantumRegister(n)
4  bit = ClassicalRegister(1)
5
6  # Complete the cicuit for SWAP test
7  qc.add_register(q_control)
8  qc.add_register(q)
9  qc.add_register(bit)

```

The python code to perform the SWAP test for the N-qubits system is similar to that with 1 qubit. The previous code is amended to incorporate the multiple qubits, which is shown below.

▼ Subsection 3.1: Results

After the Swap test was completed the probability measurement between two qubits in two target registries were equal to 1. In this case the state is $|110\rangle$.

Moreover, The bloch diagrams for the two registries could not be shown because of following error "qiskiterror: Cannot apply instruction with classical registers: measure"

```

1  def measurement(qc_instance, qr_rand, qr, loop_size, shots_size):
2      qc_instance.reset(q_control)
3
4      backend = BasicAer.get_backend('qasm_simulator')
5      probability_count = 0
6      for i in range(0, loop_size):
7          # H-gate on the "control_qubit"
8          qc_instance.h(q_control)
9          # CSWAP (Fredkin Gate)
10         qc_instance.cswap(q_control, qr_rand, qr)
11         # H-gate on the "control_qubit"
12         qc_instance.h(q_control)
13
14         qc_instance.measure(q_control, bit)
15         job = execute(qc_instance, backend, shots= shots_size).result()
16         probability_count += job.get_counts(qc_instance)['0']/shots_size
17
18         #Reset the control gate
19         qc_instance.reset(q_control)
20
21     return sqrt(abs(2*probability_count/loop_size -1))
22
23 loop_size = 10
24 shots = 1000
25 for i in range(0, n):
26     probability = measurement(qc, q_rand[i], q[i], loop_size, shots)
27
28     # If the qubit is orthogonal then flip it.
29
30     if (probability < 0.1):
31         qc.x(q[i])
32         print (1)

```

```
33     else:
34         print (0)
35
36 for i in range(0, n):
37     print ("The probability of two qubits in the two registries")
38     print (measurement(qc, q_rand[i], q[i], loop_size, shots))
39
```

1

1

0

The probability of two qubits in the two registries

1.0

The probability of two qubits in the two registries

1.0

The probability of two qubits in the two registries

1.0