Plagiarism Report

Match #1

Source: https://example.com

Similarity: 32%

Match #2

Source: https://researchgate.net/paper123

Similarity: 54%

Text with Highlighted Matches:

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

18 Research paper

A look at advanced learners' use of mobile devices for English language study: Insights from interview data Mariusz Kruk University of Zielona Gora, Poland

mkruk @ uz.zgora.pl

Abstract

The paper discusses the results of a study which explored advanced learners of English

engagement with their mobile devices to develop learning experiences that meet their

needs and goals as foreign language learners. The data were collected from 20 students

by means of a semi-structured interview. The gathered data were subjected to

qualitative and quantitative analysis. The results of the study demonstrated that, on the

one hand, some subjects manifested heightened awareness relating to the

advantageous role of mobile devices in their learning endeavors, their ability to reach

for suitable tools and retrieve necessary information so as to achieve their goals, meet

their needs and adjust their learning of English to their personal learning styles, and on

the other, a rather intuitive and/or ad hoc use of their mobile devices in the classroom.

Keywords: Learner autonomy, mobile devices, advanced EFL

learners, learning English.

1. Introduction

Mobile devices, smartphones and tablet computers in particular, have generated a lot of

interest among researchers in recent years (Byrne & Diem, 2014). This is because the

opportunities these new technologies may offer (e.g. individualized learning, the variety

of mobile apps available, easy access to the internet) and/or the fact that they are

increasingly more common among learners make them an important and potentially

useful addition to formal and informal language learning.

According to Benson (2011), there has always been a connection between educational

technologies and learner autonomy to the extent that they have often been intended for

independent practice. It should be noted, however, that this link and "future enquiry

and practice into technology-mediated learner autonomy will need to be increasingly

aligned to the tools, settings, and activities that are of significance to language learners"

(Reinders & White, 2016, p. 151). Reinders and White (2016) further argue that as long

as "the potential range of settings, tools, and experiences is now virtually limitless,

individuals need to be increasingly adept at critical adaptive learning in order to benefit

from and contribute effectively to those settings" (p. 151). Beyond doubt, contemporary

language teachers should equip foreign/second language learners with appropriate

knowledge concerning the affordances of mobile devices for language study and they

should prepare them for effective usage of such devices for this purpose. It is also of

paramount importance, for both researchers and practitioners, to comprehend the link

between the modalities of the language learners' organization of their own learning

experiences and environments and the role mobile technologies, in particular

smartphones and tablets, play in these contexts.

Taking into consideration the above-mentioned issues, the study reported in this article

investigated ways advanced English language students use their mobile devices (i.e.

smartphones and tablet computers) for their language learning. The article commences

with a short overview of relevant literature. Next, the design of the study is described,

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

19

namely a research question, description of participants, data collection tools and

analysis. This is followed by the presentation of the results of the study. The article

closes with discussion and conclusions.

- 2. Literature review
- 2.1. Autonomy in foreign/second language learning

The concept of autonomy in second/foreign language learning and teaching has been

the focus of attention for many researchers and practitioners for more than three

decades. According to Benson (2001), the notion of autonomy was introduced and

popularized in 1981 by Henri Holec in his seminal report for the Council of Europe

entitled Autonomy in Foreign Language Learning in which the researcher defined

autonomy in the context of language learning as "the ability to take charge of one's own

learning" (Holec, 1981, p. 3). Holec's idea of autonomy encompasses some components

and capacities on the part of language learners (e.g. self-directed learning). For some

other authors autonomy also involves "a capacity – for detachment, critical reflection,

decision-making, and independent action" (Little, 1991, p. 4) and "the capacity to take

control of one's own learning" (Benson, 2001, p. 46). As stated by Benson (2011, p.

16), "autonomy is multidimensional and takes many different forms according to the

person, the setting, and multiple contextual and micro-contextual factors" and it is "a

multi-faceted concept that consists of several layers" (Reinders, 2011, p. 48) whose

roots are based in political, societal and educational developments. In addition to this.

work on autonomy emphasizes social dimensions of learner autonomy in view of the

fact that "autonomous learners always do things for themselves, but they may or may

not do things on their own" (Little, 2009, p. 223) and that by means of social

interactions language learners "develop a capacity to analyze, reflect upon and

synthesize information to create new perspectives" (Lee, 2011, p. 88). It should also be

noted that recent research shows that fostering autonomy is no longer predominantly a

matter of individualizing learning through out-of-class initiatives since the dominance of

classroom-based approaches (Benson, 2011). Finally, it has to be added that

researchers, in general, seem to be in agreement with the following claims suggested

by Benson (2011): "(a) language learners naturally tend to take control of their

learning, (b) learners who lack autonomy are capable of developing it, and (c)

autonomous language learning is more effective than non-autonomous language

learning" (p. 16).

Perhaps in order to define the concept of autonomy in language learning it is necessary

to understand who autonomous learners are. As Littlewood (1996) claims, an

autonomous learner is "one who has independent capacity to make and carry out

choices which govern his or her actions" (p. 428). The researcher argues that this

capacity depends on two major components such as ability and willingness, and he

claims that the attributes can also be further subdivided. Thus, ability depends on

having knowledge about the options from which one can choose and skills so as to

choose the most suitable alternatives. When it comes to willingness, this depends on

having motivation and confidence in order to take responsibility for adequate choices.

Moreover, Littlewood (1996) argues that if an individual is to be successful in being

autonomous, all of these components have to be present all together.

At the close of this section, a few words are in order on the notion of autonomous

language learning. An interesting description of the concept in question is offered by

Reinders (2011), who defines autonomous language learning as "an act of learning

whereby motivated learners consciously make informed decisions about that learning"

(p. 48). According to the said researcher, it is not possible or needed in all acts of

learning to be able or ready to intentionally make decisions since different learning

situations present different demands. Reinders (2011) further argues that "autonomy is

not an either-or concept, but has to be seen as a continuum" (p. 48). This is because a

learner can display more or less autonomy in different learning circumstances.

Autonomy, in Reinders' terms changes over time between skills and within skills and

thus it is difficult to achieve and is not invariably permanent (Reinders, 2011).

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

20

2.2. Autonomy and new technologies

As stated in the previous section, the concept of autonomy has been one of the most

researched areas in the field of second/foreign language learning and teaching over the

last few decades. It should be noted, however, that the field of learner autonomy

started to be influenced by technology in the mid-1990s as a result of the growing

influence of the internet on almost every sphere of our life (including second/foreign

language education) and the opportunities for online collaboration and communication

(Reinders & White, 2016). As stated by Benson and Chik (2010), the latest generations

of new technologies, particularly those encompassing the internet, usergenerated Web

content and mobility, seem to be having a bearing on the way autonomous language

learning develops. Perhaps, one of the most important benefits of implementing new

technologies into language learning is the fact that they provide occasions for language

learners who do not have direct access to the target language. This is because the use

of new technologies, including mobile technology, allow them to "bypass classrooms and

go directly to target language texts and users through the internet and social media"

(Benson, 2011, p. 17).

When it comes to the use of mobile technology, and, in particular,

smartphones and

tablet computers, for learning a foreign or second language, they can assist language

learners with their learning endeavors by granting access to numerous language

resources whenever and wherever such learners need them and/or they happen to be

(Jones, 2015). In Jones's opinion, such language involvement might comprise, for

instance, the use of chunks of spare time for language practice, searching for target

language vocabulary in relevant contexts or interactions on social media (Jones, 2015).

Furthermore, by having a mobile device a language learner has the opportunity to take

control of his or her learning, direct it and engage in language activities that meet his or

her individual needs and goals (Kukulska-Hulme, Traxler & Pettit, 2007; Pettit &

Kukulska-Hulme 2007).

Given the importance attached to new technologies, and, in particular the potential role

of mobile devices in autonomous language learning, the terms mobile

learning and mobile devices (MobDs) need first to be explained. As for mobile learning,

no single agreed-upon definition of the term exists in the literature (Oz, 2015). This is

because some researchers define mobile learning as an extension of elearning built

upon mobile devices whereas some other researchers understand it as learning that

happens anywhere and anytime (cf. Oz, 2015). As far as mobile devices are concerned,

they can be defined as "any device that is small, autonomous and unobtrusive enough

to accompany us in every moment and can be used for educational purposes"

(Trifanova Knapp, Ronchetti & Gamper, 2004, p. 3) or as "hand held

electronic devices

that can be comfortably carried around in a pocket or bag, including MP3 players, digital

recorders, e-readers, tablets, and smartphones" (Kukulska-Hulme, Norris & Donohue,

2015, p. 39).

A lot of studies concerning the use of mobile technology and mobile devices in language

learning have been published. The findings of these studies concentrated on, for

example, language learners' views on the use of mobile devices in language instruction

(e.g. Oz, 2015), students' attitudes towards using mobile phones as instructional tools

for foreign language learning (e.g. Cakir, 2015), profiling mobile language learners (e.g.

Byrne & Diem, 2014), their effect on learning a foreign/second language (e.g. Nah,

White & Sussex, 2008; Cavus & Ibrahim, 2009; Zhang, Song & Burston, 2011),

distance language learning (e.g. Demouy, Jones, Kan, Kukulska-Hulme & Eardley,

2016), informal language learning practices (Reinders & Cho, 2011; Jones, 2015),

learners' use of mobile devices for learning a foreign language (Stockwell, 2007;

Dashtestani, 2015) and autonomy in language learning (e.g. Díaz-Vera, 2012; Djoub,

2015). In addition to this, researchers investigated a number of applications of mobile

devices and presented both benefits and drawbacks of the usage of mobile technologies

(e.g. Miangah & Nezarat, 2012), discussed the use of mobile devices in supporting

social contacts and collaborative learning (e.g. Kukulska-Hulme & Shield, 2008) and

offered guidelines related to the implementation of mobile learning into second/foreign

language instruction (e.g. Kukulska-Hulme et al., 2015).

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

21

3. Method

3.1. Research question

One of the questions related to future research and practice in technology-mediated

learner autonomy addressed by Reinders and White in their recent critical overview of

the relationship between technology and autonomy in the journal Language Learning &

Technology (LLT) concerned language learners engagement with technology-mediated

environments in order to develop learning experiences that reach their aims and meet

their needs as language learners (Reinders & White, 2016). Taking this important

matter into consideration, and in view of the fact that mobile technology, including

mobile devices such as smartphones and tablet computers, are ubiquitous and

substantial constituents of almost every language learner's everyday life, the

abovementioned question was modified and posed in this study in the following way:

Do students engage with their mobile devices to develop learning experiences

(e.g. the use of mobile devices for formal and/or informal English language

study) that meet their needs and goals (e.g. the development of the target

language skills and sub-skills) as English language learners? If yes, why and how

do they do this?

3.2. Participants

The participants were 20 Polish university students of English philology, nine of whom

(seven females and two males) were in the second year of their BA programme, six

(five females and one male) in the third year and five (all females) in the second year of

their MA program (1). The study participants were on average 22.22 years old (20.66 -

year 2, BA; 21.82 - year 3, BA and 24.50 - year 2, MA). The subjects reported having

learned English for an average of 11.38 years (10.49 - year 2, BA; 11.27 - year 3, BA

and 12.21 - year 2, MA). The proficiency level represented by the participants of the

study could be described as somewhere between B1 and B2 (second year BA students),

B2 and C1 (third year BA students) and C1 and C2 (second year MA students), as

specified in the levels laid out in the Common European Framework of Reference for

Languages.

3.3. Data collection and analysis

The data were gathered by means of a semi-structured interview. This interview format

was chosen intentionally since it uses a set of prepared in advance guiding questions

and prompts and interviewees are encouraged to elaborate on the problems raised

during it (Dörnyei, 2007). As Dörnyei (2007) explains, in this type of the interview "the

interviewer provides guidelines and direction (hence the '-structured' part in the name),

but is also keen to follow up interesting developments and to let the interviewee

elaborate on certain issues (hence the 'semi-' part)" (p. 136).

During the interview, the present researcher attempted to encourage the subjects to

describe their learning experiences concerning the use of mobile devices for English

study. This was a form of introspection where the students were prompted to examine

their behaviors and provide a first person narrative of such experiences. All the study

participants were informed that the interview concerned the use of mobile devices for

English study and they were asked for permission to be digitally recorded. In order to

obtain relevant data the following questions were asked (2):

ð Do you use your mobile devices (e.g. smartphones, tablets, MP3 players,

PDAs) for learning English?

- ð- Why do you use your mobile device(s) for learning English?
- ð. When did you start using your mobile device(s) for learning English? Has the use of your mobile device(s) increased or decreased since that time?
- ð Do you use your mobile device(s) during classes?
- ð Do you use your mobile device(s) more frequently in- or out-of-class English

learning?

- ð. What mobile apps have you been using most frequently and/or recently?
- ð- Do you use your mobile device(s) more often in relation to formal or informal English language study?

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

22

ð Do you organize regular formal or informal mobile English language learning

sessions?

ð What do you learn most frequently by means of your mobile device(s)? Why

this?

- ð. Do you feel that thanks to the use of your mobile device(s) you devote more time for learning the English language?
- ð- As far as learning English through your mobile device(s) is concerned, do you

consider yourself as an experienced user of such device(s)?

The gathered data were subjected to qualitative and quantitative analysis.

The analysis

started with partial transcription of the important parts of the data (Dörnyei, 2007) on a

computer word processor program Microsoft Word 2016. Then the transcribed parts of

the data were read several times in order to look for common themes and frequently

occurring information. The recurring ideas were coded and recoded, revised and

updated. The researcher used the highlighting function of the word processor program

which allows the user to highlight the text on the transcript with different colors and

comments to record any observations and thematic categories recognized in the data.

The emerged categories were reviewed, compared, modified and either merged or

abandoned. It should also be noted that the obtained data were analyzed quantitatively.

This type of analysis involved counting the number of the interviewees' responses and

calculating percentages.

4. Findings

A thorough analysis of the data yielded the following thematic categories: usage of

mobile devices, reasons for using mobile devices, resources and tools, mobile

encounters, language practiced and study performance.

4.1. Usage of mobile devices

Table 1 shows the study participants' mobile devices (MobDs) usage descriptions. The

table demonstrates that smartphones were the most often used mobile devices by the

students. In addition, the numerical information in the table indicates that the

participants, on average, had been using them for English language study for about

3.80 years (minimum 2, maximum 6 years). 9 (45%) and 11 (55%) of the subjects

started using their mobile devices at senior high school and university, respectively. It

should also be added that, with the exception of one student (i.e. S9),

all the other

participants claimed to use their mobile devices in order to learn English much more

frequently with time. Finally, more than half of the students (55%) regarded themselves

as experienced or fairly experienced users of their MobDs for English language learning;

however, 45% claimed not to be very skilful in this area.

Table 1. The students' mobile devices usage descriptions.

Year/

Level of

study

Student Sex Device used

Use of MobDs

for language

study (approx.)

Self-assessed

experience

2nd year

B.A.

S1 female smartphone and tablet 2 years not very experienced

S2 female smartphone 5 years experienced

S3 female smartphone 5 years fairly experienced

S4 female smartphone, rarely tablet 4 years fairly experienced

S5 female smartphone 3 years not very experienced

S6 male smartphone 2 years experienced

S7 female smartphone and tablet 5 years fairly experienced

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

23

S8 female smartphone 2 years fairly experienced

S9 male smartphone 4 years not very experienced

3rd year

B.A.

S10 female smartphone 5 years fairly experienced

S11 female tablet and cell phone 2 years fairly experienced

S12 female smartphone 2 years not very experienced

S13 female smartphone 3 years not very experienced

S14 male smartphone and tablet 3 years experienced

S15 female smartphone and tablet 5 years fairly experienced

2nd year

M.A.

S16 female smartphone 3 years not very experienced

S17 female smartphone and tablet 6 years fairly experienced

S18 female smartphone 5 years not very experienced

S19 female smartphone and tablet 5 years not very experienced

S20 female smartphone and tablet 5 years fairly experienced

4.2. Reasons for using mobile devices

The study participants decided on the use of their MobDs in order to learn English for

the reason that they regarded them as convenient, fast and always ready to use. In

addition, some students pointed to the fact that the use of MobDs allowed them to have

quick access to the internet and organize their own study materials and/ or resources.

Illustrative examples of such opinions are provided below (3):

S10: It's very comfortable. I can reach for my dictionary any time I want and I don't have to carry thick books (...) The main aspect is convenience.

S5: It's because I can find needed information ... it's convenient because I

always carry my smartphone and I have access to the internet all the time (...)

At home I also use my smartphone and I don't mind it has a small screen.

S14: My tablet lets me organize things and keep my documents in one place.

This is because studying English means having countless study materials (...) I

can store them there (...) this also gives me easier access to them (...) In

addition, my smartphone can successfully replace a traditional paper dictionary

and I don't have to waste time in thumbing through a lot of pages to find words

I'm looking for.

4.3. Resources and tools

The analysis of the data revealed that the students made use of both online resources

and mobile apps. The most frequently used language tools were online dictionaries

(e.g. diki, ColorDict Dictionary) and a variety of mobile apps, such as Google

Translate, Duolingo and Fiszkoteka. The students usually accessed these tools in order

to check, revise and learn the target language vocabulary. Two students also reported

using Voscreen and WhatsApp, i.e. mobile apps for watching video and communicating

with people, respectively. It should also be noted that the interviewees pointed out

various online resources they used with the purpose of practicing reading and listening

skills (e.g. TED, online newspapers, YouTube), vocabulary (e.g. 6 Minute

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

24

English, PONS, Google Translate) and having access to language materials

(e.g. Academica). Finally, some students used their MobDs in order to read language

materials downloaded from the internet (e.g. PDF files). The following responses

illustrate some of these issues:

S5: I use apps for "index cards", dictionaries and a variety of apps for developing English vocabulary.

S10: I have some online friends and I talk with them in English (Do you do this

by means of instant messaging applications?) Yes, I use WhatsApp Messenger.

S15: I often read scanned book pages and pdf materials (...) I access English vocabulary by means of online dictionaries.

S20: Fiszkoteka. I frequently use this app (...) I also listen to podcasts and I

have the app called Six minutes English in order to practice listening (...) Also

because vocabulary is used in a variety of contexts.

4.4. Mobile encounters

Thirteen (65%) interviewees claimed to use their MobDs most frequently in their leisure

time, six (30%) in the classroom and one student said he had used his smartphone

equally frequently in the classroom and out-of-class English study. As for the students

who used their smartphones or tablet computers in their leisure time, some of them did

it with the aim of reading English texts, listening to audio resources, checking and

learning new vocabulary, preparing multimedia presentations and playing language

games. This is not to say, of course, that this group of learners did not use their MobDs

at all during classes; however, the use of MobDs in this respect was only limited to

checking target language vocabulary (e.g. S1: I use my smartphone, for example, to

check something I don't understand (...) I installed a dictionary and I use it to find

words). When it comes to the subjects who claimed to use their MobDs most frequently

in the classroom, they used them to check unfamiliar vocabulary and/or find words they

needed during various language activities. It is also important to note that these

students were not very willing to use their MobDs at home in view of the fact that they

favored their home computers. For example:

S7: I use them outside of University in order to learn and practice English

vocabulary and to prepare multimedia presentations.

S13: In my free time I learn English words and phrases, listen to English

recordings and I read various texts in English.

S19: Yes, I use my smartphone and tablet for out-of-class learning but I also

use them during classes mostly to check words and collocations.

S16: I think I do this during practical English language classes more regularly in

the classroom than outside of it (...) In the classroom I check English words in

digital dictionaries (...) I do this to check words, spelling, or to recall some words

(...) or I use my smartphone to look for synonyms (...).

The analysis of the gathered data also demonstrated that the majority of the

interviewees (13 or 65%) were in favor of using their smartphones and/ or tablet

computers for informal English learning (i.e. learning the target language for pleasure)

and 7 (35%) students associated the use of their MobDs with formal learning (i.e.

related to their studies). It should be noted, however, that only two interviewees

claimed to hold and somewhat organize regular mobile English language sessions:

S10: I think this is what I have talked about earlier, I mean these chats with my

friends. Perhaps we don't chat very regularly ... we chat three times a week and

that's it but, at the same time, it's not sporadic because we arrange it and it takes place pretty regularly.

S16: I often watch videos on YouTube and I do this the most often through my smartphone.

Finally, it has to be noted that the use of mobile devices was not explicitly advised or

suggested by the interviewees' teachers during their practical English language classes

or any other classes at the university. This is not to say, of course, that they never

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

referred their students to electronic or online resources; however, they did not ask

students to use them in classes, they did not recommend any mobile apps or design

language tasks which required using such devices in order to solve them. 4.5. Language practiced

When asked to indicate the most frequently practiced language skills and subsystems by

means of mobile devices, all the interviewees indicated the target language vocabulary.

In addition to this, some referred to pronunciation and only a few students mentioned

grammar and practicing reading, listening and speaking skills. As far as practicing

English vocabulary is concerned, the subjects chose to practice it through their

smartphones and/or tables because they regarded this language subsystem as the most

important to learn, they praised their MobDs for providing them with quick and easy

access to needed words and see the way they were used in given sentences. As was

stressed by many of the interviewees, learning English vocabulary by means of mobile

devices also allowed them to check correct pronunciation of words (i.e. they listened to

it or paid attention to phonetic transcription of words). The following excerpts exemplify

the most typical usage of MobDs by the study participants:

S3: (...) as for vocabulary I guess it's much faster to search for words and know

how to use them in sentences.

S6: It's easy and it's very easy to look for words when I need them.

S12: (...) I need vocabulary not only to communicate in English (...) when I look

for words I look at contexts words are used (...) I always pay attention to

spelling and also listen to pronunciation (How about phonetic

transcription of

words?) Phonetic transcription of words ... yes but not often unless audio is poor

quality or it seems to sound somehow differently ... then I make sure how a

word is pronounced and I read its phonetic transcription given there.

As mentioned earlier, only a few students resorted to their MobDs in order to practice

other language areas such as listening, reading and speaking as well as grammar. This

is because they preferred more traditional resources (e.g. grammar books), they used

other devices (e.g. laptop computers) or they regarded themselves as quite proficient in

particular language skills and thus they did not feel the need to master them by way of

MobDs. Representative excerpts from the interviewees' responses follow:

S3: When it comes to grammar, for me it's more convenient to use grammar books to learn it.

S2: (...) I'm pretty good at English grammar and listening and I don't have to use my smartphone to learn these language elements.

S12: I think I'm quite good at grammar and I practice listening skills by means of my laptop computer.

4.6. Study performance

There is evidence that the use of mobile devices became an impetus for studying

English more and learn this language more effectively and efficiently (this advantageous

effect was expressed by as many as 15 or 75% interviewees). This is because access to

a smartphone or a tablet allows some learners devote more time to learning English

(S1: Yes, I think so. I think I spend more time ... If I was to use traditional materials,

for example, books, I wouldn't devote so much time to it.; S15: It seems to me that I

dedicate more time to learn English this way and I learn more.), encouraged another

student to learn more (S6: I'm more willing to use my smartphone than open

a paper

dictionary.) and allowed yet another subject to learn more vocabulary (S12: Yes,

definitely. I wouldn't have learned these words if I hadn't used my phone.). Such

beneficial outcomes of the use of MobDs are best described by one of the interviewees

who said:

If I'm to say that I devote more time for learning English it's because I can devote more time to learning it ... in the way I compare a paper dictionary with

an online one ... for example to check one word ... If I use a traditional

dictionary it takes me longer, say three minutes, but If I use an online dictionary

it takes me, say, ten seconds (...) this way I can devote less time to looking for

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

26

information and more on language production, on the use of English ... there is

less time used but it's more effective. (S14)

It is also interesting to note that the use of mobile devices might be valuable for

kinesthetic or tactical language learners:

I think I spend more time ... for me it's much nicer and more interesting than sitting and reading books ... it's better for me since I'm kinesthetic so it's hard for me to sit and read a traditional book ... it's because I don't remember then much but when I use my smartphone which is mobile I can ... I can do it while doing other activities and this makes things easier for me. (S5)

Finally, it should be noted that 5 (25%) interviewees were not able to say whether or

not the use of mobile devices made them study the target language more effectively or

efficiently and they expressed their opinion by simply claiming that "It's difficult to say".

5. Discussion and conclusions

The picture that emerges from the analysis of the collected data regarding

the advanced

learners' use of mobile devices for learning English is relatively encouraging. This is

because all the study participants used, at least to some extent, their mobile devices

(i.e. smartphones and/or tablet computers) in order to learn the English language

autonomously. Moreover, the positive impact of using mobile devices for English study

was acknowledged by the majority of the interviewees. Their beneficial contribution to

their English development was chiefly linked with easy access to English language

resources, the opportunity to store them, comfort in using their smartphones and

tablets anywhere and anytime as well as perceived gains in English learning. The results

of the study also showed that all interviewees engaged with their smartphones and/or

tablet computers to practice the target language vocabulary (plus some students also

claimed to learn pronunciation of English words) and the majority of the subjects used

their mobile devices autonomously in their leisure time as well as during language

classes. Such a state of affairs can be explained in terms of increased awareness on the

part of some students of the beneficial role of MobDs in foreign language learning, their

ability to reach for appropriate tools and retrieve needed information to achieve their

goals and adjust their learning of the target language to their personal learning styles.

Despite this positive view of MobDs reported by the study participants, the results of the

study also revealed that only a few subjects engaged with their mobile devices to

master target language skills such as reading, listening, writing and speaking as well as

English language grammar. In addition, some interviewees limited themselves to a

rather intuitive and perhaps even spontaneous use of their mobile devices in the

language classroom. It should also be noted that almost half of the subjects regarded

themselves as quite inexperienced in using their mobile devices when it comes to

learning the English language despite the fact that some of the students had been using

them with the intention of learning English for years. Taking all these findings into

account, one may conclude that this is due to a failure or underestimation of the role

and place of mobile devices in foreign language learning and teaching on the part of

language teachers. It seems therefore warranted to say that the subjects' use of mobile

devices could be altered if teachers took into account the benefits they may offer. For

this reason language teachers should, for instance, present the affordances of mobile

technology and discuss them with students during language classes. They should also

select mobile apps and create opportunities for using them in- and out-ofclass learning

by offering or designing tasks devoted to practicing a variety of language skills and

subsystems suitable for the use of such devices. If this were to happen, teachers need

to respond quickly to the constant and dynamic changes in contemporary

foreign/second language learning and teaching contexts by undergoing official teacher

training not only in the area of technology-mediated language learning and teaching but

also in the context of learner autonomy.

As with all studies, the study reported in this paper has some limitations. Although the

interviewees represented a range of experience of English language learning, the small

number of participants reduces the generalizability of the results.

Another limitation is

related to the fact that the group was largely homogenous, i.e. the subjects came from

the same institution and all studied English. Yet another weakness may concern the

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

27

data collection instrument, namely the semi-structured interview which was conducted

only once. Perhaps a different set of questions, their wording or a series of such

interviews carried out over a particular period of time (say one academic year) may

have yielded more detailed and insightful results. Despite these limitations, this study

provided some insights into why and how advanced English language learners engage

with their mobile devices to develop learning experiences. It should be stressed,

however, that teacher involvement in creating conditions conducive to the use of mobile

devices for language study may result in greater learner engagement with mobile

technology (i.e. mobile devices) and, at the same time, may lead to greater students'

independence in learning the target language.

References

Benson, P. (2001). Teaching and researching autonomy in language learning. Harlow:

Pearson Education.

Benson, P. (2011). What's new in autonomy? The Language Teacher, 35(4), 15-18.

Benson, P. & Chik, A. (2010). New literacies and autonomy in foreign

language learning.

In M. J. Luzón, M. N. Ruiz-Madrid & M. L. Villanueva (Eds.), Digital genres, new

literacies, and autonomy in language learning (pp. 63-80). Newcastle-upon-Tyne:

Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Byrne, J. & Diem, R. (2014). Profiling mobile English language learners. The JALT

CALL Journal I, 10 (1), 3-19.

Cakir, I. (2015). Opinions and attitudes of prospective teachers for the use of mobile

phones in foreign language learning. Contemporary Educational Technology, 6(3), 239-

255.

Cavus, N. & Ibrahim, D. (2009). m-Learning: An experiment in using SMS to support

learning new English language words. British Journal of Educational Technology, 40(1),

78-91.

Dashtestani, R. (2015). Moving bravely towards mobile learning: Iranian students' use

of mobile devices for learning English as a foreign language. Computer Assisted

Language Learning, 29(4), 815-832.

Demouy, V., Jones, A., Kan, Q., Kukulska-Hulme, A. & Eardley, A. (2016). Why and how

do distance learners use mobile devices for language learning? The EuroCALL

Review, 24(1), 10-24.

Díaz-Vera, J. (Ed.). (2012). Left to my own devices: Learner autonomy and mobile-

assisted language learning. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group.

Djoub, Z. (2015). Mobile technology and learner autonomy in language learning. In J.

Keengwe (Ed.), Promoting active learning through the integration of mobile

and ubiquitous technologies (pp. 194-212). Hershey: IGI Global.

Dörnyei, Z. (2007). Research methods in applied linguistics. Oxford: Oxford University

Press.

Holec, H. (1981). Autonomy and foreign language learning. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Jones, A. (2015). Mobile informal language learning: Exploring Welsh learners'

practices, eLearning Papers, 45, 4-14.

Kukulska-Hulme, A., Norris, L. & Donohue, J. (2015). Mobile pedagogy for English

language teaching: A guide for teachers. British Council, London.

Kukulska-Hulme, A. & Shield, L. (2008). An overview of mobile assisted language

learning: From content delivery to supported collaboration and interaction. ReCALL, 20(3), 271-289.

Kukulska-Hulme, A., Traxler, J. & Pettit, J. (2007). Designed and usergenerated

activity in the mobile age. Journal of Learning Design, 2(1), 52-65.

Lee, L. (2011). Blogging: Promoting learner autonomy and intercultural competence

through study abroad. Language Learning & Technology, 5, 87-109.

The EUROCALL Review, Volume 25, No. 2, September 2017

28

Little, D. (2009). Language learner autonomy and the European Language Portfolio:

Two L2 English examples. Language Teaching, 42, 222-233.

Little, D. (1991). Learner autonomy 1: Definitions, issues and problems. Dublin:

Authentik.

Littlewood, W. (1996). Autonomy: An anatomy and a framework. System, 24(4), 427-

435.

Miangah, T.M. & Nezarat, A. (2012). Mobile-assisted language learning. International Journal of Distributed and Parallel Systems, 3(1), 309-319.

Nah, K.C., White, P. & Sussex, R. (2008). The potential of using a mobile phone to

access the internet for learning EFL listening skills within a Korean

context. ReCALL, 20(3), 331-347.

Oz, H. (2015). An investigation of preservice English teachers' perceptions of mobile

assisted language learning. English Language Teaching, 8(2), 22-34.

Pettit, J. & Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2007). Going with the grain: Mobile devices in

practice. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 23(1), 17-33.

Reinders, H. (2011). Towards an operationalisation of autonomy. In A. Ahmed, G. Cane

& M. Hanzala. Teaching English in multilingual contexts: Current challenges, future

directions (pp. 37-52). Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Reinders, H. & White, C. (2016). 20 years of autonomy and technology: How far have

we come and where to next? Language Learning & Technology, 20(2), 143-154.

Retrieved from http://llt.msu.edu/issues/june2016/reinderswhite.pdf.

Reinders, H. & Cho, M. (2011). Encouraging informal language learning with mobile

technology: Does it work? Journal of Second Language Teaching and Research, 1, 3-29.

Stockwell, G. (2007). Vocabulary on the move: Investigating an intelligent mobile

phone-based vocabulary tutor. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 20(4), 365-383.

Trifanova, A., Knapp, J., Ronchetti, M. & Gamper, J. (2004). Mobile ELDIT: Challenges

in the transitions from an e-learning to an m-learning system. Trento, Italy: University

of Trento. Retrieved December 12, 2016,

from http://eprints.biblio.unitn.it/archive/00000532/01/paper4911.pdf.

Zhang, H., Song, W. & Burston, J. (2011). Reexamining the effectiveness of vocabulary

learning via mobile phones. The Turkish Online Journal of Educational

Technology, 10(3), 203-214.

[1] It should be noted that the reason for choosing this sample was for convenience

since they were accessible to the researcher (Dörnyei, 2007, p. 98-99).

[2] It should be noted that in order to ward off potential misunderstandings and to allow

the participants to freely elaborate upon their answers, the interviews were conducted

in Polish.

[3] Both here and throughout the remainder of the paper, the excerpts are translations

of the students' responses by the present author.