# Discontinuous Galerkin Methods Study and Application to PDEs

Pratik Aghor Abhishek Kumar

Department of Mechanical Engineering Birla Institute of Technology and Science (BITS), Pilani

Study Project Presentation,25<sup>th</sup> April 2014



## Outline

- Introduction
  - Motivation
  - How is it different from Galerkin FEM
- Notations and Preliminaries
  - Notations
- 3 The Hello World of PDEs !- Poissons equation
  - DGM formulation



# Outline

- Introduction
  - Motivation
  - How is it different from Galerkin FEM
- 2 Notations and Preliminaries
  - Notations
- The Hello World of PDEs !- Poissons equation
  - DGM formulation



#### Motivation

- Variants of DGM used to effectively solve diffusion (e.g. the heat eqaution) and pure convection (e.g. in a convection transport equation) problems
- Heat eqn:  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \alpha \nabla^2 u$
- Convection Transport Eqn:  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{v}u)$



# Outline

- Introduction
  - Motivation
  - How is it different from Galerkin FEM
- 2 Notations and Preliminaries
  - Notations
- The Hello World of PDEs !- Poissons equation
  - DGM formulation



## Difference between Galerkin and DGM

Element-wise conservative



#### Difference between Galerkin and DGM

- Element-wise conservative
- Can support high order local approximation which varies over the mesh



## Difference between Galerkin and DGM

- Element-wise conservative
- Can support high order local approximation which varies over the mesh
- Leads to block diagonal mass matrices, even for high order polynomial approximation in time dependent problem



## Outline

- Introduction
  - Motivation
  - How is it different from Galerkin FEM
- Notations and Preliminaries
  - Notations
- The Hello World of PDEs !- Poissons equation
  - DGM formulation



#### Notations used

- Domain is  $\Omega$  which is a bounded, open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with Lipschitz continuous boundary  $\partial\Omega$
- ullet  $\Gamma_D$  on  $\partial\Omega$  is the Dirichlet condition prescribed boundary
- ullet  $\Gamma_N$  on  $\partial\Omega$  is the Neumann condition prescribed boundary
- $\Gamma_N \cup \Gamma_D = \partial \Omega$  and  $\Gamma_N \cap \Gamma_D = \phi$
- $P_h$  is a partition of domain  $\Omega$ , it numbers  $N_e$  partitions in this domain
- $\Omega = \bigcup_{K_i \in P_h} K_i, K_i \cap K_j = \phi, i \neq j$
- Set of edges  $E_h$  =set of $\gamma_I$ ,  $I = 1, 2...N_{\gamma}$
- $\bullet \ E_h = E_{h,D} \cup E_{h,N} \cup E_{h,int}$



## Outline

- Introduction
  - Motivation
  - How is it different from Galerkin FEM
- Notations and Preliminaries
  - Notations
- 3 The Hello World of PDEs !- Poissons equation
  - DGM formulation



# DGM Formulation - Poisson's Equation

## Poisson's Equation

$$-\Delta u + cf = u \text{ in } \Omega$$

## **Boundary conditions**

- $u = u_o$  on  $\Gamma_D$
- $\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u = g$  on  $\Gamma_N$



#### Weak Formulation

- ullet Multiply PDE by test function v and integrate over  $\Omega$
- $\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \nabla u + cu) v dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx$
- Decomposing the above integrals into element contributions (unlike classical FEM approach) and integrating by parts:

$$\sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + cuv) dx - \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_{\partial K} ((\vec{n}) \cdot \nabla u) v ds = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K fv dx$$

Boundary integral is defined on each boundary element as follows:

$$\sum_{K \in P_h} \int_{\partial K} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u) v ds = \int_{\Gamma_D} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u) v ds + \int_{\Gamma_N} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u) v ds +$$

$$\sum_{\gamma_{ij} \in E_{h,int}} \int_{\gamma_{ij}} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u)_i v_i + (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla u)_j v_j ds$$



# Simplifying

• Using 
$$ac - bd = 1/2(a+b)(c-d) + 1/2(a-b)(c+d)$$

•

$$\vec{n} \cdot (\nabla u)_i v_i - \vec{n} \cdot (\nabla)_j v_j = \langle \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u \rangle [v] + [vecn \cdot \nabla u] \langle v \rangle$$

Where:Jump is

$$[v] = v_i - v_i$$

and average is

$$\langle v \rangle = \frac{v_i + v_j}{2}$$



# Simplifying (Continued)

• An edge lying on  $\Gamma_D$  has

$$[v] = v = v$$

and

$$\langle v \rangle = v$$

•

 Allowing us to combine interior and Dirichlet boundary conditions in one term :

$$\int_{\Gamma_{int}\cup\Gamma_{0}} \langle \vec{n}\cdot\nabla u\rangle[v] + [\vec{n}\cdot\nabla u]\langle v\rangle ds$$



#### Variational Form

$$\sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + cuv) dx - \int_{\Gamma_i nt \cup \Gamma_D} \langle \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u \rangle ds = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K fv dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} gv ds$$



#### Variational Form

 $\sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + cuv) dx - \int_{\Gamma_i nt \cup \Gamma_D} \langle \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u \rangle ds = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K fv dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} gv ds$ 

• Bilnear form :

$$B(u,v) = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + cuv) dx$$

and

$$F(v) = \sum_{K \in P_b} \int_K f v dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} g v ds$$



#### Variational Form

•

$$\sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + cuv) dx - \int_{\Gamma_i nt \cup \Gamma_D} \langle \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u \rangle ds = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K fv dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} gv ds$$

Bilnear form :

$$B(u,v) = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + cuv) dx$$

and

$$F(v) = \sum_{K \in P_h} \int_K f v dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} g v ds$$

• Also Bilinear form for Boundaries  $\Gamma_D$  and  $\Gamma_i nt$  is :

$$J(u,v) = \int_{\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_{\rm int}} \langle \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u \rangle [v] ds$$





## Variational formulation

 A general discontinuous weak formulation of the Poisson Equation hence reads :

$$B(u, v) - J(u, v) = F(v), \forall v \in H^2(P_h)$$



#### Introduction of a new linear form

• Observation :  $u\in H^1(\Omega)\cap H^2(P_h)$ , the jump [u] vanishes on each  $\gamma_{ij}$  :  $\int_{\gamma_{ii}}v[u]ds=0, \forall v\in L^2(\gamma_{ij})$ 

Follows that :

$$\int_{\Gamma_{int}} \langle \vec{n} \cdot \nabla v \rangle [u] ds = 0, \forall v \in H^2(P_h)$$

Dirichlet B.C. applied will give :

$$\int_{\Gamma_D} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla v) u ds = \int_{\Gamma_D} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla v) u_0 ds, \forall v \in H^2(P_h)$$



#### Continued

• The new linear form defined as :

$$J_0(v) = \int_{\Gamma_D} (\vec{n} \cdot \nabla v) u_0 ds, \forall v \in H^2(P_h)$$

• We observe  $u = u_0$  on  $\Gamma_D$ ,

$$J(u,v)=J_0(v), \forall v\in H^2(P_h)$$



#### **IMPORTANT**

 We will, hence forth, only discuss the discrete formulation of different methods (and assume that the continuous form is similar, with the exception of the domains where the answer for u is searched in)



#### Global Element Method

• 
$$B_{-}(u, v) = B(u, v) - J(u, v) - J(v, u)$$

- $F_{-}(v) = F(v) J_{0}(v)$
- GEM consists of finding  $u_h \in V^{hp}$  such that :

$$B_{-}(u,v) = F_{-}(v), \forall v \in V^{hp}$$



# Symmetric Interior Penalty Galerkin Method

- Penalty terms added to ensure continuity of solution at the interface of elements
- Let  $\sigma$  be penalty parameter depending on length of edges  $\gamma_{ij}$  and  $\gamma$  and the polynomial degree used in elements i.e  $\sigma = \sigma(h, p)$
- Introducing Penalty terms

$$J^{\sigma}(u,v) = \int_{\Gamma_i nt \cup \Gamma_D} \sigma[u][v] ds$$

and

$$J_0^{\sigma}(v) = \int_{\Gamma_D} \sigma u_0 v ds$$

- $B_{-}(u, v)^{\sigma} = B(u, v) J(u, v) J(v, u) + J^{\sigma}(u, v)$
- $F_{-}^{\sigma}(v) = F(v) J_{0}(v) + J_{0}(v)^{\sigma}$





#### Continued

• SIPG Problem is find  $u_h \in V^{hp}$  such that :

$$B_{-}(u,v)^{\sigma}=F_{-}^{\sigma}(v), \forall v\in V^{hp}$$



# Discontinuous hp Galerkin FEM - DGM

• 
$$B_+(u, v) = B(u, v) - J(u, v) + J(v, u)$$

- $F_+(v) = F(v) + J_0(v)$
- DGM consists of finding  $u_h \in V^{hp}$  such that :

$$B_+(u,v) = F_+(v), \forall v \in V^{hp}$$



# Non-Symmetric Interior Penalty Galerkin Method (NIPG)

• 
$$B_{-}(u, v)^{\sigma} = B(u, v) - J(u, v) + J(v, u) + J^{\sigma}(u, v)$$

- $F_+^{\sigma}(v) = F(v) + J_0(v) + J_0(v)^{\sigma}$
- NIPG Problem is find  $u_h \in V^{hp}$  such that :

$$B_+(u,v)^{\sigma}=F_+^{\sigma}(v), \forall v\in V^{hp}$$



#### References

- Bahriawati, C., and C. Carstensen. "Three MATLAB implementations of the lowest-order Raviart-Thomas MFEM with a posteriori error control." Comput. Methods Appl. Math. 5, no. 4 (2005): 333-361.
- Prudhomme, S., F. Pascal, J. T. Oden, and A. Romkes. "Review of a priori error estimation for discontinuous Galerkin methods." (2000).
- Hecht, Frdric, Olivier Pironneau, A. Le Hyaric, and K. Ohtsuka.
   "FreeFem++ manual." (2005).



# Numerical Implementation

Open the report.

