

Credit Card Default Prediction

Architecture

R. Praveen Kumar

08/11/2022

Contents

- 1. Introduction
 - O What is a Low-Level design document?
 - Scope 3
- 2. Architecture
- 3. Architecture Description
 - Data Description
 - Data Exploration
 - Feature Engineering
 - Train/Test Split
 - Model Building
 - Save the Model
 - Cloud Setup & Pushing the App to the Cloud
 - Application Start and Input Data by the User
 - Prediction
- 4. Unit Test Cases

1. Introduction


What is a Low-Level design document?

The goal of LLD or a low-level design document (LLDD) is to give the internal logical design of the actual program code for Credit Card Default Prediction. LLD describes the class diagrams with the methods and relations between classes and program specs. It describes the modules so that the programmer can directly code the program from the document.

Scope

Low-level design (LLD) is a component-level design process that follows a step-by-step refinement process. This process can be used for designing data structures, required software architecture, source code, and ultimately, performance algorithms. Overall, the data organization may be defined during requirement analysis and then refined during data design work.

2. Architecture



3. Architecture Description

Data Description

This dataset is taken from Kaggle(URL:

https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/defaultof-credit-card-clients dataset). It contains information on default payments, demographic factors, credit data, history of payment, and bill statements of credit card clients in Taiwan from April 2005 to September 2005.

Content There are 25 variables:

- ID: ID of each client
- LIMIT_BAL: Amount of given credit in NT dollars (includes individual and family/supplementary credit
- SEX: Gender
 - ∘ 1=male,
- EDUCATION:
 - 1=graduate school,
 - o 2=university,
 - 3=high school,
 - o 0, 4, 5, 6=others)
- MARRIAGE: Marital status
 - 1=married,
 - o 2=single,
 - ∘ 3=divorce,
 - 0=others
- AGE: Age in years
- PAY_0: Repayment status in September 2005
 - -1: Paid in full;
 - 0: No consumption;
 - 1 = payment delay for one month;
 - o 2 = payment delay for two months; . . .;
 - 8 = payment delay for eight months;
 - 9 = payment delay for nine months and above.

- PAY_2: Repayment status in August, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY_3: Repayment status in July, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY_4: Repayment status in June, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY_5: Repayment status in May, 2005 (scale same as above)
- PAY_6: Repayment status in April, 2005 (scale same as above)
- BILL_AMT1: Amount of bill statement in September, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL_AMT2: Amount of bill statement in August, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL_AMT3: Amount of bill statement in July, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL_AMT4: Amount of bill statement in June, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL_AMT5: Amount of bill statement in May, 2005 (NT dollar)
- BILL_AMT6: Amount of bill statement in April, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY_AMT1: Amount of previous payment in September, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY_AMT2: Amount of previous payment in August, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY_AMT3: Amount of previous payment in July, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY_AMT4: Amount of previous payment in June, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY_AMT5: Amount of previous payment in May, 2005 (NT dollar)
- PAY_AMT6: Amount of previous payment in April, 2005 (NT dollar)
- Default.payment.next.month: Default payment
 - 1=yes,
 - o 0=n

Feature Engineering

We created a new feature by taking the average of all 6 columns of the Bill Amount

❖ Train/Test Split

Split the data into 75% train set and 25% test set.

Model Building

Built models and trained and tested the data on the models. Compared the performance of each model and selected the best one.

Save the model

Saved the model by converting it into a pickle file.

- Cloud Setup & Pushing the App to the Cloud Selected Heroku for deployment. Loaded the application files from GitHub b to Heroku.
- ❖ Application Start and Input Data by the User Start the application and enter the inputs.

Prediction

After the inputs are submitted the application runs the model and makes predictions. The out

is displayed as a message indicating whether the customer whose demographic and

behavioral data are entered as inputs, is likely to default in the following month or not.

4. Unit Test Cases

Test Case Description	Pre-Requisite	Expected Result
Verify whether the	1. Application URL	The application URL
Application URL is	should	should be
accessible to the user	be defined	accessible to the user
Verify whether the	1. Application URL is	The Application should
Application loads	accessible	load
completely for the user	2. Application is	completely for the user
when the URL is	deployed	when
accessed		the URL is accessed
Verify whether the user	1. Application URL is	The user should be
is able	accessible	able to see
to see input fields on	2. Application is	input fields on logging
logging in	deployed	in
Verify whether the user	1. Application URL is	The user should be
is able	accessible	able to edit
to edit all input fields	2. Application is	all input fields
	deployed	
Verify whether a user	1. Application URL is	The user should get
gets	accessible	Submit
Submit button to submit	2. Application is	button to submit the
the	deployed	inputs
inputs	4.0.11.11.11.11	
Verify whether the user	1. Application URL is	The user should be
is	accessible	presented
presented with	2. Application is	with recommended
recommended results	deployed	results on
on		clicking submit
clicking submit		