Steps of Market Segmentation Analysis

~ Pratik Kumar

Step 1:- Deciding whether or not to embark on market segmentation and careful consideration of its implications and overcoming potential implementation barriers.

~ Market segmentation, a widely employed marketing strategy, isn't always the optimal choice for every organization. While it offers the promise of enhanced targeting and more effective resource allocation, it necessitates a substantial and long-term commitment from the organization. This commitment is akin to a marriage, demanding readiness for significant changes and investments. Before diving into segmentation, it's imperative to conduct a cost-benefit analysis, weighing the expected increase in sales against the costs incurred in research, surveys, focus groups, product development, and tailored communications.

However, implementing segmentation can be fraught with challenges, often stemming from organizational dynamics and leadership. Senior management plays a pivotal role in driving the segmentation process. Lack of leadership, commitment, and active involvement from top executives can undermine the effectiveness of segmentation initiatives. Additionally, insufficient resource allocation, both in terms of finances and human capital, can impede the successful execution of segmentation strategies.

Organizational culture also poses significant barriers to segmentation efforts. Resistance to change, a lack of market or consumer orientation, and ineffective communication channels can hinder progress. Moreover, a dearth of training and expertise in market segmentation among senior management and the segmentation team can lead to misunderstandings and misalignments in strategy execution.

Identifying and addressing these barriers early in the process is crucial for successful segmentation implementation. Organizations must foster a culture of openness to change, invest in training and development, and ensure clear communication channels across all levels. If barriers persist despite mitigation efforts, organizations may need to reassess the viability of segmentation as a strategic approach.

In conclusion, successful segmentation implementation requires dedication, patience, and a thorough understanding of organizational dynamics. It entails clear communication, proactive leadership, and a willingness to adapt to evolving circumstances. By addressing potential barriers head-on and fostering a supportive environment, organizations can maximize the benefits of market segmentation while minimizing implementation challenges.

Step 2:- Specifying the Ideal Target Segment

~ Deciding on the ideal target segment in market segmentation is a critical step that requires thorough evaluation and strategic planning. This process involves assessing potential segments based on specific criteria to determine their viability and attractiveness for the organization. By carefully selecting target segments, businesses can tailor their marketing strategies more effectively and improve overall performance.

One of the primary considerations in this process is the establishment of "knock-out criteria." These criteria serve as essential prerequisites that segments must meet to be considered viable options. Examples of knock-out criteria include segment size, homogeneity, distinctiveness, and accessibility. If a segment fails to meet these fundamental requirements, it is automatically disqualified from further consideration. For instance, a segment must be large enough to justify the allocation of resources and must consist of consumers who share similar characteristics and needs.

In addition to knock-out criteria, organizations also evaluate segments based on "attractiveness criteria." Unlike knock-out criteria, which are non-negotiable, attractiveness criteria are more subjective and flexible. These criteria help assess the relative appeal of different segments and guide decision-making regarding which segments to target. Attractiveness criteria may include factors such as market size, growth potential, profitability, compatibility with the organization's strengths, and the accessibility of the target audience. By considering these factors, businesses can prioritize segments that offer the greatest potential for success.

To ensure a comprehensive evaluation of potential segments, it is essential to involve key stakeholders from various organizational units in the decision-making process. These stakeholders bring diverse perspectives and insights that contribute to a more holistic assessment of segment viability and attractiveness. By collaborating with stakeholders from marketing, sales, product development, and other departments, organizations can gain a deeper understanding of the market landscape and make more informed decisions regarding target segments.

Once the criteria for evaluating segments are established, organizations must engage in data collection to gather relevant information about each potential segment. This data collection process may involve market research, customer surveys, competitor analysis, and other research methods to gather insights into segment characteristics and preferences. By collecting data aligned with the established criteria, organizations can make data-driven decisions about which segments to target.

A structured approach to segment evaluation, such as using a segment evaluation plot, can help visualize segment attractiveness and organizational competitiveness. This approach allows organizations to compare different segments based on predetermined criteria and identify the most promising opportunities for targeting. By visually representing segment data, organizations can gain a clearer understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each segment and make more informed decisions about where to allocate resources.

In addition to evaluating segment attractiveness, organizations must also consider their own capabilities and resources when selecting target segments. It is essential to assess whether the organization has the necessary resources, expertise, and infrastructure to effectively serve and market to the chosen segments. For example, if a segment requires specialized products or services that the organization does not currently offer, it may not be a viable target.

Furthermore, organizations must consider the long-term implications of targeting specific segments. Implementing a segmentation strategy requires a commitment of time, resources, and organizational change. Therefore, it is essential to ensure that the expected benefits of targeting a particular segment outweigh the costs and challenges associated with implementation. This may involve conducting a cost-benefit analysis to assess the potential return on investment and evaluate whether the benefits justify the investment required.

Ultimately, the decision to target specific segments requires careful consideration and strategic planning. By establishing clear criteria, involving key stakeholders, and conducting thorough data analysis, organizations can identify target segments that offer the greatest potential for success. By aligning their segmentation strategy with organizational goals and capabilities, businesses can enhance their competitive advantage and drive sustainable growth in the market.

Step 3:- Collecting Data

~ In market segmentation, Step 3 involves collecting data to identify or create segments that share similar characteristics or behaviors. This data serves as the foundation for both commonsense and data-driven segmentation approaches. In commonsense segmentation, a single characteristic, such as gender, is used to divide consumers into segments, while other data, like age and vacation preferences, describe these segments in detail. On the other hand, data-driven segmentation uses multiple variables to identify or create segments based on shared characteristics or behaviors, such as seeking specific benefits when going on vacation.

The quality of empirical data is crucial for developing valid segmentation solutions. Whether using commonsense or data-driven approaches, accurate data ensures that each

person is assigned to the correct segment and that segments are correctly described. Data can be collected from various sources, including surveys, observations like scanner data, or experimental studies. However, surveys, while common, may not always reflect actual behavior accurately, especially for socially desirable actions.

Before collecting data, organizations must decide on segmentation criteria, such as geographic, socio-demographic, psychographic, or behavioral factors. Choosing the right criterion depends on prior knowledge about the market and the specific context. Geographic segmentation divides consumers based on their location, making it easy to target communication messages. Socio-demographic segmentation uses factors like age and income, but may not always explain product preferences well. Psychographic segmentation groups consumers based on beliefs, interests, or benefits sought, offering insights into underlying reasons for behavior. Behavioral segmentation, based on actual behavior, is useful for targeting consumers with similar purchasing patterns.

Survey data is commonly used for segmentation analysis due to its affordability and ease of collection. However, it can be biased and must be carefully managed. Selecting the right variables for segmentation is crucial. Including unnecessary variables can lead to respondent fatigue and obscure important information needed for segmentation. Noisy or masking variables can also hinder algorithms from identifying the correct segmentation solution.

Overall, collecting high-quality empirical data is essential for developing effective segmentation strategies. Whether using commonsense or data-driven approaches, organizations must carefully choose segmentation criteria and variables to ensure accurate and meaningful segmentation results. By understanding consumer characteristics and behaviors, businesses can tailor their marketing strategies to target specific segments more effectively, ultimately improving overall performance and competitiveness in the market.

Market segmentation analysis often relies on survey data, which is easy to collect but prone to biases. Careful consideration of variables, response options, response styles, and sample size is essential for quality segmentation.

1. **Choice of Variables:** Selecting relevant variables is crucial. Unnecessary ones can lead to respondent fatigue and complicate analysis.
2. **Response Options:** Binary or metric responses are preferable for analysis, as they are easier to interpret than ordinal responses.
3. **Response Styles:** Biases in survey responses can skew results, affecting segmentation accuracy. Acquiescence bias, for instance, may inflate certain segment characteristics.

4. **Sample Size:** A sufficient sample size is vital for accurate segmentation. Recommendations vary but typically suggest a size relative to the number of segmentation variables.

When businesses want to group their customers for marketing purposes, they often use surveys. But survey data can be tricky because people might not always answer truthfully, or the questions might be too long or confusing.

To make sure the results are good, it's important to choose the right questions and response options. For example, asking people to pick between two options is better than giving them a long list of choices. Also, we need to watch out for people who always say yes or agree to everything, as it might not reflect their true opinions.

Having enough people answer the survey is also crucial. If there aren't enough, it's hard to trust the results. So, we need to make sure we have a good number of responses compared to the number of questions we're asking.

Overall, when we're trying to understand our customers better, we need to ask the right questions in the right way and have enough people answer them. That way, we can trust the results and make better decisions for our business.

Step 4:- Exploring Data

~ The exploration of data is like taking a first look at a treasure map before setting off on an adventure. It helps us understand what we've gathered and prepares us for the journey ahead. In this stage, we clean up the data and get a feel for what it contains.

Imagine we're studying travel habits, and we've collected information from 1000 Australians about their last vacation. We start by peeking into this data to see what's there. We want to know things like: How old are the people surveyed? What's their income? What are their main reasons for traveling?

Once we've familiarized ourselves with the data, we clean it up. We check if all the information is recorded correctly and if there are any mistakes or inconsistencies. For example, we make sure that gender is either "female" or "male" and that ages are within a reasonable range.

Then comes the fun part—descriptive analysis! We summarize the data in numbers and pictures to get a better sense of what it's telling us. We might create histograms to see how age is distributed among respondents or boxplots to compare income levels.

Graphs can tell us a lot at a glance. For example, if most people agree that rest and relaxation are important on a vacation but only a few care about realizing their creativity,

that's good to know! It helps us see where people's priorities lie and guides us in segmenting the market—that is, dividing people into groups based on their preferences.

Overall, exploring the data is like peeling back layers of an onion—it reveals more and more about what lies beneath the surface. And armed with this knowledge, we're better equipped to navigate the journey of analysis ahead.

Pre-processing is like getting the data ready for a road trip—you want everything organized and in good shape before hitting the road. In the case of categorical variables, there are two main ways to get them ready.

First, we might need to merge categories if there are too many. Imagine we're looking at income levels, and there are a bunch of categories with only a few people in each. We can combine these into broader categories to make the data more manageable.

Second, we might want to turn categorical variables into numbers, especially if we're using them for analysis. For example, we could convert whether someone likes a certain travel motive from "yes" or "no" to 1 or 0.

Numeric variables might also need some prepping. Let's say we're comparing something like how much people spend on vacation. If one variable ranges from 0 to 1 (like whether someone likes dining out) and another ranges from 0 to 1000 (like daily spending), we might want to put them on the same scale. This helps make sure each variable has a fair chance of influencing our analysis.

The standard way to do this is called standardization. It basically shrinks or stretches the data so that the average is 0 and the spread is 1. This makes it easier to compare variables that have different ranges.

There are different ways to standardize data, depending on what you're working with. For example, if there are some extreme values that could throw things off (like someone spending $10,000 a day on vacation), we might use a different method to make sure those outliers don't mess up our analysis.

Overall, pre-processing is about getting everything ready for the journey of analysis ahead—making sure our data is clean, organized, and in a format that's easy to work with.

Principal Components Analysis (PCA) is like magic glasses that let us see our data from a new angle. It takes a bunch of variables and transforms them into a new set of variables called principal components. These new variables are like superstars—they're uncorrelated and ordered by how much they explain about the data.

Imagine we have a big dataset with lots of different types of information, like what people like to do on vacation. PCA helps us see which aspects are most important. The first principal component captures the most variation in the data, the second one captures the next most, and so on.

PCA works its magic using the covariance or correlation between the variables. If all the variables are measured in the same way, it doesn't matter which one we use. But if they're not, it's better to use correlation to make things fair.

Usually, we don't use all the principal components. Instead, we pick the first few, because they explain most of the variation. Then, we can plot our data in a way that's easier to understand. For example, we might use the first two principal components to make a scatter plot.

In the end, PCA helps us see patterns in our data and decide which variables are most important. But it's not just for plotting graphs—it can also help us reduce the number of variables we need to work with. This can make our analysis simpler and more manageable.

However, we need to be careful. Using only a subset of principal components to make decisions about our data can be risky. It's like trying to understand a whole story from just a few chapters—it might not give us the full picture. Instead, PCA is best used to explore our data and identify which variables are most closely related. This way, we can make informed decisions about which variables to keep and which ones we can do without.

Step 5:- Extracting Segments

~ Segmenting consumers in the market is like trying to group them based on their similarities and differences. But it's not easy—consumer data is messy and doesn't always show clear groups. Different methods can be used to extract these segments, but they all have their own strengths and weaknesses.

One popular method is called cluster analysis, where consumers are grouped into clusters based on their similarities. But the results of this method can change depending on the assumptions we make about the data and the method we choose.

For example, imagine we have data about tourists and their vacation preferences. If we use a method like k-means clustering, which looks for compact clusters, it might not identify patterns like spirals in the data. But if we use a different method like single linkage hierarchical clustering, which looks for snake-shaped clusters, it might pick up on these patterns better.

However, no single method is always the best. It depends on the structure of the data and what we're trying to achieve. That's why it's important to explore different methods and compare their results.

When choosing a method, we need to consider things like the size of the data set, the scale of the variables we're using, and the characteristics we expect to see in the segments. For example, if we have a lot of data and want to group tourists based on their vacation activities, we might use distance-based methods. These methods calculate how similar or different tourists are based on things like the percentage of time they spend at the beach, doing action activities, or enjoying cultural experiences.

Distance measures are used to calculate how far apart two tourists are in terms of their vacation preferences. Common measures include Euclidean distance, which is like the straight-line distance between two points, and Manhattan distance, which takes into account the streets on a grid (like in Manhattan).

Overall, finding the right segmentation method is like solving a puzzle. We need to carefully consider the characteristics of our data and what we want to achieve, and then choose the method that best fits our needs. And even then, it's important to remember that there's no one-size-fits-all solution—what works best will vary depending on the situation.

Hierarchical clustering methods are like organizing a group of friends into smaller groups based on their similarities. There are two main approaches: divisive and agglomerative.

Divisive clustering starts with one big group and splits it into smaller ones step by step. Agglomerative clustering starts with each person in their own group and gradually merges the closest groups together.

These methods create a sequence of nested groups, ranging from one big group to individual groups for each person. Different algorithms can be used, but they all rely on a distance measure to decide which groups to merge or split.

For example, single linkage clustering looks at the distance between the closest members of two groups to decide if they should be merged. This can be useful for finding non-linear patterns like spirals in the data. On the other hand, complete linkage clustering looks at the distance between the farthest members of two groups, which tends to create more compact clusters.

Another popular method, called Ward clustering, uses squared Euclidean distances to decide how to merge groups. This method calculates cluster centers and joins groups based on the smallest distance between these centers.

The results of hierarchical clustering are often shown as dendrograms, which are like family trees. Each leaf represents a person, and branches show how groups are formed. But dendrograms may not always be helpful for deciding how many groups to create because the data might not be structured enough.

In the end, the order of the groups in the dendrogram might vary depending on the software used, but the underlying segmentation solution remains the same.

Partitioning methods offer an alternative to hierarchical clustering, especially when dealing with larger datasets where dendrograms become difficult to interpret and memory constraints become an issue.

One popular partitioning method is k-means clustering. It aims to divide observations (like consumers) into subsets (or segments) so that members within a segment are similar to each other, but different from members of other segments. The "centroid" represents each segment, calculated as the average values across all members. The algorithm works in several steps:

1. Specify the desired number of segments (k).
2. Randomly select k observations as initial centroids.
3. Assign each observation to the closest centroid, forming initial segments.
4. Recompute centroids based on the assigned observations.
5. Repeat steps 3 and 4 until the centroids no longer change significantly.

This iterative process aims to find the best segmentation solution, but it may converge to a local optimum rather than the global one. Therefore, running the algorithm multiple times with different initial centroids helps to improve the quality of the solution.

Choosing the right number of segments is crucial, and various indices and stability analyses help in making this decision. However, specifying the number of segments beforehand is necessary for partitioning methods.

Different distance measures, like squared Euclidean, Manhattan, or angle distance, impact the segmentation solution. For example, squared Euclidean and Manhattan distances result in similar clusters, while angle distance creates differently shaped segments. The choice of distance measure greatly influences the segmentation outcome.

Overall, partitioning methods like k-means clustering offer efficient ways to segment data, especially for larger datasets, but choosing the right distance measure and number of segments is essential for obtaining meaningful results.

Step 7:- Describing Segments

~ The profiling step in market segmentation helps understand the characteristics of different customer groups. It's essential when using data-driven methods, as opposed to common-sense segmentation where segments are predefined based on obvious factors like age groups.

In data-driven segmentation, the defining characteristics of segments aren't known until after analysis. Profiling involves characterizing segments individually and comparing them to others. But interpreting data-driven segmentation results can be tricky for managers, often presented in ways that are hard to understand, like lengthy reports or complex spreadsheets.

Traditional approaches to profiling involve tables showing percentages of segment members for each variable. But these tables can be overwhelming, requiring a lot of comparisons to understand the segments properly.

Visualizations offer a better way to profile segments, making it easier to interpret results. Segment profile plots, for example, show how each segment differs from the overall sample across all variables. Marker variables, which are particularly characteristic for a segment, are highlighted in color.

Research shows that visualizations are more efficient for understanding complex segmentation results compared to traditional tables. They require less cognitive effort and can provide insights more quickly.

In summary, profiling market segments is crucial for understanding customer groups. Visualizations, like segment profile plots, offer a more efficient and effective way to interpret segmentation results compared to traditional tables, making it easier for managers to make strategic decisions based on segmentation data.

Segment separation plots provide a visual representation of how distinct different segments are from each other in a market segmentation analysis. These plots can help analysts and users quickly understand the overlap between segments and assess the effectiveness of the segmentation solution.

In simple cases with few variables, segment separation plots are straightforward. However, as the number of variables increases, these plots become more complex. Still, they offer a valuable way to grasp the overall data situation and segmentation results.

The plots typically include a scatter plot of observations colored by segment membership, along with the cluster hulls representing the shape and spread of each segment. Additionally, a neighborhood graph indicates the similarity between segments.

For example, Fig illustrates segment separation plots for two artificial datasets—one with well-separated clusters and another with an elliptic data structure. The scatter plots show the observations colored by true segment membership, and the cluster hulls display the spread of each segment.

Neighborhood graphs connect segment centers and indicate similarity between segments. Thicker lines between centers suggest more similarity, while thinner lines suggest less. This visualization helps analysts assess the separation between segments.

In more complex cases, where the number of variables is high, projection techniques like principal components analysis (PCA) are used to reduce the dimensions of the data. This allows for easier visualization of segment separation.

PCA is applied to the Australian travel motives dataset, and a segment separation plot is created using principal components 2 and 3. This plot helps identify distinct segments based on their travel preferences.

However, interpreting these plots can still be challenging, especially when segments overlap. For example, one segment may prioritize nature experiences, while another seeks luxury and entertainment.

It's important to note that each segment separation plot represents just one possible projection of the data. Therefore, overlapping segments in one projection may not overlap in others. However, clear separation between segments in a single projection suggests distinct differences in consumer preferences.

In summary, segment separation plots offer a visual way to assess the distinctiveness of market segments in segmentation analyses. Despite their complexity in high-dimensional datasets, these plots provide valuable insights into segment overlap and can aid in making strategic marketing decisions based on segmentation results.

Step 10:- Evaluation and Monitoring

Continuously Monitoring Market Segmentation for Success

~ Market segmentation isn't a one-time task; it's an ongoing process. Once a target segment is chosen and a tailored marketing strategy is developed, it's essential to evaluate its effectiveness and adapt to changes in the market landscape. Here's why ongoing evaluation and monitoring matter:

1. **Evaluation of Segmentation Strategy Effectiveness:** After putting in the effort to understand the market and customize marketing strategies, it's crucial to assess whether these efforts lead to increased profit or achievement of

organizational goals. For non-profit organizations, success might be measured differently, such as by the amount of donations raised or volunteers recruited. Continuous monitoring allows for adjustments based on the ongoing assessment of segmentation strategies.

2. **Dynamic Market Environment:** Markets are not static. Consumer preferences evolve, competitors introduce new products or strategies, and external factors like economic conditions change. To stay competitive, organizations must continuously monitor the market landscape. This can range from regular reviews by segmentation teams to automated data mining systems that alert organizations to relevant changes in segment size or nature.

Evaluating Segmentation Success

Short-term evaluation often focuses on increased profit or other immediate organizational goals. Long-term success might involve measuring how well the organization's positioning resonates with the target segment over time. Tracking studies can gauge changes in market perception, indicating whether the organization is increasingly seen as a preferred provider for certain needs.

Segment Stability and Change

Studies show that consumer segment membership can change over time. Factors like evolving consumer behavior, new product offerings, or disruptive innovations contribute to segment instability. Segment hopping, where consumers move between segments based on different contexts or needs, is also observed.

Addressing Segment Evolution

Understanding segment stability is crucial for interpreting changes over time accurately. Various frameworks, like MONIC and Oliveira and Gama's taxonomy, can help analyze segment evolution by comparing segmentation solutions over time. By identifying changes in segment needs, size, or composition, organizations can adapt their strategies to remain competitive.

Conclusion: The Importance of Continuous Monitoring

In today's dynamic market environment, ignoring changes in market segments can be risky. Continuous monitoring allows organizations to adapt quickly, gaining a competitive edge. By revisiting segmentation periodically and staying responsive to evolving consumer needs, organizations can create sustainable competitive

advantages and better satisfy customer needs. As McDonald and Dunbar (1995) aptly put it, segmentation is a creative and iterative process driven by customer needs and should be revisited periodically to maintain competitiveness.

Github Link :- Feynn-Lab-and-Services/McDonald_Market_Basket.ipynb at main · Pratikkumar201/Feynn-Lab-and-Services (github.com)