



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Experiment No.8
Implementation of Unification Algorithm in Prolog.
Date of Performance:
Date of Submission:



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Aim: Implementation of unification algorithm in Prolog.

Objective: To study about how to use AI Programming language (Prolog) for developing inferencing engine using Unification process and knowledge declared in Prolog.

Requirement: Turbo Prolog 2.0 or above / Windows Prolog.

Theory:

Unification is a process of making two different logical atomic expressions identical by finding a substitution. It takes two literals as input and makes them identical using substitution. Let

Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 be two atomic sentences and be a unifier such that, $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$, then it can be expressed as UNIFY(Ψ_1, Ψ_2).

For example, if one term is $f(X, Y)$ and the second is $f(g(Y, a), h(a))$ (where upper case names are variables and lower case are constants) then the two terms can be unified by identifying X with $g(h(a), a)$ and Y with $h(a)$ making both terms look like $f(g(h(a), a), h(a))$. The unification can be represented by a pair of substitutions $\{X \mapsto g(h(a), a)\}$ and $\{Y \mapsto h(a)\}$.

Unification Algorithm:

```
FUNCTION unify( t1, t2 ) RETURNS (unifiable : BOOLEAN, sigma
: SUBSTITUTION) BEGIN
  IF t1 OR t2 is a variable
  THEN BEGIN
    let x be the variable and let t be the other term
    IF x == t THEN (unifiable, sigma) := (TRUE,
    NULL_SUBSTITUTION); ELSE IF x occurs in t THEN
    unifiable == FALSE;
    ELSE (unifiable, sigma) := (TRUE,
    {x ← t}); END
  ELSE
  BEGIN
    assume t1 == f(x1, ..., xn) and t2 == g(y1,
    ... ym) IF f != g OR m != n THEN
    unifiable = FALSE; ELSE
    BEGIN
      N ← 0;
      unifiable := TRUE;
      sigma := NULL_SUBSTITUTION;
      WHILE k < m AND
      unifiable DO BEGIN
```



```
k := k + 1;
(unifiable, tau) := unify( sigma( xk ),
sigma( yk ) ); IF unifiable THEN sigma :=
compose(tau, sigma );
EN
D
END

END
RETURN (unifiable,
sigma); END
```

Implementation Notes

1. To extract the name of a functor and its arguments, you may use the special built-in rules **functor/3**, **arg/3**, and **"=.."**. (Prolog allows overloading of rule names; the notation **foo/2** denotes the **foo** rule that takes two arguments.) They are used as follows:

```
1. functor(f(x,y),F,N) ==> F=f
and N=2 2. arg(1,f(x,y),A) ==>
A=x 3. f(x,y)=.. L ==> L = [f,x,y]
```

Incidentally, an atom is treated as a 0-argument functor.

2. As an option, you may encode functors to be unified as lists in prefix notation. For example, **f(x)** would be encoded as **[f, x]**. For a more complicated example, the following function:

```
f(3, g(x))
would be encoded as:
[f, 3, [g, x]]
```

This notation doesn't look as nice, but it might make the implementation simpler.

3. You must choose how to distinguish variables from atoms in the expressions you are matching. For example, if **a** and **b** are constants, then unification of **a** and **b** should fail. However, if **A** and **B** are both variables, then unification should succeed, with the single substitution **A -> B**. A reasonable choice is that **t, u, v, w, x, y, and z** are variables, while all other letters are constants. In any case, please document your choice.

Testing Your Unifier

Here are some tests you should try before stopping work on your unifier. Harder tests are



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

towards the bottom.

1. Two atoms should unify iff both atoms are the same. Two different atoms should fail to unify.
2. A variable should unify with anything that does not contain that variable. For example, x should unify with $f(g(y), 3, (h(a, z)))$, but not with $f(x)$.
3. A variable should unify with itself. For example, x should unify with x .
4. Your algorithm should handle cases where a variable appears in multiple locations

For example, all of the following should unify:

- o $f(x, x) = f(a, a)$
- o $f(x, g(x)) = f(a, g(x))$
- o $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$

And the following should NOT unify:

- o $f(x, x) = f(a, b)$
 - o $f(x, g(x)) = g(a, g(b))$
5. When unifying functors, all arguments should unify. For example, $g(h(1, 2, 3, 4), 5)$ does not unify with $g(h(1, 8, 3, 4), 5)$.
 6. There are plenty of other things to try. These are just some examples to start.

Unification in Prolog:

The way in which Prolog matches two terms is called unification. The idea is similar to that of unification in logic: we have two terms and we want to see if they can be made to represent the same structure. For example, we might have in our database the single Prolog clause:

`parent(alan, clive).`

and give the query:

`?- parent(X, Y).`

We would expect X to be instantiated to `alan` and Y to be instantiated to `clive` when the query succeeds. We would say that the term `parent(X, Y)` unifies with the term `parent(alan, clive)` with X bound to `alan` and Y bound to `clive`. The unification algorithm in Prolog is roughly this:

df:un Given two terms and which are to be unified:

If a and b are constants (i.e. atoms or numbers) then if they are the same succeed. Otherwise fail.



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

If t_1 is a variable then instantiate to t_2 . Otherwise, If t_1 is a

variable then instantiate to t_2 .

Otherwise, if t_1 and t_2 are complex terms with the same arity (number of arguments), find the principal functor of t_1 and principal functor of t_2 . If these are the same, then take the ordered set of arguments of t_1 and the ordered set of arguments of t_2 . For each pair of arguments a_i and b_i from the same position in the term, must unify with a_i and b_i . Otherwise fail.

For example: applying this procedure to unify $\text{foo}(a,X)$ with $\text{foo}(Y,b)$ we get:

$\text{foo}(a,X)$ and $\text{foo}(Y,b)$ are complex terms with the same

arity (2). The principal functor of both terms is foo .

The arguments (in order) of $\text{foo}(a,X)$ are a

and X . The arguments (in order) of $\text{foo}(Y,b)$

are Y and b . So a and Y must unify, and X

and b must unify. Y is a variable so we

instantiate Y to a .

X is a variable so we instantiate X to b .

The resulting term, after unification is $\text{foo}(a,b)$.

The built in Prolog operator '=' can be used to unify two terms. Below are some examples of its use. Annotations are between ** symbols.

| ?- $a = a$. ** Two identical atoms

unify ** yes

| ?- $a = b$. ** Atoms don't unify if they

aren't identical ** no

| ?- $X = a$. ** Unification instantiates a variable to

an atom ** $X=a$

yes



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

| ?- $X = Y$. ** Unification binds two differently named

variables ** $X = _125451$ ** to a single, unique

variable name **

$Y = _125451$

yes

| ?- $\text{foo}(a,b) = \text{foo}(a,b)$. ** Two identical complex terms

unify ** yes

| ?- $\text{foo}(a,b) = \text{foo}(X,Y)$. ** Two complex terms unify if they

are ** $X = a$ ** of the same arity, have the same

principal **

$Y = b$ ** functor and their

arguments unify ** yes

| ?- $\text{foo}(a,Y) = \text{foo}(X,b)$. ** Instantiation of variables may

occur ** $Y = b$ ** in either of the terms to be unified

** $X =$

a yes

| ?- $\text{foo}(a,b) = \text{foo}(X,X)$. ** In this case there is no

unification ** no ** because $\text{foo}(X,X)$ must have the same

**

** 1st and 2nd arguments **

| ?- $2*3+4 = X+Y$. ** The term $2*3+4$ has principal

functor + ** $X = 2*3$ ** and therefore unifies

with $X+Y$ with X instantiated ** $Y = 4$ ** to $2*3$ and Y



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

instantiated to 4 **

yes

| ?- [a,b,c] = [X,Y,Z]. ** Lists unify just like other

terms ** X=a

Y=

b

Z=

c

yes

| ?- [a,b,c] = [X|Y]. ** Unification using the '|' symbol can

be used ** X=a ** to find the head element, X,

and tail list, Y, ** Y=[b,c] ** of a list **

yes

| ?- [a,b,c] = [X,Y|Z]. ** Unification on lists doesn't have

to be ** X=a ** restricted to finding the first head

element **

Y=b ** In this case we find the 1st and 2nd

elements ** Z=[c] ** (X and Y) and then the

tail list (Z) **

yes

| ?- [a,b,c] = [X,Y,Z|T]. ** This is a similar example but

here ** X=a ** the first 3 elements are unified

with

**



Vidyavardhini's College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

$Y=b$ ** variables X, Y and Z, leaving the **

$Z=c$ ** tail, T, as an empty list

$[]$ ** $T=[]$

Yes

| ?- $[a,b,c] = [a|[b|[c][[]]]]$. ** Prolog is quite happy to

unify these ** yes** because they are just notational **

** variants of the same Prolog term **

Conclusion:

Prolog's unification explanation feature provides insights into how terms are matched step by step. It begins by checking if two constants (atoms or numbers) are identical. If they are, unification succeeds, and if not, it fails. For variables, Prolog explains how they are instantiated or bound to terms, allowing for flexible matching. When unifying complex terms, Prolog ensures the functors and their arguments match; if not, unification fails. In case of variable unification across multiple locations, Prolog also highlights successful or conflicting bindings, offering clarity on why unification either succeeds or fails.