

Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Final Exam.
SHUMIN GUO

Problem 1.(10 points) Suppose Box 1 contains 10 apples and 5 oranges, and Box 2 contains 7 apples and 5 oranges. One of the boxes is chosen at random (with equal probability) and an item is selected from the box and found to be an apple. Find the probability that the apple came from Box 1.

■ Let's use A to denote apple, O to denote orange, B1 denotes from box1 and B2 denotes from box 2.

$$\begin{aligned}P(B_1) &= P(B_2) = 0.5 \\P(A|B_1) &= \frac{10}{15} = \frac{2}{3} \\P(O|B_1) &= \frac{5}{15} = \frac{1}{3} \\P(A|B_2) &= \frac{7}{12} \\P(O|B_2) &= \frac{5}{12}\end{aligned}$$

The probability of from Box1 when get an apple is.

$$\begin{aligned}P(B_1|A) &= \frac{P(A|B_1)P(B_1)}{P(A)} \\&= \frac{P(A|B_1)P(B_1)}{P(A|B_1)P(B_1) + P(A|B_2)P(B_2)} \\&= \frac{\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{7}{12} \times \frac{1}{2}} \\&= \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{7}{24}} \\&= \frac{8}{15}\end{aligned}$$

Problem 2. (15 points) Consider a Bayesian network with the following structure in Figure 1. Does computing $p(M|A)$ depend on:

- $p(L|J)$?
- $p(K|I)$?
- $p(D|B)$?
- $p(H|G)$?

In the network of Figure 1 if we decided not to include G in our network, but still wanted to model the joint distribution of all the other variables, what is the smallest network structure we could use?

■ With the theory of d-separation, any node on the path of $A \rightarrow M$ will affect the computation of $p(M|A)$, so in the given choices, $p(K|I)$ has clearly sperate the path, which renders $p(M|A) = p(M)$, and for choice $p(H|G)$, the evidence of G makes $A \perp\!\!\!\perp H|G$ and $M \perp\!\!\!\perp H|G$. And we have $M \perp\!\!\!\perp A|G$. In the given Bayesian network, we have

$$P(A, B, C \dots M) = P(A)P(B)P(C|A)P(D|B)P(E|C)P(F|D)P(G|EF)P(H|G)P(I|G)P(J|H)P(K|I)P(L|J)P(M|K)$$

By removing node G, we can consider that G becomes evident node. So, in this case,




Figure 1: Bayesian Network for problem 2.

Problem 3. (25 points) Let H_x be a random variable denoting the handedness of an individual x , with possible values l or r . A common hypothesis is that left- or right-handedness is inherited by a simple mechanism; that is, perhaps there is a gene G_x , also with values l or r , and perhaps actual handedness turns out mostly the same with some probability $s = 0.95$ as the gene an individual possesses. Furthermore, perhaps the gene itself is equally likely to be inherited from either of an individual's parents, with a small probability $m = 0.05$ of a random mutation flipping the handedness.




Figure 2: Bayesian Network for problem 2.

- (a) Which of the three networks in Figure 2 claim the following?

$$P(G_{father}, G_{mother}, G_{child}) = P(G_{father})P(G_{mother})P(G_{child})$$

Please explain.

■ c. Because only figure (c) claims that $G_{father}, G_{mother}, G_{child}$ are independent without any given information. And for (a) and (b) G_{child} is dependent on G_{father}, G_{mother} .

- (b) Which of the three networks make independence claims that are consistent with the hypothesis about the inheritance of handedness? Please explain.

■ a. According to the problem hypothesis, handedness of fathers and mothers can not directly influence that of the child, so only (a) can claim this hypothesis.

(c) Which of the three networks is the best description of the hypothesis? Please explain.

■ a. Similar the the above question. Handedness of fathers and mothers can not directly influence that of the child, only handedness gene has direct influence on the handedness of child.

(d) Write down the CPTs for the G_{child} node and H_{child} node in network (a), in terms of s and m .

■

CPT for G_{child} , G_{cl} means child has left hand side gene. Other notations are similar.

$$\begin{aligned} P(G_{cl}|G_{fl}, G_{ml}) &= 1 - m = 0.95 \\ P(G_{cl}|G_{fl}, G_{mr}) &= 0.5 \times (1 - m) + 0.5 \times m = 0.5 \\ P(G_{cl}|G_{fr}, G_{ml}) &= 0.5 \times m + 0.5 \times (1 - m) = 0.5 \\ P(G_{cl}|G_{fr}, G_{mr}) &= 0.5 \times m + 0.5 \times m = m = 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

CPT for H_{child} .

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_l|G_l) &= P(H_r|G_r) = s = 0.95 \\ P(H_l|G_r) &= P(H_r|G_l) = 1 - s = 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

(e) Suppose that $p(G_{mother} = l) = p(G_{father} = l) = 0.5$. In network (a), what is the value of $p(G_{child} = l)$? What is the value of $p(H_{child} = l)$?

■

$$\begin{aligned} p(G_{cl}) &= \sum_{x \in \{l, r\}} \sum_{y \in \{l, r\}} p(G_{cl} G_{fx} G_{my}) \\ &= P(G_{cl}|G_{fl}, G_{ml})P(G_{fl})P(G_{ml}) + P(G_{cl}|G_{fl}, G_{mr})P(G_{fl})P(G_{mr}) \\ &\quad + P(G_{cl}|G_{fr}, G_{ml})P(G_{fr})P(G_{ml}) + P(G_{cl}|G_{fr}, G_{mr})P(G_{fr})P(G_{mr}) \\ &= 0.95 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.05 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \\ &= 0.25 \times 2 \\ &= 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_{cl}) &= \sum_{x \in \{l, r\}} P(H_{cl} G_{cx}) \\ &= P(H_{cl}|G_{cl})P(G_{cl}) + P(H_{cl}|G_{cr})P(G_{cr}) \\ &= 0.95 \times 0.5 + 0.05 \times 0.5 \\ &= 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

(f) Again suppose that $p(G_{mother} = l) = p(G_{father} = l) = 0.5$. In network (a), suppose we observe the value of H_{child} is r, what is the most likely value of G_{mother} you can infer from this observation?

■ I will compute the most likelihood value of $P(G_{mr}|H_{cr})$ which is the probability that mother has right hand gene.

$$P(G_{mr}|H_{cr}) = \sum_{x, y, z \in \{l, r\}} P(G_{mr}, G_{fx}, H_{my}, H_{fz}, G_{cw}|H_{cr})$$

Problem 4. (25 points) Let $P(A) = \phi, P(B) = \mu, P(C) = 2\mu, P(D) = 1 - \phi - 3\mu$ denotes the probability of getting A, B, C and D grade in a class respectively. We want to estimate ϕ and μ from data.

1. Assume in a given class, there were $a = 14$ students getting As, $b = 6$ students getting Bs, $c = 9$ students getting Cs, and $d = 10$ students getting Ds. What is the maximum likelihood estimate of ϕ and μ ?

■ The likelihood function can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(A, B, C, D | \mu, \phi) &= \phi^a \mu^b (2\mu)^c (1 - \phi - 3\mu)^d \\
 LL &= a \log \phi + b \log \mu + c \log 2\mu + d \log (1 - \phi - 3\mu) \\
 \frac{\partial LL}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{b}{\mu} + \frac{c}{\mu} - \frac{3d}{1 - \phi - 3\mu} = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{b+c}{\mu} - \frac{3d}{1 - \phi - 3\mu} = 0 \\
 \frac{\partial LL}{\partial \phi} &= \frac{a}{\phi} - \frac{d}{1 - \phi - 3\mu} = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow \mu = \frac{b+c}{3(a+b+c-d)}, \quad \phi = \frac{a(a-d)}{(a+d)(a+b+c-d)} \\
 &\Rightarrow \mu = \frac{5}{19} \approx 0.263157895, \quad \phi = \frac{7}{57} \approx 0.122807018
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Someone tells you that the number of high grades (As + Bs) is $h = 20$, and the number of low grades (Cs + Ds) is $g = 19$. What is the maximum likelihood estimate of ϕ and μ now? Please use EM algorithm to obtain the answer. Is your result a global maximum or local maximum? Please justify and explain why.

■ In the E-step, by using the prior probabilities of a, b, c, d we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= \frac{\frac{\phi}{\mu}}{1 + \frac{\phi}{\mu}} h \\
 b &= \frac{h}{1 + \frac{\phi}{\mu}} \\
 c &= \frac{2\mu}{1 - \phi - \mu} g \\
 d &= \frac{1 - \phi - 3\mu}{1 - \phi - \mu} g
 \end{aligned}$$

M-step:

By combining the expected value of μ and ϕ from the previous questions and the expected values of a, b, c, d , we can get the maximum likelihood value of μ and ϕ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu &= \frac{b+c}{3(a+b+c-d)} \\
 \phi &= \frac{a(a-d)}{(a+d)(a+b+c-d)}
 \end{aligned}$$

And by iteratively applying the E-step and M-step, after iterating for 20 rounds, the value converges to: $h = 20$;

$g = 19$;

$u = 10$;

```

p = 10;
i = 1:50;
for x = i,
a = (p * h / u) / (1 + p / u);
b = h / (1 + p / u);
c = 2 * u * g / (1 - p - u);
d = (1 - p - 3 * u);

u = (b + c) / (3 * (a + b + c - d));
p = a * (a - d) / ((a + d) * (a + b + c - d));

u, p
endfor;

```

$$\mu = 0.24349$$

$$\phi = 0.26952$$

This is global maximum, changing the initial values does not affect the result.

Problem 5. (25 points) Consider an HMM of a coin-tossing experiment, assume a three-state model (corresponding to three different coins) with probabilities and with all state-transition probabilities

	State 1	State 2	State 3
$p(H)$	0.5	0.75	0.25
$p(T)$	0.5	0.25	0.75

Table 1: Sample data using XOR function.

equal to $\frac{1}{3}$. (Assume initial state probabilities of $\frac{1}{3}$).

- a. You observe the sequence $O = (HHHHTHTTTT)$, what state sequence is most likely? What is the probability of the observation sequence and this most likely state sequence?

■ We need to calculate the forward message:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_t(i) &= \sum_j P(q_{t+1} = S_j | q_t = S_i) P(O_{t+1} | q_{t+1} = S_j) \alpha_t(i) \\
&= \sum_j \alpha_{ij} b_j(O_{t+1}) \alpha_t(i) \\
&= 0.00010.
\end{aligned}$$

The most likely state sequence is 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3.

- b. What is the probability that the observation sequence came entirely from state 1?

■

$$\begin{aligned}
P(HHHHTHTTTT | 1111111111) &= P(S_1) \prod_{i=1}^{10} P(O_i | S_i) P(S_i | S_{i-1}) \\
&= 0.0000000017.
\end{aligned}$$

- c. Consider the observation $O = (HTTHTHHTTH)$, how would your answer to parts a and b change?
- Similar to question 5.(a), and by calculation the forward information, we can get the most likely state sequence as 2, 3, 3, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 2. And similar to question 5.(b), $P(HHHHTHTTTT|S) = 0.0000000026$.
- d. If the state-transition probabilities were: $a_{11} = 0.9, a_{12} = 0.05, a_{13} = 0.05, a_{21} = 0.45, a_{22} = 0.1, a_{23} = 0.45, a_{31} = 0.45, a_{32} = 0.45, a_{33} = 0.1$, how would your answers to parts a-c change? What does this suggest about the type of sequence generated by the models?
- For (a), the observation sequence is $HHHHTHTTTT$, $P(HHHHTHTTTT) = 0.00009$. The most likely sequence is 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1.
- For (b), the forward information sequence is 0.000013.

For observation $O = (HTTHTHHTTH)$, by using the similar method, we get the most likely sequence as 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1.